How to include climate impacts in macroeconomic growth models?

C. Otto^{a,*}, T. Geiger^a, K. Frieler^a

^aPotsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany

1. Setting

The production system in integrated assessment models (IAMs) is often described by an aggregate production function of Cobb-Douglas type

$$Y(\tau, T) \equiv \left(1 - D^{A}(\tau, T)\right) A(\tau) \left[\left(1 - D^{K}(\tau, T)\right) K(\tau) \right]^{\alpha} L(\tau)^{\alpha - 1},\tag{1}$$

where τ is the slow timescale of the IAM (e.g, decadal), and A,K,L,α denote total factor productivity (TFP), capital stock, labor, and the Cobb-Douglas coefficient, respectively. Further, D^A and D^K denote climate damages to A and K, which are functions of τ and the global mean temperature T.

In the following, we assume (w.l.o.g.) that climate extremes have an immediate impact on K, and have persistent impacts on A.

2. Empirical analysis

Following Bakkensen and Barrage (2018) and Hsiang and Jina (2014), we use panel regressions of the form

$$\ln(A_{j,t}) = \gamma_j + \delta_t + \theta_j t + \sum_{l=0}^{L} \beta_l \varepsilon_{j,t-l} + \epsilon_{j,t}$$
(2)

to capture the effects of climate extremes on county-level TFP $A_{j,t}$, where index j labels the countries, and all time-dependent observables are assumed to vary on the fast (discrete) timescale t of the bio-physical impacts (e.g., annual or monthly). In the above equation, the timeseries of TFP $A_{j,t}$ are de-trended log-linearly by accounting for country and year fixed-effects denoted by γ_j , and δ_t , respectively, as well as for country-specific time trends $\theta_j t$. The persistent effects of climate extremes on total factor productivity are measured by the coefficients β_l , where the index $l \in [0, \ldots, L]$ numbers the time-lags up the maximum lag-time L. Further, the $\varepsilon_{j,t-l}$'s denote the bio-physical hazard indicators (e.g., flooded area), and standard errors are denoted by $\epsilon_{i,t}$.

- For the statistics, it might be beneficial to use the same hazard indicator (e.g., affected people) for all impact categories. If this is not possible, we will have to sum the impacts on TFP across categories
- Is there an issue with the units of the coefficients β_l if we use different hazard indicators for the impact categories?

 $^{^* \\} Corresponding \ author$

3. Cumulative country level impacts

From Eq. (2), we may derive, the cumulative damages at time t for each realization of hazard indicators. For that, we first define the damage that is caused at time t in country j by a series of hazards $\{\varepsilon_{j,t-m}^i\}_{m=0}^L$ striking at timepoints $t-L,\ldots,t^1$ as

$$\delta_{j,t}^{A} \left(\{ \varepsilon_{j,t-m}^{i} \}_{m=0}^{L} \right) \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{L} \beta_{m} \sum_{i=0}^{I} \varepsilon_{j,t-m}^{i},$$

where index i numbers the events that affect country j at time t. Accounting for the persistence of damages, the cumulative damage to TFP at time $t \in [2005, 2100]$ reads

$$D_{j,t,d}^{A}\left(\{\varepsilon\}_{j,b,t}\right) \equiv 1 - \prod_{n=0}^{t} \left(1 - \delta_{j,t-n}^{A}\right),\,$$

where $\{\varepsilon\}_{j,t,d}$ denotes a realization $d\in\mathbb{N}_d$ of bio-physical hazards for entry j up to time t drawn from the ISIMIP impact ensemble. The ISIMIP2b modeling round includes \mathbb{N}_c of global impact models for each event category $c\in\mathbb{C}$. For each RCP-SSP-G combination, we may obtain a unique temperature path providing a bijective mapping from time t to global mean temperature $T\equiv T(t)$. For each of these temperature paths, we may then derive $\mathbb{N}_d\equiv\prod_{c\in\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{N}_c$ realization of bio-physical hazards from the ISIMIP simulations.

4. Temperature dependence of impacts

Let $\Delta T \equiv T(t_f) - T(t_0)$ with $t_f > t_0$ denote the interval of global mean temperature of interest. We then subdivide ΔT into N_b equidistant bins ΔT_b of width T/N_b . Since the mapping from time to global mean temperature is bijective, we obtain for each temperature bin and each realization $\{\varepsilon\}_{j,t,d}$ the associated set of cumulative damages as

$$\mathbb{D}_{i,d}^{A}(\Delta T_b) \equiv \{ D_{i,t,d}^{A} \mid t' \text{ for } T(t') \in \Delta T_b \}.$$

To obtain relative TFP reductions that can be plugged into the IAM production function (cf. Eq. (1)), we have to take ensemble averages over (i) the members of the set $\mathbb{D}_{j,d}^A(\Delta T_d)$ and (ii) the different realizations of biophysical hazards \mathbb{N}_d ,

$$\langle D_j^A \rangle (\Delta T_b) \equiv \langle \langle D_{j,t,d}^A \rangle_{\mathbb{N}_D} \rangle_{\mathbb{D}_j^A} (\Delta T_b). \tag{3}$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the ensemble average.

• Does the order in which we take the averages matter?

5. Regional integration

Since most IAMs operate on the level of world regions $r \in \mathbb{N}_r$, we have to average Eq. (3) over the countries j belonging to each region r (weighted by average population or GDP or asset stock³) in order to obtain damages $\langle D_r^A \rangle (\Delta T_b)$ for the time period associated with ΔT_b .

Bibliography

Bakkensen, L., Barrage, L., 2018. Climate Shocks and Economic Growth: Bridging the Micro-Macro Gap. 2019 Meeting Papers, 1–36.

URL https://ideas.repec.org/p/red/sed018/1198.html

Hsiang, S. M., Jina, A. S., 2014. The causal effect of environmental catastrophe on long-run economic growth: Evidence from 6,700 cyclones. Tech. rep., National Bureau of Economic Research.

 $^{^{1}}$ This is not a good description of this term.

²Is this true?

³To be discussed!