

(2) commends Western States that maintain comprehensive systems for the quantification of rights to use water for all beneficial purposes, including environmental protection and enhancement.

Mr. CAMPBELL. Mr. President, I rise to submit a Resolution commemorating 50 years of adjudicating water rights under the McCarran Amendment and commending Western States' management of water.

Rather than simply go into the Resolution itself, I would like to put the Amendment in its proper historical context.

Unlike the Eastern United States, the history of the West, its settlement, and even its founding, is closely linked to the Federal Government. We should remember that Lewis and Clark and so many other courageous explorers who mapped the Western territories were funded by the United States government. We should also be mindful that much of what we know as the West was purchased or otherwise acquired by the United States Government including the Louisiana Purchase of 1803 and the 1848 Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo.

However, just because the Federal Government might have acquired the Western territories didn't mean that people wanted to move there. The West was a rough place, harsh land and harsher winters were enough to keep most folks back East. Again, the United States took action to promote Westward expansion by implementing laws like the Homestead Act to encourage people to relocate.

Eventually, the dream of discovering gold and mining precious metals was the catalyst that got people moving West, and eventual completion of the trans-continental railroad provided the means. Each Western territory developed into a distinct State, based on the makeup of its constituents, diverse as the Mormons of Utah to the Spanish and Mexican-Americans of New Mexico and to the Great Plains Indians and other Tribes.

No matter the reason why people moved West, they all needed water as precious and scarce a resource then as it is today. New industries and cities to sprout up that needed water to survive and a way to manage it.

Water law out West is as distinct from the East as are the histories of the two great regions of our Nation. In the West, water is a rare commodity, and is therefore regarded as a property right under the law sold apart from the land.

Since water was such a scarce resource, each State managed water based on its particular resources, geography, population, and municipal and industrial needs. Yet, Western States all recognized and favored water adjudication systems according to the doctrines of prior appropriation and beneficial use.

State management of water worked rather smoothly for decades. Then after World War II, during the new Deal's expansive programs, the Federal

government sought to realign and trump the established States' interest in water to some degree. On one hand, the Federal Government believed it to be acting in its own interest since Uncle Sam owned much of the West. The United States still owns thirty-seven percent of my State of Colorado.

The United States rode roughshod over State interests, often completely ignoring private property rights and resisting cooperative agreements to manage water. The States fought Federal arm twisting as best as they could, but couldn't do much against the U.S. as sovereign. The Federal bullying got so bad that in 1951, a Readers Digest article criticized the U.S.'s strong arm tactics in the famous Santa Margarita water conflict stating that, "the lack of moral sensitivity in our Government has put into jeopardy thousands of our small landowners; their property, homes, savings and their future."

Thankfully, Senator PATRICK MCCARRAN of Nevada along with other likeminded Senators, successfully defended States' interests and got a very simply provision passed into law. In short, the law that we are celebrating today waives the United States' sovereign immunity so that it could be joined in general state adjudications of rights to use water.

Although a simple concept, the McCarran Amendment effectively leveled the playing field, requiring Uncle Sam to work within the State system he implicitly helped to establish.

The breadth of the McCarran Amendment has been defined by U.S. Supreme Court cases. The Court concluded that although the amendment itself might be short in length, its effect was far reaching. The High Court stated that McCarran was "an all inclusive statute concerning the adjudication of 'the rights to the use of water of a river system'" which "has no exceptions" and "includes appropriat[ive] rights, riparian rights, and reserved rights."

It is undeniable that the history of the West is linked to the Federal Government. Since the Federal Government maintains vast landholdings, the future of the West will also be linked to Uncle Sam. Similarly, the management of property and natural resources, of which water is both, has been and shall remain a State function.

The purpose of the McCarran Amendment was to prevent federal bullying of private and state interests in managing water, and to recognize water as a State resource. McCarran encourages the Federal Government to work together with the States.

I am submitting this resolution today at a time when much of the West is still under or will likely experience severe drought conditions. The Federal Government must remember the history of the McCarran amendment and look to the States in adjudicating water.

SENATE RESOLUTION 184—CALLING ON THE GOVERNMENT OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA IMMEDIATELY AND UNCONDITIONALLY TO RELEASE DR. YANG JIANLI, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

Mr. KYL (for himself, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. BROWNBACK, Mr. MCCAIN, and Mr. ALLEN) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 184

Whereas, according to the Department of State's 2002 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices in China, the Government of the People's Republic of China has "continued to commit numerous and serious [human rights] abuses," including "instances of . . . arbitrary arrest and detention, lengthy incommunicado detention, and denial of due process";

Whereas according to the report, "the country's criminal procedures were not in compliance with international standards," the "lack of due process in the judicial system remained a serious problem," and "authorities routinely violated legal protections in the cases of political dissidents";

