Evaluation indicators analysis

Step 1:

We adopt the weight calculation method and compute the composite index of the sustainability F based on [53]. The original data is $X = (x_{ij})_{m \times n}$, while the normalized data is $X' = (x_{ij}')_{m \times n}$, where x_{ij} and x_{ij} 'represent the original and normalized value of the j-th sample of the i-th evaluation indicator, respectively.

Calculation Details:

In analysing the Fliggy crossover service ecosystem, we calculate indicators at a comprehensive level. For each case, both pre-evolution and post-evolution indicator values are derived, resulting in a total of four samples for each evaluation-level indicator in Fliggy. Thus, the normalized sample size is n=4. The normalization calculation process is as follows:

$$x'_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij} - Min(x)}{Max(x) - Min(x)}$$

Taking the NPG value of the pre-evolution model in Case 1 as an example, the normalized value can be calculated as $\frac{23-12}{24-12} = 0.916667$. We can obtain the normalized data as shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Normalized Result

Table 1 Normanized Result										
		Case 1		Cas	Case 2		se 1	Ca	se 2	
guideline	evaluation	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-	
level	level	evolution	evolution							
			x	ij			x_i	j [']		
	NR	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	
	ND	6	6	15	15	0	0	1	1	
	NG	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	
Openness	OD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TD	3	3	2	3	1	1	0	1	
	DG	14	17	7	17	0.7	1	0	1	
	ID	5	5	2	4	1	1	0	0.666667	
	SR	4	4	3	3	1	1	0	0	
	DR	0.75	0.75	0.56	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.56	0.75	
Stability	SG	33	33	22	22	1	1	0	0	
Stability	DG	0.591	0.612	0.483	0.536	0.591	0.612	0.483	0.536	
	SD	3	3	2	2	1	1	0	0	
	RD	3	3	1	2	1	1	0	0.5	
Activeness	ARG	0.104	0.113	0.145	0.166	0.104	0.113	0.145	0.166	
Activeness	AOG	3.75	4.5	3.33	4.25	0.358974	1	0	0.786325	
E 4 1175	NEG	1	1	3	3	0	0	1	1	
Extensibility	NPG	23	24	12	17	0.916667	1	0	0.416667	

Step 2:

The contribution of each evaluation indicator is:

$$C_i = k \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij} \ln p_{ij} + \theta, \tag{10}$$

where $p_{ij} \ln p_{ij}$ is the discrete degree of contribution between the *j*-th samples of the *i*-th indicator, $p_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij'} + \varepsilon}{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_{ij'} + \varepsilon)}$ indicates the *j*-th sample contribution of the *i*-th indicator. $\varepsilon = 0$ if $x_{ij'} > 0$, and $\varepsilon = 0.1$ if $x_{ij'} = 0$. $\sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij} \ln p_{ij}$ is the total contribution of all samples of the *i*-th indicator. $k = \frac{1}{\ln n}$, $\theta = 1$ is the adjustment factor, aiming to ensure that C_i is between [0,1].

Calculation Details:

Based on the normalization results in Table 1, we can obtain the value of p_{ij} , as shown in Table 2.

Table 2 Result of p_{ij}

		Case	Case 1 Case 2		Cas	se 1	Case 2		
guideline	evaluation	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	D (1.0
level	level	evolution	evolution	evolution	evolution	evolution	evolution	evolution	Post-evolution
			x_{ij}	,				p_{ij}	
	NR	0	0	1	1	0.045454545	0.045454545	0.454545455	0.454545455
	ND	0	0	1	1	0.045454545	0.045454545	0.454545455	0.454545455
	NG	0	0	1	1	0.045454545	0.045454545	0.454545455	0.454545455
Openness	OD	0	0	0	0	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
	TD	1	1	0	1	0.322580645	0.322580645	0.032258065	0.322580645
	DG	0.7	1	0	1	0.25	0.357142857	0.035714286	0.357142857
	ID	1	1	0	0.666667	0.361445783	0.361445783	0.036144578	0.240963855
	SR	1	1	0	0	0.454545455	0.454545455	0.045454545	0.045454545
	DR	0.75	0.75	0.56	0.75	0.266903915	0.266903915	0.199288256	0.266903915
C4-l-ili4-	SG	1	1	0	0	0.454545455	0.454545455	0.045454545	0.045454545
Stability	DG	0.591	0.612	0.483	0.536	0.265976598	0.275427543	0.217371737	0.241224122
	SD	1	1	0	0	0.454545455	0.454545455	0.045454545	0.045454545
	RD	1	1	0	0.5	0.384615385	0.384615385	0.038461538	0.192307692
A -4:	ARG	0.104	0.113	0.145	0.166	0.196969697	0.214015152	0.274621212	0.314393939
Activeness	AOG	0.358974	1	0	0.786325	0.159878188	0.445374952	0.044537495	0.350209364
Extensibility	NEG	0	0	1	1	0.045454545	0.045454545	0.454545455	0.454545455
Extensionity	NPG	0.916667	1	0	0.416667	0.376712329	0.410958904	0.04109589	0.171232877

