Primal-dual interior-point method

- primal-dual central path equations
- infeasible primal-dual method

Optimality conditions

 $z \ge 0$

primal and dual problem

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{minimize} & c^Tx & \text{maximize} & -b^Tz \\ \text{subject to} & Ax+s=b & \text{subject to} & A^Tz+c=0 \\ & s\geq 0 & z\geq 0 \end{array}$$

optimality conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^T \\ -A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$
$$s \ge 0, \qquad z \ge 0, \qquad s \circ z = 0$$

 $s \circ z$ is component-wise (Hadamard) vector product:

$$s \circ z = (s_1 z_1, s_2 z_2, \dots, s_m z_m)$$

Central path equations

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ s \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & A^T \\ -A & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x \\ z \end{array}\right] + \left[\begin{array}{c} c \\ b \end{array}\right]$$

$$s \ge 0, \qquad z \ge 0, \qquad s \circ z = \frac{1}{t} \mathbf{1}$$

- a continuous deformation of the optimality conditions
- solution x, z, s is

$$x = x^*(t), \qquad s = b - Ax^*(t), \qquad z = z^*(t)$$

ullet m+n linear, m nonlinear equations, and 2m simple inequalities

Interpretation of barrier method

• write central path equations as

$$Ax + s = b,$$
 $A^{T}z + c = 0,$ $z_{i} - \frac{1}{ts_{i}} = 0,$ $i = 1, ..., m$

• linearize around strictly feasible \hat{x} , \hat{z} , \hat{s} :

$$A\Delta x + \Delta s = 0$$
, $A^T \Delta z = 0$, $\Delta z_i + \frac{\Delta s_i}{t\hat{s}_i^2} = -\hat{z}_i + \frac{1}{t\hat{s}_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$

• eliminating Δs and Δz gives an equation in Δx (with $S = \operatorname{diag}(\hat{s})$):

$$A^T S^{-2} A \Delta x = -tc - A^T S^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

this is exactly the centering Newton equation $\nabla^2 f_t(\hat{x}) \Delta x = -\nabla f_t(\hat{x})$

Primal-dual path-following methods

- use a different, symmetric linearization of central path
- ullet update primal and dual variables x, z in each iteration
- update central path parameter t after every Newton step
- aggressive step sizes (e.g., 0.99 of maximum step to the boundary)
- allow infeasible iterates
- add second-order terms to linearization of central path

used in most interior-point solvers

Basic primal-dual update

let \hat{s} , \hat{x} , \hat{z} be the current iterates (with $\hat{s} > 0$, $\hat{z} > 0$)

ullet compute steps Δs , Δx , Δz by linearizing the central path equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^T \\ -A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \qquad s \circ z = \sigma \mu \mathbf{1}$$

around \hat{s} , \hat{x} , \hat{z} , where $\mu = \hat{s}^T \hat{z}/m$ and $\sigma \in [0,1]$

make an update

$$(\hat{x}, \hat{s}) := (\hat{x}, \hat{s}) + \alpha_{\mathrm{p}}(\Delta x, \Delta s), \qquad \hat{z} := \hat{z} + \alpha_{\mathrm{d}}\Delta z$$

that preserves positivity of \hat{s} , \hat{z}

Linearized central path equation

central path equation (without inequalities)

$$Ax + s = b,$$
 $A^Tz + c = 0,$ $s \circ z = \sigma \mu \mathbf{1}$

linearization around \hat{x} , \hat{s} , \hat{z}

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & I \\ A^T & 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta z \\ \Delta x \\ \Delta s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -(A\hat{x} + \hat{s} - b) \\ -(A^T\hat{z} + c) \\ \sigma \mu \mathbf{1} - \hat{s} \circ \hat{z} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $S = \operatorname{diag}(\hat{s})$, $Z = \operatorname{diag}(\hat{z})$

we assume $\hat{s} > 0$, $\hat{z} > 0$, but not $A\hat{x} + \hat{s} = b$ or $A^T\hat{z} + c = 0$

Path-following algorithm

choose starting points \hat{s} , \hat{x} , \hat{z} with $\hat{s} > 0$, $\hat{z} > 0$

1. compute residuals and evaluate stopping criteria

$$r_{\rm p} = A\hat{x} + \hat{s} - b, \qquad r_{\rm d} = A^T\hat{z} + c$$

terminate if $r_{\rm p}$, $r_{\rm d}$, and $\hat{s}^T\hat{z}$ are small

2. compute affine scaling direction: solve the linear equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & I \\ A^T & 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta z_{\mathbf{a}} \\ \Delta x_{\mathbf{a}} \\ \Delta s_{\mathbf{a}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -r_{\mathbf{p}} \\ -r_{\mathbf{d}} \\ -\hat{s} \circ \hat{z} \end{bmatrix}$$

3. select barrier parameter: find

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{p}} = \max\{\alpha \in [0, 1] \mid \hat{s} + \alpha \Delta s_{\mathbf{a}} \ge 0\}$$

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{d}} = \max\{\alpha \in [0, 1] \mid \hat{z} + \alpha \Delta z_{\mathbf{a}} \ge 0\}$$

and take

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{(\hat{s} + \alpha_{p} \Delta s_{a})^{T} (\hat{z} + \alpha_{d} \Delta z_{a})}{\hat{s}^{T} \hat{z}}\right)^{\delta}$$

 δ is an algorithm parameter (a typical value is $\delta = 3$)

