

Datasheet for the Women's Activities in Armed Rebellion (WAAR) Project Dataset*

Chloe Thierstein

24 March 2023

Datasheet for the Women's Activities in Armed Rebellion (WAAR) Project dataset (Loken and Matfess 2023) created using the R statistical programming language (R Core Team 2020). Information comes from Loken and Matfess (2023)'s paper and Loken and Matfess (n.d.)'s website. The questions utilized within this datasheet come from Gebru et al. (2021).

Table of contents

Motivation	2
Composition	2
Collection process	5
Preprocessing/cleaning/labeling	6
Uses	7
Distribution	8
Maintenance	9
Acknowledgements	10
References	11

*Code is available at: https://github.com/cthierst/WAAR_Project_Datasheet.git and the dataset is available at: <https://www.waarproject.com/dataset>

Motivation

1. *For what purpose was the dataset created? Was there a specific task in mind? Was there a specific gap that needed to be filled? Please provide a description.*
 - The dataset was created to enable analysis of women's participation in rebel organizations. This includes the presences and prevalence measures across combat, non-combat and leadership roles along with disaggregated measure of participation types.
2. *Who created the dataset (for example, which team, research group) and on behalf of which entity (for example, company, institution, organization)?*
 - Meredith Loken and Hilary Matfess as principal investigators and Jenna Noroskyas a researcher.
3. *Who funded the creation of the dataset? If there is an associated grant, please provide the name of the grantor and the grant name and number.*
 - Funding was received from the United States Institute of Peace's Peace Scholar Fellowship Program 2020-2021 in the amount of \$20,000, and the American Political Science Association Women, Gender and Politics Research Section in the amount of \$1,000.
4. *Any other comments?*
 - No.

Composition

1. *What do the instances that comprise the dataset represent (for example, documents, photos, people, countries)? Are there multiple types of instances (for example, movies, users, and ratings; people and interactions between them; nodes and edges)? Please provide a description.*
 - Each row of the dataset represents an individual armed rebellion group and information about that group.
2. *How many instances are there in total (of each type, if appropriate)?*
 - There are 373 instances.

3. *Does the dataset contain all possible instances or is it a sample (not necessarily random) of instances from a larger set? If the dataset is a sample, then what is the larger set? Is the sample representative of the larger set (for example, geographic coverage)? If so, please describe how this representativeness was validated/verified. If it is not representative of the larger set, please describe why not (for example, to cover a more diverse range of instances, because instances were withheld or unavailable).*
 - All armed rebellion groups investigated are present within the dataset.
4. *What data does each instance consist of? “Raw” data (for example, unprocessed text or images) or features? In either case, please provide a description.*
 - Each instance consists of geographical information such as country and overseeing governmental entity. Each instance also includes biographical information such as participation, combative nature, and coalition identification.
5. *Is there a label or target associated with each instance? If so, please provide a description.*
 - Yes, there is a unique name for each instance that refers to the armed-rebellion organization.
6. *Is any information missing from individual instances? If so, please provide a description, explaining why this information is missing (for example, because it was unavailable). This does not include intentionally removed information, but might include, for example, redacted text.*
 - Yes, full biographical information is not available in all cases.
7. *Are relationships between individual instances made explicit (for example, users’ movie ratings, social network links)? If so, please describe how these relationships are made explicit.*
 - No, coalition association between groups is not explicit.
8. *Are there recommended data splits (for example, training, development/validation, testing)? If so, please provide a description of these splits, explaining the rationale behind them.*
 - No.
9. *Are there any errors, sources of noise, or redundancies in the dataset? If so, please provide a description.*
 - Acronyms and full rebel group names in English are both provided. This is redundant in the dataset.

