Manuscript Title

This manuscript (<u>permalink</u>) was automatically generated from <u>taylorreiter/2021-paper-ibd@aee3f2c</u> on November 24, 2021.

Authors

• Taylor Elaine Reiter

ⓑ 0000-0002-7388-421X · **۞** taylorreiter · **У** ReiterTaylor

Department of Population Health and Reproduction, UC Davis · Funded by Grant XXXXXXXX

• Luiz Irber

© 0000-0003-4371-9659 · ○ luizirber · У luizirber

 $\textit{Graduate Group in Computer Science, UC Davis; Department of Population Health and Reproduction, UC Davis \\ \cdot \\ \textit{Funded by Grant XXXXXXXX}$

• Phillip T. Brooks

Department of Population Health and Reproduction, UC Davis

· Amy D. Willis

© 0000-0002-2802-4317 · ○ ctb · ➤ AmyDWillis

Department of Biostatistics, UW

C. Titus Brown

D 0000-0001-6001-2677 ⋅ **C** ctb

Department of Population Health and Reproduction, UC Davis

Introduction

Sub-species groupings of microorganisms have functional differences that govern important genome-environment interactions across diverse ecosystems. For example, ecotypes of Escherichia coli have different gene complements that allow each group to thrive in diverse environments like the gut, soil, and freshwater [PNAS. 2011;108: 7200–7205]. Metagenomic sequencing data from communities of microorganisms contain information about specific strains present in a sample, but strain-resolved insights are lacking due to incomplete references or inability of current tools to retrieve such information (CITATIONS). Here we use *strain* to refer to within-species variation that generates taxonomic grouping below the species level.

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a spectrum of diseases characterized by chronic inflammation of the intestines that is likely caused by host-mediated inflammatory responses elicited in part by microorganisms [kostic2014?]. IBD is cyclical with periods of active disease and remission. IBD manifests in three subtypes depending on clinical presentation, including Crohn's disease (CD), which presents as discontinuous patches of inflammation throughout the gastrointestinal tract, ulcerative colitis (UC), which presents as continuous inflammation isolated to the colon, and undetermined, which cannot be distinguished as CD or UC. Diagnosis is often clinically difficult, with ramifications associated with over- or under-treatment that lead to decreased patient well-being. Detection of microbial signatures associated with IBD subtype may lead to improved diagnostic criteria and therapeutics that extend periods of remission. However, such a signature has thus far remained elusive [kumar2019integrating?].

The microbiome of CD and UC is heterogeneous, and studies that characterize the microbiome often produce conflicting results. This is likely in part driven by large inter- and intra-individual variation [lloyd2019?], but is also attributable to non-standardized laboratory, sequencing, and analysis techniques used to profile the gut microbiome [kumar2019integrating?]. Dysbiosis is frequently observed in IBD, particularly in CD

[kang2010dysbiosis?,machiels2014decrease?,lewis2015?,moustafa2018?,qin2010?], however dysbiosis alone is not a signature of IBD [lloyd2019?]. Dysbiosis is defined as a decrease in gut microbial diversity that results in an imbalance between protective and harmful microorganisms, leading to intestinal inflammation [weiss2017mechanisms?].

Strain-level differences may account for some heterogeneity in IBD gut microbiome profiles. A recent investigation of time-series gut microbiome metagenomes found that one clade of *Ruminococcus gnavus* is enriched in CD [hall2017?]. Further, this clade produces an inflammatory polysaccharide [henke2019ruminococcus?]. While this clade is enriched in CD, its enrichment was previously masked from computational discovery by concomitant decreases in other *Ruminococcus* species in IBD [hall2017?], highlighting the need for strain-resolved analysis of metagenomic sequencing in the exploration of IBD gut microbiomes.

