

High-level Optimization

David Grellscheid







Typical scientific workflow

Correctness is main

A friend of my friend said that you should never do XYZ, because the code will be slower!

Fit like it works is kept

Sub-optimal choices only noticed later on (if at all)



Donald Knuth, December 1974:

Programmers waste enormous amounts of time thinking about, or worrying about, the speed of noncritical parts of their programs, and these attempts at efficiency actually have a strong negative impact when debugging and maintenance are considered. We should forget about small efficiencies, say about 97% of the time: premature optimization is the root of all evil. Yet we should not pass up our opportunities in that critical 3%.

"Structured Programming with go to Statements", Computing Surveys, Vol 6, No 4.



Runtime is not the only factor to consider, need to think about trade off between time spent in:

development
debugging
validation
portability
runtime in your own usage
other developers' time (now/future)
total runtime for all users

CPU time much cheaper than human time!



Reusability is an efficiency!

If the student after you has to start from zero, all your work is wasted



Someone else already solved (part of) the problem:

LAPACK, BLAS
GNU scientific library
C++ Boost
Numpy, Scipy, Pandas

• • •

Develop googling skills, evaluate what exists. Quality often much better than self-written attempts



Choice of programming language

Be aware of what exists

Know strengths / weaknesses

But: needs to fit rest of project

take a look at Haskell, Erlang, Prolog to get an idea how different the approaches can be



```
findLongestUpTo :: Int -> (Int,Int)
findLongestUpTo mx = maximum ( map f [1 ... mx] )
  where f x = (collatzLength x, x)
collatzLength :: Int -> Int
collatzLength 1 = 1
collatzLength n = 1 + collatzLength (collatzStep n)
collatzStep :: Int -> Int
collatzStep n
  | even n = n `div` 2
  | otherwise = 3 * n + 1
```



Program design

First version: understand the problems

now start again!

Second version: you know what you're doing

refactor / clean up / make reusable

Done :-)



Algorithm / data structure choice

can get orders of magnitude in savings

Local and hardware-specific optimisations

- not in this course-



Algorithm / data structure choice

can get orders of magnitude in savings

Local and hardware-specific optimisations

- not in this course-



What are we optimizing?

Time Memory Disk Electricity Compile time Ease of use Ease of deployment Ease of development



Complexity basics

Much simplified, skipping formal derivation

```
while not is_sorted(xs):
    random.shuffle(xs)
```

O(NN!)

Scaling behaviour with size N of problem set:

O(1) - constant time independent of N


O(N) - linear with N

 $O(N^2)$ - quadratic in N




2 7 5 1 4 3 6 8





































$O(N \log N)$



15 Sorting Algorithms in 6 Minutes http://youtu.be/kPRA0W1kECg



Data structure complexity

std::array

std::vector

std::list

std::map

std::unordered_map (hash table)

http://bigocheatsheet.com/

Nicolai Josuttis, "The C++ Standard Library"



LI cache reference 0.5 ns
Branch mispredict 5 ns
L2 cache reference 7 ns
Mutex lock/unlock 25 ns
Main memory reference 100 ns
SSD random read 150,000 ns = 150 μs
Read I MB sequentially from memory 250,000 ns = 250 μs
Read I MB sequentially from SSD I,000,000 ns = I ms
Disk seek 10,000,000 ns = 10 ms
Read I MB sequentially from disk 20,000,000 ns = 20 ms
Send packet EU->US->EU 150,000,000 ns = 150 ms



LI cache reference	0.5 s
Branch mispredict	5 s
L2 cache reference	7 s
Mutex lock/unlock	25 s
Main memory reference	100 s



Optimization strategy

Don't optimize the whole code

Profile the code, find the bottlenecks
They may not always be where you thought they were

Break the problem down

Try to run the shortest possible test you can to get meaningful results Isolate serial kernels

Keep a working version of the code!

Getting the wrong answer faster is not the goal.

Optimize on the architecture on which you intend to run

Optimizations for one architecture will not necessarily translate

The compiler is your friend!

If you find yourself coding in machine language, you are doing to much.



Optimization strategy

Don't optimize the whole code

Profile the code, find the bottlenecks
They may not always be where you thought they were

Break the problem down

Try to run the shortest possible test you can to get meaningful results Isolate serial kernels

Keep a working version of the code!

Getting the wrong answer faster is not the goal.

Optimize on the architecture on which you intend to run

Optimizations for one architecture will not necessarily translate

The compiler is your friend!

If you find yourself coding in machine language, you are doing to much.




Intro

This is the most important slide in the talk

Never, ever optimize unless you have good reason to.

- Why do you need to optimize?
- ► Do you have a clear plan of action?
- What do you expect to gain?
- ► How long will it take?
- Are you still sure it's worth it?







Python profiling options

time

from time import time
start = time()
somefunc(27)
end = time()

timeit

python -m timeit -s 'import myfile as m; x=27' 'm.somefunc(x)'

cProfile

import cProfile
cProfile.run(somefunc(27))

pyprof2calltree qcachegrind

All are in the standard library



Euler003 & numpy timing demo



Link to compiled code

Try to stay with Python-only until performance becomes a problem. Numpy etc. make this possible

Interesting package to give just-in-time compilation on arbitrary code

https://numba.pydata.org/



Link to external code

ctypes for C (standard lib)

f2py for Fortran (part of numpy)

cython for C and C++ (on PyPI)

reticulate, rpy2,... for R (no experience)

• • •