

## Answers 10

Q1.

(a)

RANS solver – a computer code which solves for average, not instantaneous, flow quantities. (For theoretical work “average” refers to a probabilistic or “ensemble” average).

(b)

(i) *Eddy-viscosity models*: (deviatoric) stress  $\propto$  mean rate of strain.

(ii) *Non-linear eddy-viscosity models*: Reynolds stresses modelled as non-linear functions of the mean-velocity gradients.

(iii) *Differential stress models*: solve transport equations for all Reynolds stresses.

(iv) *Large-eddy simulation*: carry out a full time-dependent simulation of resolvable motions, with modelling only of subgrid (i.e., unresolvable) scales.

Q2.

Dimensions:

$$[\rho] = \text{ML}^{-3}$$

$$[\mu_t] = \text{ML}^{-1}\text{T}^{-1}$$

$$[k] = \text{L}^2\text{T}^{-2}$$

$$[\varepsilon] = \text{L}^2\text{T}^{-3}$$

$$[\omega] = \text{T}^{-1}$$

Since only  $\mu_t$  and  $\rho$  contain M as a dimension, the expression for  $\mu_t$  in terms of  $\rho$ ,  $k$  and  $\varepsilon$  must be of the form

$$\mu_t = \text{constant} \times \rho k^a \varepsilon^b$$

Equating powers of the fundamental dimensions:

$$\text{L: } -1 = -3 + 2a + 2b$$

$$\text{T: } -1 = -2a - 3b$$

Solving gives  $a = 2$ ,  $b = -1$ ; i.e.

$$\mu_t = \text{constant} \times \rho \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}$$

Similarly, the expression for  $\mu_t$  in terms of  $\rho$ ,  $k$  and  $\omega$  must be of the form

$$\mu_t = \text{constant} \times \rho k^c \omega^d$$

Equating powers of the fundamental dimensions:

$$\text{L: } -1 = -3 + 2c$$

$$\text{T: } -1 = -2c - d$$

Solving gives  $c = 1$ ,  $d = -1$ ; i.e.

$$\mu_t = \text{constant} \times \rho \frac{k}{\omega}$$

Q3.

(a)

$$\frac{DU_1}{Dt} = \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial t} + U_1 \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_1} + U_2 \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_2} + U_3 \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{DU}{Dt} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + W \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}$$

Similarly, by expanding, or by inspection of the result above:

$$\frac{DV}{Dt} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + W \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}$$

(b) It is required to sum over all  $i$  and all  $j$ . A good way is to separate cases where  $i = j$  and  $i \neq j$ :

$$P^{(k)} = - \left( \overline{u^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \overline{v^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + \overline{w^2} \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \right) \\ - \overline{vw} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} \right) - \overline{wu} \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \right) - \overline{uv} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)$$

(c) When  $i = j$  the two parts of the sum are the same, so

$$P_{11} = -2 \overline{u_1 u_k} \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_k} = -2 \left( \overline{u_1 u_1} \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_1} + \overline{u_1 u_2} \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_2} + \overline{u_1 u_3} \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_3} \right) \\ = -2 \left( \overline{uu} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \overline{uv} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \overline{uw} \frac{\partial U}{\partial w} \right)$$

When  $i \neq j$  there are, unfortunately, twice as many terms:

$$P_{12} = -\overline{u_1 u_k} \frac{\partial U_2}{\partial x_k} - \overline{u_2 u_k} \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_k} \\ = - \left( \overline{u_1 u_1} \frac{\partial U_2}{\partial x_1} + \overline{u_1 u_2} \frac{\partial U_2}{\partial x_2} + \overline{u_1 u_3} \frac{\partial U_2}{\partial x_3} \right) - \left( \overline{u_2 u_1} \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_1} + \overline{u_2 u_2} \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_2} + \overline{u_2 u_3} \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_3} \right) \\ = - \left( \overline{uu} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \overline{uv} \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + \overline{uw} \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} \right) - \left( \overline{vu} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \overline{vv} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \overline{vw} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \right)$$

(d)

$$M_{ii} = M_{11} + M_{22} + M_{33} = \text{trace}(\mathbf{M}) \quad (\text{also written as } \{\mathbf{M}\})$$

$$M_{ij} M_{ji} = (\mathbf{M}^2)_{ii} \quad (\text{by definition of matrix multiplication}) \\ = (\mathbf{M}^2)_{11} + (\mathbf{M}^2)_{22} + (\mathbf{M}^2)_{33} \\ = \text{trace}(\mathbf{M}^2) \quad (\text{also written as } \{\mathbf{M}^2\})$$

Similarly,

$$M_{ij} M_{jk} M_{ki} = \text{trace}(\mathbf{M}^3)$$

Q4.

