### Attendees:

- Kris McGlinn (TCD-ADAPT)
- Pieter Pauwels (TU Eindhoven)
- Georg F. Schneider (Fraunhofer IBP)
- Rui de Klerk (FAUL)
- Maxime Lefrançois (MINES Saint-Etienne)
- Richard Pinka (CTU Prague)
- Mads Holten Rasmussen (NIRAS)
- Prathap Valluru (TU Dresden)
- María Poveda Villalón (UPM)
- Seppo Törmä (Aalto University)
- Anna Wagner (TU Darmstadt)
- Odilo Schoch (ETH Zürich)
- Mathias Bonduel (KU Leuven)
- Håkon Reisvang (i4 technology AS, Norway)
- Gonçal Costa (LaSalle University)
- Justine Tchouanguem (INP ENIT)
- Alberto Giretti (UNIVPM)

## Date and time

- 22/10/2019
- 15:00 BST/ 16:00 CEST

## **Agenda**

- 1. Update on TPAC 2019 (Kris McGlinn)
- 2. Update on Community Group Charter (Georg F. Schneider)
  - Motivation
    - i. Clear communication of groups ideas, increase industry participation-> Working Groups
    - ii. Show activity and highlight work done
  - Existing group Documents
    - i. Draft Working Group Charter, via github.io
      - 1. Should be removed, no WG planned
    - ii. CG Report Use Cases and Requirements, via github.io
      - 1. Should be improved and published as report
      - 2. Remove BOT Product, Alignments, ...
    - iii. Group Opener/ Manifest, via github.io
      - 1. Ambiguity with iv. below, integrate with below
    - iv. W3C CG opener, via w3.org
    - v. Seed use cases, via w3.org
      - 1. remove when integrated with report
    - vi. Wiki, via w3.org
      - 1. Redundant: outdated Meetings & minutes, events, use cases

- → Potentially unclear to externals, hard to maintain
- Revision [proposal]:
  - i. remove <u>Draft Working Group Charter</u> as we are not doing a WG for now
  - ii. Revise <u>W3C CG opener</u> publish via w3.org, integrate <u>Group Opener/</u>
    Manifest
  - iii. New <u>W3C LBD CG</u> Charter, publish via w3.org, integrate <u>Draft</u> <u>Working Group Charter</u>, <u>Group Opener/ Manifest</u> and updated <u>W3C</u> <u>CG opener</u>
  - iv. Reports:
    - Finalise CG Report <u>Use Cases and Requirements</u>, via github.io, Integrate <u>Seed use cases</u>, via w3.org, send offline <u>Seed use cases</u>
    - 2. Finalise CG report on **Building Topology Ontology**
    - 3. Finalise CG report on property modelling
      - a. -> Dedicated call michel Böhms call on 7 November
         2019
- → Where to publish? in Wiki? in github.io?
- 3. Meeting frequency
- 4. Open slot

## **Minutes**

1. TPAC Update by Kris

[Kris] Interesting event, Web of Things working group is also deals with smart buildings

[Kris] Pointer to schema.org IoT, We should link to other groups/ overlaps exist

[Kris] Teaming up with other W3C members to foster industry participation

[Kris] Need for industry partners (large), How to go forward for this? Normally chairs dedicate a large amount of their time to convince industry partners.

[Mathias] Potentially check in overlapping working groups if there is other industry partners which have also interest in our work

[Kris] If aiming for a working group, the to be produced standards should be used in companies - else there is no use for them

[Mathias] Geometry is an issue still, Industry interest is large, should be written somewhere that it is a domain of the CG

[Kris] I agree

[Georg] I agree too. Geometry should be in the scope of the community group. For a working group it should be investigated if the effort can be aligned with other domains such as automotive, mechanical engineering, etc.

[Mathias, Max] <u>Spatial Data on the Web</u> Interest group still active - active GitHub repository (<a href="https://github.com/w3c/sdw/">https://github.com/w3c/sdw/</a>) and wiki page, focus on 2D geometry. Within AEC, 3D geometry is more (or also) relevant

[Mathias] we should contact the interest group and try to combine forces or try to re-use concepts of them. At least discuss the topic

[Georg] does anyone have contacts to the group? Do we know why they ruled out 3D geometry?