Whereas Dr. Yang Jianli, an internationally renowned scholar, pro-democracy activist, and president of the Foundation for China in the 21st Century, is an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States who has been detained incommunicado by the Government of the People's Republic of China since April 26, 2002;

Whereas according to the United Nations Commission on Human Rights Resolution 1997/38 of April 11, 1997, "prolonged incommunicado detention may . . . itself constitute a form of cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment," which is prohibited by international law;

Whereas Dr. Yang Jianli has been deprived of his basic human rights by being denied access to legal counsel and contact with his wife and two children (who are United States citizens), and has also been denied his right to trial within a reasonable time or to release pending trial;

Whereas, on June 3, 2003, the United Nations Working Group on Arbitrary Detention expressed the opinion that "[t]he non-observance of Mr. Yang Jianli's right to a fair trial is of such gravity as to give his deprivation of liberty an arbitrary character. Therefore, his arrest and detention is arbitrary being in contravention of Article 9 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and Article 9 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights."; and

Whereas the arbitrary imprisonment of United States citizens and permanent resident aliens by the Government of the People's Republic of China and the continuing violations by the Government of their fundamental human rights demands a forceful response by Congress and the President of the United States: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved,

SECTION 1. CONDEMNATION OF THE TREATMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT OF CHINA OF DR. YANG JIANLI.

The Senate—

(1) condemns and deplores the incommunicado detention of Dr. Yang Jianli, and calls for his immediate and unconditional release;

(2) condemns and deplores the lack of due process afforded to Dr. Yang; and

(3) strongly urges the Government of the People's Republic of China to consider the

implications for the broader relationship between the United States and the People's Republic of China of detaining permanent resident aliens of the United States without providing them access to legal counsel or family members.

SEC. 2. SENSE OF THE SENATE.

It is the sense of the Senate that the United States should—

(1) make the immediate release of Dr. Yang Jianli by the Government of the People's Republic of China a top priority of United States foreign policy;

(2) continue to make every effort to assist Dr. Yang Jianli and his family while discussions of his release are ongoing;

(3) ensure that the Government of the People's Republic of China understands that the detention of United States citizens and permanent resident aliens, and the infliction of human rights violations on these groups, is not in the interests of the Government of the People's Republic of China because it will reduce the opportunities for cooperation between the United States and the People's Republic of China;

(4) reiterate its deep concern regarding the continued imprisonment of Dr. Yang Jianli and other United States citizens and permanent resident aliens whose human rights are being violated; and

(5) engage in discussions with the Government of the People's Republic of China regarding the legal status and immediate humanitarian needs of these United States citizens and permanent resident aliens.

Mr. KYL. Mr. President, I rise today to submit a resolution calling on the government of the People's Republic of China to release Dr. Yang Jianli, an internationally renowned scholar and pro-democracy activist, who has been detained in China since April 2002 without access to legal counsel, contact with his family, or a trial. Dr. Yang, a U.S. permanent resident, is a mathematician and economist who lives in Massachusetts. He heads the Foundation for China in the 21st Century, a group that advocates democratization in China.

On June 3, the U.N.'s Working Group on Arbitrary Detention condemned China's detention of Dr. Yang, finding that the Chinese government has violated his rights as a citizen of China and as a resident of the U.S. The panel declared that, "The nonobservance of Dr. Yang's right to a fair trial is of such gravity as to give his deprivation of liberty an arbitrary character. Therefore, his arrest and detention is arbitrary being in contravention of Article 9 of the Universal Declaration on Human Rights and Article 9 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights."

In recognition of the U.N. working group's conclusions, as well as the Chinese government's blatant rejection of them, the State Department officially called for Dr. Yang's release, stating, "We are particularly disturbed now by China's public rejection of an accepted international process and the findings of the independent and impartial panel of jurists, so we are urging China to comply fully with international obligations that it has assumed, and we urge that Dr. Yang be released and allowed to return to his wife and children in Boston."

The resolution that I am submitting with my colleagues goes hand-in-hand with the State Department's support for Dr. Yang. It expresses the sense of the Senate that the U.S. should: 1. make the immediate release of Dr. Yang Jianli a top foreign policy priority; 2. make clear to the Chinese government that the detention of U.S. citizens and permanent residents is not in its best interests; and 3. express the deep concern of the U.S. regarding the imprisonment of Dr. Yang and other U.S. citizens and permanent residents, as well as discuss their legal status and humanitarian needs.

I would like to note that a similar resolution—submitted by Representatives Cox and Frank—is expected to be considered today by the House of Representatives.

As I have stated repeatedly, if China wants to become a productive and respected member of the international community, it must begin to adhere to accepted norms of behavior. China's leaders seem to be oblivious to the understanding that all people deserve certain basic freedoms and that violation of such fundamental rights is an appropriate concern of the United States and the world at large. We should make clear that the Chinese government's continued detention of Yang Jianli and others—in violation of these international norms—will adversely impact our bilateral relations. Without such pressure, the behavior of China's leaders is unlikely to change, and the voices of those who have devoted their lives to the cause of freedom—like Yang Jianli—will continue to be silenced.

