

Chapter 11: NLP

Ex2: Musical Instruments

Requirement: Build a reviewer filter. Use the various NLP tools and a new classifier, Naive Bayes, to predict if one reviewText is like (overall >= 4)/don't like (overall <=2) /neutral (2<overall<4)

Dataset: Musical_Instruments_5.json

```
In [1]: import findspark
      findspark.init()
      from pyspark.sql import SparkSession
In [2]:
      spark = SparkSession.builder.appName('nlp musical').getOrCreate()
      data = data = spark.read.json("Musical Instruments 5.json")
In [4]:
In [5]: data.show(5)
      -----+
           asin| helpful|overall|
                                    reviewText | reviewTime | reviewerID |
      reviewerName
                          summary|unixReviewTime|
      |1384719342| [0, 0]| 5.0|Not much to write...|02 28, 2014|A2IBPI20UZIR0U|ca
      ssandra tu "Yea...
                                 good
                                        1393545600
      |1384719342|[13, 14]| 5.0|The product does ...|03 16, 2013|A14VAT5EAX3D9S|
                      Jake | 1363392000 |
      Jake
      |1384719342| [1, 1]|
                         5.0|The primary job o...|08 28, 2013|A195EZSQDW3E21|Ri
      ck Bennette "Ri...|It Does The Job Well| 1377648000|
      |1384719342| [0, 0]|
                        5.0 Nice windscreen p... 02 14, 2014 A2C00NNG1ZQQG2 Ru
      styBill "Sunday... GOOD WINDSCREEN F... 1392336000
                         5.0|This pop filter i... | 02 21, 2014 | A94QU4C90B1AX |
      |1384719342| [0, 0]|
      SEAN MASLANKA No more pops when... | 1392940800 |
      .----+
      only showing top 5 rows
In [6]: from pyspark.sql.functions import *
In [7]: data = data.withColumn('class', when(data.overall >=4, "like")
                              .when(data.overall <= 2, "not like")</pre>
                               .otherwise("neutral"))
```

```
In [8]: | data = data.select("reviewText", "overall", "class")
```



Clean and Prepare the Data

** Create a new length feature: **

```
In [9]:
       from pyspark.sql.functions import length
In [10]:
        data = data.withColumn('length',length(data['reviewText']))
In [11]: data.show(10)
          -----
                 reviewText|overall| class|length|
        +----+
        |Not much to write...|
                              5.0 like
                                          268 l
        The product does ...
                              5.0
                                    like
                                          544
        |The primary job o...| 5.0| like|
|Nice windscreen p...| 5.0| like|
                                          436
                                          206
        This pop filter i...
                             5.0
                                    like
                                          159
        |So good that I bo...|
                             5.0
                                    like|
                                          234
        |I have used monst...|
                             5.0| like|
                                          191
        |I now use this ca...|
                            3.0|neutral|
                                          845
        |Perfect for my Ep...|
                             5.0
                                    like|
                                          201
        |Monster makes the...|
                                    like
                              5.0
                                          217
        only showing top 10 rows
In [12]: # Pretty Clear Difference
        data.groupby('class').mean().show()
        +----+
           class | avg(overall) | avg(length) |
          -----+
        |not like|1.5353319057815846|579.2055674518201|
        | neutral|
                             3.0 | 579.2111398963731 |
            like 4.7690090888938155 473.1188206606074
In [13]: data.groupby('class').count().show()
        +----+
           class|count|
         -----+
        |not like| 467|
         neutral 772
            like | 9022|
```

