

- $(\alpha, \beta)$  strategie ammissibili ( $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}$ ) sc
  - $\alpha, \beta$  sono predibili
  - $(\alpha, \beta)$  autotinanz.
- $(\alpha, \beta)$  autotin.



$$(*) V_n = V_{n-1} (1 + r_n) + \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_n^i S_{n-1}^i (\mu_n^i - r_n) \quad \forall n = 1, \dots, N$$

$V_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$

dim |  $V_n - V_{n-1} = \alpha_n \cdot (S_n - S_{n-1})$

$$+ \beta_n (B_n - B_{n-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_n^i \mu_n^i S_{n-1}^i + \underbrace{\beta_n r_n B_{n-1}}_{\parallel \text{ (autotinanz.)}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_n^i (\mu_n^i - r_n) S_{n-1}^i + r_n V_{n-1} \quad \#$$

- Dati  $V_0$  e  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1, \dots, N}$ ,  $(V_n)_{n=1, \dots, N}$

è determinato.

proposizione | Dato  $V_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  e  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1, \dots, N}$   
 a valori in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , predibile,  $\exists \beta = (\beta_n)_n$

t.c.  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{F}$  con  $V_0^{(\alpha, \beta)} = V_0$ .

dim  $B_n := \frac{V_{n-1} - \alpha_n \cdot S_{n-1}}{B_{n-1}}$ , dove

$V_{n-1}$  e dato da (\*) #

$\in m Y_{n-1}$

- Data  $(\alpha_n)_{n=1,\dots,N}$ ,  $V_0 \longrightarrow (\beta_n)_{n=1,\dots,N}$

in particolare  $V_0 = \alpha_1 S_0 + \beta_1$

- NUMERAIRE: un titolo di riferimento  $y$  rispetto al quale scontiamo gli altri titoli.

- Dato  $y \in \{S^1, \dots, S^d, B\}$ ,

$$\tilde{S}_n^i := \frac{S_n^i}{y_n}, \quad i=1, \dots, d; \quad \tilde{B}_n := \frac{B_n}{y_n}$$

- Scelta comune:  $y = B$



$$\tilde{S}_n^i = \frac{S_n^i}{B_n}, \quad \tilde{B}_n = 1$$

- Data  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}$ , definiamo

$$\tilde{V}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \tilde{V}_n := \frac{V_n}{B_n} = \alpha_n \cdot \tilde{S}_n + \beta_n$$

- In particolare:

$(\alpha, \beta)$  è autofin.

$\Updownarrow$  def

$$\frac{V_{n-1}}{B_{n-1}} = \alpha_n \cdot \frac{S_{n-1}}{B_{n-1}} + \beta_n \frac{B_{n-1}}{B_{n-1}}$$

$\Updownarrow$

$$\tilde{V}_{n-1} = \alpha_n \cdot \tilde{S}_{n-1} + \beta_n$$

- Anche:

$$\tilde{V}_n - \tilde{V}_{n-1} = \alpha_n \cdot (\tilde{S}_n - \tilde{S}_{n-1})$$

- Data  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$V_N - V_0 = \sum_{k=1}^N V_k - V_{k-1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \alpha_k \cdot (S_k - S_{k-1}) + \beta_k B_{k-1} \right)$$

$$= : \mathcal{G}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \quad \leftarrow \text{guadagno totale}$$

- $\mathcal{G}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} := V_n - V_0 \quad \forall n$
- $\tilde{V}_n - \tilde{V}_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n (\tilde{V}_k - \tilde{V}_{k-1})$  dipende solo da  $\alpha$
- $V_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \cdot (\tilde{S}_k - \tilde{S}_{k-1}) =: G_n^{(\alpha)}$

Oss.  $G_n^{(\alpha)} \neq \frac{\mathcal{G}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}}{B_n}$

## • Arbitraggi:

• P.N.A. :  $X_N = Y_N$  certam.  $\Rightarrow X_n = Y_n$  cert.  $\forall n < N$

def.  $(\alpha, \beta) \in A$  si dice un arbitraggio se

- $V_0 = 0$  (certamente)
- $V_{\bar{n}} \geq 0$  P.q.c. per un certo  $\bar{n} \in \{1, \dots, N\}$
- $P(V_{\bar{n}} > 0) > 0$

def. Un mercato  $(S, B)$  si dice arbitrage-free se  $\nexists$  arbitraggi.