[Mathias] is going to raise an issue on their GitHub page to try to reach out to them.

### 2. Update on Community Group Charter

[Georg] most members are from academia - working groups are the only groups that can create standards and require industry partners.

[Georg] suggests to remove/archive the draft of the working group charter for now, as the creation of a working group is currently off the table

[Georg] Use case and requirements report holds redundant use cases/requirements [Georg] Group opener / manifest is partially redundant to the W3C group opener, also the group's wiki page holds redundant information as well.

[Georg] proposes to revise all documents to remove redundancies, clean up the documents and publish them

[Kris] agrees to archive the draft for the working group charter (not delete it, though) and help updating the documents

### [everyone] does anyone have new use cases to add to the documents?

[Anna] agrees to help revising the documents on the side (busy with PhD now)

[Georg] where to publish the documents? Currently we are using WordPress for the opener [Max] prefers the GitHub to the W3C wiki has it is hard to manage revisions and see contributions in the wiki - keep the wiki for archiving purposes but remove the link to the wiki from the W3C homepage of the group

[Mathias] it should also be noted that the wiki is not updated anymore, then.

[Pieter] the right part of the W3C group home page cannot be changed

[Georg] we could try to figure out what we can customise in our web page

[everyone] consensus that we want one web page and not multiple redundant ones?

[Max] who is the contact from the W3C for our group?

[Kris] Francois Daoust (correct?)

[Maxime] Will contact Francois. <a href="https://www.w3.org/community/about/tool/">https://www.w3.org/community/about/tool/</a>

[Georg] first steps: find out how/where can we move our pages and what can we customise

# [Georg] will send out mail to LBD mailing list for review of adjusted community charter

[Rui] Community Group W3C charter page is a great entry point for external users - we should try to keep that updated as much as possible

## 3. Meeting frequency

[Georg] in the past the frequency was every other week. What frequency would be preferred? Two meetings with dedicated topics were already asked for by participants [Max] two weeks is quite ok, but a strict definition of the beginning and ending time should be held, so participants know exactly which time-slot they should keep free [Mathias] regarding the upcoming property modelling call: several people in this group are concerning property modelling, and the things that may be decided in that call might be relevant for further development of the CEN TC (which Michel is part of) - we should have a strong point as LBD CG

[Max] Ana Roxin is part of the group and may be able to share documents with the LBD CG [Odilo] I do have almost direct contact via CEN 442-WG 3 by Peter Kompolschek. as well I'm in the Swiss Mirror Commission /

https://standards.cen.eu/dyn/www/f?p=CENWEB:7:0::::FSP\_ORG\_ID:2051463&cs=15B121 5F403FD3BD0D64F9AB42A63359E

[Georg] will ask for participants on the public mail / ing lists and check if the date is suitable for everyone. In case there are conflicts, the call can be rescheduled [Georg] will send around new concepts for calls - 7 weeks complaint-time to reschedule or change the plan of meetings

### 4. open slot

[Max] new names could introduce themselves

[Alberto Giretti] - full professor at Università Politecnica delle Marche (IT) mostly working on cognitive architecture for design support and is interested in the work of the LBD CG [Håkon] -

[Justine Tchouanguem] - PhD student (IT) from INP ENIT presented her work at the LDAC 2019

[Prathap] - Research Associate from TU Dresden, working on BIM for energy efficient buildings and interested in working with LBD community.

### **Previous minutes**

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1vkPPWKqkMVT0VLMsydqUEw\_kUFXP9OPOubFCDIbLl2A/edit

### **Next Call**

- [Tentative] 07/11/2019 15:00 WET(UTC+0)/ 16:00 CET(UTC+1) -> Property Modelling, Michel Böhms
- [Tentative] late November 2019 -> WLS, NA LDAC Aaron Costin