(a) By definition,

$$P^{(k)} \equiv -\overline{u_i u_j} \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j}$$

This is a sum over all  $i$  and  $j$ . However, if  $\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}$  is the only non-zero mean-velocity gradient then there is only a non-zero contribution when  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . Hence, in simple shear,

$$P^{(k)} \rightarrow -\overline{u_1 u_2} \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x_2} = -\overline{uv} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}$$

(b) By definition,

$$P_{ij} \equiv -\left( \overline{u_i u_k} \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_k} + \overline{u_j u_k} \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_k} \right)$$

This is a sum over all  $k$ . However, if  $\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}$  is the only non-zero mean-velocity gradient then there is only a non-zero contribution to the first term when  $j = 1$  and  $k = 2$  and to the second term when  $i = 1$  and  $k = 2$ . Hence, in simple shear,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{11} &= -2\overline{uv} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}, & P_{22} &= P_{33} = 0 \\ P_{12} &= -\overline{v^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}, & P_{23} &= P_{31} = 0 \quad (P_{ji} = P_{ij} \text{ in any flow}) \end{aligned}$$

(c) By definition,

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

In simple shear,

$$(S_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S^2 \equiv 2S_{ij}S_{ij} = 2 \times (\text{sum of elements})^2 = 2 \times 2 \times \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right)^2$$

Hence,

$$S = \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right|$$

(d) By definition,

$$\Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

In simple shear,

$$(\Omega_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Omega^2 = 2\Omega_{ij}\Omega_{ij} = 2 \times (\text{sum of elements})^2 = 2 \times 2 \times \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right)^2$$

Hence,

$$\Omega = \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right|$$

Q5.

(a)

$$P^{(k)} \equiv \overline{-u_i u_j} \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_k} = \overline{-u_i u_j} (S_{ij} + \Omega_{ij}) \quad (*)$$

where

$$S_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_i} \right) = \text{mean strain (symmetric)}$$

$$\Omega_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_i} \right) = \text{mean vorticity (antisymmetric)}$$

But  $\overline{u_i u_j}$  is symmetric, whereas  $\Omega_{ij} = -\Omega_{ji}$  so that all  $\Omega$ -related contributions to (\*) will cancel in pairs. Hence,

$$P^{(k)} = \overline{-u_i u_j} S_{ij}$$

(b) The anisotropy tensor is defined by

$$a_{ij} = \frac{\overline{u_i u_j}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij}$$

Hence,

$$\overline{u_i u_j} = k a_{ij} + \frac{2}{3} k \delta_{ij}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(k)} &= \overline{-u_i u_j} S_{ij} \\ &= -(k a_{ij} + \frac{2}{3} k \delta_{ij}) S_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

But for the second term in the summation:

$$\delta_{ij} S_{ij} = S_{ii} = S_{11} + S_{22} + S_{33} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (\text{incompressibility})$$

Hence, in incompressible flow,

$$P^{(k)} = -k a_{ij} S_{ij}$$

(c) The eddy-viscosity hypothesis gives

$$-\overline{u_i u_j} = \nu_t S_{ij} - \frac{2}{3} k \delta_{ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow -k a_{ij} = \nu_t S_{ij}$$

Hence, in incompressible flow,

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(k)} &= \nu_t S_{ij} S_{ij} \\ &= \nu_t \times (\text{sum of elements of } S_{ij} \text{ squared}) \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Q6.

(a)

$$\phi = k^m \varepsilon^n \Rightarrow \varepsilon = \phi^{1/n} k^{-m/n}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= C_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \\ &= C_\mu k^{2+m/n} \phi^{-1/n} \end{aligned}$$

(b) For ANY differential of  $\phi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi &= d(k^m \varepsilon^n) \\ &= mk^{m-1} \varepsilon^n dk + k^m n \varepsilon^{n-1} d\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d\phi}{\phi} = m \frac{dk}{k} + n \frac{d\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{\phi} \frac{D\phi}{Dt} = \frac{m}{k} \frac{Dk}{Dt} + \frac{n}{\varepsilon} \frac{D\varepsilon}{Dt} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\phi} \nabla \phi = \frac{m}{k} \nabla k + \frac{n}{\varepsilon} \nabla \varepsilon$$

From the first of these,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{\varepsilon} \frac{D\varepsilon}{Dt} &= \frac{1}{\phi} \frac{D\phi}{Dt} - \frac{m}{k} \frac{Dk}{Dt} \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi} \left\{ \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(\phi)} \nabla \phi) + (C_{\phi 1} P^{(k)} - C_{\phi 2} \varepsilon) \frac{\phi}{k} + S^{(\phi)} \right\} - \frac{m}{k} \left\{ \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(k)} \nabla k) + P^{(k)} - \varepsilon \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi} \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(\phi)} \nabla \phi) - \frac{m}{k} \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(k)} \nabla k) + \left\{ (C_{\phi 1} - m) P^{(k)} - (C_{\phi 2} - m) \varepsilon \right\} \frac{1}{k} + \frac{S^{(\phi)}}{\phi} \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