I hope that my colleagues in the Senate will join me in strongly supporting this resolution and in calling for Dr. Yang Jianli's release.

Ms. MIKULSKI. Mr. President, I rise to join Senator KYL in submitting a resolution calling for the immediate release of Dr. Yang Jianli. Dr. Yang Jianli. Dr. Yang is a democracy activist who has since been held incommunicado in China for more than a year. Dr. Yang is being held in violation of his human rights and international law. He should be freed now.

Dr. Yang Jianli is a scholar and important democracy activist in his home State of Massachusetts where he is founder and president of the Foundation for China in the 21st Century. Jianli is a permanent United States resident who continues to work for democracy in his native China.

Dr. Yang was taken into custody when he returned to China on April 26, 2002. He has been held incommunicado since then. His family in Massachusetts and Maryland are understandably concerned about his welfare.

The U.S. Department of State has called for China to release Dr. Yang. So has the United Nations Working Group on Arbitrary Detention. The Chinese government refuses to admit to detaining this man illegally. However, the U.N. Working Group on Arbitrary De-

tention says, "The non-observance of Dr. Yang's right to a fair trial is of such gravity as to give his deprivation of liberty an arbitrary character. Therefore, his arrest and detention is arbitrary being in contravention of Article 9 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and Article 9 of the International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights." In other words, the Chinese government never bothered to charge Yang Jianli with a crime—they just locked him up and threw away the key.

We can assist by increasing the pressure of the Chinese government and support the U.N. petition on Dr. Yang's behalf. Being deprived of his basic human rights of access to legal counsel and contact with his wife and children is wrong. When China wanted most-favored-nation trade status, we heard a lot of lip service to human rights and democracy. Dr. Yang Jianli's case shows the true face of China's government. They locked him up because he wanted to speak out about democracy and human rights.

I strongly urge the Chinese government to respond to the continual requests for Yang's freedom by the United States government and human rights groups around the world. The House is taking up a similar resolution today. I hope that the Senate will act quickly to add our voices in calling for freedom for Yang Jianli.

Mr. BROWNBACK. Mr. President, after more than a year of suffering abuse and incommunicado detention, I urge this body to call for the immediate and unconditional release of Chinese democracy activist, Dr. Yang Jianli.

Dr. Yang, a permanent resident of the United States, a respected scholar, a pro-democracy advocate, president of the Foundation for China in the 21st Century, as well as a loving husband and father, is now a prisoner and victim of shameful abuse by the Chinese government.

Following his participation in the 1989 Tiananmen Square pro-democracy student protests, Dr. Yang was added to an unofficial blacklist of expatriate Chinese dissidents. Upon his return to the country in 2002, Dr. Yang was detained and has been denied access to his family, legal counsel and due process.

The resolution submitted today in the Senate coupled with H. Res. 199, strongly calls for the release of Dr. Yang Jianli and condemns the People's Republic of China for ongoing deplorable human rights abuses. Clearly, it is not in their interest to deny human rights to any United States citizen or U.S. permanent resident alien.

Let this also be an additional chance to voice our regret and deep concern for the continual abuse of the people in China. Dr. Yang Jianli understands this better than most. He has devoted his life to the cause of democracy and freedom for the people for China and has been, once again, silenced.

China must know and the world must know that denial of basic human rights will no longer be tolerated. Dr. Yang is just one of the many, who suffer daily under the harsh rule of those who refuse to embrace democracy. We must let his story and his voice be heard for the millions of others who can not speak out. Let us continue to pressure the People's Republic of China and let us continue to stand for what is right and just around the world.

SENATE RESOLUTION 185—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE WITH RESPECT TO RAISING AWARENESS AND ENCOURAGING EDUCATION ABOUT SAFETY ON THE INTERNET AND SUPPORTING THE GOALS AND IDEALS OF NATIONAL INTERNET SAFETY MONTH

Ms. MURKOWSKI (for herself, Mr. STEVENS, and Mr. INOUE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 185

Whereas, in the United States, 48 million children between the ages of 5 and 17 use computers;

Whereas 5 to 17 year-olds in the United States currently spend 5 billion hours on-line annually;

Whereas 70 million youth under the age of 18 worldwide are on-line;

Whereas the majority of teenagers' on-line use occurs after school, at home, when working parents are not at home;

Whereas 90 percent of those age 15 to 24 use the Internet, with almost half of them using it once a day or more;

Whereas approximately 3 out of 4 young people have access to the Internet at home, and nearly 1 in 3 has access from their own bedroom;

