

# A Survey of Medical Imaging Reconstructions and Rendering

CAMERON STEVENSON, University of Canterbury, New Zealand

Abstract a b c

## ACM Reference Format:

Cameron Stevenson. 2021. A Survey of Medical Imaging Reconstructions and Rendering. 1, 1 (April 2021), 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Intro a b c

## 2 OVERVIEW

Overview a b c

## 3 IMAGE PROCESSING

### 3.1 Segmentation

Birkfellner [2] observes that organs are usually composed of multiple tissue types, which show up as different intensities under imaging. This makes segmentation "a rather complex, specialized procedure often requiring considerable manual interaction". Particular organs are focussed on when developing segmentation methods.

Birkfellner [2] covers some advanced segmentation methods. The watershed transform for example uses the physical idea of water running to the bottom of valleys in a landscape. After taking a gradient transform on an image, edges are peaks in the landscape, and the virtual water will fill up basins representing segments in the image. Various interpretations of the physical behaviour can be used.

---

Author's address: Cameron Stevenson, University of Canterbury, Ilam, Christchurch, Canterbury, New Zealand.

---

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

© 2021 Association for Computing Machinery.

XXXX-XXXX/2021/4-ART \$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn>

Mukundan [9] observes that in HRCT lung scans, tissue regions are "characterized by different and easily separable intensity levels". Simple thresholding can be used to pick out regions.

### 3.2 Contour Finding

Mukundan [9] starts with a binary image after thresholding. Eroding the image with a 3x3 element then subtracting this from the thresholded image gives one pixel wide edges. Sequential edge following is used to extract contours. Discarding small contours reduces the number of contours significantly.

Pu et al. [13] introduce a border marching algorithm with an adaptive step size to find the outer contours of the lungs. The metric for adjusting the step size for a border segment is based on how far (at most) the segment lies from the true border. This method has the advantage of including juxta-pleural pulmonary modules in the segmentation despite their imaged intensity being dissimilar to the rest of the lung.

Mackay [7] uses contours generated from Adaptive Contour Marching.

### 3.3 Contour Interpolation

Barrett et al. [1] present a contour interpolation algorithm in image space based on morphological operations. An image with both contours present (as different grayscale values) is dilated until the space between contours is filled. The front where the two dilations meet is where the interpolated contour is found. It is noted that this method handles branching cases with no modification necessary.

## 4 VOLUMETRIC RENDERING

## 5 RASTERIZATION

Splatting takes each voxel's value and "splats" it against the drawn image, contributing to a few pixels, with its contribution fading away as you move outwards.

Texture-based volume rendering intersects many planes with the volume [10]. On these planes polygons are rendered, with mappings from their coordinates to the 3D space of the volume, to get texture values.

### 5.1 Raycasting

Maximum intensity projection (MIP) is a raycasting method where rays project the most intense voxel they pass through [2]. The images produced have high contrast detail and are easy to understand.

Summed voxel rendering is another raycasting method where rays sum up intensities from every voxel they pass through, giving a blurred image [2].

Intersecting arbitrary rays with voxels can be computationally expensive. Shear-warp rendering solves this by using projections which make rays orthogonal to the voxel axes [5].

Fishman et al. [3] make comparisons between maximum intensity projection and other volume rendering. MIP tends to not contrast the background well with the structure of interest. Other volume rendering methods can weight voxels differently and give different tissue types different colours.

## **6 SURFACE RECONSTRUCTIONS**

### **6.1 Marching Cubes**

Marching cubes [6] converts voxels into surfaces. Each voxel either belongs to a structure or does not, based on imaged intensity. Surface voxels ("inside" voxels bordering "outside" voxels) are found. Each voxel is assigned primitives based on which of their neighbours are inside or outside the structure, using a lookup table. The primitives are joined in neighbouring surface voxels to form the overall mesh. The results tend to look jagged and smoothing is usually applied either to the mesh or during rendering for a more visually appealing output.

### **6.2 Point Cloud Methods**

Approximate - don't have to go through points  
Interpolate - have to go through points

Guennebaud et al. [4] fit algebraic spheres to point sets to construct surfaces. Their method (APSS) performs better than prior methods on sharp features and sparse data.

Oztireli et al. [11] combine Moving Least Squares (MLS) with local kernel regression to obtain Robust Implicit Moving Least Squares (RIMLS). This method reconstructs sharp (non-smooth) corners more accurately than APSS.