Proposizione  $\exists (\alpha, \beta)$  ARBITRAGGIO

$\exists (\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})$  ARBITRAGGIO t.c.

(ii)'  $V_n^{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})} \geq 0$  IP-q.c.  $\forall n = 1, \dots, N$

dim ]  $\leq$  ipotesi:  $V_0^{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})} = 0$ ,  $\exists \bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$  t.c.

$$\begin{cases} V_{\bar{n}}^{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})} \geq 0 & \text{IP-q.c.} \\ \mathbb{P}(V_{\bar{n}}^{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})} > 0) > 0 \end{cases}$$

assumiamo  $\bar{n} = N$ , SENZA PERDERE GENERALITÀ

$\Gamma$   $\bar{n} < N$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\bar{n}+1} := 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\beta}_{\bar{n}+1} \stackrel{(\exists)}{=} \frac{V_{\bar{n}}}{B_{\bar{n}}}$   
 ↗  
 autofinanz.

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\bar{n}+1} = V_{\bar{n}} \cdot \left[ \frac{B_{\bar{n}+1}}{B_{\bar{n}}} \right]_{>0} \geq 0$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\bar{n}+2} := 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\beta}_{\bar{n}+2} = \frac{\tilde{V}_{\bar{n}+1}}{B_{\bar{n}+1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\bar{n}+2} = \tilde{V}_{\bar{n}+1} \cdot \left[ \frac{B_{\bar{n}+2}}{B_{\bar{n}+1}} \right]_{>0} \geq 0$$

$$\tilde{V}_N = \tilde{V}_{N-1} \cdot \left[ \frac{B_N}{B_{N-1}} \right]_{>0} \geq 0$$

• Se vale (ii)' niente da provare, altrimenti

$\exists \ k < N$  t.c.  $\exists F \in \mathcal{F}_k : P(F) > 0$  e

$$\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} V_k = \alpha_k S_k + \beta_k B_k < 0 \text{ su } F \\ V_n = \alpha_n S_n + \beta_n B_n \geq 0 \quad k < n \leq N \end{array} \right. \\ \text{q.c.} \end{array}$$

Definiamo:

$$- \bar{\alpha}_n = \bar{\beta}_n \equiv 0 \quad \forall n = 1, \dots, k$$

$$(V_n^{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})} \equiv 0 \quad \forall n = 1, \dots, k)$$

$$- \bar{\alpha}_n := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{su } F^c \\ \alpha_n & \text{su } F \end{cases} \quad \forall n = k+1, \dots, N$$

$$- \bar{\beta}_n := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{su } F^c \\ ? & \text{su } F \end{cases} \quad \forall n = k+1, \dots, N$$

• su  $F$ :

$$\bar{\beta}_{k+1} = \frac{1}{B_k} \left( \underbrace{V_k^{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})}}_{0} - \underbrace{\bar{\alpha}_{k+1} S_k}_{\alpha_{k+1}} \right) = - \frac{\alpha_{k+1} \cdot S_k}{B_k}$$

$$= \frac{-\alpha_{k+1} \cdot S_k - \bar{\beta}_{k+1} B_k + \beta_{k+1} B_k}{B_k}$$

$$= \frac{-V_K^{(\alpha, \beta)} + \beta_{K+1} B_K}{B_K} = -\frac{V_K^{(\alpha, \beta)}}{B_K} + \beta_{K+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow V_{K+1}^{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \bar{\alpha}_{K+1} \cdot S_{K+1} + \bar{\beta}_{K+1} B_{K+1}$$

$$= \bar{\alpha}_{K+1} \cdot S_{K+1} + \beta_{K+1} B_{K+1}$$

$$- V_K^{(\alpha, \beta)} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{B_{K+1}}{B_K}}_{\geq 0} > 0$$

$$= V_{K+1}^{(\alpha, \beta)} - V_K^{(\alpha, \beta)} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{B_{K+1}}{B_K}}_{\geq 0} \geq 0$$

• Reiterando, su F:

$$\forall n = K+1, \dots, N, \quad \bar{\beta}_n = \beta_n - \frac{V_{n-1}^{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})} - V_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}}{B_{n-1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow V_n^{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})} = V_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} + (V_{n-1}^{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})} - V_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}) \frac{B_n}{B_{n-1}}$$

$$= \underbrace{V_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}}_{\geq 0} - \underbrace{V_K^{(\alpha, \beta)} \cdot \frac{B_n}{B_K}}_{\geq 0} \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow V_n^{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})} \geq 0 \quad \text{P-q.c.} \quad \forall n = 1, \dots, N \quad \#$$

