

MAT246 - Concepts in Abstract Mathematics

Callum Cassidy-Nolan

September 14, 2019

Contents

1	Lecture 1	9
1.1	Induction	9
2	Lecture 2	13
2.1	Proof of Induction	13
2.2	Division	14
3	Lecture 3	17
3.1	There is no largest prime	17
4	Lecture 4	19

List of Definitions

1	Definition (The principle of mathematical induction)	9
2	Definition (Extended principle of mathematical induction)	11
3	Definition (Well Ordering Principle)	13
4	Definition (Divides)	14
5	Definition (Prime)	14
6	Definition (Complete Induction)	15

List of Theorems

1	Theorem (Product of Primes)	14
2	Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)	19

Chapter 1

Lecture 1

1.1 Induction

Note 1

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

Definition 1 (The principle of mathematical induction)

suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

If

- $1 \in S$
- $k + 1 \in S$ whenever $k \in S$

Then

$$\boxed{S = \mathbb{N}}$$

The principle of mathematical induction is simply saying if 1 is in S then $2, 3, \dots$ is also in S

Example 1.1.1

Prove

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \underbrace{1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}}_{\chi}$$

Proof.

Let $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \chi \text{ holds} \}$ At this point we don't know what S consists of but we must

show it is \mathbb{N} , then we can conclude that the formula holds for all natural numbers. We commence by verifying that $1 \in S$, we have

$$1^2 = \frac{1(1+1)(2+1)}{6}$$

both the right hand side and left hand side are equal to each other, so the formula holds for 1.

We will now show if $k \in S$ then $k+1 \in S$. We assume that $k \in S$, that is :

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6}$$

We observe that if we add $k+1$ to both sides of the above equation we get the left hand side, of what we want to prove.

$$\begin{aligned} 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2 + (k+1)^2 &= \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^2 \\ &= \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1) + 6(k+1)^2}{6} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(k(2k+1) + 6(k+1))}{6} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(2k^2 + 7k + 6)}{6} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)}{6} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)}{6} \end{aligned}$$

After working out the right hand side it is the original formula with $k+1$ subbed in. Therefore we have shown that if $k \in S$ then $k+1 \in S$ as wanted, thus by the principle of mathematical induction

$$S = \mathbb{N}$$

.

■

Definition 2 (Extended principle of mathematical induction)

This is the same as normal induction, though now we don't have to start with 1. If

- *Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, n_0 \in S$*
- *$k \in S \implies k + 1 \in S$*

Then

$$S \supseteq \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}$$

Observe that S is only a subset of these numbers as these are the ones that are guaranteed to be in S , there may be others.

Example 1.1.2

Prove for all integers n greater than or equal to 7 that the following holds:

$$\underline{n!} \geq 3^n \chi$$

Proof.

Let S be the set of all natural numbers that χ holds for. We verify that $7 \in S$

$$\underline{7!}_{5040} \geq \underline{3^7}_{2187}$$

therefore 7 satisfies χ and so $7 \in S$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we assume χ holds for k , that is

$$k! \geq 3^k$$

We will prove

$$(k+1)! \geq 3^{k+1}$$

We observe that $(k+1)! = (k+1)k!$, but recall that we assumed that $k! \geq 3^k$ so we have

$$k!(k+1) \geq 3^k(k+1)$$

Recall that $k \geq 7$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq 3^k 8 \\ &\geq 3^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we've shown that

$$(k+1)! \geq 3^{k+1}$$

as required, and so

$$S \supseteq \{7, 8, 9, \dots\}$$

■

Chapter 2

Lecture 2

Definition 3 (Well Ordering Principle)
Every subset of \mathbb{N} other than \emptyset has a smallest element.

2.1 Proof of Induction

Remark 2.1.1

We accepted the Principle of Mathematical Induction, though we should prove it.

Recall, the Principle of Mathematical Induction, suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, if

- $1 \in S$
- $k + 1 \in S$ whenever $k \in S$

then

$$S = \mathbb{N}$$

We'll prove the statement

Proof.

Let $T = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \notin S\}$. suppose that $T \neq \emptyset$, therefore by the Well Ordering Principle we know that T has a smallest element, let n_0 be that element. Note that $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_0 \neq 1$ since $1 \in S \therefore 1 \notin T$, therefore $n_0 \geq 2$.

since $n_0 \geq 2$ we know $n_0 - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $n_0 - 1 \notin T$ since n_0 is the smallest element in T .

$$n_0 - 1 \notin T \implies n_0 - 1 \in S$$

But by property 2, of S we know that if $n_0 \in S$ then $n_0 \in S$, though this is a contradiction as $n_0 \notin S$

Therefore $T = \emptyset$ and $S = \mathbb{N}$ ■

2.2 Division

Definition 4 (Divides)

for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ we say that a divides b if there exists a $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$b = ca$$

And we say

$$a \mid b$$

Remark 2.2.1

$2 \cdot 3.5 = 7$, though our definition is only for natural numbers, since no $c \in \mathbb{N}$ gives $2 \cdot c = 7$

Definition 5 (Prime)

$p \in \mathbb{N}$ is prime if the only divisor of p are 1 and p and $p \neq 1$

Example

- 7 is prime, since the only divisor is 1 and 7
- 10 is not prime, 2 and 5 divide 10

Theorem 1 (Product of Primes)

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 1$ n can be written as a product of primes

Example

- $42 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$
- $12 = 3 \cdot 2^2$

Definition 6 (Complete Induction)

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

- if $n_0 \in S$
 - and $k + 1 \in S$ when $n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots, k \in S$

Then

$$S \supseteq \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}$$

We will prove the product of primes theorem

Proof.

