

Project Assessment

To assess your project work, you should be able to answer the following questions:

1. For query classification:
 1. How many unique categories did you see in your rolled up training data when you set the minimum number of queries per category to 100? To 1000?
 - i. Threshold 100: 720 categories
 - ii. Threshold 1000: 163 categories
 2. What values did you achieve for P@1, R@3, and R@5? You should have tried at least a few different models, varying the minimum number of queries per category as well as trying different fastText parameters or query normalization. Report at least 3 of your runs.

Round	Params	Results
1	Min query / category: 100 Fasttext: -epoch 25 \ -lr .1 \ -loss hs	N 56365 P@1 0.293 R@1 0.293 N 56365 P@3 0.148 R@3 0.444 N 56365 P@5 0.101 R@5 0.507
2	Min query / category: 1000 Fasttext: -epoch 25 \ -lr .1 \ -loss hs	N 56366 P@1 0.347 R@1 0.347 N 56366 P@3 0.172 R@3 0.517 N 56366 P@5 0.116 R@5 0.582
3	Min query / category: 1000 Fasttext: -epoch 25 \	N 56366 P@1 0.332 R@1 0.332 N 56366 P@3 0.166 R@3 0.499

	<pre>-lr .05 \ -loss hs \ -wordNgrams 2</pre>	<pre>N 56366 P@5 0.113 R@5 0.563</pre>
--	---	---

2. For integrating query classification with search:

1. Give 2 or 3 examples of queries where you saw a dramatic positive change in the results because of filtering. Make sure to include the classifier output for those queries.

<p>flashdrive</p> <p>Analysis: Good first prediction, should probably limit the prediction to 1 or increase the score threshold; The search without query classification produces no results</p>	<p>[X] label: abcat0504010, score: 0.12476956844329834, name: USB Flash Drives [X] label: pcmcat242800050021, score: 0.11017191410064697, name: Health, Fitness & Sports [X] label: pcmcat248700050021, score: 0.10797383636236191, name: Home</p>
<p>ear piec</p> <p>Analysis: The classifier seems works well with misspelled words</p>	<p>[X] label: abcat0515038, score: 0.19110167026519775, name: Speakers & Headsets [X] label: pcmcat143000050011, score: 0.1645003855228424, name: Over-Ear & On-Ear Headphones [X] label: abcat0802000, score: 0.14901256561279297, name: Telephones & Communication</p>
<p>beat head phones</p> <p>Analysis: Returning much better results comparing to the default</p>	<p>[X] label: pcmcat143000050011, score: 0.3178328573703766, name: Over-Ear & On-Ear Headphones [X] label: pcmcat144700050004, score: 0.3021498918533325, name: All Headphones [X] label: pcmcat143000050007, score: 0.1499129831790924, name: Earbud Headphones</p>
<p>internal harddrive</p> <p>Analysis: The search without query classification produces no</p>	<p>[X] label: abcat0504001, score: 0.625261664390564, name: Hard Drives [X] label: pcmcat247400050000, score: 0.11751869320869446, name: PC Laptops</p>

results	
---------	--

2. Given 2 or 3 examples of queries where filtering hurt the results, either because the classifier was wrong or for some other reason. Again, include the classifier output for those queries.

Resident evil 4 HD Analysis: Wrong predictions, probably it has HD in it	[X] label: pcmcat247400050000, score: 0.27900516986846924, name: PC Laptops [X] label: abcat0101001, score: 0.115447998046875, name: All Flat-Panel TVs
i cook	[X] label: abcat0515028, score: 0.18950538337230682, name: Laptop Bags & Cases
guitar hero	[X] label: pcmcat245100050028, score: 0.13957132399082184, name: Office