The first two terms on the RHS of (\*) give:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\phi} \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(\phi)} \nabla \phi) - \frac{m}{k} \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(k)} \nabla k) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi} \nabla \bullet \left[ \Gamma^{(\phi)} \left( \frac{m}{k} \nabla k + \frac{n}{\varepsilon} \nabla \varepsilon \right) \phi \right] - \frac{m}{k} \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(k)} \nabla k) \\ &= \nabla \bullet \left[ \Gamma^{(\phi)} \left( \frac{m}{k} \nabla k + \frac{n}{\varepsilon} \nabla \varepsilon \right) \right] + \Gamma^{(\phi)} \left( \frac{m}{k} \nabla k + \frac{n}{\varepsilon} \nabla \varepsilon \right) \bullet \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi} - \frac{m}{k} \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(k)} \nabla k) \\ &= \frac{m}{k} \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(\phi)} \nabla k) - \Gamma^{(\phi)} \frac{m}{k^2} (\nabla k)^2 + \frac{n}{\varepsilon} \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(\phi)} \nabla \varepsilon) - \Gamma^{(\phi)} \frac{n}{\varepsilon^2} (\nabla \varepsilon)^2 + \Gamma^{(\phi)} \left( \frac{m}{k} \nabla k + \frac{n}{\varepsilon} \nabla \varepsilon \right)^2 - \frac{m}{k} \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(k)} \nabla k) \\ &= \frac{m}{k} \nabla \bullet \left[ (\Gamma^{(\phi)} - \Gamma^{(k)}) \nabla k \right] + \frac{n}{\varepsilon} \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(\phi)} \nabla \varepsilon) + \Gamma^{(\phi)} \left[ \left( m \frac{\nabla k}{k} + n \frac{\nabla \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 - m \left( \frac{\nabla k}{k} \right)^2 - n \left( \frac{\nabla \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{m}{k} \nabla \bullet \left[ (\Gamma^{(\phi)} - \Gamma^{(k)}) \nabla k \right] + \frac{n}{\varepsilon} \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(\phi)} \nabla \varepsilon) + \Gamma^{(\phi)} \left[ m(m-1) \left( \frac{\nabla k}{k} \right)^2 + n(n-1) \left( \frac{\nabla \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 + 2mn \left( \frac{\nabla k}{k} \right) \bullet \left( \frac{\nabla \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (\*) and multiplying by  $\varepsilon/n$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{D\varepsilon}{Dt} = & \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(\phi)} \nabla \varepsilon) + \left\{ \left( \frac{C_{\phi 1} - m}{n} \right) P^{(k)} - \left( \frac{C_{\phi 2} - m}{n} \right) \varepsilon \right\} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \left\{ \frac{S^{(\phi)}}{\phi} + \frac{m}{k} \nabla \bullet [(\Gamma^{(\phi)} - \Gamma^{(k)}) \nabla k] + \Gamma^{(\phi)} \left[ m(m-1) \left( \frac{\nabla k}{k} \right)^2 + n(n-1) \left( \frac{\nabla \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 + 2mn \left( \frac{\nabla k}{k} \right) \bullet \left( \frac{\nabla \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] \right\}\end{aligned}$$

This is of the form:

$$\frac{D\varepsilon}{Dt} = \nabla \bullet (\Gamma^{(\varepsilon)} \nabla \varepsilon) + \{C_{\varepsilon 1} P^{(k)} - C_{\varepsilon 2} \varepsilon\} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} + S_{\varepsilon}$$

where  $S_{\varepsilon}$  is of the form given in the question and

$$\Gamma^{(\varepsilon)} = \Gamma^{(\phi)}$$

$$C_{\varepsilon 1} = \frac{C_{\phi 1} - m}{n}$$

$$C_{\varepsilon 2} = \frac{C_{\phi 2} - m}{n}$$



Q7.

In simple shear flow,

$$(s_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}\sigma & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\omega_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}\sigma & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{s} = \frac{\sigma}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{\sigma}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{s}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{\mathbf{s}^2\} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \quad \mathbf{s}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\{\mathbf{s}^2\}\mathbf{I} = \frac{\sigma^2}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}\mathbf{s} = \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{s}\boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\omega}\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}\boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{\boldsymbol{\omega}^2\} = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \quad \boldsymbol{\omega}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\{\boldsymbol{\omega}^2\}\mathbf{I} = -\frac{\sigma^2}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Substituting these in the constitutive relationship,

$$\mathbf{a} = \left( \frac{\overline{u_i u_j}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \right) = -C_\mu \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \beta_1 \frac{\sigma^2}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} + \beta_2 \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \beta_3 \frac{\sigma^2}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Extracting components:

$$\frac{\overline{u^2}}{k} = \frac{2}{3} + (\beta_1 + 6\beta_2 - \beta_3) \frac{\sigma^2}{12}$$

$$\frac{\overline{v^2}}{k} = \frac{2}{3} + (\beta_1 - 6\beta_2 - \beta_3) \frac{\sigma^2}{12}$$

$$\frac{\overline{w^2}}{k} = \frac{2}{3} - (\beta_1 - \beta_3) \frac{\sigma^2}{6}$$

$$\frac{\overline{uv}}{k} = -C_\mu \sigma$$

$$\frac{\overline{vw}}{k} = \frac{\overline{wu}}{k} = 0$$

Q8.