Feature Transformations



```
In [14]: from pyspark.ml.feature import Tokenizer,StopWordsRemover
    from pyspark.ml.feature import CountVectorizer,IDF,StringIndexer
    tokenizer = Tokenizer(inputCol="reviewText", outputCol="token_text")
    stopremove = StopWordsRemover(inputCol='token_text',outputCol='stop_tokens')
    count_vec = CountVectorizer(inputCol='stop_tokens',outputCol='c_vec')
    idf = IDF(inputCol="c_vec", outputCol="tf_idf")
    class_to_num = StringIndexer(inputCol='class',outputCol='label')
```

```
In [15]: from pyspark.ml.feature import VectorAssembler
from pyspark.ml.linalg import Vector
```

```
In [16]: clean_up = VectorAssembler(inputCols=['tf_idf','length'],outputCol='features')
```

The Model

We'll use Naive Bayes, but feel free to play around with this choice!

```
In [17]: from pyspark.ml.classification import NaiveBayes
```

```
In [18]: # Use defaults
nb = NaiveBayes()
```

Pipeline

```
In [19]: from pyspark.ml import Pipeline
```

```
In [21]: cleaner = data_prep_pipe.fit(data)
```

```
In [22]: clean_data = cleaner.transform(data)
```

Training and Evaluation!

```
In [23]: clean_data = clean_data.select(['label','features'])
```

```
In [24]:
         clean data.show(10) # 0: like, 1: neutral, 2: not like
          |label|
                            features
            0.0 (51949, [3, 12, 14, 3...]
            0.0 (51949, [2,3,12,16...]
            0.0 | (51949, [11, 19, 44, ... |
            0.0 (51949, [18, 37, 57, ...]
            0.0 (51949, [2, 122, 132...
            0.0 (51949, [0, 5, 15, 21...
            0.0 (51949, [5, 16, 29, 1...
            1.0 (51949, [1, 3, 4, 8, 1...)
            0.0 (51949, [0, 3, 12, 33...)
            0.0 | (51949, [1,6,15,52...]
         only showing top 10 rows
In [25]:
         (training,testing) = clean_data.randomSplit([0.7,0.3])
In [26]:
         training.groupBy("label").count().show()
         +----+
         |label|count|
         +----+
            0.0 6273
            1.0 538
            2.0 321
         +----+
In [27]:
         testing.groupBy("label").count().show()
         +----+
          |label|count|
         +----+
            0.0 2749
            1.0 234
            2.0 146
         +----+
         predictor = nb.fit(training)
In [28]:
In [29]:
         data.printSchema()
         root
          |-- reviewText: string (nullable = true)
          |-- overall: double (nullable = true)
          |-- class: string (nullable = false)
          |-- length: integer (nullable = true)
```



```
In [30]: test results = predictor.transform(testing)
In [31]: | test_results.show(10)
       |label|
                       features
                                   rawPrediction|
                                                       probability predictio
       nl
          0.0|(51949,[0,1,2,3,4...|[-8037.9167293525...|[3.74336796460930...|
                                                                       2.
       0
          0.0|(51949,[0,1,2,3,4...][-11977.791289462...][1.0,1.0209759137...]
                                                                       0.
       01
          0.0|(51949,[0,1,2,3,4...|[-4701.5867096432...|[0.99999999999999...|
                                                                       0.
       0|
          0.0|(51949,[0,1,2,3,4...|[-9502.4876633284...|[4.53700693583550...|
                                                                       1.
       0|
          0.0|(51949,[0,1,2,3,4...)[-5436.0778379955...][1.0,1.1410818254...]
                                                                       0.
       01
          0.0|(51949,[0,1,2,3,4...|[-22250.822977014...|[1.82927389637432...|
                                                                       1.
       0
          0.0|(51949,[0,1,2,3,4...|[-12613.007139582...|[8.30105759458827...|
                                                                       1.
       0|
          0.0|(51949,[0,1,2,3,4...|[-3678.0900065707...|[1.0,5.2918918521...|
                                                                       0.
       01
          0.0|(51949, [0,1,2,3,4...|[-7685.3563363984...|[5.36237204440014...|
                                                                       1.
       01
          0.0|(51949,[0,1,2,3,4...|[-5670.9474482192...|[0.97550306223960...|
                                                                       0.
       01
          only showing top 10 rows
In [32]:
       # Create a confusion matrix
        test results.groupBy('label', 'prediction').count().show()
        +----+
        |label|prediction|count|
          2.01
                   0.0
                         59|
          1.0
                   1.0
                         70
          0.0|
                   1.0
                        495
                   0.01
                        141
          1.0
                   2.0
          2.0
                         43
          2.0
                   1.0
                         44
          1.0
                   2.0
                         23
                   0.0 2056
          0.0
          0.0
                   2.0
                       198
```

