Main Features of OpenMP

Computational Science II (CAAM 520)

Christopher Thiele Rice University, Spring 2021

Suppose we want to integrate a function

$$f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$$

numerically using the composite trapezoidal rule

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \approx h \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{f(x_{i}) + f(x_{i+1})}{2},$$

where $x_0 = a$, $x_n = b$, and

$$x_{i+1} - x_i = h$$

for
$$i = 0, ..., n - 1$$
.

Our goal is to implement a function quad_trapezoidal() that approximates the integral *in parallel* using OpenMP.

What signature should the function have?

Our goal is to implement a function quad_trapezoidal() that approximates the integral *in parallel* using OpenMP.

What signature should the function have?

→ Let us try to implement the function with our current knowledge of OpenMP.

As a reasonably challenging test, we will approximate

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x) + x \, dx = \frac{\pi^2}{8} + 1.$$

Did our first attempt work?

No, we get random results.

→ There is a **data race** in the code!

If multiple threads modify the same variable (here: \mathtt{sum}), their updates can interfere!

Variables in an OpenMP application can be **shared** between threads or **private** to each thread.

By default, variables are

- · private if declared within a parallel region, and
- shared if declared before a parallel region.

```
int shared_var;

#pragma omp parallel
{
  int private_var;
}
```

Alternatively, variables can be declared as shared or private for a parallel region.

Note:

- The shared clause is redundant in this case.
- The private clause is necessary unless C99 is used.

Caution: If declared outside a parallel region, the value of a private variable is **undefined** inside the parallel region.

```
int private_var = 123;

#pragma omp parallel private(private_var)
{
    // Value of private_var is undefined!
}
```

→ Consider using firstprivate instead of private.

Caution: If declared outside a parallel loop, the value of a private variable is **undefined** after the parallel loop.

```
int private_var;

#pragma omp parallel private(private_var)
{
    // ...
}
// Value of private_var is undefined!
```

→ Consider using lastprivate instead of private.

Caution: The code below does **not** create a private array for each thread.

```
int *array = malloc(8*sizeof(int));

#pragma omp parallel firstprivate(array)
{
    // Each thread has its own private pointer
    // to the *same* array!
}
```

Data races

Data races can occur when a shared resource, e.g., a variable, is modified.

Data races can be hard to fix, because they can easily go unnoticed.

→ Whether the *race condition* occurs is random!

Data races

Example: Multiple threads write to a shared variable, causing a data race.

```
#pragma omp parallel
{
   sum += omp_get_thread_num();
}
```

→ Note that += involves both reading and writing!

Data races

To avoid data races, we must ensure that when a thread modifies a shared resource, no other thread reads from or writes to it concurrently.

→ Mutual exclusion (mutex)

Locks

Mutexes are called *locks* in OpenMP:

```
omp_lock_t lock;
omp init lock(&lock);
#pragma omp parallel
  // ...
  omp_set_lock(&lock);
  // Only one thread can be have the lock
  // set at any given time.
  omp_unset_lock(&lock);
omp_destroy_lock(&lock);
```

Deadlocks

When locks are used, deadlocks can occur!

```
void transfer(account_t a, account_t b, int amount)
{
   omp_set_lock(&a.lock);  // Lock account A.
   withdraw(a, amount);
   omp_set_lock(&b.lock);  // Lock account B.
   deposit(b, amount);
   omp_unset_lock(&b.lock);  // Release account B.
   omp_unset_lock(&a.lock);  // Release account A.
}
```

→ What happens if one thread calls transfer(a, b, 100) while another thread is processing transfer(b, a, 50)?
-15-

The critical directive

Locks/mutexes are cumbersome. Is there a simpler way?

Yes, the critical directive:

```
#pragma omp parallel
  #pragma omp critical
    // Only one thread can be inside the
    // critical block at any given time.
```

Distributing loop iterations among threads is cumbersome.

Again, there is a simpler way to do it:

```
#pragma omp parallel
{
    #pragma omp for
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        // The n loop iterations will be distributed
        // among threads automatically.
    }
}</pre>
```

A parallel region which only contains a single for loop can be simplified as follows:

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    // The n loop iterations will be distributed
    // among threads automatically.
}</pre>
```

The for directive is not only more convenient than manual distribution of loop iterations. It is also a generalization:

```
#pragma omp parallel for schedule(SCHEDULE)
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
   // ...
}</pre>
```

Possible values for SCHEDULE are static, dynamic, guided, and auto.

Caution: Not every loop can be parallelized, as dependencies between iterations can lead to data races.

→ The above code will compile without warning!

Is there anything left to simplify?

Yes, results from all iterations of a parallel loop can be combined using the reduction clause:

```
#pragma omp parallel for reduction(OP:VAR)
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    // Each thread has a private instance of VAR.
    // At the end of the loop, all values of VAR
    // are combined using the operator OP.
}</pre>
```

Possible values for OP are +, -, *, min, max, &, &&, |, ||, $\hat{}$. Custom operators can also be defined.

Example: Compute the Euclidean norm of a vector using reductions.

```
double sum;
#pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:sum)
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
   sum += x[i]*x[i];
}
return sqrt(sum);</pre>
```

Note: Each threads copy of the reduction variable is initialized with the neutral element of the reduction operator, e.g., zero for +, one for *, etc.

If we need to synchronize all threads, we can use a **barrier**:

No thread can get past the barrier before **all** threads have reached it.

```
#pragma omp parallel
{
    // ...

    // Wait for other threads.
    #pragma omp barrier

    // ...
}
```

Example: Ensure that other threads have finished their work before we use their results.

```
#pragma omp parallel
  const int id = omp_get_thread_num();
  results[id] = do work(id);
  #pragma omp barrier
  // Do something with results from other threads.
  do more work((id + 1)%omp get num threads());
```

The end of a parallel region or a parallel for loop is an *implicit barrier*.

```
#pragma omp parallel
  #pragma omp for
  for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
  // ...
  } // Implicit barrier!
  // No thread gets here before all
  // threads have finished the loop.
```

Barriers tend to make it easier to write correct code without data races, but they cause idling and synchronization.

→ Avoid unnecessary barriers to improve performance!

Implicit barriers can be avoided using the nowait clause:

```
#pragma omp parallel for nowait
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    // ...
}

#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    // ...
}</pre>
```

→ Caution: This is a data race if the second loop depends on results from the first.

If used improperly, barriers can also cause deadlocks.

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    #pragma omp barrier
}</pre>
```

→ Causes a deadlock unless each thread performs exactly the same number of loop iterations.

The single and master directives

Use the single directive if part of a parallel region is to be executed by only one thread.

```
#pragma omp parallel
  #pragma omp single
    shared_data = malloc(size);
  #pragma omp barrier
```

The single and master directives

If only the master thread should execute part of a parallel region, use the master directive.

The sections directive

If multiple, independent blocks of code are to be executed in parallel, use sections.

```
#pragma omp parallel
  #pragma omp sections
    #pragma omp section
      // 1st block
    #pragma omp section
      // 2nd block
```