

Endogenous entrepreneurship and financial frictions

Cristián Valencia¹

¹Washington University in St. Louis

November 10, 2025

1 Motivation/Question

2 The Model

- There is a continuum of individuals who differ in their wealth a and entrepreneurial ability z .
- Each period, every individual chooses to be a Worker or an Entrepreneur.
- Entrepreneurs are subject to a collateral constraint.
- Entrepreneurial ability z is drawn from a Pareto distribution with pdf $\mu(z) = \eta z^{-\eta-1}$, $z \geq 1$. Entrepreneurial ability is persistent: each period a new z is drawn with a probability γ .
- Financial intermediaries collect deposits and rent out capital to entrepreneurs at rate R , by no arbitrage then $R = r + \delta$ with r the deposit rate.
- There is a representative public firm.
- The government taxes entrepreneurial profits and revenues at rates τ^π and τ^y a and rebates all the receipts with lump-sum payments T_t .

2.1 Individuals' problem

Individuals have CRRA preferences over consumption

$$\max \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma},$$

with inverse elasticity of intertemporal substitution σ .

The budget constraint is

$$c_t + a_{t+1} \leq \max \{w_t, \pi(z_t, a_t)\} + (1 + r_t) a_t + T_t,$$

where the max operator encompasses the binary occupation choice.

Profits from operating the production technology are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_t, a_t) &= \max_{l_t, k_t} \left\{ (1 - \tau_t^\pi) \left[(1 - \tau_t^y) z_t Z_t (k_t^\alpha l_t^{1-\alpha})^{1-\nu} - w_t l_t - (\delta + r_t) k_t \right] \right\}, \\ \text{s.t. } k_t &\leq \lambda a_t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence individuals at productivity z_t choose to become entrepreneurs if their wealth exceeds the threshold value $\bar{a}(z_t)$ that solves

$$w_t = \pi(z_t, \bar{a}(z_t)).$$

The technology operated by individual entrepreneurs features decreasing returns to scale

$$y_t = f(z, k, l) = z Z (k^\alpha l^{1-\alpha})^{1-\nu},$$

with Z aggregate TFP shocks that varies with time.

2.2 Public firm

A representative public firm operates the CRS technology

$$F(K_{ct}, L_{ct}) = Z_t Z_{ct} K_{ct}^\alpha L_{ct}^{1-\alpha}.$$

From FOCs:

$$r_t = F_K(K_{ct}, L_{ct}) - \delta = \alpha Z_t Z_{ct} \left(\frac{K_{ct}}{L_{ct}} \right)^{\alpha-1} - \delta,$$

$$w_t = F_L(Z_{ct}, K_{ct}, L_{ct}) = (1 - \alpha) Z_t Z_{ct} \left(\frac{K_{ct}}{L_{ct}} \right)^\alpha,$$

and so capital-labor ratio

$$\frac{K_{ct}}{L_{ct}} = \left(\frac{\alpha Z_t Z_{ct}}{r_t + \delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}.$$

2.3 Equilibrium

Given an initial distribution $D_0(z, a)$, a competitive equilibrium is allocations

$$\{c_t(z_t, a_t), a_{t+1}(z_t, a_t), l_t(z_t, a_t), k_t(z_t, a_t)\}$$

, distributions $D_t(z_t, a_t)$, and prices $\{w_t, r_t\}$ such that

1. Given prices, the policy functions solve the individuals' problem.

2. Labor market clears:

$$L_{ct} + \int_E l_t(z, a) dD_t(a, z) - \int_W dD_t(a, z) = 0.$$

3. Asset market clears:

$$K_{ct} + \int_E k_t(z, a) dD_t(a, z) - \int_a a D_t(a, z) = 0.$$

4. Goods market clears (by Walras's Law).

5. The government's budget is balanced

$$T_t = \int_E [(\tau_t^\pi + \tau_t - \tau_t^\pi \tau_t) y_t(z, k, l) - \tau_t^\pi (w_t l_t(z, a) + (\delta + r_t) k_t(z, a))] dD_t(a, z).$$