Given these features of the IBD gut microbiome, strain-resolved analysis may improve insights into the XXX of these communities. The two biggest obstacles to strain-level analysis of short read data are data getting thrown away, either because it's not in reference databases or because it doesn't assemble or bin, and resolving genomes from communities with mixed populations of closely related but distinct genomes. While long reads have made strides toward resolving the latter issue (CITE: Bickhart), in habitats like the gut where communities are dominated by single strains of microbes (CITE: bork lab paper), the largest barrier to strain-level analysis is using all of the data. Here, we combine k-mer-based analysis with assembly graphs to not throw away the data.

K-mers, words of length k in nucleotide sequences, have previously been used for annotation-free characterization of sequencing data

[sheppard2013genome?,dubinkina2016assessment?,standage2019kevlar?]. K-mers are suitable for strain-resolved metagenome analysis because they do not need to be present in reference databases to be included in analysis, they do not rely on marker genes which are largely conserved at the strain level, and they are suitable for species- and strain-level classification (CITE: metapallete, gather). Investigating all k-mers in metagenomes is more computationally intensive than reference-based approaches [benoit2016multiple?]., by data-reduction techniques like scaled MinHash sketching make k-mer-based analysis scalable to large-scale sequence comparisons [pierce2019?,rowe2019levee?]. MinHash sketching sacrifices the fine-scaled resolution of reference-based techniques but is representative of the full sequencing sample and complete databases, including strain-variable accessory elements that may be associated with diseases.

Assembly graphs complement sketch-based analysis [brown2020exploring?,jaillard2018fast?]. While both k-mers and assembly graphs can be used to represent all sequences contained within a metagenome, assembly graphs retain important sequencing context and known functional and taxonomic annotations, recovering critical information lost through the MinHash sketching approach. While assembly graphs have been leveraged in metagenome analyses [12, 13], their large size precluded analysis at scale. The spacegraphcats tool is designed to tackle this issue, encoding algorithms that scalably reduce the size of an assembly graph, enabling efficient querying and sequence retrieval (CITE SGC). These algorithms center around dominating sets, a subset of nodes that ensure that every node in the assembly graph is at most distance one from a node in the dominating set. Dominating sets partition the graph into pieces by assigning every node to exactly one of the closest nodes in the dominating set (CITE SGC). This simplified graph enables efficient queries: querying with a sequence that overlaps at least one k-mer in a compact de Bruijn graph (cDBG) node returns all k-mers (or all reads containing those k-mers) from the graph piece. We refer to sequences retrieved by a graph query as neighborhoods (CITE SGC). Genome queries often recover sequences not in reference databases or *de novo* assemblies, which disproportionately include sequences from low coverage regions or highly variable portions of the graph (CITE SGC). When a query has a Jaccard similarity between 10-2 and 10-3, 20-40% of a target genome sequence is recovered from a metagenome query (CITE SGC). This jumps to >80% when Jaccard similarity exceeds 10-1 (CITE SGC).

Here we use k-mer- and assembly graph-based techniques to perform a meta-cohort analysis of six studies of IBD gut metagenome cohorts comprising 260 CD, 132 UC and 213 healthy controls (see Table @ref(tab:cohorts)) [<u>lloyd2019?,lewis2015?,hall2017?,franzosa2019?,gevers2014?,qin2010?</u>]. SUMMARY OF TECHNIQUES WE BUILT? 1. LOOCV on scaled MinHash signatures from quality controlled metagenome data 2. Identification of consistent cross-study and cross-model signatures 3. Gather to anchor to genomes 4. SGC R1 nbhd queries to recover variable regions not in reference databases, and unite all the retrieved sequences under an organizing umbrella species 5. SGC R10 metapangenome graphs 6. Dominating set differential abundance analysis We demonstrate a weak but consistent signature of IBD subtype in fecal microbiome metagenomes. Only a small subset of all k-mers are predictive of UC and CD, and these k-mers originate from a core set of microbial genomes. We find that stochastic loss of diversity in this core set of microbial genomes is a hallmark of CD, and to a lesser extent, UC. While reduced diversity is responsible for the majority of disease signatures, we find signatures of strain enrichment in disease. Genes presumably associated with these strains occur more frequently in IBD metagenomes but are present in low abundance in nonIBD as well. Our findings highlight the need for strain-level analysis of metagenomic data sets, and provide future avenues for research into IBD therapeutics.

