

Precision Photonic Readout for Cryogenic IR Focal Planes

VI-3679

Visidyne, Inc.
10 Corporate Place
South Bedford Street
Burlington, MA 01803-5168
781-273-2820
Geert Wyntjes*, wyntjes@visidyne.com
Alex Newburgh, newburgh@visidyne.com
John Atkinson, atkinson@visidyne.com

Abstract— Maintaining fidelity: signal-to-noise, bandwidth and linearity of the signals from high performance IR focal planes on their way from the cold finger to the warm outside is as important as generating them in the first place. They are susceptible to electro magnetic interference (EMI) and it is often important to minimize heatload on the cryogen.

We will describe a Photonic Read-out that addresses these issues and uses a passive input transducer in the form of an optical waveguide phase modulator to encode the focal plane voltages as differential phase shifts between guided optical beams, a fiber optic link to the outside and a fast, high resolution optical receiver and digital interferometer to recover the focal plane signals induced phase shifts as digital numbers. The high input impedance of the transducer and minimal heatloss through the single mode optical fibers all contribute to a greatly reduced heatload. We will present quantitative data demonstrating signal-to-noise, bandwidth as well as visible and IR imagery transmitted and processed by a prototype design.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION
2. DESIGN CONCEPT
3. EXPERIMENTAL DEMONSTRATION
4. DISCUSSIONS/CONCLUSIONS
5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
6. REFERENCES

1. INTRODUCTION

Cryogenically cooled Infrared Focal Plane Arrays (IRFPA's) produce large bandwidth (Mpixels/sec), small (picowatt, nanovolt) signals. During their transmission from the dewar cold finger to the warm outside world for further processing they are highly susceptible to Electro Magnetic Interference (EMI). This susceptibility has impaired a number of critical missions^[1]. A number of efforts to minimize the perils of EMI such as the Mosaic Array Data Compression and Processing (MADCAP)^[2] scheme have been initiated. MADCAP proposes to address the issue of EMI by moving the processing electronics, Analog-to-Digital Converters (ADC's), Digital Signal Processing (DSP) chips to or near

the FPA. The processed signals would then be transmitted as a high rate digital bit stream. This requires specialized chip designs and packaging techniques to operate at reduced temperatures, and in addition would increase the heatload on the dewar while potentially causing EMI of its own. An effort to overcome the low temperature limits of the electronics using superconducting elements for the electronics, has been sponsored by BMDO at JPL and TRW^[3]. However, one potential problem appears to be a serious impedance mismatch between the FPA and the superconducting ADC.^[4] A natural solution in light of the success of optical, analog and digital communication networks is to consider an optical method of transmission through the modulation of an optical carrier by the FPA signal. In fact, a number of attempts to design such a capability have been made. They have either been based on the direct current modulation of a laser diode intensity with the signals from the FPA^[5] or indirect intensity modulation using an interferometric device in the form of a Mach-Zehnder (MZ) interferometer implemented as an optical waveguide.^[6] Both these approaches, while having sufficient bandwidth, fall short in terms of resolution and precision since both these modulation processes are inherently non-linear, affected by operating point stability and suffer from added noise due to relative laser intensity noise (RIN). A solution Visidyne has chosen is to modulate the phase of the optical carrier by varying the optical path difference between two optical beams through the strictly linear electro-optical process. Once the beams are outside the dewar, the FPA signals are recovered as a binary weighted digital representations of the original signal.

