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1. **How to compile and execute my program.**

* Compile: Enter ***src/*** and make, it’ll generate the executable file to ***bin/***.

$ cd src

$ make

* Execute

$ ./bin/hw2 [CELL\_FILE] [NET\_FILE] [OUT\_FILE]

e.g.

$ ./bin/hw2 ../testcases/p2-1.cells ../testcases/p2-1.nets ../output/p2-1.out

1. **The final cut size and the runtime of each test case.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | p2-1 | p2-2 | p2-3 | p2-4 | p2-5 |
| cut size | 224 | 2332 | 22942 | 80881 | 161553 |
| runtime | 0.03 | 0.32 | 2.28 | 6.22 | 19.78 |

1. **Time profile of each test case.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | p2-1 | p2-2 | p2-3 | p2-4 | p2-5 |
| I/O | 0.005 | 0.039 | 0.454 | 1.231 | 2.590 |
| Computation | 0.025 | 0.281 | 1.826 | 4.989 | 17.190 |

1. **The details of your implementation containing explanations of the following questions:**
2. **Where is the difference between your algorithm and FM Algorithm described in class? Are they the same?**

No, they are slightly different. In the FM algorithm described in class, *update\_gain* updates only the free cells’ gains. However, in my implementation, it also updates the locked cells’ gains because I’ve found that when restoring the result after the maximum partial sum is determined, it also needs the correct info such as the gain of the locked cells to restore correctly. More information about my implementation of *restore\_result* will be discussed in III. Moreover, my program only spends at most 20 seconds for one pass because I’ve observed that even with 1 million cells, it has a high chance of finding the maximum partial sum in 20 seconds, hence there is no need to iterate the entire bucket list, which is different from the FM algorithm that keeps running until the cut size converges.

1. **Did you implement the bucket list data structure?**

Yes, there is a bucket list for each set, which is 2 in sum. It’s similar to the one described in class, however, I used a *std::vector* instead of a doubly linked list and a *std::unordered\_map* instead of an array recording cells’ positions in the bucket list, which increases the time complexity of finding cells’ positions to O(logN) but reduces some coding overhead. As a C++ class, there are some other operations in the bucket list data structure such as *insert\_cell*, *remove\_cell*, *update\_cell*, and *get\_top\_kth\_cell* to maintain it.

1. **How did you find the maximum partial sum and restore the result?**

I’ve implemented a function called *select\_base\_cell* to return the valid cell with max gain to move to the other set. It’ll push the selected cell to an array called *selectedBaseCells* and push its gain to an array called *maxGains*. Once *select\_base\_cell* returns a null pointer, it means that there are no cells available, and hence starts calculating the maximum partial sum with a function going through *maxGains* to find the maximum partial sum with O(N) time complexity. After the maximum partial sum is found, the function will also record the found index of *selectedBaseCells*, denoted as *maxPartialSumIndex.* This way, we’ll know where to restore the result. Finally, the way I restore is to keep choosing the element of *selectedBaseCells* from the rear as the new base cell and move it back to its original set and update cells gain after the movement until reaching *maxPartialSumIndex*.

1. **What else did you do to enhance your solution quality (you are required to implement at least one method to enhance your solution quality) and to speed up your program?**

After some experiments and paper research, I’ve found that the final cut size your program can get is determined by the initial partition, as well as many other local search heuristic algorithms. However, the algorithm for finding the initial partition in the paper is too difficult to implement, as a result, I used the try-and-error method to try to come up with the best initial partition for the provided test cases. After tons of experiments, the best initial partition method I came up with is in follow:

* + Push all cells to set A.
  + Sort cells by their size B.
  + Keeps pushing cells with the smallest size B to set B until it’s balanced.
  + After balanced, keeps pushing cells with the smallest size B to set B until it’s unbalanced.

And what I did for speeding up my program is just simply terminate it if it surpasses 20 seconds. Since I’ve found that in most test cases, my program can find the cell which leads to the maximum partial sum in 20 seconds, there is no need to waste time iterating through the entire bucket list finding base cells.

1. **If you implement parallelization (for FM algorithm itself), please describe the implementation details and provide some experimental results.**

No, I didn’t implement parallelization.

1. **What have you learned from this homework? What problem(s) have you encountered in this homework?**

In this assignment, I’ve learned how to implement a complex algorithm and deeply felt the importance of object-oriented programming. Although all the needed algorithms’ pseudo codes are written in the PPT, it was still challenging to transform them into actual code. The most stressful problem I’ve encountered is that I followed the *update\_gain* pseudo code in the PPT but it caused incorrect results in my implementation. Because the last thing you will suspect while debugging is the original FM algorithm, it took me two full days to find that I should also update the locked cells’ gains. Another thing worth talking about is the optimization of cut size, since the final cut size has a lot to do with the initial partition, I did a lot of experiments testing out what kind of partition technique has the best final cut size. My final cut size and runtime compared to my friends, however, I have no idea why it worked, which is very similar to the below memes. In a nutshell, it was a quite difficult assignment, but it sure did improve my coding skill.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Funny Programmer - Computer Science Code Works Why Why? Meme" Poster for  Sale by Reximonahan | Redbubble | What are the best photos on your phone that only a computer science student  would understand? - Quora |