Homework 3 3-Satisfiability in Scheme

CS 355

Due Midnight, Monday 2/24/2014

1 Boolean Satisfiability

The 3-SAT problem is a classic decision problem in computer science. You are given a set of boolean variables and a boolean expression written in conjunctive normal form (CNF) with 3 variables per clause. Is there some assignment of true and false to each variable so that the entire expression is true?

For example, say our variables are $\{A, B, C, D\}$ and our expression is

$$(D \lor A \lor \neg C) \land (\neg C \lor D \lor \neg A) \land (\neg C \lor D \lor \neg A) \land (B \lor \neg C \lor \neg D). \tag{1}$$

The following assignment of boolean variables

$${A = \text{true}, B = \text{true}, C = \text{false}, D = \text{true}}$$
 (2)

"satisfies" the given expression. Note that above expression consists of a *conjunction* of 4 clauses. Each clause is a *disjunction* of thee terms where each term is a variable or a negation of a variable.

3-SAT is known to be *NP-complete* which means we can efficiently check to see if a solution is correct, but there is no tractable way to find a solution in general. Therefore, we typically use some sort of heuristic search method to find a solution (if there is one). Interestingly enough, 2-SAT (2 variables per clause) is solvable in polynomial time. You can read more about boolean satisfiability here:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boolean_satisfiability_problem

2 Scheme functions for solving 3-SAT

You are to write several top-level functions in Scheme as described below to help solve for instances of 3-SAT.

1. We consider a particular assignment of truth values to our given variables a *state* in a search graph. We will represent a state in Scheme as a list of pairs. Each pair is a list containing the variable name (a symbol in Scheme) and its corresponding truth value #t (true) or #f (false). Write a function eval-var that returns the value associated with a particular variable:

```
(define eval-var (lambda (var state) (...)))
```

For example

```
> (define state '((A #t) (B #f) (C #t) (D #f)))
> (eval-var 'A state)
#t
> (eval-var 'D state)
#f
```

2. We will represent a single *clause* in Scheme as a list of 3 elements. Each element is either a single variable name (i.e., an *atom*) or a list containing the symbol **not** followed by a variable name. Write the function **eval-clause** that evaluates a clause (i.e., returns #t or #f) for a given variables state:

```
(define eval-clause (lambda (clause state) (...)))
```

For example

```
> (define state '((A #t) (B #f) (C #t) (D #f)))
```

- > (define clause '(A (not B) C))
- > (eval-clause clause state)
 #t
- 3. Write a function get-vars that returns a list of all the variables in the clause.

```
(define get-vars (lambda (clause) (...)))
```

You do not need to worry about duplicates in this case. For example

```
> (get-vars '(A (NOT B) C))
'(A B C)
```

4. Now write a function that returns all the variables contained in a list of variables.

```
(define (lambda get-all-vars (clauses) (...)))
```

You should not have duplicate entries in the list, for example:

```
> (define clauses '((A (not B) C) (A (not B) (not C)) (A (not B) D)))
> (get-all-vars clauses)
'(C D B A)
```

The built-in remove-duplicates and flatten functions in Dr.Racket are handy here:

```
> (remove-duplicates '(A B B A))
>(flatten '(A B (C A) E)
```

5. Write a function unsat-clauses that returns all the unsatisfied clauses in an expressions for a given state:

```
(define unsat-clauses (lambda (clauses state) (...)))
```

For example

```
> (define state '((A #f) (B #t) (C #t) (D #f)))
```

- > (define clauses '((A (not B) C) (A (not B) (not C)) (A (not B) D)))
- > (unsat-clauses clauses state) '((A (not B) (not C)) (A (not B) D))
- 6. Write a function flip-var that "flips" the "truthfulness" a particular variable in a state list.

```
(define flip-var (lambda (var state) (...)))
```

For example

```
> state
'((A #f) (B #t) (C #t) (D #f))
> (flip-var 'B state)
'((A #f) (B #f) (C #t) (D #f))
> (flip-var 'C state)
((A #f) (B #t) (C #f) (D #f))
```

7. We consider S' to be a *neighbor* to state S if S' can be created by flipping the state of exactly one variable in S. We consider S' to be a *better* neighbor if it generates less unsatisfied clauses than S in a given expression. Write the function get-better-neighbor that finds *some* neighbor to a given state that yields fewer unsatisfied clauses; This function actually returns a list which is the state of the better neighbor.

```
(define get-better-neighbor (lambda (clauses state vars num-unsat) (...)
       ))
Argument description:
clauses: boolean expression (list of clauses),
state: current state,
vars: list of variables used to generate neighbors (hint: this list controls the recursion),
num-unsat: number of unsatisfied clauses generated by state.
If there are no better neighbors (i.e., we have reached the top of a "hill" or a "plateau")
return #f.
> clauses
'((A B C) (A (not B) (not C)) (A (not B) D))
> state
'((A #f) (B #t) (C #t) (D #f))
> (get-better-neighbor clauses state '(A B C)
    (length (unsat-clauses clauses state)) )
'(((A #t) (B #t) (C #t) (D #f)))
```

The above is actually a poor example since the returned neighbor is actually a solution and thus there are no corresponding unsatisfied clauses.

8. Now we put all the pieces together to perform *simple hill climbing* in search for a solution. Write the function simple-hill-climb that begins at a given start state and continually "climbs" by looking for better neighbors until it finds the solution or has visited a prescribed number of states.

```
(define simple-hill-climb (lambda (clauses state dist unsat) (...)))
```

Argument description:

clauses: list of clauses we are trying to satisfy,

state: starting state,

dist: number of states left to examine before giving up,

unsat: list of unsatisfied clauses generated by state. If this is empty, then state is a solution.

3 What to submit

Each function you write should have no *side effects* (i.e., each function returns freshly calculated values without altering any of the arguments or any global variables). There is no need to perform any iteration – recursion is all that is needed. Test each function individually as you write them.

- Create a Readme.txt file that includes information that give your name, email address, and a brief overview of the problem.
- Comments MUST be provided for each function. The comments must explain the algorithm used in each function. You will be given a test harness and some example 3-SAT problems of varying difficulty.
- Make sure your code works on a lab machine with Dr. Racket
- Archive the Scheme source code (and any supporting files) to your solution, and submit it electronically by midnight on the due date. Your source code should contain the eight top-level functions described in this document. Double check your submission after you submit your homework.

Note: A solution can be done with only the following special forms and built-in functions: *define*, *lambda*, *empty?*, *equal? cdr*, *car*, *cons*, *list?*, *if* , *not*, *and*, *remove-duplicates*, *flatten*, *list*, -, *and* >.