# LU Decomposition

CS 330

September 24, 2008

#### LU Decomposition 1

We can write an  $N \times N$  matrix **A** as the product of a lower triangular matrix **L** and an upper triangular matrix **U** as follows (case N = 4):

$$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{A} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_{10} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_{20} & \alpha_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_{30} & \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{00} & \beta_{01} & \beta_{02} & \beta_{03} \\ 0 & \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} & \beta_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{22} & \beta_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & a_{02} & a_{03} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{30} & a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

We then generate  $N^2$  equations for the  $N^2$  unknowns and order these equations according to the columns of **A** and underline the unknown to solve for as we progress:

$$\beta_{00} = a_{00} \quad \text{column } j = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\alpha_{10}\beta_{00} = a_{10} \tag{4}$$

$$\alpha_{20}\beta_{00} = a_{20} \tag{5}$$

$$\alpha_{30}\beta_{00} = a_{30} \tag{6}$$

$$\beta_{01} = a_{01} \quad \text{column } j = 1 \tag{7}$$

$$\alpha_{10}\beta_{01} + \beta_{11} = a_{11} \tag{8}$$

$$\alpha_{20}\beta_{01} + \alpha_{21}\beta_{11} = a_{21} \tag{9}$$

$$\alpha_{30}\beta_{01} + \underline{\alpha_{31}}\beta_{11} = a_{31} \tag{10}$$

$$\beta_{02} = a_{02} \quad \text{column } j = 2 \tag{11}$$

$$\alpha_{10}\beta_{02} + \beta_{12} = a_{12} \tag{12}$$

$$\alpha_{20}\beta_{02} + \alpha_{21}\beta_{12} + \beta_{22} = a_{22} \tag{13}$$

$$\alpha_{30}\beta_{02} + \alpha_{31}\beta_{12} + \underline{\alpha_{32}}\beta_{22} = a_{32} \tag{14}$$

$$\underline{\beta_{03}} = a_{03} \quad \text{column } j = 3 \tag{15}$$

$$\alpha_{10}\beta_{03} + \beta_{13} = a_{13} \tag{16}$$

$$\alpha_{20}\beta_{03} + \alpha_{21}\beta_{13} + \beta_{23} = a_{23} \tag{17}$$

$$\alpha_{30}\beta_{03} + \alpha_{31}\beta_{13} + \alpha_{32}\beta_{23} + \beta_{33} = a_{33} \tag{18}$$

This reveals the direct method called *Crout's algorithm* or *Doolittle factorization* for solving for the unknowns:

- 1 **for**  $j = 0 \dots N 1$ 2
- for  $i = 0 \dots j$
- $\beta_{ij} = a_{ij} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha_{ik} \beta_{kj}$  for  $i = j + 1 \dots N 1$ 3
- $\alpha_{ij} = \frac{1}{\beta_{jj}} \left( a_{ij} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \alpha_{ik} \beta_{kj} \right)$

We can store L and U in a single matrix since we do not need to explicitly store the zeroes:

Combined **LU** matrix = 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_{00} & \beta_{01} & \beta_{02} & \beta_{03} \\ \alpha_{10} & \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} & \beta_{13} \\ \alpha_{20} & \alpha_{21} & \beta_{22} & \beta_{23} \\ \alpha_{30} & \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \beta_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (19)

Furtherfore, each  $a_{ij}$  is referenced exactly once as we solve for each  $\alpha_{ij}$  or  $\beta_{ij}$ , so we can replace **A** "in place" as we go. Crout's "in place" modification algorithm is as follows:

```
1 for j = 0...N - 1

2 for i = 0...j

3 a_{ij} = a_{ij} - \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} a_{ik} a_{kj}

4 for i = j + 1...N - 1

5 a_{ij} = \frac{1}{a_{jj}} \left( a_{ij} - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} a_{ik} a_{kj} \right)
```

#### 1.1 Partial Pivoting

Line 5 of Crout's algorithm has a problem when  $\beta_{jj} \approx 0$ . We can use partial pivoting (row swapping) to avoid this situation as much as possible. We will actually store **LU** for a row-wise permutation of **A** and record how **A** is permuted.