(a) In plane strain,

$$\mathbf{s} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{0}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{s}^2 = \sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{\mathbf{s}^2\} = 2\sigma^2, \quad \mathbf{s}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\{\mathbf{s}^2\}\mathbf{I} = \frac{\sigma^2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\{\boldsymbol{\omega}^2\}\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0}$$

Substituting these in the constitutive relationship,

$$\mathbf{a} = \left( \frac{\overline{u_i u_j}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \right) = -2C_\mu \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta_1 \frac{\sigma^2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Extracting components:

$$\frac{\overline{u^2}}{k} = \frac{2}{3} - 2C_\mu \sigma + \frac{\beta_1}{3} \sigma^2$$

$$\frac{\overline{v^2}}{k} = \frac{2}{3} + 2C_\mu \sigma + \frac{\beta_1}{3} \sigma^2$$

$$\frac{\overline{w^2}}{k} = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2\beta_1}{3} \sigma^2$$

$$\frac{\overline{uv}}{k} = \frac{\overline{vw}}{k} = \frac{\overline{wu}}{k} = 0$$

(b) In axisymmetric strain,

$$\mathbf{s} = \frac{\sigma}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{0}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{s}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{\mathbf{s}^2\} = \frac{6}{4} \sigma^2, \quad \mathbf{s}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\{\mathbf{s}^2\}\mathbf{I} = \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\{\boldsymbol{\omega}^2\}\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0}$$

Substituting these in the constitutive relationship,

$$\mathbf{a} = \left( \frac{\overline{u_i u_j}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \right) = -C_\mu \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \beta_1 \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Extracting components:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\overline{u^2}}{k} &= \frac{2}{3} - 2C_\mu \sigma + \frac{\beta_1}{2} \sigma^2 \\ \frac{\overline{v^2}}{k} &= \frac{\overline{w^2}}{k} = \frac{2}{3} + C_\mu \sigma - \frac{\beta_1}{4} \sigma^2 \\ \frac{\overline{uv}}{k} &= \frac{\overline{vw}}{k} = \frac{\overline{wu}}{k} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Q9.

(a)

$P_{ij}$  is the rate of **production** of  $\overline{u_i u_j}$  from the mean flow.

$\Phi_{ij}$  is the rate of **redistribution** of energy amongst components by pressure forces.

$\epsilon_{ij}$  is the rate of **dissipation** by viscous action.

(b)

The purpose of  $\Phi_{ij}^{(w)}$  is to try to maintain the correct level of anisotropy near a boundary (the rest of  $\Phi_{ij}$  is trying to isotropise the turbulence).

In an equilibrium turbulent boundary layer  $f = 1$ .

(c)

Production

$$P_{11} = -2\overline{uv} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = 2P^{(k)}, \quad P_{22} = P_{33} = 0$$

$$P_{12} = -\overline{v^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = \frac{\overline{v^2}}{\overline{uv}} P^{(k)}, \quad P_{23} = P_{31} = 0$$

$$\text{where } P^{(k)} = -\overline{uv} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}$$

Dissipation

$$\epsilon_{11} = \epsilon_{22} = \epsilon_{33} = \frac{2}{3} \epsilon$$

$$\epsilon_{23} = \epsilon_{31} = \epsilon_{12} = 0$$

Pressure-strain (return-to-isotropy part)

$$\Phi_{11}^{(1)} = -C_1 \epsilon \left( \frac{\overline{u^2}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \right), \quad \Phi_{22}^{(1)} = -C_1 \epsilon \left( \frac{\overline{v^2}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \right), \quad \Phi_{33}^{(1)} = -C_1 \epsilon \left( \frac{\overline{w^2}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \right)$$

$$\Phi_{23}^{(1)} = -C_1 \epsilon \left( \frac{\overline{vw}}{k} \right), \quad \Phi_{31}^{(1)} = -C_1 \epsilon \left( \frac{\overline{wu}}{k} \right), \quad \Phi_{12}^{(1)} = -C_1 \epsilon \left( \frac{\overline{uv}}{k} \right)$$