6. The joint distribution evolves according to

$$\begin{aligned} D_{t+1}^E(a_{t+1}, z_{t+1}) &= \gamma D_t^E(a_{t+1}^{-1}(z_t, a_t), z_t) + (1 - \gamma) \int_z D_t^E(a_{t+1}^{-1}(z_t, a_t), z_t), \\ &= [\gamma I + (1 - \gamma) \Pi] D_t^E(a_{t+1}^{-1}(z_t, a_t), z_t), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \Pi = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_z \\ \pi_z \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}.$$

3 Solving the model

3.1 Solving for entrepreneurial profits

The indirect profit function is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_t, a_t) &= \max_{l_t, k_t} \left\{ (1 - \tau_t^\pi) \left[(1 - \tau_t^y) z_t Z_t (k_t^\alpha l_t^{1-\alpha})^{1-\nu} - w_t l_t - (\delta + r_t) k_t \right] \right\}, \\ \text{s.t. } k_t &\leq \lambda a_t. \end{aligned}$$

If the collateral constraint does not bind, profit maximization implies

$$k^u(z_t) = [(1 - \tau_t^y) z_t Z_t]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \left(\frac{\alpha(1-\nu)}{r_t + \delta} \right)^{\frac{1-(1-\alpha)(1-\nu)}{\nu}} \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\nu)}{w_t} \right)^{\frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\nu)}{\nu}}.$$

If the collateral constraint binds (with θ the Lagrange multiplier)

$$k^c(a_t) = \lambda a_t.$$

Hence the capital policy function is

$$k(z_t, a_t) = \min\{k^c(a_t), k^u(z_t)\}.$$

and the labor policy function is

$$l(z_t, a_t) = \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\nu)(1-\tau_t^y) z_t Z_t}{w_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-(1-\alpha)(1-\nu)}} k(z_t, a_t)^{\frac{\alpha(1-\nu)}{1-(1-\alpha)(1-\nu)}}.$$

and the indirect profit function is given by

$$\pi(z_t, a_t) = (1 - \tau_t^\pi) \left[(1 - \tau_t^y) z_t Z_t \left(k(z_t, a_t)^\alpha l(z_t, a_t)^{1-\alpha} \right)^{1-\nu} - w_t l(z_t, a_t) - (\delta + r_t) k(z_t, a_t) \right].$$

Note that the tax on profits τ_t^π does not affect the optimal policies, while the tax on revenue τ_t^y does.

3.2 Solving the individuals' problem

We can write the Bellman as

$$v_t(a_t, z_t) = \max_{c_t, a_{t+1}} \left\{ u(c_t) + \beta \left[\gamma v_{t+1}(a_{t+1}, z_t) + (1 - \gamma) \int v_{t+1}(a_{t+1}, z_{t+1}) \mu(z_{t+1}) dz_{t+1} \right] \right\},$$

s.t. $c_t + a_{t+1} = M(a_{t+1}, z_t) + (1 + r_t) a_t + T_t,$

where $M(a_{t+1}, z_t) = \max\{w_t, \pi(z_t, a_t)\}$ and the Euler equation is

$$u'(c_t(z_t, a_t)) = \beta \left[\gamma (1 + r_{t+1}^{\text{eff}}(z_t, a_{t+1}, d_{t+1})) u'(c_{t+1}(z_t, a_{t+1})), \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \gamma) \int (1 + r_{t+1}^{\text{eff}}(z_{t+1}, a_{t+1}, d_{t+1})) u'(c_{t+1}(z_{t+1}, a_{t+1})) \mu(z_{t+1}) dz_{t+1} \right],$$

where the net effective return is defined as

$$r_t^{\text{eff}}(z_t, a_t) = \begin{cases} r_t & \text{if Worker,} \\ r_t + \frac{\partial \pi(z_t, a_t)}{\partial a_t} & \text{if Entrepreneur,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial \pi(z_t, a_t)}{\partial a_t} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \pi^{\text{const}}(z_t, a_t)}{\partial a_t} & \text{if constrained Entrepreneur,} \\ 0 & \text{if unconstrained Entrepreneur.} \end{cases}$$

3.2.1 Obtaining policies

Inputs: prices $\{w_t, r_t\}$, government policy $\{\tau_t^\pi, T_t\}$ and consumption on next period's asset grid $c_{t+1}(z_{t+1}, a_{t+1})$.