Thus, C_i is calculated as shown in Table 3:

Table 3 Result of C_i

guideline	evaluation	Cas	se 1	Cas	C	
level	level	Pre-	Pre- Post-		Post-	\mathcal{L}_i

		evolution	evolution	evolution	evolution	
	NR	0.045454545	0.045454545	0.454545455	0.454545455	0.280252
	ND	0.045454545	0.045454545	0.454545455	0.454545455	0.280252
	NG	0.045454545	0.045454545	0.454545455	0.454545455	0.280252
Openness	OD	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0
	TD	0.322580645	0.322580645	0.032258065	0.322580645	0.130286
	DG	0.25	0.357142857	0.035714286	0.357142857	0.133645
	ID	0.361445783	0.361445783	0.036144578	0.240963855	0.135413
	SR	0.454545455	0.454545455	0.045454545	0.045454545	0.280252
	DR	0.266903915	0.266903915	0.199288256	0.266903915	0.0052
C4-Lili4.	SG	0.454545455	0.454545455	0.045454545	0.045454545	0.280252
Stability	DG	0.265976598	0.275427543	0.217371737	0.241224122	0.002983
	SD	0.454545455	0.454545455	0.045454545	0.045454545	0.280252
	RD	0.384615385	0.384615385	0.038461538	0.192307692	0.150707
Activeness	ARG	0.196969697	0.214015152	0.274621212	0.314393939	0.012717
Acuveness	AOG	0.159878188	0.445374952	0.044537495	0.350209364	0.16369
Enter all lites	NEG	0.045454545	0.045454545	0.454545455	0.454545455	0.280252
Extensibility	NPG	0.376712329	0.410958904	0.04109589	0.171232877	0.158493

Step 3:

The weight w_i of the *i*-th evaluation indicator can be calculated by:

$$w_i = \frac{c_i}{\sum_{i=1}^m c_i} , \tag{11}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{m} C_i$ is the total contribution value of all the evaluation indicators.

Then, the weight of the indicator of the corresponding guideline level can be calculated by using the additive of the contribution value of the indicator of the evaluation level:

$$w_k = \frac{H_k}{\sum_{i=1}^m C_i} , \qquad (12)$$

where H_k (k = 1, 2, ...) is the contribution value of the indicator of the corresponding guideline level.

Calculation Details:

The result of w_i , H_k , and w_k can be calculated as shown in Table 4.

Table 4 Results of w_i , H_k , and w_k

guideline level	evaluation level	C_i	w_i	H_k	W_k	
	NR	0.280252	0.098165			
	ND	0.280252	0.098165			
Openness	NG	0.280252	0.098165	1.240099	0.434376277	
	OD	0	0			
	TD	0.130286	0.045636			

	DG	0.133645	0.046812		
	ID	0.135413	0.047432		
	SR	0.280252	0.098165		
	DR	0.0052	0.001821		
Gr. L.T.	SG	0.280252	0.098165	0.000645	0.250150005
Stability	DG	0.002983	0.001045	0.999645	0.350150995
	SD	0.280252	0.098165		
	RD	0.150707	0.052789		
Activeness	ARG	0.012717	0.004454	0.176408	0.061791221
Activeness	AOG	0.16369	0.057337	0.176408	0.061791221
Evtansihility	NEG	0.280252	0.098165	0.438745	0.153681508
Extensibility	NPG	0.158493	0.055516	0.438/43	0.133081308

Step 4:

Thus, the composite index of the sustainability of the ecosystem can be measured by F:

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{t} w_k \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} x_{ki} \times w_{ki}\right),\tag{13}$$

Calculation Details:

The result of $x_{ki} \times w_{ki}$ can be calculated as shown in Table 5.

