


Channel Islands National Park Kelp Forest Monitoring Handbook

Volume 1: Sampling Protocol

Natural Resource Report NPS/XXXX/NRR—20XX/XXX



ON THE COVER (Clockwise from top right) Kelp Forest Monitoring Program divers; Sebastes atrovirens; Kelp Forest Monitoring Program staff laying lead line for a new monitoring site; Macrocystis pyrifera.; Photographs taken by Kelp Forest Monitoring Staff and Volunteers.

Channel Islands National Park Kelp Forest Monitoring Handbook

Volume 1: Sampling Protocol

Natural Resource Report NPS/XXXX/NRR—20XX/XXX

David J. Kushner Joshua L. Sprague And

National Park Service Channel Islands National Park 1901 Spinnaker Drive Ventura, California 93001

Month Year

U.S. Department of the Interior National Park Service Natural Resource Program Center Fort Collins, Colorado The National Park Service, Natural Resource Program Center publishes a range of reports that address natural resource topics of interest and applicability to a broad audience in the National Park Service and others in natural resource management, including scientists, conservation and environmental constituencies, and the public.

The Natural Resource Report Series is used to disseminate high-priority, current natural resource management information with managerial application. The series targets a general, diverse audience, and may contain NPS policy considerations or address sensitive issues of management applicability.

All manuscripts in the series receive the appropriate level of peer review to ensure that the information is scientifically credible, technically accurate, appropriately written for the intended audience, and designed and published in a professional manner. [Peer review manager: insert one of the following peer review qualifying statements below -1 & 2 can be combined:]

- 1. This report received informal peer review by subject-matter experts who were not directly involved in the collection, analysis, or reporting of the data.
- 2. Data in this report were collected and analyzed using methods based on established, peer-reviewed protocols and were analyzed and interpreted within the guidelines of the protocols.
- 3. This report received formal peer review by subject-matter experts who were not directly involved in the collection, analysis, or reporting of the data, and whose background and expertise put them on par technically and scientifically with the authors of the information.
- 4. This report received formal, high-level peer review based on the importance of its content, or its potentially controversial or precedent-setting nature. Peer review was conducted by highly qualified individuals with subject area technical expertise and was overseen by a peer review manager.

Views, statements, findings, conclusions, recommendations, and data in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect views and policies of the National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the National Park Service.

This report is available from [Your Network/Division etc. and URL] and the Natural Resource Publications Management website (http://www.nature.nps.gov/publications/NRPM). [This paragraph can be modified to meet your specific needs].

Please cite this publication as:

Kushner, D.J., J. Sprague, and ?????????D. E. Lastname. 20XX. Full title of report in sentence case: Including subtitle. Natural Resource Report NPS/XXXX/NRR—20XX/XXX. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.

Contents

	Page
Tables	v
Figures	vii
Appendices	ix
Acknowledgments	xi
Introduction	1
Monitoring Design Considerations	3
Species Selection	3
Site Selection	4
Sampling Technique Selection	6
Sampling Method Protocol	13
General Information	13
1 m Quadrats	14
5 m Quadrats	17
Band Transects	
Random Point Contacts (RPCs)	22
Visual Fish Transects	25
Roving Diver Fish Count (RDFC)	27
Fish Size Frequency	33
Video-taped Transects	37
Natural Habitat Size Frequencies	39
Artificial Recruitment Modules (ARMs)	42
Species Checklist	46
Oceanographic Conditions	47

Da	ta Management and Data History	. 49
	General Information	. 49
	1 m Quadrats	. 51
	5 m Quadrats	. 55
	Random Point Contacts	. 60
	Roving Diver Fish Count	. 68
	Fish Size Frequency	. 72
	Video-taped Transects and Video Plots	. 74
	Natural Habitat Size Frequency	. 75
	Artificial Recruitment Modules	. 78
	Annual Species List	. 79
	Oceanographic Conditions	. 80
Lite	erature Cited	. 83