2. DESIGN CONCEPT

The overall concept for the Precision Photonic Readout or Cryolink is illustrated in Figure 1. The voltage from the IRFPA detector is applied, with or without additional amplification, gain G , to a balanced pair of optical waveguide modulators. These sustain a single polarization and spatial mode, and are commonly implemented on a LiNbO_3 crystal substrate. They are physically small, $< 1''$ long, and a few mm wide. The applied voltage introduces a path difference of approximately 125 nanometers per volt, or for a wavelength of $\lambda = 800 \text{ nm}$, a signal voltage of

approximately 3.4 V will introduce a phase shift of ≈ 400 nm or $\lambda/2$, half a cycle, π radians, a value often called V_π . An optical fiber fed pair, driven in a push-pull configuration, would then require a voltage of V_π for a full cycle of phase shift, 2π . One advantage of waveguide modulators lies in their low heat dissipation due to their very high impedance. In addition, the modulator response is strictly linear with applied voltage (see inset of Figure 1), over many cycles of phase, up to the breakdown voltage limit of at least 10 cycles. The modulator remains nearly instantaneous in their signal response for frequencies greater than 3 GHz. The response, for a given V_π voltage, is also absolute and unaffected by temperature. As outlined in Figure 1, the light from a small laser diode external to the dewar is brought in by a single mode fiber, and equally divided into the two arms of the waveguide modulator. Two exit fibers then bring the two output beams having the differential phase shift $\phi = V \cdot G / V_\pi$ to an outside interferometer/receiver after which a digital phase processor recovers the phase shift as a digital representation $\Phi = \phi_{min}^{2(n-1)} + 2^{(m-1)}$, where the first term to

the right of the equation, ϕ_{min} , represents the fractional phase and the second term the number of whole cycles.

Typically the minimally resolvable phase value approaches $\phi_{min} = 10^{-4}$ cycles for a bandwidth of > 10 MHz in an optimized configuration. This phase value phase is equivalent to a path difference resolution of approximately 80 pm. The second term is usually limited to 1 to 2 cycles, for a total dynamic range of 2×10^4 (≈ 13 bits, or 78 dB). The key difference between this approach and those cited previously, is instead of carrying the signal information as in intensity modulation, the information is carried as a differential phase shift between two optical beams on carriers. The fundamental advantage of modulating the phase, is that the signal phase is independent of the received light level, power on the receiver, including those due to changes in laser output and fiber losses. Because phase is recovered as a ratio between two signals, it is also not affected by laser intensity noise, making it possible to reach shot noise limited sensitivity down to very low frequencies.




Figure 1: The Cryogenic Optical Link (Cryolink)

Precision High Resolution Phase Recovery

It is critical to the success of the design to recover the phase $\phi(t) = G(t) \cdot V/V_\pi$ between the two beams at some fundamental, shotnoise or detector noise limit at large bandwidth (>10 MHz) and with a high degree of precision, linearity, and DC stability. At Visidyne, the optical phase is recovered interferometrically by projecting the two optical outputs of relative phase, $\phi(t)$, onto a detector array (see Figure 2). The two optical outputs make a spatial fringe

pattern, the change in position of which is linearly related to $\phi(t)$. As the optical phase changes, the fringe pattern shifts along the detector plane. The position of the fringe pattern is therefore linearly related to the voltage applied to the waveguide modulator. The position of the spatial fringe pattern is measured by sampling the spatial fringe pattern at $1/4$ spatial wavelength intervals by interleaving the groups of 4 detectors and summing the results (see Figure 2) producing the following signals,

$$I_R = I_{DC} + I_A(\cos 2\pi\phi(t) + 0) + I_N$$

$$I_S = I_{DC} + I_A(\cos 2\pi\phi(t) + 1/4) + I_N$$

$$I_T = I_{DC} + I_A(\cos 2\pi\phi(t) + 1/2) + I_N$$

$$I_U = I_{DC} + I_A(\cos 2\pi\phi(t) + 3/4) + I_N$$

where I_R, I_S, I_T and I_U correspond to 90° intervals, $1/4$ cycle of the spatial fringe patterns, I_{DC} is a common DC brightness level and I_{AC} a common AC amplitude, proportional to


interference fringe visibility and I_N is added noise. One way to solve for the phase, $\phi(t)$, is to arrange them as two quadrature signals,

$$I_X = I_R - I_T$$


$$I_Y = I_S - I_U$$

The two signals, $I_X(t)$ and $I_Y(t)$ minus the DC component, after being digitized to e.g., 13 bits are applied to a high speed > 20 MHz coordinate transformation chip. The chip then computes the instantaneous phase up to a resolution of 13 bits using an arc tangent transformation and a high speed look-up table equivalent to $\phi(t) = \tan^{-1} I_Y(t)/I_X(t)$,

Due to the ratiometric nature of this process the effect of any added laser intensity noise I_N is then cancelled and remains unaffected by temperature and operating point while having excellent resolution and bandwidth capability. A tableau showing the various elements of Cryolink: V-Groove Interferometer, Detector Array, Phase Processor design as well as their physical implementation is shown in figure 2.




