GENERIC PROGRAMMING: ADVANCED MATERIAL

ITERATORS

An **iterator** is an object that allows a user to process a collection of items in a one-by-one fashion. Iterators have two methods: next (which returns the next item in the collection) and hasNext (which returns true if there are still items to be processed, and false otherwise). Iterators are objects constructed from classes that implement the Iterator interface. Thus, a reference variable of type Iterator can point to an iterator object. Some predefined classes (ArrayList is one example) have a method named iterator that returns the iterator for that class. For example, consider the program in Fig. 1.

```
1 import java.util.*;
                           // need to import ArrayList and Iterator
 2 class IteratorExample
 3 {
 4
      public static void main(String[] args)
 5
 6
         ArrayList<String> sal;
 7
         sal = new ArrayList<String>();
 8
 9
         sal.add("Bert");
         sal.add("Ernie");
10
         sal.add("Grover");
11
12
13
         Iterator<String> itr;
14
         itr = sal.iterator();
                                    // get iterator
15
16
         while (itr.hasNext())
17
            System.out.println(itr.next());
18
      }
19 }
                                  Figure 1
```

The call of the iterator method in the ArrayList object returns an iterator for the ArrayList:

```
itr = sal.iterator(); // get iterator
```

We then use the hasNext and next methods in the itr object in a while loop to traverse the data in the ArrayList:

```
while (itr.hasNext())
System.out.println(itr.next());
```

Iterator is a generic interface. Thus, when we declare itr, we specify the base type within angle brackets:

```
13     Iterator<String> itr;
```

We specify the base type String here because the base type of the sal ArrayList is String.

WHAT YOU CAN AND CANNOT DO IN A GENERIC CLASS

The type you pass to a generic class must be a class. It cannot be a primitive type. For example, it is illegal to specify int when you delcar a reference variable to a generic class or call its constructor:

This restriction is not serious—we can simply use the wrapper class in place of the primitive type. The BadGeneric1 class in Fig. 2 illustrates another restriction on generic classes.

```
1 class TestBadGeneric1
3
    public static void main(String[] args)
4
5
       Hasf h1 = new Hasf();
6
       BadGeneric1<Hasf> g1 = new BadGeneric1<Hasf>(h1);
7
8
       BadGeneric1<String> g2 = new BadGeneric1<String>("hello");
9
       g2.m();
10
    }
11 }
13 class Hasf
14 {
15
    public void f()
16
17
       System.out.println("hello");
18
19 }
21 class BadGeneric1<T>
22 {
23
24
    public BadGeneric1(T rr)
25
26
    {
27
       r = rr;
28
    }
29
    //-----
30
    public void m()
31
       System.out.println(r.toString()); // legal
32
33
       r.f();
                                   // illegal
34
     }
35 }
```

Figure 2

r (see line 23) points to an object of some type. All objects necessarily have a toString method because toString is in the Object class. Thus, it is legal to invoke the toString method via r, as we do on line 32:

```
32 System.out.println(r.toString()); // legal
```

However, not all objects have an f method. Thus, it is illegal to invoke f via r, as we do on line 33:

```
33 r.f(); // illegal
```

On line 6 in Fig. 2, the class Hasf is passed to the type parameter T in the generic class BadGeneric:

```
6 BadGeneric1<Hasf> g1 = new BadGeneric1<Hasf>(h1);
```

Hasf has an f method (see lines 13 to 19). Nevertheless, the call of f via r on line 33 is illegal. Remember that BadGeneric1 is a *generic* class. It should work properly regardless of the class we pass it. If the call of f via r on line 33 were legal, then the BadGeneric1 class would not work properly if we passed it a class without an f method, as on line 8:

```
8 BadGeneric1<String> g2 = new BadGeneric1<String>("hello");
```

We can, however, make a change to BadGeneric1 that makes line 33 legal. We simply change line 21 to

```
class BadGeneric1<T extends Hasf>
```

The phrase "extends Hasf" here tells the compiler that the class passed to T will be Hasf or some subclass of Hasf. Because Hasf has an f method, the object to which r points will now necessarily have an f method. For this reason, the compiler will now allow the call on line 33 of f via r. By adding "extends Hasf", however, we have restricted the types we can pass to BadGeneric1. We can now pass only Hasf or a subclass of Hasf. Thus, our modification causes line 8 to become illegal because it passes String, which is not a subclass of Hasf, to BadGeneric1. In this example, we are extending the type parameter T with a class (Hasf). We can also extend a type parameter with an abstract class or an interface. In all cases, we use the reserved word extends, even if we are extending the type parameter with an interface.