Calculate income $M(a_{t+1}, z_t)$ on the grids for a and z from the indirect profit function.

Calculate next period's cash-on-hand on the grids for a and z

$$\text{coh}(z_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) = M(z_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) + (1 + r_t) a_{t+1} + T_t.$$

Compute the RHS of the Euler equation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS}(a_{t+1}, z_t) = & \beta \left[\gamma \left\{ (1 + r_{t+1}^{\text{eff}}(z_t, a_{t+1})) u'(c_{t+1}(z_t, a_{t+1})) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + (1 - \gamma) \int \left\{ (1 + r_{t+1}^{\text{eff}}(z_{t+1}, a_{t+1})) u'(c_{t+1}(z_{t+1}, a_{t+1})) \right\} \mu(z_{t+1}) dz_{t+1} \right] \right], \end{aligned}$$

and invert to get current consumption

$$c(a_{t+1}, z_t) = u^{-1}(\text{RHS}(a_{t+1}, z_t)).$$

Calculate the asset policy functions with the mapping from assets today

$$a_t = \frac{c_t(a_{t+1}, z_t) + a_{t+1} - M(a_{t+1}, z_t) - T_t}{1 + r_t},$$

to assets tomorrow a_{t+1} that we can invert by interpolation to get the policy function $a_{t+1}(a_t, z_t)$.

Enforce the borrowing constraint, ie enforce $a_{t+1}(a_t, z_t) \geq 0$.

Compute the consumption policy functions as

$$c_t(a_t, z_t) = coh(z_t, a_t) - a_{t+1}(a_t, z_t),$$

and if $c_t(a_t, z_t) < 0$, set $c_t(a_t, z_t) = 0$ and $a_{t+1}(a_t, z_t) = coh(z_t, a_t)$.

3.2.2 Obtaining the distribution

Given a distribution at t , the distribution at $t + 1$ is obtained as

$$D_{t+1}(a_{t+1}, z_{t+1}) = \gamma D_t(a_{t+1}^{-1}(z_t, a_t; w, r), z_t) + (1 - \gamma) \sum_{\hat{z} \in \mathcal{Z}} \mu(\hat{z}) D_t(a_{t+1}^{-1}(\hat{z}, a_t; w, r), \hat{z}),$$

and the stationary distribution is obtained by iterating until convergence.

In matrix form, we stack the vector $\mu(z)$ in a matrix Π and we can do

$$D_{t+1}(a_{t+1}, z_{t+1}) = (\gamma I + (1 - \gamma) \Pi) D_t(a_{t+1}^{-1}(z_t, a_t; w, r), z_{t+1}).$$

4 Algorithm to solve for the general equilibrium

1. Fix grid for states a and z .

2. Set a guess for the interest rate r .
3. Given r , use the public sector to find w .
4. Given prices (r, w) , and a guess for T , find the individuals' policy functions.
5. Given policies, find the stationary distribution.
6. Compute the implied transfers T and if not close, use the updated T and go back to step 4.
7. Aggregate policies and distributions to find assets and savings of the private sector and back out K_c from asset market clearing condition.
8. Compute error in labor market and update r until convergence.

Algorithm 1 Solve General Equilibrium

```

1: Fix grid for states  $a$  and  $z$ 
2: Initialize guess for interest rate  $r$ 
3: while not converged on  $r$  do
4:   Use public sector to compute  $w$  given  $r$ 
5:   Initialize guess for  $T$ 
6:   while not converged on  $T$  do
7:     Compute individuals' policy functions given prices  $(r, w)$  and  $T$ 
8:     Compute stationary distribution given policies
9:     Compute implied transfers  $T_{\text{new}}$ 
10:    if  $|T_{\text{new}} - T| > \text{tolerance}$  then
11:      Set  $T = T_{\text{new}}$                                  $\triangleright$  or some update rule
12:    else
13:      Converged on  $T$ 
14:    end if
15:  end while
16:  Aggregate policies and distributions to compute private sector assets and savings
17:  Back out  $K_c$  from asset market clearing condition
18:  Compute labor market error using aggregates
19:  if error  $>$  tolerance then
20:    Update  $r$  based on error
21:  else
22:    Converged on  $r$ 
23:  end if
24: end while

```