4. compute search direction: solve the linear equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & I \\ A^T & 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta z \\ \Delta x \\ \Delta s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -r_{\rm p} \\ -r_{\rm d} \\ \sigma(\hat{s}^T \hat{z}/m) \mathbf{1} - \hat{s} \circ \hat{z} \end{bmatrix}$$

5. **update iterates:** find maximum steps to the boundary

$$\alpha_{\rm p} = \max\{\alpha \ge 0 \mid \hat{s} + \alpha \Delta s \ge 0\}$$

$$\alpha_{\rm d} = \max\{\alpha \ge 0 \mid \hat{z} + \alpha \Delta z \ge 0\}$$

and take

$$(\hat{x}, \hat{s}) := (\hat{x}, \hat{s}) + \min\{1, 0.99\alpha_{\rm p}\}(\Delta x, \Delta s)$$

 $\hat{z} := \hat{z} + \min\{1, 0.99\alpha_{\rm d}\}\Delta z$

return to step 1

Example stopping criteria

use tolerances $\epsilon_{\rm feas}$, $\epsilon_{\rm abs}$, $\epsilon_{\rm rel}$ to limit primal, dual residuals and duality gap

primal and dual feasibility: check that iterates satisfy

$$||r_{p}|| \le \epsilon_{\text{feas}} \max\{1, ||b||\}$$
 and $||r_{d}|| \le \epsilon_{\text{feas}} \max\{1, ||c||\}$

duality gap: check that condition 1 or 2 is satisfied

- 1. small absolute duality gap: $\hat{s}^T \hat{z} \leq \epsilon_{abs}$
- 2. small relative duality gap

$$(c^T\hat{x} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\hat{s}^T\hat{z}}{-c^T\hat{x}} \le \epsilon_{\mathrm{rel}}) \quad \text{or} \quad (-b^T\hat{z} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\hat{s}^T\hat{z}}{-b^T\hat{z}} \le \epsilon_{\mathrm{rel}})$$

Interpretation of search directions

affine scaling direction (step 2)

- $(\Delta s_{\rm a}, \Delta x_{\rm a}, \Delta z_{\rm a})$ solves linearized central path equation with $\sigma=0$
- this is also the solution of the linearized optimality conditions

selection of barrier parameter (step 3)

- ullet take σ small if step in affine scaling direction gives a large gap reduction
- a heuristic, using an estimate of how good the affine scaling direction is

combined search direction (step 4)

- linear equation has same coefficient matrix as equation in step 2
- we can reuse the factorization; hence, extra cost is negligible

Mehrotra correction

replace equation in step 4 by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & I \\ A^T & 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta z \\ \Delta x \\ \Delta x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -r_{\rm p} \\ -r_{\rm d} \\ \sigma(\hat{s}^T \hat{z}/m) \mathbf{1} - \hat{s} \circ \hat{z} - \Delta s_{\rm a} \circ \Delta z_{\rm a} \end{bmatrix}$$

ullet extra term $\Delta s_{\rm a}\circ\Delta z_{\rm a}$ is approximation of the second-order term in

$$(\hat{s} + \Delta s) \circ (\hat{z} + \Delta z) = \sigma \mu \mathbf{1}$$

adding the correction typically saves a few iterations

Search equations

step 2 and step 4 involve equations of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & I \\ A^T & 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta z \\ \Delta x \\ \Delta s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_z \\ b_x \\ b_s \end{bmatrix}$$

• eliminating $\Delta s = Z^{-1}(b_s - S\Delta z)$ gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} -SZ^{-1} & A \\ A^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta z \\ \Delta x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_z - Z^{-1}b_s \\ b_x \end{bmatrix}$$

 \bullet usually solved by eliminating $\Delta z = S^{-1}ZA\Delta x - S^{-1}Zb_z + S^{-1}b_s$

$$A^{T}S^{-1}ZA\Delta x = b_x + A^{T}S^{-1}Zb_z - A^{T}S^{-1}b_s$$

Cholesky factorization

definition: every symmetric positive definite B can be factored as

$$B = LL^T$$

- ullet Cholesky factor L is lower triangular with positive diagonal entries
- \bullet cost is $n^3/3$ floating-point operations (flops) if B is dense

linear equation with positive definite coefficient

$$Bx = d$$

- factor B as $B = LL^T (n^3/3)$
- solve Ly = d by forward substitution (n^2 flops)
- solve $L^T x = y$ by backward substitution (n^2 flops)

Sparse positive definite equation

algorithm

1. reorder rows and columns of B symmetrically to increase sparsity of L

$$(PBP^T)(Px) = Pd$$
 P a permutation matrix

- 2. symbolic factorization: find sparsity pattern of L (from pattern of B)
- 3. numerical factorization: $PBP^T = LL^T$ (from values of entries of B)
- 4. use forward and backward substitution to solve $LL^TPx = Pd$

complexity

- most expensive steps are 2 and 3
- ullet only steps 3, 4 depend on numerical values of B
- only step 4 depends on right-hand side d

Linear equations in interior-point method

the algorithm on page 10–8 requires two linear equations with coefficient

$$B = A^T S^{-1} Z A$$

- A is typically large and sparse
- \bullet $S^{-1}Z$ is positive diagonal, different at each iteration
- B is positive definite if rank(A) = n
- sparsity pattern of B is pattern of A^TA (independent of $S^{-1}Z$)

solution via sparse Cholesky factorization

- steps 1, 2 (reordering, symbolic factorization) are needed only once
- step 3 (numerical factorization) is needed once per iteration
- step 4 (forward/backward substitution) is repeated twice per iteration