Methods

All code associated with our analyses is available at www.github.com/dib-lab/2020-ibd/.

IBD metagenome data acquisition and processing

We searched the NCBI Sequence Read Archive and BioProject databases for shotgun metagenome studies that sequenced fecal samples from humans with Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, and healthy controls. We included studies sequenced on Illumina platforms with paired-end chemistries and with sample libraries that contained greater than one million reads. For time series intervention cohorts, we selected the first time point to ensure all metagenomes came from treatment-naive subjects.

We downloaded metagenomic FASTQ files from the European Nucleotide Archive using the "fastq_ftp" link and concatenated fast files annotated as the same library into single files. We also downloaded iHMP samples from idbmdb.org. We used Trimmomatic (version 0.39) to adapter trim reads using all default Trimmomatic paired-end adapter sequences (ILLUMINACLIP:

{inputs/adapters.fa}:2:0:15) and lightly quality-trimmed the reads (MINLEN:31 LEADING:2 TRAILING:2 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:2) [bolger2014?]. We then removed human DNA using BBMap and a masked version of hg19 [bushnell2014?]. Next, we trimmed low-abundance k-mers from sequences with high coverage using khmer's trim-low-abund.py [crusoe2015?].

Using these trimmed reads, we generated scaled MinHash signatures for each library using sourmash (k-size 31, scaled 2000, abundance tracking on) [brown2016?]. Scaled MinHash sketching produces compressed representations of k-mers in a metagenome while retaining the sequence diversity in a sample [pierce2019?]. This approach creates a consistent set of k-mers across samples by retaining the same k-mers when the same k-mers were observed. This enables comparisons between metagenomes. We refer to scaled MinHash sketches as *signatures*, and to each sub-sampled k-mer in a signature as a *k-mer*. At a scaled value of 2000, an average of one k-mer will be detected in each 2000 base pair window, and 99.8% of 10,000 base pair windows will have at least one k-mer representative. We selected a k-mer size of 31 because of its species-level specificity [koslicki2016?]. We retained all k-mers that were present in multiple samples.

Principle Coordinates Analysis

We used jaccard distance and cosine distance implemented in sourmash compare to pairwise compare scaled MinHash signatures. We then used the dist() function in base R to compute distance matrices. We used the cmdscale() function to perform principle coordinate analysis [gower1966?]. We used ggplot2 and ggMarginal to visualize the principle coordinate analysis [wickham2019?]. To test for sources of variation in these distance matrices, we performed PERMANOVA using the adonis function in the R vegan package [oksanen2010?]. The PERMANOVA was modeled as ~ diagnosis + study accession + library size + number of k-mers.

Random forests classifiers

We built random forests classifiers to predict CD, UC, and non-IBD status using scaled MinHash signatures. We transformed sourmash signatures into a k-mer (hash) abundance table where each metagenome was a sample, each k-mer was a feature, and abundances were recorded for each k-mer for each sample. We normalized abundances by dividing by the total number of k-mers in each scaled MinHash signature. We then used a leave-one-study-out validation approach where we trained six models, each of which was trained on five studies and validated on the sixth. We built each model six times, each time using a different random seed. To build each model, we first performed vita variable selection on the training set as implemented in the Pomona and ranger packages [degenhardt2017?,wright2015?]. Vita variable selection reduces the number of variables (e.g. k-mers)

to a smaller set of predictive variables through selection of variables with high cross-validated permutation variable importance [janitza2018?]. It is based on permutation of variable importance, where p-values for variable importance are calculated against a null distribution that is built from variables that are estimated as non-important [janitza2018?]. This approach retains important variables that are correlated [janitza2018?,seifert2019?], which is desirable in omics-settings where correlated features are often involved in a coordinated biological response, e.g. part of the same operon, pathways, or genome [stuart2003gene?,sabatti2002co?]. Using this smaller set of k-mers, we then built an optimized random forests model using tuneRanger [probst2019?]. We evaluated each validation set using the optimal model, and extracted variable importance measures for each k-mer for subsequent analysis. To make variable importance measures comparable across models, we normalized importance to 1 by dividing variable importance by the total number of k-mers in a model and the total number of models.