Table 5 Result of $x_{ki} \times w_{ki}$

		C	ase 1	Case 2			Case	: 1	Case 2	
guideline level	evaluation level	Pre- evolution	Post- evolution	Pre- evolution	Post- evolution	w_i/w_{ki}	Pre-evolution	Post- evolution	Pre-evolution	Post- evolution
			x_{ij}'/x	c_{ki}				x_{ki}	$\times w_{ki}$	
	NR	0	0	1	1	0.098165	0	0	0.098165	0.098165
	ND	0	0	1	1	0.098165	0	0	0.098165	0.098165
	NG	0	0	1	1	0.098165	0	0	0.098165	0.098165
Openness	OD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TD	1	1	0	1	0.045636	0.045636	0.045636	0	0.045636
	DG	0.7	1	0	1	0.046812	0.032769	0.046812	0	0.046812
	ID	1	1	0	0.666667	0.047432	0.047432	0.047432	0	0.031621
	SR	1	1	0	0	0.098165	0.098165	0.098165	0	0
	DR	0.75	0.75	0.56	0.75	0.001821	0.001366	0.001366	0.00102	0.001366
C. LT.	SG	1	1	0	0	0.098165	0.098165	0.098165	0	0
Stability	DG	0.591	0.612	0.483	0.536	0.001045	0.000618	0.00064	0.000505	0.00056
	SD	1	1	0	0	0.098165	0.098165	0.098165	0	0
	RD	1	1	0	0.5	0.052789	0.052789	0.052789	0	0.026395
A. ct	ARG	0.104	0.113	0.145	0.166	0.004454	0.000463	0.000503	0.000646	0.000739
Activeness	AOG	0.358974	1	0	0.786325	0.057337	0.020582	0.057337	0	0.045085
F 4 7 77	NEG	0	0	1	1	0.098165	0	0	0.098165	0.098165
Extensibility	NPG	0.916667	1	0	0.416667	0.055516	0.05089	0.055516	0	0.023132

The result of $\sum_{j=1}^{r} x_{ki} \times w_{ki}$ be calculated as shown in Table 6.

Table 6 Result of $\sum_{j=1}^{r} x_{ki} \times w_{ki}$

			se 1	Ca	ase 2	Case 1		Case 2		
	1	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-	
guideline level	evaluation level	evolution	evolution	evolution	evolution	evolution	evolution	evolution	evolution	
level	level		x_{ki} :	× w _{ki}			$\sum_{j=1}^{r} x$	$w_{ki} \times w_{ki}$		
	NR	0	0	0.098165	0.098165					
	ND	0	0	0.098165	0.098165					
	NG	0	0	0.098165	0.098165				0.418566	
Openness	OD	0	0	0	0	0.125837	0.139881	0.2944957		
	TD	0.045636	0.045636	0	0.045636					
	DG	0.032769	0.046812	0	0.046812					
	ID	0.047432	0.047432	0	0.031621					
	SR	0.098165	0.098165	0	0					
	DR	0.001366	0.001366	0.00102	0.001366					
0.137	SG	0.098165	0.098165	0	0	0.240268	0.24020			
Stability	DG	0.000618	0.00064	0.000505	0.00056	0.349268	0.34929	0.0015247	0.028321	
	SD	0.098165	0.098165	0	0					
	RD	0.052789	0.052789	0	0.026395					
A -4i	ARG	0.000463	0.000503	0.000646	0.000739	0.021046	0.05794	0.0006450	0.045925	
Activeness	AOG	0.020582	0.057337	0	0.045085	0.021046	0.05784	0.0006459	0.045825	
Enternal Dis	NEG	0	0	0.098165	0.098165	0.05000	0.055516	0.0001652	0.121207	
Extensibility	NPG	0.05089	0.055516	0	0.023132	0.05089	0.055516	0.0981652	0.121297	

The result of F can be calculated as shown in Table 7.

Table 7 F

	Cas	se 1	Case			
	Pre-evolution Post-evolution		Pre-evolution	Post-evolution	l	
guideline level		$\sum_{j=1}^{r} x_{ki} \times w_{ki}$		W_k		
Openness	0.125837	0.434376277	0.2944957	0.418566	0.434376277	
Stability	0.349268	0.34929	0.0015247	0.028321	0.350150995	
Activeness	0.021046	0.05784	0.0006459	0.045825	0.061791221	
Extensibility	0.05089	0.055516	0.0981652	0.121297	0.153681508	
F	0.186078	0.195171	0.143582	0.213204142	-	