### **6.3 Estimating Normals**

Mitra et al. [8] use least squares distance to fit a plane to a neighbourhood of points for each point in the cloud. There is a sweet spot for the radius of the neighbourhood used. Small radius makes noisy points have more impact on the plane found, and large radius allows for surface curvature to introduce error.

### **6.4 Contour Correspondence**

contour correspondence...

### **6.5 Point Correspondence**

Point correspondence is an optional step in surface reconstructions, where points on matched contours are matched to each other as a precursor to triangulation.

Mackay [7] proposes Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) as a method of point correspondence. DTW is intended to match features on the same structure across different times. In point correspondence, it matches points on contours which are from the same structure but in different slices, so slightly warped.

## 6.6 Mesh Triangulation

mesh triangulation...

## 6.7 The Branching Problem

Mackay [7] uses contour merging to help DTW point correspondence in the branching case. In a slice with one contour and a slice with two contours, the two contours are merged at their nearest point to give one larger contour. Point correspondence between the matched contours can then proceed as normal.

## 6.8 Mesh Rendering

mesh rendering...

# 7 TESTING

## 7.1 Measuring Similarity in Segmentation

Pixel-wise XOR operations are common.

Pu et al. [13] use a reference segmentation (defined by experts) and evaluate their result by the distribution along the contour of distance error from this reference.

## 7.2 Generating Models

Mackay [7] uses Blender3D to create test models, by creating surface of revolutions about bezier curves.

Pluta et al. [12] propose a rule-based method of generating models, including deformations and noise.

## 7.3 Measuring Similarity in 3D Models

Mackay [7] uses Hausdorff distance (essentially a maximum deviation between point sets) to measure mesh similarity. Points are sampled from a ground truth model and the nearest distance is found to the reconstructed model.

# 8 CONCLUSION

## REFERENCES

- [1] BARRETT, W., MORTENSEN, E., AND TAYLOR, D. An image space algorithm for morphological contour interpolation. In *Graphics Interface* (1994), CANADIAN INFORMATION PROCESSING SOCIETY, pp. 16–16.
- [2] BIRKFELLNER, W. *Applied medical image processing: a basic course*. CRC Press, 2016.

- [3] FISHMAN, E. K., NEY, D. R., HEATH, D. G., CORL, F. M., HORTON, K. M., AND JOHNSON, P. T. Volume rendering versus maximum intensity projection in ct angiography: what works best, when, and why. *Radiographics* 26, 3 (2006), 905–922.
- [4] GUENNEBAUD, G., AND GROSS, M. Algebraic point set surfaces. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2007 papers*. 2007, pp. 23–es.
- [5] LACROUTE, P., AND LEVOY, M. Fast volume rendering using a shear-warp factorization of the viewing transformation. In *Proceedings of the 21st annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques* (1994), pp. 451–458.
- [6] LORENSEN, W. E., AND CLINE, H. E. Marching cubes: A high resolution 3d surface construction algorithm. *ACM siggraph computer graphics* 21, 4 (1987), 163–169.
- [7] MACKAY, D. Robust contour based surface reconstruction algorithms for applications in medical imaging.
- [8] MITRA, N. J., AND NGUYEN, A. Estimating surface normals in noisy point cloud data. In *Proceedings of the nineteenth annual symposium on Computational geometry* (2003), pp. 322–328.
- [9] MUKUNDAN, R. Reconstruction of high resolution 3d meshes of lung geometry from hrct contours. In *2016 IEEE International Symposium on Multimedia (ISM)* (2016), IEEE, pp. 247–252.
- [10] Texture-based volume rendering. Accessed from <https://web.cse.ohio-state.edu/~crawfis.3/cis694L/Slides/TextureSlicing.pdf>.
- [11] ÖZTIRELI, A. C., GUENNEBAUD, G., AND GROSS, M. Feature preserving point set surfaces based on non-linear kernel regression. In *Computer Graphics Forum* (2009), vol. 28, Wiley Online Library, pp. 493–501.
- [12] PLUTA, K., JANASZEWSKI, M., AND POSTOLSKI, M. New algorithm for modeling of bronchial trees. *Image Processing & Communications* 17, 4 (2012), 179–190.
- [13] PU, J., ROOS, J., CHIN, A. Y., NAPEL, S., RUBIN, G. D., AND PAIK, D. S. Adaptive border marching algorithm: automatic lung segmentation on chest ct images. *Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics* 32, 6 (2008), 452–462.