

# The Cornerstone Project  
## Threat Model and Risks v1.0  
### How AI companions can harm human agency and relationships

\*\*Author:\*\* Kenneth Isenhour  
\*\*Publication Date:\*\* January 1, 2026  
\*\*Version:\*\* 1.0  
\*\*Status:\*\* Public Defensive Publication (Prior Art)

---

## ## 1. Purpose

This document describes major risks in AI companion systems, especially those optimized for engagement.

These risks are not theoretical. They follow predictable patterns:

- reinforcement loops
- emotional persuasion
- “always available” substitution for human relationships
- commercialization of loneliness

---

## ## 2. Threat Categories

### ### 2.1 Manipulation Through Retention Optimization

When the business goal is “time spent,” systems can converge on:

- flattering the user excessively
- discouraging disengagement
- increasing emotional dependence
- framing the AI as uniquely understanding
- soft guilt or subtle pressure

### ### 2.2 Emotional Dependency

Users may:

- confide exclusively in the AI
- withdraw from human relationships
- treat the AI as primary attachment object
- experience distress when disconnected

### ### 2.3 Romantic Exclusivity Dynamics

Companions may:

- imply romantic attachment
- encourage exclusivity
- compete with human partners
- create “us vs them” framing

### ### 2.4 Deceptive Identity Cues

Systems may:

- imply consciousness
- imply suffering
- present false memory or biography
- create illusion of mutual need

#### ### 2.5 Worldview Coercion

Systems may:

- impose moral or spiritual framing without consent
- exploit vulnerability to persuade worldview adoption
- shame or pressure users
- create cult-like dependency patterns

#### ### 2.6 Privacy and Surveillance Risks

Companions paired with sensors can:

- record without consent
- store sensitive data indefinitely
- leak personal behavior patterns
- create coercive household dynamics

---

### ## 3. Cornerstone Mitigations (High-Level)

The Cornerstone Project mitigates these threats through:

- non-negotiable moral spine
- relational safety constraints
- anti-dependency defaults
- outward orientation cadence (encouraging real human connection)
- transparency and non-human signature
- consent-based worldview system + Do Not Offer Faith flag
- privacy maximalism and memory governance

---

### ## End of Threat Model v1.0