EG3521 ENGINEERING THERMODYNAMICS ASSESSMENT OF ORAL PRESENTATON – SESSION 2013/14

Group Number:	Title:		Marker:
STANDARD ACHIEVED	High		Low
TECHNICAL		*1 1 2:1 2:2 3	¥
Introduction	Clear, concise, focussed		Rambling, confused, poorly focussed
Knowledge/understanding	Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features	0 ×	Superficial, no real grasp of salient issues
Discussion/conclusions	Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed	X	Not well defined, not relevant
PRESENTATION			
Delivery	Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised		Read, inaudible, too fast/slow, disorganised
Overheads/slides etc.	Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable	TO X	Difficult to understand/read, poorly labelled, not integrated to talk
Questions	Able to answer succinctly and argue logically	[<u>]</u>	Unable to answer questions
OVERALL ASSESSMENT			
	Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors		Recommended CAS Mark

Page 1 of 2

EG3521 Engineering Thermodynamics - Oral Presentation

Comments/Feedback (50-100 words):

	Group Number:	Title:	Marker:
3	and over II mesembetical	emplation;	
- J	and that sheakens were	New ware introduced in the betimaling;	·
_ \{ \}	resentation has little	little conmection with the manuscript;	•