from pyspark.ml.evaluation import MulticlassClassificationEvaluator In [33]:

```
In [34]: acc_eval = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator()
    acc = acc_eval.evaluate(test_results)
    print("Accuracy of model at predicting: {}".format(acc))
```



Accuracy of model at predicting: 0.7440091662279948

- Not very good result! (~74%)
- Solution: Try switching out the classification models! Or even try to come up with other engineered features!...

Use LogisticRegression/Random Forest

Logistic Regression

```
In [35]: from pyspark.ml.classification import RandomForestClassifier, LogisticRegression
In [36]: lg = LogisticRegression(maxIter=20, regParam=0.3, elasticNetParam=0)
In [37]:
         predictor 1 = lg.fit(training)
In [38]: test results 1 = predictor 1.transform(testing)
In [39]: | # Create a confusion matrix
         test_results_1.groupBy('label', 'prediction').count().show()
         +----+
         |label|prediction|count|
            2.0
                      0.0
                            144
                      1.0
            1.0
                              1|
            0.01
                      1.0
                              4
                      0.0
                            233
            1.0
            2.0
                      2.0
            2.0
                      1.0
                              1|
            0.0
                      0.0 2744
                      2.0
            0.0
In [40]:
         acc eval = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator()
         acc 1 = acc eval.evaluate(test results 1)
         print("Accuracy of model at predicting: {}".format(acc_1))
         Accuracy of model at predicting: 0.8226357404655656
```

In [41]: | ## Higher accuracy but not better result!!!

```
WINGOIN 101006
```

```
In [42]: rf = RandomForestClassifier(labelCol="label", \
                                  featuresCol="features", \
                                  numTrees = 500, \
                                  maxDepth = 5, \
                                  maxBins = 64)
In [43]: | predictor_2 = rf.fit(training)
In [44]: test results 2 = predictor 2.transform(testing)
In [45]: # Create a confusion matrix
        test_results_2.groupBy('label', 'prediction').count().show()
        +----+
         |label|prediction|count|
           2.0
                     0.0 146
                     0.0 234
           1.0
           0.0
                     0.0 2749
        +----+
        test results 2.groupBy('prediction').count().show()
In [46]:
         +----+
         |prediction|count|
           -----+
                0.0 | 3129 |
         +-----
In [47]: | acc eval = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator()
        acc_2 = acc_eval.evaluate(test_results_2)
        print("Accuracy of model at predicting: {}".format(acc_2))
        Accuracy of model at predicting: 0.8217587374516523
```

Need to resample data

Higher accuracy but too bad result!!!