## Misura Martingala equivalente:

### Modelli uniperiodali:

- $\exists!$   $\mathbb{Q}$  neutrale al rischio  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  prezzo di replicaz.
- $\exists$  più  $\mathbb{Q}$  neutrali al rischio  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$   $\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{P}' \parallel \mathbb{P}''$

Oss |  $\mathbb{Q}$  era la soluzione di

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &\stackrel{(\Delta)}{=} B_1^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [S_1] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [\tilde{S}_1] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [\tilde{S}_1 | \gamma_0] \\ (\text{q}, 1-q, 2 \text{ eventi}) & \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad (\mathcal{S}, \emptyset) \end{aligned}$$

$(\Delta) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{S}$  è una martingale

def | Una "misura martingala equivalente (EMM)"

rispetto ad un numeraire  $\gamma$ " è una probabilità  $\mathbb{Q}$  su  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F})$  t.c.:

(i)  $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$  (equivalente)

(ii)  $\tilde{S}^u, \tilde{S}^d, \tilde{B}$  (rispetto a  $\gamma$ )

Sono martingale secondo  $\mathbb{Q}$

• (i)  $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \left( P(A) = 0 \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\Leftrightarrow} \mathbb{Q}(A) = 0 \right)$

• (ii) significa:

$$\tilde{S}_n^i = \frac{S_n^i}{Y_n} = \mathbb{E}^Q \left[ \frac{S_{n+1}^i}{Y_{n+1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_n \right] = \mathbb{E}^Q \left[ \tilde{S}_{n+1}^i \mid \mathcal{F}_n \right]$$

$i = 1, \dots, d$

$$\tilde{B}_n = \frac{B_n}{Y_n} = \mathbb{E}^Q \left[ \frac{B_{n+1}}{Y_{n+1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_n \right] = \mathbb{E}^Q \left[ \tilde{B}_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n \right]$$

↓ (Se  $Y = B$ )

$$B_k^{-1} \cdot S_k^i = \underbrace{\left( B_k^{-1} \right)}_{\text{deterministico}} \mathbb{E}^Q [ S_n^i \mid \mathcal{F}_k ] \quad k < n$$

↓ ( $k = 0$ )

$$S_0^i = B_0^{-1} \mathbb{E}^Q [ S_0^i ]$$

**N.B.**

(i) è l'unico legame tra  $P$  e  $Q$

**teorema** | (FIRST FUND. TH. OF ASSET PRICING - FFTAP)

Un mercato discreto  $(S, B)$  è ARBITRAGE-FREE  $\Leftrightarrow \exists Q$  E.M.M.

rISPETTO ad un certo numeraire

• cambi di misura:  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  sp. di probabilità e  $X$  v.a t.c.

$$X \geq 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-q.c.}, \quad \mathbb{E}[X] = 1$$

↓

la  $\mathbb{P}^X$  definita da

$$\mathbb{P}^X(A) := \int_A X \, d\mathbb{P}, \quad A \in \mathcal{F}$$

è una probabilità su  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F})$

La funz.  $X$  si chiama DERIVATA DI RADON - NIKODYM di  $\mathbb{P}^X$  risp. a  $\mathbb{P}$ .

$$\left( X =: \frac{d\mathbb{P}^X}{d\mathbb{P}} \right)$$

• analogia:  $dx$  misura di Lebesgue

$$f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} f = 1, \quad f \geq 0$$

$$\mu(A) := \int_A f(x) \, dx, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}$$

$\mu \in \mathcal{AC}$  con densità  $f$

teorema

Sia  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})$  mercato discreto, e  $\mathbb{Q}$

E.M.M. risp. a  $\gamma$ . Per ogni  $X \in \{S^1, -, S^d, B\}$

positivo, la misura  $\mathbb{Q}^X$  data da

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^X}{d\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{x_n}{x_0} \left( \frac{y_n}{y_0} \right)^{-1} \quad \text{è t.c.}$$

$$y_n \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \frac{z}{y_n} \mid \mathcal{F}_n \right] = x_n \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^X} \left[ \frac{z}{x_n} \mid \mathcal{F}_n \right]$$

A  $n \leq N$  A  $z$  v.a

corollario

$\mathbb{Q}^X$  è E.M.M. risp. a  $X$ .