10. *Is the dataset self-contained, or does it link to or otherwise rely on external resources (for example, websites, tweets, other datasets)? If it links to or relies on external resources, a) are there guarantees that they will exist, and remain constant, over time; b) are there official archival versions of the complete dataset (that is, including the external resources as they existed at the time the dataset was created); c) are there any restrictions (for example, licenses, fees) associated with any of the external resources that might apply to a dataset consumer? Please provide descriptions of all external resources and any restrictions associated with them, as well as links or other access points, as appropriate.*
 - It is self-contained.
11. *Does the dataset contain data that might be considered confidential (for example, data that is protected by legal privilege or by doctor-patient confidentiality, data that includes the content of individuals' non-public communications)? If so, please provide a description.*
 - No, the data is not considered confidential and is available publicly on their [website](#).
12. *Does the dataset contain data that, if viewed directly, might be offensive, insulting, threatening, or might otherwise cause anxiety? If so, please describe why.*
 - This dataset may cause anxiety surrounding women involvement in armed rebellions.
13. *Does the dataset identify any sub-populations (for example, by age, gender)? If so, please describe how these subpopulations are identified and provide a description of their respective distributions within the dataset.*
 - No.
14. *Is it possible to identify individuals (that is, one or more natural persons), either directly or indirectly (that is, in combination with other data) from the dataset? If so, please describe how.*
 - No.
15. *Does the dataset contain data that might be considered sensitive in any way (for example, data that reveals race or ethnic origins, sexual orientations, religious beliefs, political opinions or union memberships, or locations; financial or health data; biometric or genetic data; forms of government identification, such as social security numbers; criminal history)? If so, please provide a description.*
 - The dataset contains sensitive information such as affiliation with armed rebellion groups, however, no individual information of members is i
16. *Any other comments?*
 - No.

Collection process

1. *How was the data associated with each instance acquired? Was the data directly observable (for example, raw text, movie ratings), reported by subjects (for example, survey responses), or indirectly inferred/derived from other data (for example, part-of-speech tags, model-based guesses for age or language)? If the data was reported by subjects or indirectly inferred/derived from other data, was the data validated/verified? If so, please describe how.*
 - The data used in the dataset came from the [UCDP Dyadic Dataset v1.2015](#).
2. *What mechanisms or procedures were used to collect the data (for example, hardware apparatuses or sensors, manual human curation, software programs, software APIs)? How were these mechanisms or procedures validated?*
 - Unknown.
3. *If the dataset is a sample from a larger set, what was the sampling strategy (for example, deterministic, probabilistic with specific sampling probabilities)?*
 - Organizations in the data were sampled from the [UCDP Dyadic Dataset v1.2015](#).
4. *Who was involved in the data collection process (for example, students, crowdworkers, contractors) and how were they compensated (for example, how much were crowdworkers paid)?*
 - Meredith Loken, Hilary Matfess, and Jenna Norosky. Compensation is unknown.
5. *Over what timeframe was the data collected? Does this timeframe match the creation timeframe of the data associated with the instances (for example, recent crawl of old news articles)? If not, please describe the timeframe in which the data associated with the instances was created.*
 - The project began in 2021 although data was collected from 1946-2015.
6. *Were any ethical review processes conducted (for example, by an institutional review board)? If so, please provide a description of these review processes, including the outcomes, as well as a link or other access point to any supporting documentation.*
 - Although there was no formal ethical review process, due to the nature of the data collection, “desk research” does come with specific ethical benefits. These benefits resulted in a less precise data as it only demonstrates women’s participation that is visible in available sources and not on any of the lived experiences expressed by these women.

7. *Did you collect the data from the individuals in question directly, or obtain it via third parties or other sources (for example, websites)?*
 - Data was collected from third parties and other sources.
8. *Were the individuals in question notified about the data collection? If so, please describe (or show with screenshots or other information) how notice was provided, and provide a link or other access point to, or otherwise reproduce, the exact language of the notification itself.*
 - No.
9. *Did the individuals in question consent to the collection and use of their data? If so, please describe (or show with screenshots or other information) how consent was requested and provided, and provide a link or other access point to, or otherwise reproduce, the exact language to which the individuals consented.*
 - No.
10. *If consent was obtained, were the consenting individuals provided with a mechanism to revoke their consent in the future or for certain uses? If so, please provide a description, as well as a link or other access point to the mechanism (if appropriate).*
 - Consent was not obtained.
11. *Has an analysis of the potential impact of the dataset and its use on data subjects (for example, a data protection impact analysis) been conducted? If so, please provide a description of this analysis, including the outcomes, as well as a link or other access point to any supporting documentation.*
 - No.
12. *Any other comments?*
 - No.

Preprocessing/cleaning/labeling

1. *Was any preprocessing/cleaning/labeling of the data done (for example, discretization or bucketing, tokenization, part-of-speech tagging, SIFT feature extraction, removal of instances, processing of missing values)? If so, please provide a description. If not, you may skip the remaining questions in this section.*
 - Yes, cleaning was performed such as merging data.