Pressure-strain (rapid part)

$$\Phi_{11}^{(2)} = -\frac{4}{3} C_2 P^{(k)}, \quad \Phi_{22}^{(2)} = \Phi_{33}^{(2)} = \frac{2}{3} C_2 P^{(k)}$$

$$\Phi_{23}^{(2)} = \Phi_{31}^{(2)} = 0, \quad \Phi_{12}^{(2)} = -C_2 \frac{\overline{v^2}}{\overline{uv}} P^{(k)}$$

Pressure-strain (wall-reflection)

$$\Phi_{11}^{(w)} = \tilde{\Phi}_{22}, \quad \Phi_{22}^{(w)} = -2\tilde{\Phi}_{22}, \quad \Phi_{33}^{(w)} = \tilde{\Phi}_{22}$$

$$\Phi_{23}^{(w)} = 0, \quad \Phi_{12}^{(w)} = -\frac{3}{2} \tilde{\Phi}_{12}, \quad \Phi_{31}^{(w)} = 0$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{22} = C_1^{(w)} \epsilon \frac{\overline{vv}}{k} + \frac{2}{3} C_2^{(w)} C_2 P^{(k)}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{12} = C_1^{(w)} \epsilon \frac{\overline{uv}}{k} - C_2^{(w)} C_2 \frac{\overline{v^2}}{\overline{uv}} P^{(k)}$$

(d)

Setting  $P_{22} + \Phi_{22} - \varepsilon_{22} = 0$ :

$$0 - C_1 \varepsilon \left( \frac{\overline{v^2}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \right) + \frac{2}{3} C_2 P^{(k)} - 2 \left[ C_1^{(w)} \varepsilon \frac{\overline{v}v}{k} + \frac{2}{3} C_2^{(w)} C_2 P^{(k)} \right] - \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon = 0$$

Dividing through by  $P^{(k)} (= \varepsilon)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & -(C_1 + 2C_1^{(w)}) \frac{\overline{v^2}}{k} + \frac{2}{3} C_1 + \frac{2}{3} C_2 - \frac{4}{3} C_2^{(w)} C_2 - \frac{2}{3} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{2}{3} (-1 + C_1 + C_2 - 2C_2^{(w)} C_2) = (C_1 + 2C_1^{(w)}) \frac{\overline{v^2}}{k} \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{\overline{v^2}}{k} = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{-1 + C_1 + C_2 - 2C_2^{(w)} C_2}{C_1 + 2C_1^{(w)}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $P_{11} + \Phi_{11} - \varepsilon_{11} = 0$ :

$$2P^{(k)} - C_1 \varepsilon \left( \frac{\overline{u^2}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \right) - \frac{4}{3} C_2 P^{(k)} + C_1^{(w)} \varepsilon \frac{\overline{v}v}{k} + \frac{2}{3} C_2^{(w)} C_2 P^{(k)} - \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon = 0$$

Dividing through by  $P^{(k)} (= \varepsilon)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 - C_1 \left( \frac{\overline{u^2}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \right) - \frac{4}{3} C_2 + C_1^{(w)} \frac{\overline{v}v}{k} + \frac{2}{3} C_2^{(w)} C_2 - \frac{2}{3} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} C_1 - \frac{4}{3} C_2 + C_1^{(w)} \frac{\overline{v}v}{k} + \frac{2}{3} C_2^{(w)} C_2 = C_1 \frac{\overline{u^2}}{k} \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{\overline{u^2}}{k} = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{2 + C_1 - 2C_2 + C_2^{(w)} C_2}{C_1} \right) + \frac{C_1^{(w)}}{C_1} \frac{\overline{v}v}{k} \end{aligned}$$

The other results follow similarly.

Q10.

(a)

$$P_{11} = -2(\overline{uu}\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \overline{uv}\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \overline{uw}\frac{\partial U}{\partial z})$$

$$P_{22} = -2(\overline{vu}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \overline{vv}\frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + \overline{vw}\frac{\partial V}{\partial z})$$

$$P_{12} = -(\overline{uu}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \overline{uv}\frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + \overline{uw}\frac{\partial V}{\partial z}) - (\overline{vu}\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \overline{vv}\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \overline{vw}\frac{\partial U}{\partial z})$$

(b)

$$P_{11} = -2\overline{uv}\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}, \quad P_{12} = -\overline{v^2}\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}, \quad P_{22} = P_{33} = P_{23} = P_{31} = 0$$

(c)

- Only  $\overline{u^2}$  has a non-zero production term (see above) – almost invariably positive;
- $\overline{v^2}$  is preferentially damped by the physical presence of the wall.

Q11.

### Continuity

Instantaneous:  $\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} = 0$

Average:  $\frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_j} = 0$

Subtract:  $\frac{\partial u'_j}{\partial x_j} = 0$

Hence, both mean and fluctuating quantities satisfy the incompressibility equation. Moreover,  $u'_j$  can commute with  $\partial/\partial x_j$  (with an implied sum) whenever required.

