## EG3521 ENGINEERING THERMODYNAMICS ASSESSMENT OF ORAL PRESENTATON – SESSION 2013/14

Group Number:	Title:		Marker:
STANDARD ACHIEVED	High		Low
TECHNICAL		*1 1 2:1 2:2 3	<u> </u>
Introduction	Clear, concise, focussed	\sqrt{0}	Rambling, confused, poorly focussed
Knowledge/understanding	Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features	VOX	Superficial, no real grasp of salient issues
Discussion/conclusions	Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed	KO A	Not well defined, not relevant
PRESENTATION			
Delivery	Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised	70	Read, inaudible, too fast/slow, disorganised
Overheads/slides etc.	Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable		Difficult to understand/read, poorly labelled, not integrated to talk
Questions	Able to answer succinctly and argue logically	∇ O ⊕ ×	Unable to answer questions
OVERALL ASSESSMENT			
	Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors		Recommended CAS Mark
			Andreas I recommendate to the control of the contro

EG3521 Engineering Thermodynamics - Oral Presentation

Comments/Feedback (50-100 words):

				3	
Marker:	3			understanding if a few rais & diaprams a	-
M M				The second second	>
	W.	J.E.		4	
	3			3	
		32		3	
	18	F		3	>
	\$	Š		5	>
	18.	3	· \	5	>
	M	\$	`	18	
	tent, making them difficult & Jollan,	wishert thoughou the hierartation,	and the second	3	
	73	Dig.		3	
	3	$\mathcal{Q}$		1	
	£	13	9	3	<b>=</b>
	18	3		\$	
Title:	/\§	E	,5		
••	Mides Contain too muc	Tent stude was mot e	John John	It would have feat	imellud
Group Number:	ş	B		H	2
Group	S	1	3	A.	
		_			