Let $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{theorem holds for } n\}$ we will prove

$$S = \mathbb{N}$$

- 2, is prime therefore it is a product of primes and so the Base Case holds.
- We assume if $2, 3, \dots, k \in S$ then $k + 1 \in S$
 - **Case 1:** $k + 1$ is prime, then we are done like the base case
 - **Case 2:** $k + 1$ is not prime, then there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 < m < k + 1$ and $m \mid k + 1$ by definition this means

$$k + 1 = c \cdot m, \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{N}$$

observe that $1 < c < k + 1$ since if $c = 1, c = k + 1$ or if larger we get a contradiction.

Therefore we can use the Induction Hypothesis on c and m to write them both as a product of primes, multiplying them together gives us a new product of primes equal to $k + 1$ as required.

Therefore by the principle of complete induction we can say that

$$S \supseteq \{2, 3, \dots\}$$

though we want to show that $S = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ observe that 1 is not a product of primes as it is not prime and also not composite, therefore $1 \notin S$ so $S = \{2, 3, \dots\}$ ■

The intuition behind this proof comes from the fact that if we take a number say 24 it is either prime or not, in this case it is not, and we can write it as $24 = 6 \cdot 4$ then by an inductive argument, we already know that 6 and 4 are already product of primes so we are done. We will show next that in fact this is a unique product.

Chapter 3

Lecture 3

Recall from last lecture we showed that every natural number besides 1 can be written as a product of primes. Thus we have the following

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1 \implies n \text{ is divisible by some prime}$$

Let's call the above α

3.1 There is no largest prime

Proof.

suppose by contradiction p is the largest prime, that is

$$\{2, 3, \dots, p\}$$

are all the primes. Let $m = (2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot p) + 1$, we note that for any $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, p\}$ they must not division m as they each of a remainder of 1. We observe that $m \geq 1$ thus by α we know that there exists some $q \in \mathbb{N}$ where q is prime such that

$$q \mid m$$

So then $q \neq 2, 3, \dots, p$ and so we have found a new prime, which contradicted that we had found all primes, so we get a contradiction, therefore there is no largest prime. ■

Chapter 4

Lecture 4

Theorem 2 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every natural number other than 1, is a product of primes (proved last lecture) and the primes in the product are unique (including multiplicity) except for the order in which they occur.

Recall given $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 1$, n is a product of primes that is

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k-1} p_k$$

for example

$$180 = 9 \cdot 10 \cdot 2 = 3^2 5^1 2^2$$

So equivalently we have

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 < n \implies n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$$

where p_i are distinct primes and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$

We will prove that the prime factorization of any natural number greater than 1 has is unique by contradiction.

Proof.

- Suppose there are some numbers with two distinct factorizations into primes.
- Let \mathcal{X} be the set of these numbers, observe that $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ thus by the Well Ordering Principle we let n be the smallest such number in \mathcal{X} , we have

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k-1} p_k = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l$$

(Note here we aren't using powers, but we allow for repeated primes, and that p_i, q_j are primes)

- Suppose that the two product of primes share at least one factor, say $p_r = q_r$, then in each product of primes they cancel out and we get that a new smaller number that can be written as a product of primes, though this would cause a contradiction since we assumed n was the smallest such number with this property.
 - Therefore all the p_i are different than the q_j
- Since we know $p_i \neq q_j$ then specifically $p_1 \neq q_1$ if this is true there are two cases either $p_1 \leq q_1$ or $p_1 \geq q_1$.

- **Case 1:** $p_1 < q_1$

- We note $n = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l > p_1 q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l$
- $p_1 q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l < n \Leftrightarrow 0 < n - p_1 q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l$
- Note that $p_i, q_j \in \mathbb{N}$ so the product of any of them is also an element of the naturals, and then also $n - p_1 q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l \in \mathbb{N}$.

This part

Why?

- Note that $m < n \implies m$ has a unique factorization into primes, we know

- $m = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k-1} p_k - q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l = p_1 (p_2 \cdots p_{k-1} p_k - q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l)$
- $m = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l - p_1 q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l = (q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l) (q_1 - p_1)$

* Together

$$p_1 (p_2 \cdots p_{k-1} p_k - q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l) = \underbrace{(q_2 \cdots q_{l-1} q_l)}_{\chi} (q_1 - p_1)$$

- The left hand side of the above tells us that p_1 is a prime factor of m which means it is also a factor of the right hand side.
 - But observe $p_1 \nmid \chi$ since $p_1 \neq q_j$

- Therefore it must be that $p_1 | (q_1 - p_1)$ this is true if and only if $p_1 | q_1$ since q_1 is prime this means that $p_1 = 1$ or $p_1 = q_1$ either of which are contradictions, therefore

What does this
contradict?

■