Tables

	Page
Table 1. Description of KFM sites.	9
Table 2. ARM and temperature logger information for KFM sites.	11
Table 3. Summary of KFM sampling techniques.	13
Table 4. Organisms sampled on 1 m quadrats.	15
Table 5. Organisms sampled for 5 m quadrats.	18
Table 6. Organisms sampled on band transects.	20
Table 7. Organisms sampled on random point contacts.	24
Table 8. Organisms sampled on visual fish transects.	26
Table 9. Organisms sampled on RDFC.	31
Table 10. Organisms sampled during fish size frequency.	35
Table 11. Organisms sampled for natural habitat size frequencies.	40
Table 12. Organisms sampled in ARMs.	44
Table 13. Number and size of 1 m quadrats 1982-Present.	51
Table 14. 1 m quadrat species sampling history.	52
Table 15. 1 m quadrat site sampling history.	53
Table 16. Number and size of 5 m quadrats 1996 – present	55
Table 17. 5 m quadrat species sampling history 1996 – present.	55
Table 18. 5 m quadrat site sampling history.	56
Table 19. Number and size of band transects 1983-Present.	57
Table 20. Band transect species sampling history.	57
Table 21. Band transect site sampling history.	59

Table 22. Number of and points per RPC quadrat 1982-present.	60
Table 23. RPC species sampling history	62
Table 24. RPC site sampling history	64
Table 25. Number and size of visual fish transects sampled 1985 – present	66
Table 26. Visual fish transect species sampling history.	66
Table 27. Visual fish transect site sampling history.	67
Table 28. Roving diver fish count sampling history	71
Table 29. Fish size frequency sampling history	72
Table 30. Video transect format history.	74
Table 31. Video transect sampling history.	74
Table 32. Size frequency site sampling history.	76
Table 33. ARM site sampling history.	78
Table 34. Annual species list sampling history.	79
Table 35. Temperature data site sampling history.	81

Figures

	Page
Figure 1. Map of Kelp Forest Monitoring site locations.	8
Figure 2. Proper placement of 1 m quadrats.	15
Figure 3. Layout of the 5 m ² quadrats along the transect line.	17
Figure 4. Subadult and adult <i>M. pyrifera</i> definition examples.	18
Figure 5. Band transect sampling procedure	20
Figure 6. Orientation of RPC bar and strings during sampling.	23
Figure 7. Area covered by visual fish transects.	25
Figure 8. An example of how to record roving diver fish count data during a dive	29
Figure 9. Total length, TL, of a fish	33
Figure 10. An example dive slate for fish size frequency measurements	34
Figure 11. An example of summarized data on a fish size frequency summary sheet	35
Figure 12. Example of an artificial recruitment module (ARM)	42
Figure 13. Example of fish size frequency training model arrays.	115
Figure 14. Fish size frequency slate with a fish size frequency training datasheet	116
Figure 15. Fish size frequency training answer sheet.	117

Appendices

	Page
Appendix A. KFM Program Indicator Species Sampling Informat	ion 85
Appendix B. Establishing Underwater Transects	91
Appendix C. Logistical Considerations	93
Appendix D. Equipment Checklist	95
Appendix E. Equipment Vendors	97
Appendix F. Species List for all CINP Marine Monitoring Sites	99
Appendix G. Changes in Scientific Nomenclature	111
Appendix H. Fish Size Frequency Training Protocol	115
Appendix I. Site Descriptions	119
Appendix J. ARMs Numbers Sampled HistoryI	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix K. Sample Data Sheets	162

Acknowledgments

The design of this ecological monitoring program was supported by the National Park Service in cooperation with the California Department of Fish and Game, the U.S. Department of Commerce and the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration's National Marine Sanctuary Program. More than 350 scientists, resource managers and boat captains from many academic institutions and resource management agencies participated in this endeavor. Of particular note were the sustained efforts of G. E. Davis, D. V. Richards, J. M Morgan, J. E. Mondragon, D. Lerma, M. D. Moss, K. J. Moore, J. M. Engle, M. D. Conlin, T. J. Herrlinger, T. W. Anderson, J. D. Lewis, D. C. Canestro, S. L. Kim, D. Forcucci, and J. W. Trone. Their broad experience greatly strengthened the experimental design and resulting monitoring protocols.