Anchoring predictive k-mers to genomes

We used sourmash gather with parameters k 31 and --scaled 2000 to anchor predictive k-mers to known genomes [brown2016?]. Sourmash gather searches a database of known k-mers for matches with a query (CITE: gather paper). We used the sourmash GTDB rs202 representatives data base (https://osf.io/w4bcm/download). To calculate the cumulative variable importance attributable to a single genome, we used an iterative winner-takes-all approach. The genome with the largest fraction of predictive k-mers won the variable importance for all k-mers contained within its genome. These k-mers were then removed, and we repeated the process for the genome with the next largest fraction of predictive k-mers. To genomes that were predictive in all models, we took the union of predictive genomes from the 36 models. We filtered this set of genomes to contain only those genomes with a cumulative normalized variable importance greater than 1%.

R dominating sets

The original spacegraphcats publication defined the dominating set as a set of nodes in the cDBG such that every node is a distance-1 neighbor of a node in the dominating set (CITE: SGC). However, the algorithms as implemented allow this distance to be flexible and tunable (CITE: SGC). We refer to the largest distance that any node may be from a member of the dominating set as the *radius*, *R*. Increasing the radius increases the average piece size while reducing the total number of pieces in the graph.

Genome neighborhood queries with spacegraphcats

To recover sequence variation associated with genomes that were correlated with IBD subtype, we used spacegraphcats search to retrieve k-mers in the compact de Bruijn graph neighborhood of each genomes (k = 31, R = 1) [brown2020exploring?]. We then used spacegraphcats extract_reads to retrieve the reads and extract_contigs to retrieve unitigs in the compact de Bruijn graph that contained those k-mers, respectively.

Construction of the metapangenome graph

After retrieving genome neighborhood sequences from each metagenome, we combined these sequences to build a single metapangenome graph (R = 10, k = 31). We increased the radius size of the metapangenome graph to produce larger level 1 dominating set pieces and to overcome highly articulated cDBGs resulting from an abundance of sequencing data. While working with single-species metapangenome graphs from many metagenomes reduced the graph size compared working with

complete metapangenome graphs, we performed two preprocessing steps prior to the metapangenome graph generation. We combined all genome query neighborhood reads and performed digital normalization and then truncated reads at k-mer that was not present in the dataset at least 4 time These are heuristic steps that we believe are unlikely to remove biologically important sequences.

Annotating the metapangenome graph

TBD on if the PFAM stuff works.

Calculating abundances metagenome abundances of dominating set nodes in the metapangenom graph

We calculated k-mer abundances for each graph piece in the level 1 dominating set.

Performing dominating set differential abundance analysis

We used Corncob to perform dominating set differential abundance analysis [18]. Corncob tests for differential relative abundance in the presence of variable sequencing depth and excessive zeroes for unobserved observations, conditions which occur in abundances from dominating sets [18]. To focus on the most common sequencing variants and to reduce runtimes, we first filtered to dominating set pieces that were present in at least 100 (16.5%) metagenomes; corncob fits a model to each dominating set piece, so it does not require abundance information for all pieces. We performed differential abundance testing using the bbdml() function using a likelihood ratio test with formula = ~ study_accession + diagnosis and formula_null = ~study_accession. We estimated the number of k-mers in the quality controlled metagenome reads using ntcard and used this as the denominator. We performed Bonferroni p value correction and used a significance cut off of 0.05.

Metapangenome analysis

TBD

References