In [48]:

```
In [49]:
         like df = training.filter(col("label") == 0)
         neutral_df = training.filter(col("label") == 1)
         not like df = training.filter(col("label") == 2)
         ratio 1 = int(like df.count()/neutral df.count())
         ratio 2 = int(like df.count()/not like df.count())
         print("ratio like/neutral: {}".format(ratio_1))
         print("ratio like/not like: {}".format(ratio 2))
         ratio like/neutral: 11
         ratio like/not like: 19
In [50]:
         # resample neutral
         a1 = range(ratio 1)
         # duplicate the minority rows
         oversampled neutral df = neutral df.withColumn("dummy",
                                                          explode(array([lit(x) for x in a1
                                                          .drop('dummy')
          # combine both oversampled minority rows and previous majority rows
          combined df = like df.unionAll(oversampled neutral df)
          combined df.show(10)
          |label|
                             features
            0.0 (51949, [0], [1.025...
            0.0 (51949, [0], [1.025...
            0.0 (51949, [0,1,2,3,4...
            0.0 | (51949, [0, 1, 2, 3, 4... |
            0.0 (51949, [0, 1, 2, 3, 4...
            0.0 (51949, [0, 1, 2, 3, 4...
            0.0 | (51949, [0,1,2,3,4...
            0.0 (51949, [0, 1, 2, 3, 4...
            0.0 (51949, [0,1,2,3,4...
            0.0 (51949, [0, 1, 2, 3, 4...
         only showing top 10 rows
         combined df.groupBy("label").count().show()
In [51]:
         +----+
          |label|count|
          +----+
            0.0 6273
            1.0 5918
          +----+
```

```
In [53]: combined_df.groupBy("label").count().show()

+----+
|label|count|
+----+
| 0.0| 6273|
| 1.0| 5918|
| 2.0| 6099|
+----+
```

Naive Bayer

```
In [54]: predictor_4 = nb.fit(combined_df)
In [55]: test_results_4 = predictor_4.transform(testing)
```



```
In [56]: test_results_4.groupBy('label', 'prediction').count().show()
```

```
+----+
|label|prediction|count|
  2.0
           0.0
                121
           1.0
                 30
  1.0
  0.0
           1.0
                125
           0.0
                193
  1.0
  2.0
           2.0
                 14
  2.0
           1.0
                 11
  1.0
           2.0
                 11
           0.0 2566
  0.0
  0.0
           2.0
                 58|
```

```
In [57]: acc_eval = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator()
    acc_4 = acc_eval.evaluate(test_results_4)
    print("Accuracy of model at predicting: {}".format(acc_4))
```

Accuracy of model at predicting: 0.8179081830591779

Logistic Regression

```
In [58]: predictor 5 = lg.fit(combined df)
In [59]: test results 5 = predictor 5.transform(testing)
        test_results_5.groupBy('label', 'prediction').count().show()
In [60]:
           ---+---+
         |label|prediction|count|
           2.0
                     0.0 120
                     1.0
                            25
           1.0
           0.0
                     1.0
                            57
                     0.0
                           205
           1.0
           2.0
                     2.0
                            17
           2.0
                     1.0
                             9|
           1.0
                     2.0
                             4
           0.0
                     0.0 2678
           0.0
                     2.0
                            14
```

Accuracy of model at predicting: 0.8383409421434534

print("Accuracy of model at predicting: {}".format(acc_5))

acc_eval = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator()
acc_5 = acc_eval.evaluate(test_results_5)

In [61]:

Random Forest



```
In [62]:
         predictor 3 = rf.fit(combined df)
In [63]: | test_results_3 = predictor_3.transform(testing)
In [64]:
         test_results_3.groupBy('label').count().show()
         +----+
         |label|count|
         +----+
           0.0 | 2749 |
           1.0 234
           2.0 146
         +----+
In [65]: # Create a confusion matrix
         test_results_3.groupBy('label', 'prediction').count().show()
         +----+
         |label|prediction|count|
            2.0
                             95|
                      0.0
                      1.0
           1.0
                             9|
            0.0
                      1.0
                             23|
            1.0
                      0.0
                            211
            2.0
                      2.0
                             45
            2.0
                      1.0
                              6
           1.0
                      2.0
                             14
                      0.0 | 2645 |
            0.0
            0.0
                      2.0
                             81
In [66]: acc eval = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator()
         acc 3 = acc eval.evaluate(test results 3)
         print("Accuracy of model at predicting: {}".format(acc_3))
         Accuracy of model at predicting: 0.8349933724328554
In [67]: | ## Higher accuracy and better result. But not very good!
         ## Do you have another solution???
```