Whereas 9 out of 10 children between ages 8 and 16 have viewed pornography on the Internet, with most being accessed unintentionally when, often in the process of doing homework, a child used a seemingly innocent sounding word in an Internet search for information or pictures;

Whereas 62 percent of parents of teenagers are unaware that their children have accessed objectionable websites;

Whereas 89 percent of sexual solicitations were made in either chat rooms or Instant Messages;

Whereas 30 percent of the girls responding to a Girl Scout research study reported that they had been sexually harassed in a chat room, but only 7 percent told a parent about the harassment, most fearing their parents would overreact and ban computer usage altogether;

Whereas, in 1996, the Federal Bureau of Investigation was involved in 113 cases involving Internet crimes against children, but in 2001, the FBI opened 1,541 cases against suspects of Internet crimes involving child pornography or abuse; and

Whereas June as National Internet Safety Month will provide national awareness of the dangers of the Internet while offering education about how to be safe, responsible, and accountable on the Internet: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Senate that—

(1) National Internet Safety Month provides an opportunity to educate the people of the United States on the dangers of the

Internet and the importance of being safe and responsible on-line;

(2) national and community organizations should be recognized and applauded for their work in promoting awareness of the dangers of the Internet and for providing information on developing the critical thinking and decision-making skills to be safe on-line; and

(3) Internet safety organizations, law enforcement, educators, and volunteers should increase their efforts to raise the awareness of on-line safety.

SENATE RESOLUTION 186—COMMENDING AUGUST HIEBERT FOR HIS SERVICE TO THE ALASKA COMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY

Mr. STEVENS (for himself and Ms. MURKOWSKI) submitted the following resolution; which was ordered held at the desk:

S. RES. 186

Whereas Augie Hiebert came to Alaska in 1939 and built the first successful commercial radio station;

Whereas on Dec. 7, 1941, Augie Hiebert picked up the first report of the raid on Pearl Harbor from his radio station in Fairbanks, Alaska giving military leaders the first word of the attack that began World War II;

Whereas in 1953, Augie Hiebert founded Alaska's first television station;

Whereas Augie Hiebert established Alaska's first FM radio station and was named president of the Alaska Broadcasting system, overseeing the affiliation of nine stations that serve all major Alaska communities;

Whereas Augie Hiebert helped establish Alaska's first satellite earth station activated in 1970;

Whereas Augie Hiebert led in the development of the Territory and State of Alaska, working for over a half century to pioneer modern radio and television on behalf of the broadcast industry;

Whereas Augie Hiebert has been a pillar of the Alaska community as president of the Anchorage Chamber of Commerce and the Association of the U.S. Army in Alaska, and as director of the Alaska Educational Broadcasting Committee, the CBS Television Network Affiliates Association, the Civil Air Patrol, and the Pioneers of Alaska: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Senate that Augie Hiebert is commended for his service to the communications industry in Alaska and the world and for bringing the best that broadcasting has to offer to the people of Alaska.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 1044. Mr. BAYH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to make improvements in the medicare program, to provide prescription drug coverage under the medicare program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1045. Mr. CHAMBLISS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1046. Mr. CHAMBLISS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1047. Mr. LEVIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the

bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1048. Mr. LEVIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1049. Mr. LEVIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1050. Mr. LEVIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1051. Mr. ENZI (for himself, Mrs. LINCOLN, Mr. PRYOR, and Ms. MURKOWSKI) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1, supra.

SA 1052. Mr. EDWARDS (for himself and Mr. HARKIN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1, supra.

SA 1053. Mr. AKAKA submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1054. Mr. FEINGOLD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1055. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for herself, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. KERRY, and Mr. LAUTENBERG) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1004 proposed by Mrs. HUTCHISON to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1056. Mr. SHELBY (for himself, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. COCHRAN, Mr. LOTT, and Mrs. MURRAY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1057. Mrs. DOLE (for herself and Mr. EDWARDS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1058. Mr. CRAIG submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1059. Mr. HATCH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1060. Mr. BAUCUS (for Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for himself, Mr. NICKLES, Mr. CHAFEE, and Mr. GRAHAM, of South Carolina)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1, supra.

SA 1061. Mr. BAUCUS (for Mr. AKAKA (for himself and Mr. INOUE)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1, supra.

SA 1062. Mr. REID (for Mrs. BOXER) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 974 proposed by Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mr. LEAHY, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. DURBIN, and Mr. KOHL) to the bill S. 1, supra.

SA 1063. Ms. COLLINS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1064. Ms. SNOWE (for herself, Mr. ROCKEFELLER, and Mr. SMITH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1065. Mr. BINGAMAN (for himself, Mr. DOMENICI, Ms. MIKULSKI, and Mrs. LINCOLN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1, supra.

SA 1066. Mr. BINGAMAN proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1, supra.

SA 1067. Mrs. LINCOLN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1068. Mrs. LINCOLN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.