Interferometer/Detector Array




Phase Processor

99-518



Interferometer/ Processor



Detail of Interferometer

Figure 2: Elements of Cryolink

The encoding of the signal as phase modulation introduces a problem which does not exist when an intensity modulation method is employed. Since the exit fibers are part of the interferometer, any path differences between the fibers, e.g., due to temperature, pressure, vibration manifest themselves as equivalent signals or phase errors. As the least significant bit may represent a phase shift of $1/2^{13}$ cycles or a path difference of $\lambda/2^{13} = 0.1$ nm, the two fiber lengths should be kept to a relative difference to fractions of a nanometer. For the case of e.g. of 3 meters of fiber length a relative, differential stability of $1/2^{13}$ which would appear to be a formidable challenge. In practice, as will be shown, the required degree of stability can be realized over the period of a video frame, e.g., 10 to 30 msec.

A number of factors contribute to optical fiber length stability. First, the optical fibers are made of the highly homogeneous material, fused silica and therefore very predictable effects with temperatures and pressure. When the two optical fibers are packaged in close proximity, a high degree of common mode rejection to environmental influences results. For example, a single fiber's optical path length changes by 17 cycles/ m °C, two bundled fibers have a differential optical path difference is reduced to 0.5 cycles/ meter °C. Secondly, since any drift due is only important as it occurs over a period of a frame, drift rates per frame of $< 10^{-4}$ cycle are then readily attainable as temperature changes greater than 0.1 °C/sec are unlikely in a normal environment such as a satellite.

$$\varphi_{tot} = \frac{1}{m_e} \left(\frac{2eB}{R_i P_d} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2^{(n-1)}} + \frac{ds}{dT} \frac{dT}{dt} \Delta l_{frame} \sigma + \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \Delta l_{frame} + \epsilon_{det} + \epsilon_{proc}$$

The first term on the right is the detector shot noise component where $e = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ C, B the detector/preamp bandwidth (5 MHz), R_i the detector response (0.6 A/Watt) and P_d the detector power and m_e is the modulation efficiency of the interferometer. Due to the finite width of the detector elements, $m_e = 0.7$. For $P_d \geq 1$ mW, the detector shot noise dominates, $\varphi_{tot} = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ cycles.

The second term is associated with the quantification noise of the processor. For full scale e.g. ± 5 Volts signal, the phase resolution of the processor is $\geq 1/2^{13} = 10^{-4}$ cycles.

The third term represents the influence a temperature drift on the differential optical path length of the paired fibers. Assuming a change in the thermo-mechanical strain, $ds/dT = 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ [cycles/°C m], and fiber pair matched to a length, $l = 1$ mm, temperature drift rate of $dT/dt = 0.1$ °C/sec, a wavelength of $\sigma = 1/\lambda = 12,000$ cm⁻¹, and IRFPA frame rate of e.g. 100 frames/sec, $t_{frame} = 10$ msec, $\varphi(t)$ will result in a total phase error of 1.8×10^{-7} cycles.

The fourth term represents the phase drift due to a wavelength change rate of $d\sigma/dt \cdot \Delta l$. With even a minimal

Other Sources of Error

There are a number of other sources of error, random and systematic, which may affect the performance of the Cryolink optical readout. Random sources include detection/preamp, thermal and shot noise statistics as well as quantization errors of the A/D and phase processor.