### Momentum

Instantaneous:  $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_j}$

Average:  $\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial t} + \bar{u}_j \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \overline{u'_j \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j}} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_j}$

Subtract:  $\frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial t} + \bar{u}_j \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} + u'_j \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \overline{u'_j \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j}} - \overline{u'_j \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j}} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p'}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u'_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} \quad (*)$

Form  $\overline{u'_i \times (*)}$  and contract:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\frac{1}{2} \overline{u'_i u'_i}) + \bar{u}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\frac{1}{2} \overline{u'_i u'_i}) + \overline{u'_i u'_j} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\frac{1}{2} \overline{u'_i u'_i u'_j}) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \overline{(u'_i \frac{\partial p'}{\partial x_i})} + \nu \overline{(u'_i \frac{\partial^2 u'_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_j})}$$

Using  $k \equiv \frac{1}{2} \overline{u'_i u'_i}$  and rewriting the RHS:

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial t} + \bar{u}_j \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} + \overline{u'_i u'_j} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\frac{1}{2} \overline{u'_i u'_i u'_j}) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\overline{u'_i p'}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \nu \overline{(u'_i \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j})} - \nu \overline{\frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j}}$$

Hence, collecting terms (and changing the summation index in the pressure term):

$$\frac{Dk}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\nu \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{1}{\rho} \overline{u'_j p'} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{u'_i u'_i u'_j}) - \overline{u'_i u'_j} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} - \nu \overline{\frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j}}$$

This is of the form

$$\frac{Dk}{Dt} = \frac{\partial d_j^{(k)}}{\partial x_j} + P^{(k)} - \epsilon$$

where:

$$d_i^{(j)} = \nu \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{1}{\rho} \overline{p' u'_j} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{u'_i u'_i u'_j} \quad \text{diffusive flux}$$

$$P^{(k)} = -\overline{u'_i u'_j} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} \quad \text{production (by mean strain)}$$

$$\epsilon = \nu \overline{(\frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j})^2} \quad \text{dissipation}$$

Q12.

Expand each velocity component in a Taylor series about  $y = 0$ :

$$u = a_1 + b_1 y + c_1 y^2 + \dots$$

$$v = a_2 + b_2 y + c_2 y^2 + \dots$$

$$w = a_3 + b_3 y + c_3 y^2 + \dots$$

where the  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ , etc. are functions of  $x$ ,  $z$  and  $t$ .

Non-slip condition:

$$u = v = w = 0 \text{ on } y = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$$

Continuity:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b_2 = 0$$

Hence, to leading order:

$$u = b_1 y + \dots$$

$$v = c_2 y^2 + \dots \quad (*)$$

$$w = b_3 y + \dots$$

Hence,

$$\overline{u^2} = \overline{b_1^2} y^2 + O(y^3)$$

$$\overline{v^2} = \overline{c_2^2} y^4 + O(y^5)$$

$$\overline{w^2} = \overline{b_3^2} y^2 + O(y^3)$$

$$\overline{uv} = \overline{b_1 c_2} y^3 + O(y^4)$$

$$k \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\overline{u^2} + \overline{v^2} + \overline{w^2}) = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{b_1^2} + \overline{b_3^2}) y^2 + O(y^3)$$

By definition,

$$v_t = \frac{-\overline{uv}}{\partial U / \partial y}$$

But

$$\tau_w \equiv \rho u_\tau^2 = \mu \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - \overline{\rho uv}$$

$$\Rightarrow u_\tau^2 = \nu \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + O(y^3)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = \frac{u_\tau^2}{\nu} + O(y^3)$$

Hence,

$$v_t = -\overline{b_1 c_2} \frac{\nu}{u_\tau^2} y^3 + O(y^4)$$



Q13.

The  $k$  equation is

$$\frac{Dk}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left\{ \left( v + \frac{v_t}{\sigma^{(k)}} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right\} + P^{(k)} - \varepsilon$$

As  $y \rightarrow 0$  this reduces to

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( v \frac{\partial k}{\partial y} \right) - \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \varepsilon = v \frac{\partial^2 k}{\partial y^2} \quad (*)$$

But, from Q12,

$$k = k_0 y^2 + O(y^3)$$

whence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 k}{\partial y^2} &= 2k_0 + O(y) \\ &= 2 \frac{k}{y^2} + O(y) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (\*),

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2vk}{y^2} + O(y) \quad (y \rightarrow 0)$$

Q14.

(a) Assuming constant shear stress ( $\rho u_0^2$ ) the mean-velocity profile can be derived from the viscosity law in viscous and turbulent regions, with the former providing a boundary condition for the latter at  $y = y_v$ .

