ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2

PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646.1

Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.

Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) from http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html for guidelines and details before filling this form.

Please ensure you are using the latest Form from _http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html_.

See also _http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html_ for latest Roadmaps.

| Α. | Αd | mı | nis | trat | ıve |
|----|----|----|-----|------|-----|
| | | | | | |

| 1. Title: A proposal to encode Taiw | A proposal to encode Taiwanese kana in the UCS | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. Requester's name: Fredrick R. Brennan | | | | | | |
| Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution): Submission date: | Individual contribution 23 September 2020 (rev. 13 December 2020) | | | | | |
| 5. Requester's reference (if applicable): | N/A | | | | | |
| 6. Choose one of the following: | | | | | | |
| This is a complete proposal: | X | | | | | |
| (or) More information will be provided later: | | | | | | |
| B. Technical – General | | | | | | |
| Choose one of the following: a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block Name of the existing block: | Kana Extended-B | | | | | |
| 2. Number of characters in proposal: | 13 | | | | | |
| | B.2-Specialized (large collection) E-Minor extinct bscure or questionable usage symbols | | | | | |
| 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character name in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable to the character shapes. | Yes | | | | | |
| 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? | | | | | | |
| Fredrick R. Brenna | | | | | | |
| b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.): Fredrick R. Brennan <copypaste@kittens.ph>. Font name is FRB Taiwanese Kana. It's SIL OFL licensed.</copypaste@kittens.ph> | | | | | | |
| References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descript b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from news). | | | | | | |
| of proposed characters attached? | Yes | | | | | |
| 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? I discuss the order characters should be in, and issues presented by vertical typesetting. | | | | | | |
| 8. Additional Information: | | | | | | |
| Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Prothat will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic proce Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, S Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equinformation. See the Unicode standard at http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr44/) information needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee. | ssing of the proposed character(s) or script. nation, Currency information, Display behaviour pacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default uivalence and other Unicode normalization related or such information on other scripts. Also see and associated Unicode Technical Reports for | | | | | |

¹? Form number: N4502-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09, 2003-11, 2005-01, 2005-09, 2005-10, 2007-03, 2008-05, 2009-11, 2011-03, 2012-01)

C. Technical - Justification

| Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before? If YES explain | No |
|--|-------|
| 2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body, user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)? If YES, with whom? | Yes |
| 3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example: size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included? Reference: Japanese learners of Hokkien, Taiwanese scholars of Japanese imp | Yes |
| 4. The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare) Reference: | Rare |
| 5. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community? If YES, where? Reference: Twitter, Facebook, etc. 6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed character. | Yes |
| in the BMP? If YES, is a rationale provided? If YES, reference: | No |
| 7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scatter 8. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing | |
| character or character sequence? If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided? If YES, reference: | No |
| 9. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either existing characters or other proposed characters? If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided? | No No |
| If YES, reference: 10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function) to, or could be confused with, an existing character? If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided? If YES, reference: | No |
| 11. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences? If YES, is a rationale for such use provided? If YES, reference: Existing combining characters are used. See particular to the proposite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) provided. | |
| If YES, reference: 12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as control function or similar semantics? If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary) | No |
| 13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility characters? If YES, are the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic characters identified? If YES, reference: | No |