

# **Chapter 11: NLP**

# Ex1: Ham vs Spam

Requirement: Build a spam filter. Use the various NLP tools and a new classifier, Naive Bayes, to predict if one email is ham or spam.

 Dataset: UCI Repository SMS Spam Detection: <a href="https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/SMS+Spam+Collection">https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/SMS+Spam+Collection</a>)

 (https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/SMS+Spam+Collection)

```
import findspark
In [1]:
        findspark.init()
In [2]: from pyspark.sql import SparkSession
        spark = SparkSession.builder.appName('nlp').getOrCreate()
In [3]:
In [4]: | data = spark.read.csv("smsspamcollection/SMSSpamCollection",
                               inferSchema=True,
                               sep='\t')
In [5]: data = data.withColumnRenamed('_c0','class').withColumnRenamed('_c1','text')
In [6]: | data.show(5)
         |class|
                                text
           ham | Go until jurong p... |
           ham Ok lar... Joking ...
         | spam|Free entry in 2 a...|
           ham|U dun say so earl...
           ham Nah I don't think...
        only showing top 5 rows
```

## **Clean and Prepare the Data**

```
** Create a new length feature: **
```

```
In [7]: from pyspark.sql.functions import length
```

```
data = data.withColumn('length',length(data['text']))
In [9]: data.show(5)
         ----+----+
                           text|length|
        |class|
          ham | Go until jurong p... |
                                  111
          ham|Ok lar... Joking ...|
                                   29
         spam|Free entry in 2 a...|
                                  155
          ham|U dun say so earl...|
                                   49|
          ham|Nah I don't think...|
                                   61
        +----+
        only showing top 5 rows
In [10]: # Pretty Clear Difference
        data.groupby('class').mean().show()
        +----+
        |class|
               avg(length)|
          ham | 71.45431945307645 |
        | spam|138.6706827309237|
        +----+----+
```

#### **Feature Transformations**

#### The Model

We'll use Naive Bayes, but feel free to play around with this choice!

```
In [14]: from pyspark.ml.classification import NaiveBayes
```

```
In [15]: # Use defaults
    nb = NaiveBayes()
```



#### **Pipeline**

### **Training and Evaluation!**

```
In [20]: | clean_data = clean_data.select(['label', 'features'])
In [21]:
          clean data.show(10)
           llabell
                               features
              0.0 (13424, [7, 11, 31, 6...)
             0.0 (13424, [0, 24, 297, ...
              1.0|(13424, [2,13,19,3...)
              0.0 (13424, [0,70,80,1...
              0.0 | (13424, [36, 134, 31...
             1.0 (13424, [10, 60, 139...
              0.0 (13424, [10, 53, 103...
              0.0 | (13424, [125, 184, 4... |
              1.0 | (13424, [1,47,118,... |
              1.0 | (13424, [0,1,13,27....
          only showing top 10 rows
```

```
In [22]: (training,testing) = clean_data.randomSplit([0.7,0.3])
In [23]: spam_predictor = nb.fit(training)
```

```
T T
```

```
In [24]: data.printSchema()
       root
        |-- class: string (nullable = true)
        |-- text: string (nullable = true)
        |-- length: integer (nullable = true)
In [25]: test results = spam predictor.transform(testing)
In [26]: test results.show(10)
        |label|
                       features
                                   rawPrediction|
                                                       probability|predictio
        0.0|(13424,[0,1,2,7,8...|[-805.18281628272...|[1.0,1.5026888754...|
                                                                        0.
       01
          0.0|(13424,[0,1,3,9,1...)[-571.08015050035...][0.999999999999989...]
                                                                        0.
       0
          0.0|(13424, [0,1,7,8,1...|[-1151.0845440365...|[1.0,1.7344215452...|
                                                                        0.
       0|
          0.0|(13424,[0,1,9,14,...|[-560.99061513047...|[1.0,1.1693266509...|
                                                                        0.
       01
          0.0|(13424,[0,1,9,14,...)[-560.99061513047...][1.0,1.1693266509...]
                                                                        0.
       0
          0.0|(13424, [0,1,12,33...|[-443.53614103103...|[1.0,4.2387365649...|
                                                                        0.
       01
          0.0|(13424,[0,1,18,20...)[-830.74555602901...][1.0,4.1260268479...]
                                                                        0.
       0
          0.0|(13424, [0,1,21,27...|[-757.45725664880...|[1.0,4.2310444011...|
                                                                        0.
       0|
          0.0|(13424, [0,1,21,27...|[-1025.2055750518...|[1.0,9.2583979062...|
                                                                       0.
       01
          0.0|(13424, [0,1,24,31...|[-343.57478257252...|[1.0,7.9081642069...|
                                                                        0.
       01
          only showing top 10 rows
In [27]: test results.groupBy("label", "prediction").count().show()
        +----+
        |label|prediction|count|
          1.0
                   1.0
                        217
          0.0
                   1.0
                        152
          1.0
                   0.0
                          8|
                   0.0 | 1323 |
          0.0
        +----+
```

In [28]: from pyspark.ml.evaluation import MulticlassClassificationEvaluator



```
In [29]: acc_eval = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator()
    acc = acc_eval.evaluate(test_results)
    print("Accuracy of model at predicting spam was: {}".format(acc))
```

Accuracy of model at predicting spam was: 0.9148755595026191

Not bad considering we're using straight math on text data! Try switching out the classification models! Or even try to come up with other engineered features!