Viscous Sublayer ( $y < y_v$ )

$$\frac{\tau_w}{\rho} = \nu \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}, \quad U(0) = 0$$

This integrates immediately to give

$$U = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho \nu} y$$

or, in a non-dimensional form,

$$\frac{U}{u_0} = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho u_0^2} \frac{u_0 y}{\nu}$$

Turbulent Region ( $y > y_v$ )

$$\frac{\tau_w}{\rho} = [\nu + \kappa u_0 (y - y_v)] \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}, \quad U(y_v) = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho \nu} y_v$$

Rearrange:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = \frac{\tau_w / \rho}{\nu + \kappa u_0 (y - y_v)}$$

Integrate, using the velocity at  $y = y_v$  as a lower limit:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ U \right]_{y_v}^y &= \frac{\tau_w / \rho}{\kappa u_0} \left[ \ln \{ \nu + \kappa u_0 (y - y_v) \} \right]_{y_v}^y \\ \Rightarrow U - \frac{\tau_w / \rho}{\nu} y_v &= \frac{\tau_w / \rho}{\kappa u_0} \ln \frac{\nu + \kappa u_0 (y - y_v)}{\nu} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{U}{u_0} &= \frac{\tau_w}{\rho u_0^2} \left\{ \frac{u_0 y_v}{\nu} + \frac{u_0}{\kappa} \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{\kappa u_0 (y - y_v)}{\nu} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the complete mean velocity profile is:

$$\frac{U}{u_0} = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho u_0^2} \times \begin{cases} y^+ & y \leq y_v \\ y_v^+ + \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln [1 + \kappa (y^+ - y_v^+)] & y > y_v \end{cases}$$

(b) The cell-averaged rate of production of turbulent kinetic energy is

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{av}^{(k)} &\equiv \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_0^{\Delta} P^{(k)} dy \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{y_v}^{\Delta} v_t \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right)^2 dy \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{y_v}^{\Delta} \kappa u_0 (y - y_v) \times \frac{(\tau_w / \rho)^2}{\{v + \kappa u_0 (y - y_v)\}^2} dy
 \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the integral, change variables to

$$Y = \frac{\kappa u_0 (y - y_v)}{v}, \quad dy = \frac{v}{\kappa u_0} dY$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{av}^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_0^{\frac{\kappa u_0 (\Delta - y_v)}{v}} vY \times \frac{(\tau_w / \rho)^2}{\{v + vY\}^2} \frac{v}{\kappa u_0} dY \\
 &= \frac{(\tau_w / \rho)^2}{\kappa u_0 \Delta} \int_0^{\kappa(\Delta^+ - y_v^+)} \frac{Y}{(1 + Y)^2} dY \\
 &= \frac{(\tau_w / \rho)^2}{\kappa u_0 \Delta} \int_0^{\kappa(\Delta^+ - y_v^+)} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + Y} - \frac{1}{(1 + Y)^2} \right\} dY \\
 &= \frac{(\tau_w / \rho)^2}{\kappa u_0 \Delta} \left[ \ln(1 + Y) + \frac{1}{1 + Y} \right]_0^{\kappa(\Delta^+ - y_v^+)} \\
 &= \frac{(\tau_w / \rho)^2}{\kappa u_0 \Delta} \left\{ \ln[1 + \kappa(\Delta^+ - y_v^+)] + \frac{1}{1 + \kappa(\Delta^+ - y_v^+)} - 1 \right\} \\
 &= \frac{(\tau_w / \rho)^2}{\kappa u_0 \Delta} \left\{ \ln[1 + \kappa(\Delta^+ - y_v^+)] - \frac{\kappa(\Delta^+ - y_v^+)}{1 + \kappa(\Delta^+ - y_v^+)} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) The cell-averaged dissipation rate is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon_{av} &\equiv \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_0^{\Delta} \varepsilon dy \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \left( \int_0^{y_\varepsilon} \varepsilon_w dy + \int_{y_\varepsilon}^{\Delta} \frac{u_0^3}{\kappa(y - y_d)} dy \right) \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon_w = \frac{u_0^3}{\kappa(y_\varepsilon - y_d)} \\
 &= \frac{u_0^3}{\kappa \Delta} \left( \frac{y_\varepsilon}{y_\varepsilon - y_d} + \int_{y_\varepsilon}^{\Delta} \frac{dy}{y - y_d} \right) \\
 &= \frac{u_0^3}{\kappa \Delta} \left[ \frac{y_\varepsilon}{y_\varepsilon - y_d} + \ln \left( \frac{\Delta - y_d}{y_\varepsilon - y_d} \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Q15.

