

PIEO Trees for Fun and Profit

We assume familiarity with [MLF+23], adopt its notational conventions, and borrow many of its definitions!

1 Structure & Semantics

Definition 1.1. For sets S , D , and predicates F over D , let $\mathbf{PIEO}(S, D, F)$ denote the set of *PIEOs* that

- (1) hold entries in S , decorated with meta-data in D
- (2) are ordered by **Rk**
- (3) support predicates in F
- (4) admit partial functions

$$\text{pop} : \mathbf{PIEO}(S, D, F) \times F \rightarrow S \times \mathbf{PIEO}(S, D, F)$$

$$\text{push} : \mathbf{PIEO}(S, D, F) \times S \times D \times \mathbf{Rk} \rightarrow \mathbf{PIEO}(S, D, F)$$

For $p \in \mathbf{PIEO}(S, D, F)$, $s \in S$, and $f \in F$, we write

- (1) $|p|$ for the number of entries in p
- (2) $|p|_s$ for the number of times s occurs in p
- (3) $|p|_{s,f}$ for the number of times s occurs in p with associated d such that $f(d)$

We fix an opaque set **Data** and a collection \mathcal{F} of predicates defined on it. These predicates come with a total order \leq and the property that, $\forall d \in \mathbf{Data}$ and $f, f' \in \mathcal{F}$, $f \leq f' \wedge f(d) \implies f'(d)$.

Definition 1.2. The set of *PIEO trees* over $t \in \mathbf{Topo}$, denoted $\mathbf{PIEOTree}(t)$, is defined inductively by

$$\frac{p \in \mathbf{PIEO}(\mathbf{Pkt}, \mathbf{Data}, \mathcal{F})}{\text{Leaf}(p) \in \mathbf{PIEOTree}(*)} \quad \frac{n \in \mathbb{N} \quad ts \in \mathbf{Topo}^n \quad p \in \mathbf{PIEO}(\{1, \dots, n\}, \mathbf{Data}, \mathcal{F}) \quad \forall i \in [1, n]. \text{qs}[i] \in \mathbf{PIEOTree}(ts[i])}{\text{Internal}(\text{qs}, p) \in \mathbf{PIEOTree}(\text{Node}(ts))}$$

Definition 1.3. Define $\text{pop} : \mathbf{PIEOTree}(t) \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pkt} \times \mathbf{PIEOTree}(t)$ by

$$\frac{\text{pop}(p, f) = (\text{pkt}, p')}{\text{pop}(\text{Leaf}(p), f) = (\text{pkt}, \text{Leaf}(p'))} \quad \frac{\text{pop}(p, f) = (i, p') \quad \text{pop}(\text{qs}[i], f) = (\text{pkt}, q')}{\text{pop}(\text{Internal}(\text{qs}, p), f) = (\text{pkt}, \text{Internal}(\text{qs}[q'/i], p'))}$$

Definition 1.4. Define $\text{push} : \mathbf{PIEOTree}(t) \times \mathbf{Pkt} \times \mathbf{Data} \times \mathbf{Path}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{PIEOTree}(t)$ by

$$\frac{\text{push}(p, \text{pkt}, d, r) = p'}{\text{push}(\text{Leaf}(p), \text{pkt}, d, r) = \text{Leaf}(p')} \quad \frac{\text{push}(p, i, d, r) = p' \quad \text{push}(\text{qs}[i], \text{pkt}, d, pt) = q'}{\text{push}(\text{Internal}(\text{qs}, p), \text{pkt}, d, (i, r) :: pt) = \text{Internal}(\text{qs}[q'/i], p')}$$

Definition 1.5. Let $t \in \mathbf{Topo}$. A *control* over t is a triple (s, q, z) , where $s \in \mathbf{St}$ is the *current state*, q is a *PIEO tree* of topology t , and $z : \mathbf{St} \times \mathbf{Pkt} \rightarrow \mathbf{Data} \times \mathbf{Path}(t) \times \mathbf{St}$ is a function called the *scheduling transaction*. We write $\text{Control}(t)$ for the set of controls over t .

Definition 1.6. Define $|\cdot| : \mathbf{PIEOTree}(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$|\text{Leaf}(p)| = |p| \quad |\text{Internal}(\text{qs}, p)| = \sum_{i=1}^{|\text{qs}|} |\text{qs}[i]|$$

We say that $q \in \mathbf{PIEOTree}(t)$ is *well-formed* w.r.t $f \in \mathcal{F}$, denoted $\vdash_f q$, if it adheres to the following rules.

$$\frac{}{\vdash_f \text{Leaf}(p)} \quad \frac{\forall i \in [1, |\text{qs}|] \vdash_f \text{qs}[i] \wedge |p|_i = |\text{qs}[i]|}{\vdash_f \text{Internal}(\text{qs}, p)}$$

We say q is well-formed, denoted $\vdash q$, if for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $\vdash_f q$.

References

- [MLF⁺23] Anshuman Mohan, Yunhe Liu, Nate Foster, Tobias Kappé, and Dexter Kozen. Formal abstractions for packet scheduling, 2023.