


**EG3521 ENGINEERING THERMODYNAMICS  
ASSESSMENT OF ORAL PRESENTATION – SESSION 2013/14**

**EDWARD MANNINGHAM-BILLER**

|                         |               |  |                |
|-------------------------|---------------|--|----------------|
| <b>Group Number:</b> 14 | <b>Title:</b> |  | <b>Marker:</b> |
|-------------------------|---------------|--|----------------|



**STANDARD ACHIEVED**

**TECHNICAL**

|                         |   | *1 | 1 | 2:1 | 2:2 | 3 | F |  |
|-------------------------|---|----|---|-----|-----|---|---|--|
| Introduction            | Clear, concise, focussed  |    |   | Δ   | ○   |   |   | Rambling, confused, poorly focussed          |
| Knowledge/understanding | Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features |    |   | ○ Δ |     |   |   | Superficial, no real grasp of salient issues |
| Discussion/conclusions  | Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed                              |    |   | ○ Δ |     |   |   | Not well defined, not relevant               |

**PRESENTATION**

|                       |  |  |  |   |   |  |  |   |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Delivery              | Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised                        |  |  | Δ |   |  |  | Read, inaudible, too fast/slow, disorganised                          |
| Overheads/slides etc. | Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable |  |  |   | Δ |  |  | Difficult to understand/read, poorly labelled, not integrated to talk |
| Questions             | Able to answer succinctly and argue logically                            |  |  | Δ | ○ |  |  | Unable to answer questions  |

**OVERALL ASSESSMENT**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |                      |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|----------------------|
| Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recommended CAS Mark |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|----------------------|

## EG3521 Engineering Thermodynamics – Oral Presentation

Comments/Feedback (50-100 words):

|               |        |         |
|---------------|--------|---------|
| Group Number: | Title: | Marker: |
|---------------|--------|---------|

- Although you have delivered your slides ~60mins after the deadline (16/05 at 5pm), we decided ~~to~~ <sup>to</sup> not deduct any CSS mark. Please, do make sure that any further assignment is delivered in time.
- In general; it was a good presentation, ~~and~~
- There was no slide discussing the relevance of the subject to the thermodynamic course;
- Some slides were ~~too~~ "polluted" with words (more graphically-oriented; slides are usually better), and in some, the fonts were too small;
- Good discussion though