

# View Meta-Reviews

**Paper ID**

6866

**Paper Title**

Learning Exponential Families

**META-REVIEWER #1**

---

**META-REVIEW QUESTIONS**

---

**1. Please recommend a decision for this submission.**

Reject

---

**2. Please provide a meta-review for this submission. Your meta-review should explain your decision to the authors. Your comments should augment the reviews, and explain how the reviews, author response, and discussion were used to arrive at your decision. Dismissing or ignoring a review is not acceptable unless you have a good reason for doing so. If you want to make a decision that is not clearly supported by the reviews, perhaps because the reviewers did not come to a consensus, please justify your decision appropriately, including, but not limited to, reading the submission in depth and writing a detailed meta-review that explains your decision.**

This work has merits. The paper considers learning parameterized family of poster distributions instead of a single posterior. During the discussion, the reviewers concluded that the experimental section was too weak, among others because results were only obtained on synthetic data. There were also some concerns regarding the presentation.

---