Comp 388/441 - Human-Computer Interface Design

Week II - 31st March 2016

Dr Nick Hayward

Affordance

- a visual attribute or physical property of a given object or control
- gives the user clues to the operation or functionality of an object or control
- system parts manipulated to allow a user to interact with the given system
 - eg: a door handle
 - shape of door handles, the nature of the door itself present clues to functionality
- visual clues can be used to show UI element functionality
- eg: make controls, buttons etc appear clickable and ready for interaction
- add some highlight to show a user that a submit button is ready for a completed form
- design conventions developed for a reason
 - offer a useful reminder of how patterns can easily be developed relative to a UI
 - blue underline for links on a web page

Principles for usability - video

Material design







Material design - Source: YouTube

Mapping

- expected relationship between a performed action and the expected result
 - mapping between a given control and its behavioural effect
- such mappings should be logical, explicit, and straightforward
 - descriptive labels, icons etc on buttons, menus...
- controls should be positioned in a logical manner
 - adhering to conventions where possible
 - many UI guidelines, real-world examples to help guide our design choices
- modifications of expected conventions will cause unnecessary issues for users
 - where necessary, reinforce with training and help...

Feedback

- plays a crucial role in reinforcing users' perception, expectations, general experience...
- principle of feedback states that designers should offer users confirmation or acknowledgement for the result of an action
 - good or bad, successful or unsuccessful
- distinguish two types of feedback
 - activational feedback
 - o provides evidence that a given control was actioned successfully.
 - o eg: a button pressed, menu item selected, slider control moved to a new position
 - o feedback may be offered visually, in a tactile manner for physical controls, an audible alert

behavioural feedback

- o provides evidence an action etc has had an effect of the application, system...
- o eg: app closes an open, active window, shows a dialog window and status message, audible sound

Principles for usability - video

Material design








Material design - Source: YouTube

Constraints

- apps and interfaces need to be designed and tested to prevent invalid states
 - incorrect, invalid user interaction, invalid actions...
- constraints may take various forms
 - check correct relationships between elements and actions
 - check elements active only as needed
 - actions only performed when default data etc available
 - menu items active relative to contextual requirements
 - physical products often display such constraints

Principles for usability - Messages on iOS




Source - Apple

Another consideration - naming


- names and labels key aspect of human communication, thought, understanding...
 - also an important consideration in design
- naming helps users understand the application
 - their current location relative to navigation
 - the data and information they are viewing
 - action they can and cannot perform...
- good naming helps a user form a correct mental model
- do not confuse naming with the use of technical jargon and terms
- precise, consistent naming helps us form unambiguous instructions, help, feedback...
- naming helps identify as well as differentiate between aspects of the design and functionality
- names should be unique relative to the context and the application
- namespaces are useful relative to application design and development

Principles for usability - Good(ish) naming (Messages for iOS)



Source - Apple

Principles for usability - Bad(ish) naming (Health for iOS)




Source - Apple

A few guidelines and thoughts on naming...

- does the name accurately reflect and describe its intended target?
 - consider the action of the element relative to the name
- is the name clear, concise, and free of ambiguity?
- use concise, easy to remember names
 - better than longer, hard to remember descriptions
- does the name inherently assume prior knowledge from the user?
 - consider naming relative to perceived domain knowledge
- acronyms are useful, but assume prior knowledge of the domain
 - be careful when using acronyms, and consider cultural bias
 - o eg: VAT well known in Europe
- carefully consider capitalisation, and ensure consistency for chosen pattern
 - eg: This Is Capitalised...This is Capitalised...This is not Capitalised (fully)...
- users should be able to pronounce a name...not helpful if they have to check first

Principles for usability - Cultural naming concerns

Calpis Water and Pocari Sweat



Source - Calpis & Pocari Sweat

Principles for usability - Bad naming and icon

Foursquare icon



Source - Foursquare

Positive user experience

- we need to be able to identify traits of a positive user experience
 - conversely, understanding a negative experience is also helpful
- application allows a user to feel they are in control
- helps develop a sense of confidence and competence with the application
- helps encourage high productivity and efficiency
 - enables and encourages our user to develop a sense of flow
- allows simple, routine tasks to be completed as quickly and easily as possible
- produces valid, useful output for the user
- user feels confident with the validity of produced results, calculations...
- considered aesthetically pleasing
- exhibits acceptable, sufficient performance to avoid unnecessary delays and waiting
- stable and reliable for the user...no blue screen of death
- makes it easy for a user to correct or modify any errors, mistakes...
- inspires trust and confidence in the user with logical, well-ordered design, navigation...

Negative user experience

- application leaves a user with a sense of feeling a lack of control
- overwhelming the user, creating a sense of incompetence and inadequate ability
- hinders the user from improving productivity and general efficiency
 - prevents a sense of **flow**
- simple tasks and routine patterns prove overly complicated for the user
- output from the application is flawed, incorrect, poorly formatted...
- the app may produce unreliable results and calculations
- the UI design is aesthetically disorganised, cluttered, unappealing...
- slow in performing tasks, and exhibits unnecessary delays and lags in performance
- unstable, buggy, and prone to crashing...
 - user loses data due to poor performance
- excessive complexity and difficulty in general functionality
- **too much work** involved to use the application in general
- design that conflicts with a user's perception of previous applications, iterations of a design, and competing products

References

