

*This checklist must be submitted as a PDF as part of your submission.*

Name of Certifying Engineer(s): Grigori Fursin

Email of Certifying Engineer(s): Grigori.Fursin@cTuning.org

Name(s) of System Under Test:

Division (check one):

- ☐ Open
- ☒ Closed

Category (check one):

- ☒ Available
- ☐ Preview
- ☐ Research, Development, and Internal (RDI)

Benchmark(s) (check all that apply):

- ☐ Visual Wake Words
- ☐ Keyword Spotting
- ☐ Anomaly Detection
- ☒ Image Classification

Please fill in the following table adding lines as necessary:

System Under Test Name	Benchmark	Accuracy/AUC
NUCLEO-L4R5ZI (MicroTVM, CMSIS_NN)	IC	Top-1: 87.5 %
NUCLEO-L4R5ZI (MicroTVM, Native)	IC	Top-1: 87.5 %

For each SUT, is the benchmark Accuracy/AUC target met? (Not a requirement for the Open division) (check all that apply):

- ☐ Yes (Visual Wake Words ... 80% Accuracy)
- ☐ Yes (Keyword Spotting ... 90% Accuracy )
- ☐ Yes (Anomaly Detection ... 0.85 AUC)
- ☒ Yes (Image Classification ... 85% Accuracy)
- ☐ No, for some combination of benchmark, scenario and SUT

For each SUT and benchmark, did the submission run on the whole validation set in accuracy mode? (check one):

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

*This checklist must be submitted as a PDF as part of your submission.*

For each SUT and benchmark, does the submission use the EEMBC Runner? (check one)

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

For each SUT and benchmark, is the same code run in accuracy and performance modes? (check one)

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Are the weights calibrated using data outside of the official calibration set? (check one)

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

What numerics does the submission use? (check all that apply)

- ☐ INT4
- ☒ INT8
- ☐ INT16
- ☐ UINT8
- ☐ UINT16
- ☐ FP11
- ☐ FP16
- ☐ BF16
- ☒ FP32
- ☐ Other, please specify:

What backend does the submission use? (check all that apply)

- ☐ Vendor backend, please name:
- ☐ TF-Lite Micro
- ☒ Micro TVM
- ☒ Other, please specify:
  - "MicroTVM Native" uses only MicroTVM with Native Schedule
  - "MicroTVM CMSIS\_NN" uses MicroTVM with CMSIS\_NN integration

Which of the following caching techniques does the submission use? (check all that apply, ideally none):

- ☐ Caching Inputs between iterations
- ☐ Caching responses between iterations
- ☐ Caching intermediate computations between iterations

*This checklist must be submitted as a PDF as part of your submission.*

Which of the following techniques does the submission use? (check all that apply, ideally none if submitting to the closed division.)

- ☐ Quantization aware training
- ☐ Wholesale weight replacement
- ☐ Weight supplements
- ☐ Discarding non-zero weight elements
- ☐ Pruning
- ☐ Modifying weights during the timed portion of an inference run
- ☐ Hard coding the total number of queries
- ☐ None of the above

Is the submission congruent with all relevant MLPerf rules?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If the answer to the above question is no, please explain:

For each SUT, have you filled out the JSON system description file?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

For each SUT, does the submission accurately reflect the real-world performance of the SUT?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Does your submission include the following: (check all that apply)

- ☒ System description file
- ☒ Code that implements the benchmarks
- ☒ Code/scripts that train the model(s) (Open Division)
- ☒ Metadata that describes each system-implementation combination tested
- ☒ Scripts that set up and execute each system implementation tested
- ☒ Result logs for each system implementation tested
- ☒ This Checklist