2. *Was the “raw” data saved in addition to the preprocessed/cleaned/labeled data (for example, to support unanticipated future uses)? If so, please provide a link or other access point to the “raw” data.*
 - The raw data is available from the [UCDP Dyadic Dataset v1.2015](#). Although it is not explicitly given.
3. *Is the software that was used to preprocess/clean/label the data available? If so, please provide a link or other access point.*
 - No.
4. *Any other comments?*
 - No

Uses

1. *Has the dataset been used for any tasks already? If so, please provide a description.*
 - Yes, it has been used in the [data release paper](#).
2. *Is there a repository that links to any or all papers or systems that use the dataset? If so, please provide a link or other access point.*
 - No.
3. *What (other) tasks could the dataset be used for?*
 - Further research may validate the findings or demonstrate changes in participation over time.
4. *Is there anything about the composition of the dataset or the way it was collected and preprocessed/cleaned/labeled that might impact future uses? For example, is there anything that a dataset consumer might need to know to avoid uses that could result in unfair treatment of individuals or groups (for example, stereotyping, quality of service issues) or other risks or harms (for example, legal risks, financial harms)? If so, please provide a description. Is there anything a dataset consumer could do to mitigate these risks or harms?*
 - No.
5. *Are there tasks for which the dataset should not be used? If so, please provide a description.*

- No.
6. *Any other comments?*
- No.

Distribution

1. *Will the dataset be distributed to third parties outside of the entity (for example, company, institution, organization) on behalf of which the dataset was created? If so, please provide a description.*
 - No.
2. *How will the dataset be distributed (for example, tarball on website, API, GitHub)? Does the dataset have a digital object identifier (DOI)?*
 - It is available on the [website](#).
3. *When will the dataset be distributed?*
 - The dataset is currently available.
4. *Will the dataset be distributed under a copyright or other intellectual property (IP) license, and/or under applicable terms of use (ToU)? If so, please describe this license and/ or ToU, and provide a link or other access point to, or otherwise reproduce, any relevant licensing terms or ToU, as well as any fees associated with these restrictions.*
 - Unknown.
5. *Have any third parties imposed IP-based or other restrictions on the data associated with the instances? If so, please describe these restrictions, and provide a link or other access point to, or otherwise reproduce, any relevant licensing terms, as well as any fees associated with these restrictions.*
 - Unknown.
6. *Do any export controls or other regulatory restrictions apply to the dataset or to individual instances? If so, please describe these restrictions, and provide a link or other access point to, or otherwise reproduce, any supporting documentation.*
 - No.
7. *Any other comments?*
 - No.

Maintenance

1. *Who will be supporting/hosting/maintaining the dataset?*
 - Meredith Loken, Hilary Matfess and Jenna Norosky.
2. *How can the owner/curator/manager of the dataset be contacted (for example, email address)?*
 - There is a contact form on the [website](#).
3. *Is there an erratum? If so, please provide a link or other access point.*
 - No.
4. *Will the dataset be updated (for example, to correct labeling errors, add new instances, delete instances)? If so, please describe how often, by whom, and how updates will be communicated to dataset consumers (for example, mailing list, GitHub)?*
 - Unknown.
5. *If the dataset relates to people, are there applicable limits on the retention of the data associated with the instances (for example, were the individuals in question told that their data would be retained for a fixed period of time and then deleted)? If so, please describe these limits and explain how they will be enforced.*
 - The dataset does not relate to individuals.
6. *Will older versions of the dataset continue to be supported/hosted/maintained? If so, please describe how. If not, please describe how its obsolescence will be communicated to dataset consumers.*
 - No.
7. *If others want to extend/augment/build on/contribute to the dataset, is there a mechanism for them to do so? If so, please provide a description. Will these contributions be validated/verified? If so, please describe how. If not, why not? Is there a process for communicating/distributing these contributions to dataset consumers? If so, please provide a description.*
 - Unknown.
8. *Any other comments?*
 - No

Acknowledgements

I would like to extend a special thanks to Sebastian Rodriguez ([@seb646](#)) for providing feedback and edits to this datasheet.

References

- Gebru, Timnit, Jamie Morgenstern, Briana Vecchione, Jennifer Wortman Vaughan, Hanna Wallach, Hal Daumé Iii, and Kate Crawford. 2021. “Datasheets for Datasets.” *Communications of the ACM* 64 (12): 86–92.
- Loken, Meredith, and Hilary Matfess. n.d. “The WAAR Project.” <https://doi.org/https://www.waarproject.com/>.
- . 2023. “Introducing the Women’s Activities in Armed Rebellion (WAAR) Project 1946-2015.” *Journal of Peace Research OnlineFirst*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/00223433221128340>.
- R Core Team. 2020. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.