

# Argumentative Meta-Analysis of Contemporary Liberal Democracy and Freedom

Cullyn Newman

December 2, 2020

## **Abstract**

(Harvey, 2011)

(Sennett, 2008)

(Graeber, 2010)

(Meadows & Wright, 2015)

(Bosteels, 2016)

(Scott, 2019)

In this essay I analyze and argue the views of both David Harvey and Richard Sennett in regards to the dynamic interreaction between contemporary liberal democracy and freedom in various scales. While the arguments of the such authors are focused, the works of others are included in order to help establish the constraints on such broad subjects. This initial meta-analysis will be used as a means to generate a more nuanced discussion designed to allow more significant argumentative claims to be made. The goals of my arguments will used as a means to advance or refute the views of Harvey and Sennett until sufficient support is established such that they may lead to actionable claims on what society ought to do.

## **Thesis**

## **Counter-arguments**

## **Rebuttals**

## **Conclusion**

## References

- Bosteels, B. (2016). *What is a people?* Columbia University Press.
- Graeber, D. (2010). *Direct action: an ethnography*. Accessible Publishing Systems.
- Harvey, D. (2011). *A brief history of neoliberalism* (Reprinted ed.). Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Meadows, D. H., & Wright, D. (2015). *Thinking in systems: a primer*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
- Scott, T. (2019). *There is no algorithm for truth: echo chambers and nazi bars*. The Royal Institution.
- Sennett, R. (2008). *The craftsman*. New Haven: Yale Univ. Press.