$2n$	(k,m,m')					anomaly
2	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$ 1/2					.5
4	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$ -1/2 (-.65)	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$ 1/2 (.31)				0 (-.33)
6	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ 1/2 (.56) $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$ 1/2 (.43)	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$ -1/2 (-.47)	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$ 1/2 (.44)			1 (.93)
8	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$ -1/2 (-1.97) $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$ -1/2 (-.62)	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ 1/2 (-.14) $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$ 1/2 (1.08)	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$ -1/2 (-1.04)	$(\mathbf{4},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$ 1/2 (.51)		0 (-2.17)
10	$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{0})$ 1/2 (?) $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$ 1/2 (?) $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$ 1/2 (?)	$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{3},\mathbf{0})$ -1/2 (-?) $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$ -1/2 (?)	$(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ 1/2 (?) $(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$ 1/2 (?)	$(\mathbf{4},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$ -1/2 (?)	$(\mathbf{5},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$ 1/2 (?)	$\frac{3}{2}$ (8.72)

Table 1: Updated Cvit77bFig3 comparison of the "gauge-set approximation" Cvit77b(1) and the actual numerical values of corresponding gauge sets, together with the 5-loop prediction. Starting with 4-loops, the gauge-set approximation fails in detail, but still the signs are right, except for the anomalously small set $(2) = (2,2,0)$, and the remaining sets are surprisingly close to multiples of 1/2.