(a) Equation (\*) can be written conveniently as

$$U = \frac{u_\tau}{\kappa} \ln\left(E \frac{u_\tau y}{\nu}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad E = \frac{e^{\kappa B}}{1 + \kappa k_s^+}$$

The total quantity of flow in the pipe is

$$Q \equiv U_{av} \pi R^2 = \int_0^R U \cdot 2\pi r \, dr$$

or, writing  $r = R - y$ ,  $dr = -dy$  and switching the integral limits:

$$U_{av} \pi R^2 = 2\pi \frac{u_\tau}{\kappa} \int_0^R \ln\left(E \frac{u_\tau y}{\nu}\right) (R - y) \, dy$$

Substituting the boundary-layer coordinate,

$$\eta = \frac{y}{R} \quad \text{or} \quad y = R\eta, \quad dy = R d\eta$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{U_{av}}{u_\tau} &= \frac{2}{\kappa} \int_0^1 \ln\left(E \frac{u_\tau R}{\nu} \eta\right) (1 - \eta) \, d\eta \\ &= \frac{2}{\kappa} \left\{ \left[ \ln\left(E \frac{u_\tau R}{\nu} \eta\right) \left(\eta - \frac{\eta^2}{2}\right) \right]_0^1 - \int_0^1 (1 - \frac{1}{2} \eta) \, d\eta \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{\kappa} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(E \frac{u_\tau R}{\nu}\right) - \left[ \eta - \frac{1}{4} \eta^2 \right]_0^1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa} \left\{ \ln\left(E \frac{u_\tau R}{\nu}\right) - \frac{3}{2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{U_{av}}{u_\tau} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln\left( \frac{E e^{-3/2}}{2} \times \frac{u_\tau}{U_{av}} \times \frac{U_{av} D}{\nu} \right)$$

But

$$\frac{u_\tau}{U_{av}} = \sqrt{\frac{c_f}{2}}$$

Hence

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{c_f}} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln\left( \frac{E e^{-3/2}}{2} \times \text{Re} \sqrt{\frac{c_f}{2}} \right)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_f}} = \frac{1}{\kappa \sqrt{2}} \ln\left( \frac{e^{\kappa B - 3/2}}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\text{Re} \sqrt{c_f}}{1 + \kappa k_s^+} \right)$$

But

$$k_s^+ = \frac{u_\tau k_s}{\nu} = \frac{u_\tau}{U_{av}} \times \frac{k_s}{D} \times \frac{U_{av} D}{\nu}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{c_f}{2}} \times \frac{k_s}{D} \times \text{Re}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_f}} = \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{2}} \ln \left( \frac{e^{\kappa B - 3/2}}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\text{Re} \sqrt{c_f}}{1 + c \sqrt{c_f} / 2 \text{Re}(k_s / D)} \right)$$

or, inverting the argument of the logarithm and rearranging:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_f}} = \frac{-1}{\kappa\sqrt{2}} \ln \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2}e^{3/2 - \kappa B}}{\text{Re} \sqrt{c_f}} + 2e^{3/2 - \kappa B} c \frac{k_s}{D} \right)$$

(b) To compare with the Colebrook-White formula change the base of logarithms:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_f}} = \frac{-1}{\kappa\sqrt{2} \log_{10} e} \log_{10} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2}e^{3/2 - \kappa B}}{\text{Re} \sqrt{c_f}} + 2e^{3/2 - \kappa B} c \frac{k_s}{D} \right)$$

Comparing with the Colebrook-White formula:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_f}} = -4.0 \log_{10} \left( \frac{1.26}{\text{Re} \sqrt{c_f}} + \frac{k_s}{3.7D} \right)$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{2} \log_{10} e} &= 4.0 & \text{or} & \quad \kappa = \frac{1}{4.0\sqrt{2} \log_{10} e} = 0.4070 \\ \text{(ii)} \quad 2\sqrt{2}e^{3/2 - \kappa B} &= 1.26 & \text{or} & \quad B = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left( \frac{3}{2} - \ln \left( \frac{1.26}{2\sqrt{2}} \right) \right) = 5.672 \\ \text{(iii)} \quad 2e^{3/2 - \kappa B} c &= \frac{1}{3.7} & \text{or} & \quad c = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3.7 \times 1.26} = 0.3033 \end{aligned}$$

**Answer:**  $\kappa = 0.41$ ,  $B = 5.7$ ,  $c = 0.30$

(c) Rewrite equation (\*) as

$$U^+ = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln y^+ + B - \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln(1 + ck_s^+)$$

Hence,

$$U^+ = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln y^+ + \tilde{B}(k_s^+)$$

where

$$\tilde{B}(k_s^+) = B - \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln(1 + ck_s^+)$$

(d) The last can be further rearranged as

$$\tilde{B}(k_s^+) = B - \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln c - \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln(k_s^+ + \frac{1}{c})$$

The mean-velocity profile is then

$$U^+ = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \frac{y^+}{k_s^+ + \frac{1}{c}} + B - \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln c$$

In the limit as  $k_s^+$  becomes very large, this asymptotes to

$$U^+ = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \frac{y}{k_s} + B_k$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_k &= B - \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln c \\ &= 8.60 \end{aligned}$$

**Answer:**  $B_k = 8.6$ .