Line 3 computes the  $\beta_{ij}$  values on and above the diagonal  $(i \leq j)$ . Line 5 computes the  $\alpha_{ij}$  values below the diagonal (i > j). Note that the expression in parentheses on Line 5 is the same as the expression on the right hand side of Line 3 when i = j (i.e., on the diagonal). Therefore, we can put off the division by  $\beta_{jj}$  on Line 5 and wait to see if one of the  $\alpha_{ij}$ 's below the diagonal would make a bettor pivot value; If so, we perform the row swap and go back and do the necessary divisions once the appropriate pivot value is in place. The array mutate $[0, \ldots, N-1]$  records row permutations (i.e., row i of LU equals row mutate [i] of A). The sign of d depends on the parity of the number of row exchanges (used for computing |A|).

```
0 mutate[] = \{0, \dots, N-1\}
                                                 // Initialize row permutation array (no row exchanges yet).
   d = +1
                                                // Initialize row swap parity value.
1
2
   for j = 0 ... N - 1
                                                // We replace A with LU column by column...
      for i = 0 \dots j

a_{ij} = a_{ij} - \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} a_{ik} a_{kj}
p = |a_{jj}|
3
                                                // Compute a_{ij} \leftarrow \beta_{ij} on and above diagonal.
4
                                                // (Note: If i = 0 then sum = 0.)
                                                // p = initial pivot value
5
      n = j
                                                // n = initial pivot row
6
       for i = j + 1 ... N - 1
                                                // Compute a_{ij} \leftarrow \alpha_{ij} below diagonal.
7
          a_{ij} = a_{ij} - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} a_{ik} a_{kj}
\mathbf{if} |a_{ij}| > p
8
9
                                                // If better pivot found...
10
              p = |a_{ij}|
                                                 // \dots then record new pivot.
11
              n = i
12
       if p = 0 abort!
                                                 // Singular matrix! If p \approx 0 we may have problems.
13
       if n \neq j
                                                 // If best pivot off diagonal...
14
          swap rows n and j of A
                                                 // ... (Note: previous pivots unaltered)
15
          swap mutate[n] and mutate[j] // \dots record row exchange
16
          d = -d
                                                 // ... flip parity
       for i = j + 1 \dots N - 1
17
                                                 // perform divisions below diagonal
18
          a_{ij} = a_{ij}/a_{jj}
```

## 2 Applications

### 2.1 Solving Ax = b for multiple right-hand sides

We can use the LU decomposition to solve for multiple systems of the form  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  where  $\mathbf{A}$  remains the same but  $\mathbf{b}$  changes. In fact, the  $\mathbf{b}$ 's do not need to known ahead of time. Given  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{U}$  we have

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \tag{20}$$

$$(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{U})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}. \tag{21}$$

We first solve

$$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b} \tag{22}$$

for y and then solve

$$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \tag{23}$$

for  $\mathbf{x}$ . Each triangular system can be easily solved. We solve Equation 22 via forward substitution (note that we must first permute  $\mathbf{b}$  to account for row exchanges):

$$y_0 = b_{\text{mutate}[0]},$$
  
 $y_i = b_{\text{mutate}[i]} - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \alpha_{ij} y_j \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1.$ 

Equation 23 is solved by back substitution:

$$x_{N-1} = \frac{y_{N-1}}{\beta_{N-1,N-1}},$$

$$x_i = \frac{1}{\beta_{ii}} \left( y_i - \sum_{j=i+1}^{N-1} \beta_{ij} x_j \right) \quad i = N-2, \dots, 0.$$

Note that the solution  $\mathbf{x}$  does <u>not</u> need to be permuted since it represents a linear combination of the *columns* of  $\mathbf{A}$ , but we only performed *row* exchanges (partial pivoting).

Crout's algorithm requires  $O(N^3)$  multiplications to perform LU decomposition. Forward and backsolving takes another  $O(N^2)$  multiplications for each right hand side. Gaussian elimination and back-solving requires  $O(N^3)$  operations. Solving a linear system via LU decomposition requires about a third of the operations needed via Gaussian elimination. In addition, we only need another  $O(N^2)$  operations to solve using a different **b** vector!

#### 2.1.1 Iterative Improvement

Given that  $\mathbf{x}$  is the *exact* solution to Equation 20, the above procedure yields only an approximate solution  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}$  due to limited precision arithmetic. If we multiply  $\mathbf{A}$  by our approximate we have

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \tag{24}$$

$$\mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b} + \delta \mathbf{b} \tag{25}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\delta\mathbf{x} = \delta\mathbf{b}.\tag{26}$$

Since we know **b** and we can compute  $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ , we can determine  $\delta \mathbf{b}$  as follows:

$$\delta \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b} \tag{27}$$

(note that right-hand side should be computed with higher precision). Then we can solve Equation 26 for  $\delta \mathbf{x}$  (using our LU decomposition). Our refined solution is then

$$\mathbf{x} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \delta \mathbf{x} \tag{28}$$

Lather, rinse, repeat as often as desired. Since we have already performed  $O(N^3)$  operations computing  $\mathbf{x}$ , why not spend another  $O(N^2)$  operations improving the solution?

#### 2.2 Matrix Inversion

In this case, you have N right hand sides:

$$\mathbf{AX} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (29)

### 2.3 Determinant

$$|\mathbf{A}| = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \beta_{jj} \tag{30}$$

Note that we must scale the result by d (which is  $\pm 1$ ) to account for row exchanges.