

## Heuristic Analysis

This work presents 3 heuristics for achieving better performance than the `ID_Improved` agent. Each one increases the complexity in terms of computation and implementation. Let's analyze each one:

### Center moves

#### Intuition

The more moves at the center this player can make, the better the outcome of the game will be.

#### Implementation

This function simply subtracts the available opponent's center moves from the available player's center moves. Center moves are defined as the inner `3x3` rectangle of the board.

### Center moves with blanks

#### Intuition

Same as the above but we scale according to the available blank squares.

#### Implementation

Call `center_moves()` and divide the result by the number of blank squares.

### Uber heuristic

#### Intuition

The ultimate heuristic (as the name suggests :) )! Rewards the player more when he has more available moves and center moves as opposed to his opponent. Finally, the result is scaled by the player's remaining moves.

## Implementation

The heuristic implements the following formula:

$$Score = \frac{(P_{moves} + P_{center\ moves}) - (O_{moves} + O_{center\ moves}) - B}{B + P_{moves} - O_{moves}}$$

where  $P$  is the player,  $O$  is the opponent and  $B$  is the number of blank squares.

## Evaluation

The `tournament.py` script was run 3 times for each individual heuristic. The results are presented in the following table:

Heuristic	ID wins	ID win %	Student wins	Student win %
center moves	347/420	0.826	330/420	0.786
center moves with blanks	347/420	0.826	333/420	0.792