Level 3

## EG3521 ENGINEERING THERMODYNAMICS ASSESSMENT OF ORAL PRESENTATON – SESSION 2013/14

TECHNICAL  Introduction  TECHNICAL  Introduction  TECHNICAL  Introduction  TECHNICAL  Introduction  Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features  Discussion/conclusions  Relevant, nearly drawn/constructed  PRESENTATION  Delivery  Overhead/skiides etc.  Neatly constructed, well integrated to alk, properly labelled, readable  Overhead/skiides etc.  Neatly constructed, well integrated to alk, properly labelled, readable  Overhead/skiides etc.  Neatly constructed, well integrated to alk, properly labelled, readable  Overhead/skiides etc.  Neatly constructed, well integrated to alk, properly labelled, readable  Overhead/skiides etc.  Neatly constructed well integrated to alk, properly labelled, readable  Overhead/skiides etc.  Neatly constructed argue logically  Oversil assessment of presentation quality  Oversil assessment of presentation quality  Recommended CAS Mark  Recommended CAS Mark	Clear, concise, focussed  Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features  Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed  Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors  Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features  All 1 2:1 2:2 3 F  Clear, concise, focused  All 1 2:1 2:2 3 F  Color 1 2:2 3 F  Color 2 3 F  Color 3 5 F  Color 4 5 F  Color 4 5 F  Color 4 5 F  Color 5 F  Color 6 F  Color 6 F  Color 7 5 F		ane:		Marker:
Clear, concise, focussed  Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features  Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed  Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well  Organised  Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality talking account of above factors	Clear, concise, focussed  Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features  Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed  Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well  Organised  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically taking account of above factors	STANDARD ACHIEVED	High		· ·
Clear, concise, focussed  Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features  Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed  Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well  Organised  Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically able to answer succinctly and argue logically able to answer succinctly and argue logically able to answer succinctly and argue logically able to answer succinctly and argue logically able to answer succinctly and argue logically able to answer succinctly and argue logically able to answer succinctly and argue logically able to answer succinctly and argue logically able to answer succinctly and argue logically able to answer succinctly and argue logically able to answer succinctly and argue logically argue logi	Clear, concise, focussed  Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features  Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed  Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised  Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	TECHNICAL	*	1 2:1 2:2 3	
Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features  Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed  Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised  Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features  Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed  Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised  Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically above factors	Introduction	Clear, concise, focussed		Ramhling confised powerly framed
Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised  Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed  Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised  Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Knowledge/understanding	Thorough, detailed knowledge, clear grasp of important features		Superficial, no real grasp of salient issues
Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised  Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised  Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Discussion/conclusions	Relevant, neatly drawn/constructed	<u> </u>	Not well defined, not relevant
Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised  Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised  Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	PRESENTATION		The second secon	
Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable  Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Delivery	Spoken clearly audible, good pace, well organised		Read, inaudible, too fast/slow, disorganised
Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Able to answer succinctly and argue logically  Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Overheads/slides etc.	Neatly constructed, well integrated to talk, properly labelled, readable		Difficult to understand/read, poorly labelled, not integrated to talk
Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Overall assessment of presentation quality taking account of above factors	Questions	Able to answer succinctly and argue logically		Unable to answer questions
quality	quality	OVERALL ASSESSMENT			
					Recommended CAS Mark

EG3521 Engineering Thermodynamics - Oral Presentation

Comments/Feedback (50-100 words):

	Marker:	
	Litte:	
(	Group Number:	

meanistent em Jants on slides July out of a law may no - lack of revisor before submission,

The prough should that a redemond retremed before - main by on between the

Page 2 of 2