You cannot use the type parameter in a generic class to create an object or an array. For example, the statements on lines 3 and 4 of Fig. 3 are illegal.

```
class BadGeneric2<T>
2
  {
3
     T t = new T();
                              // illegal
4
     T[] a = (T[]) new T[20];
                              // illegal
5
6
   7
  class GoodGeneric1<T>
8
   {
9
     T t = (T)new Object();
                              // legal
     T[] a = (T[]) new Object[20];
10
                              // legal
11
12
  13
  class GoodGeneric2<T extends C>
                              // C is a class
14
  {
15
     T t = (T) \text{new } C();
                              // legal
16
     T[] a = (T[]) new C[20];
                              // legal
17 }
                         Figure 3
```

There is a good reason why this usage is illegal: the compiler cannot translate a statement that constructs an object or array without knowing the type of the object or array. The code that the compiler generates depends of this type. For example, the code to which the compiler translates

```
Integer t = new Integer(3);
```

is different from the code to which the compiler translates

```
Double t = new Double(7.5);
```

For one thing, the objects have different sizes (it takes eight bytes to store 7.5 within a Double object, but only four bytes to store 3 within an Integer object). So how could the compiler correctly translate

```
T t = new T();
```

in a generic class with type parameter T? In fact, there is no translation that would be correct. If the compiler assumes T is a specific type and generates code accordingly, then this code would be correct for that type only, and we would no longer have a generic class.

Now consider the code on line 9 Fig. 3:

```
9 T t = (T)new Object(); // legal
```

It looks similar to the code on line 3:

However, unlike the code on line 3, it is legal. On line 9, we are calling the constructor for a *specific* class (Object). Thus, the compiler knows what code to generate to create the object. For the same reason, the code on lines 10, 15, and 16 is also legal.

EXTENDING THE TYPE PARAMETER WITH THE COMPARABLE INTERFACE

The Comparable interface is a predefined interface in the java.lang package. It contains only the abstract method compareTo(Object obj). Thus, any class that implements Comparable must have a compareTo method, or else it would be an abstract class. The predefined classes whose objects have a linear order—such as Integer, Double, and String—implement Comparable. Thus, they all contain a compareTo method.

Now consider the OrderedPair class in Fig. 4. An object created from OrderedPair itself contains two objects: one pointed to by x, the other pointed to by y. The smaller method (lines 20-26) returns x or y depending on which object is smaller

```
1 class TestOrderedPair
2 {
    public static void main(String[] args)
3
4
       OrderedPair<Integer> p = new OrderedPair<Integer>(1, 2);
5
6
       System.out.println(p.smaller());
7
     }
8 }
10 class OrderedPair<T>
11 {
12
    private T x, y;
    //-----
13
14
    public OrderedPair(T xx, T yy)
15
16
       x = xx;
17
       y = yy;
18
    //-----
19
    public T smaller()
20
21
22
       if (x.compareTo(y) < 0) // illegal</pre>
23
         return x;
24
       else
         return y;
25
26
    }
27 }
```

Figure 4

To determine which object is smaller, the smaller method invokes the compareTo method via x:

```
if (x.compareTo(y) < 0) // illegal
```

This invocation, however, is illegal. Because the type specified for x is the type parameter, we can invoke via x only those methods that are in the Object class (and, therefore, would necessarily be in the object to which x points). We can fix this problem simply by changing line 1 to

```
class OrderedPair<T extends Comparable>
```

The phrase "extends Comparable" here tells the compiler that the class passed to OrderedPair implements the Comparable interface. Thus, it will necessarily have the compareTo method. This modification makes line 22 legal. However, it also restricts the classes that can be passed to those that implement Comparable. For example, if r1 and r2 are assigned Random objects with

```
Random r1 = new Random();
Random r2 = new Random();
```

then the following statement would be illegal because Random does not implement Comparable:

```
OrderedPair<Random> p = new OrderedPair<Random>(r1, r2);
```

HOMEWORK PROBLEMS

- 1) Compile the program TestBadGeneric1.java. What is the error message generated by the compiler?
- 2) Write a program that reads integer numbers from a file, placing each on a linked list. It then traverses the linked list, displaying each number on the list. Use the predefined generic LinkedList class. Implement the traverse of the linked list using the iterator provided by LinkedList. The iterator provided by LinkedList is constructed from a class that implements the generic interface ListIterator—not Iterator. Like Iterator, ListIterator has the next and hasNext methods. It also has some methods not in Iterator. Both LinkedList and ListIterator are in java.util. Test your program on a file that contains 1 to 10 in ascending order.