As already discussed, systematic errors due to the thermo-mechanical strain in the exit fibers may create a path difference between the two optical fibers. Or the laser diode wavelength may drift due to a temperature/current change. Small errors associated with non-uniformities between pixel sensitivity, as well as mismatch between the pitch of the interferometer fringe pattern and the detector spacing array may also occur. Additionally, computational errors in the phase processor are present in the calculation of the phase. These errors can be collectively described as φ_{tot} , expressed in cycles or as an equivalent input noise voltage, $e_i = (\varphi_{tot} V_\pi)/G$,

laser diode temperature control, that phase drift is negligible. The last two terms, describing the detector and processor noise, ϵ_{det} and ϵ_{proc} each will be below $< 10^{-4}$ cycle.

Heatload, Radiation Effects

Heatload Considerations

In case of long duration missions, such as SBIR's-Low, the heatload imposed on the detector cooling engine is of importance. There are many contributors to that, including thermal conductance through the drive and readout wires for the focal plane. The dissipation associated with driving the shielded cryocable can also be significant. It is a function of the pixel rate, voltage swing and load capacitance C_c of the cable. An estimate by Lomheim^[7] arrives at a figure of ≈ 16 mwatt for a pixel rate of 10 Mpixels/sec., a voltage swing at ≈ 2 volt and a cryocable $C_c \approx 180$ pf. The low capacitance of the waveguide modulators of ≈ 3 to 4 pf would reduce heatload then by \approx a factor 50. The minimal thermal loss through the single mode fibers, estimated to be less than a few microwatts may also be a positive.

Radiation Effects

The issue of radiation effects, nuclear hardening of the photonic read-out has also been raised. No detailed analysis or measurements were however performed. The following comments may shed some light on this issue. The two likely effects from radiation are: 1) darkening of the modulator and or fibers and 2) fluorescence due to ionizing radiation. Since the measured phase is independent of light level, except for a loss in the signal to noise, a e.g. 10% darkening would not be significant.

In case of fluorescence, a flash of light, its broadband nature, low coherence make it highly unlikely that any significant fringe signal would be produced. The photonic read-out main advantage may well be indirect, its small size may make shielding of the focal plane area easier and may be more important, by moving the electronic read-out and processing off the focal plane to a remote location, a more robust technology for radiation effects, such as bipolar vs. CMOS, as may have already been dictated by dissipation and operating temperatures considerations could be selected.

3. EXPERIMENTAL DEMONSTRATION

A measure of the Cryolink equivalent input noise was made by applying a 1500 Hz signal to the optical transducer. The transmitted signal was recorded and a spectrum analysis was performed. As can be seen in Figure 3, the noise level is nearly white with only a slight increase below 100 kHz, reaching a value of $7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ Volts/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ for most of the 2.5 MHz bandwidth. The main spectral component at 1500 Hz, not visible in this plot, was measured to have a value of 1.7 Volts. Since the total noise Volts/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}} \cdot \sqrt{(2.5 \text{ MHz})}$

bandwidth), a signal-to noise ratio is approximately equal to the baseline noise level of $7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ (SNR) of $1.7/(1.1 \cdot 10^{-3}) \approx 1500$ was calculated. The low noise characteristics of the Cryolink system are also evident when viewed in the time domain. A test of the digital transmission capabilities were performed by applying a series of 10 and 0.8 μsec pulses to the waveguide modulator (see Figure 4). The sub-microsecond response of the Cryolink system to the pulses is clearly visible in the transmitted data as well as a large SNR.

Various qualitative image tests of the Cryolink system were performed and are presented in the Figures 5, 6 and 7. The results of the first test are shown in Figure 5 which displays a "frame grabbed" IR Amber camera video frame after Cryolink transmission. Evidently, no apparent degradation to the 128 X 256 pixel image was introduced by the Cryolink system when compared to the identical frame as recorded directly from the Amber camera.

The test was repeated using the higher resolution video Pulnix camera to generate an image of a test pattern consisting of dashes and dots ranging from 1 to 32 pixels image in size. The test pattern of the Cryolink transmitted image (Figure 6) compares very well with the direct camera output image. Line quality remains straight and dots remain visible to nearly the single pixel size.

Finally a video of our sponsor logo (AFRL) (Figure 7) was transmitted and recorded. A slight herring bone pattern is evident in the recorded which has been traced to the 10 MHz sampling timing signal required by the digitizing circuitry. Reduction in this noise pattern will come with improved filtering techniques and change to a printed circuit construction from the current brassboard construction.




Figure 3: The Cryolink Noise Spectrum





Figure 4: Example of Cryolink Transmitted Tranient Data

128 X 256 Cryolink Transmitted IR Video Image





Figure 5: The Same Image Direct to Frame Grabber

A 640 x 480 Cryolink Transmitted Image





Figure 6: The Same Image Direct to Frame Grabber

4. DISCUSSION/CONCLUSIONS

The described photonic read-out based upon the results obtained so far appears to be a most viable alternative to the electronic read-outs and processors presently under development.


Significant improvements, number of bits, bit rate can be realized with further relative minor optimizations on increase in laser power, reduce optical coupling losses, etc. In fact, the very nature of converting an input signal into bright fringe signals can bestow a gain of 2.5 bits in resolution over an electronic read-out and digitization. This makes the technology, essential a remote Analog-to-Digital Converter a useful tool to digitize signals in difficult situations; remote, low and high temperature, high levels of radiation, etc. The main issues that needs to be addressed are better manufacturing techniques to improve optical efficiency, throughput and therefore performance. Also, additional testing, vibration, and shock to measure their effect on the exit fibers' differential length as might be the case where these fibers are flexed going over a gimbal.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work presented here has had a long gestation period with many individuals providing crucial and timely support. They include Dr. Thomas Cunningham of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, who defined the original requirements for the fiber optic readout and who forced us to address some important technical issues. Mr. David Cardimona of the Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) who early on appreciated its potential and Dr. Paul LeVan of the AFRL and Dr. Marc Widgor of the Nichols Research Co. who saw its utility for the Air Force and BMDO missions.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Dr. Paul LeVan, *Private conversation with of AFRL*, Albuquerque, NM.
- [2] Dr. O. Milton, *Briefing Material from SBIRS LOW Day*, USA, SSDC, 1 May, 1997.
- [3] Superconductors for IR Imaging, AV Week April 20, page 60, 1998.
- [4] Dr. Thomas Cunningham of JPL, *Private conversation*.
- [5] Hyde et al., *IRFPA Optical Interface, Proceedings, SPIE*, Vol 2226, 1993.
- [6] Johnston et al., *Optical Links for Cryogenic Focal Plane Array Readout, Optical Engineering*, 33, (6) – 2013-2019, June 1994.
- [7] Lomheim, T.S. et al., *Performance/sizing Relationships for a Short-Wave /Mid-wave IR Scanning Point-Source - Detection Space Sensor* - Presented at the 1999 IEEE Aerospace Conference, Aspen, CO, March 1999.



GEERT WYNTJES, Senior Scientist, has a broad range of experience and competence in all aspects of instrument theory and practice, and is an authority on interferometer design and low-level detection technology.

Professional Background

Mr. Wyntjes' specialty is the field of high resolution phase measurements as applied to optical interferometry and high resolution laser and RF ranging systems. He has concentrated his activities in the area of using spatial heterodyne patterns to measure optical phase to a resolution as high as one part in 10^{-9} up to a maximum range as high as 10^9 , unique in the field of optical interferometry. He has also been involved in Photonics, where he made pioneering contributions in the use of optical waveguide modulation and diode lasers to build all-photonic amplifiers for RF and microwave receivers. In Mr. Wyntjes' previous capacity as chief engineer at the OPTRA company, he was successful in bringing a number of optical products to the market place, including a Non-Contact Laser Extensometer, a hand-held Optical Dial Gage, and a Precision Scale Readout System. Mr. Wyntjes received a BS degree in electrical engineering from the Technical College, Groningen, Netherlands in 1956.



Figure 7: A Video Image of our Sponsor's Logo