## Supplementary Material for

## "Estimating dimension of inertial manifold from unstable periodic orbits"

X. Ding, H. Chaté, P. Cvitanović, E. Siminos, 4,5 and K. A. Takeuchi 6, \*

<sup>1</sup>Center for Nonlinear Science, School of Physics,
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0430, USA
<sup>2</sup>Service de Physique de l'Etat Condensé, CEA, CNRS,
Université Paris-Saclay, CEA-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
<sup>3</sup>Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100094, China
<sup>4</sup>Max-Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems,
Nöthnitzer Str. 38, D-01187 Dresden, Germany
<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden
<sup>6</sup>Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan
(Dated: April 28, 2016)

## I. IN-SLICE FLOQUET VECTORS

This section describes how the in-slice Floquet vectors  $|\hat{e}_j(\hat{\mathbf{x}})\rangle$  are defined and obtained from the original Floquet vectors  $|e_j(\mathbf{x})\rangle$  (bra-ket notation is used). As in the Letter, we consider an autonomous flow  $|\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle=f^t|\mathbf{x}(0)\rangle$  in d-dimensional phase space. The Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation formally corresponds to  $d=\infty$ , but in practice numerical integration is performed in a finite-dimensional phase space, whose dimensionality d is set by the cutoff wavenumber chosen in the pseudospectral method. In any case,  $|\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle$  represents the field u(x,t), which is also described by its Fourier components

$$a_k(t) \equiv \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L u(x,t)e^{-iq_k x}, \tag{S1}$$

with  $q_k = 2\pi k/L$  and  $a_0(t) = 0$ . Within this formalism, a periodic orbit  $|\mathbf{x}(0)\rangle$  is a fixed point of the map  $f^{T_p}$ , with  $T_p$  the period of the orbit. Its Floquet multipliers  $\Lambda_j$  and Floquet vectors  $|\mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x})\rangle$  are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors, respectively, of the corresponding Jacobian  $J^{T_p}$ . Pre-periodic orbits and relative periodic orbits can also be dealt with straightforwardly, by replacing  $f^{T_p}$  with  $Rf^{T_p}$  and  $g(\theta_p)f^{T_p}$ , respectively, with reflection  $\sigma$  and spatial translation  $g(\theta_p)$  as defined in the Letter. Therefore, in the following, we describe the case of periodic orbits for the sake of simplicity.

Here we focus on the invariance under spatial translation  $u(x,t) \to u(x+\ell,t)$ , which amounts to a rotation  $a_k(t) \to e^{iq_k\ell}a_k(t)$  in Fourier space, described by the operator  $g(2\pi\ell/L)$ . To reduce the marginal dimension due to this symmetry, we send all trajectories and orbits to the (d-1)-dimensional hyperplane

$$Im(a_1(t)) = 0, Re(a_1(t)) > 0,$$
 (S2)

which is called the first Fourier-mode slice [S1]. This is realized by transformation

$$|\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle \equiv g(-\theta(\mathbf{x}(t)))|\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle$$
 (S3)

with  $\theta(\mathbf{x}(t)) = \arg a_1(t)$ .

First we consider infinitesimal perturbations to  $|\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle$ , denoted here by  $|\delta\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle$ . Using Eq. (S3), one can show that  $|\delta\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle$  is transformed to

$$|\delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle' \equiv h(\mathbf{x}(t))g(-\theta(\mathbf{x}(t)))|\delta \mathbf{x}(t)\rangle,$$
 (S4)

with

$$h(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1 - \frac{|T\mathbf{x}\rangle \langle T\mathbf{x}_0|}{\langle T\mathbf{x}_0|T\mathbf{x}\rangle}.$$
 (S5)

Here, T is the generator defined by  $g(\theta) \equiv e^{T\theta}$ , the inner product is

$$\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x}' \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L u^*(x) u'(x) dx = \sum_k a_k^* a_k',$$
 (S6)

and  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a reference point on the slice [S1], which therefore satisfies  $\langle \delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) | T\mathbf{x}_0 \rangle = 0$ . Since we use the first Fourier-mode slice (S2), we can take  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (1,0,\cdots,0)$  in the Fourier representation. Note that, since the dimensionality of the slice is one less than that of the phase space, so is the dimensionality of the in-slice perturbations. This is reflected by the fact that  $\langle T\mathbf{x}_0 | h(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , or  $\sum_i t_i' h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , where  $h_i(\mathbf{x})$  is the *i*th row vector of  $h(\mathbf{x})$  and  $t_i'$  the *i*th component of  $\langle T\mathbf{x}_0 | h(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , where  $h_i(\mathbf{x})$  is the *i*th row vector of  $h(\mathbf{x})$  and  $h(\mathbf{x})$  and  $h(\mathbf{x})$  and  $h(\mathbf{x})$  one obtains

<sup>\*</sup> kat@kaztake.org

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_{i_0-1} \\ h_{i_0} \\ h_{i_0+1} \\ \vdots \\ h_d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \ddots & O \\ -\frac{t'_1}{t'_{i_0}} & \cdots & -\frac{t'_{i_0-1}}{t'_{i_0}} & -\frac{t'_{i_0+1}}{t'_{i_0}} & \cdots & -\frac{t'_d}{t'_{i_0}} \\ 1 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ h_{i_0-1} \\ 1 & \vdots & \vdots \\ h_d \end{bmatrix} \equiv P\hat{h}(\mathbf{x}), \tag{S7}$$

with  $d \times (d-1)$  matrix P,  $(d-1) \times d$  matrix  $\hat{h}(\mathbf{x})$ , and  $i_0$  chosen such that  $t'_{i_0} \neq 0$ . For the first Fourier-mode slice adopted here, all the components of  $\langle T\mathbf{x}_0|$  except  $t'_2$  are zero [S1], so that  $i_0 = 2$ .

Now let us define the (d-1)-dimensional in-slice perturbation  $|\delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle$  by

$$|\delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle \equiv \hat{h}(\mathbf{x}(t))g(-\theta(\mathbf{x}(t)))|\delta \mathbf{x}(t)\rangle$$
. (S8)

By construction, there is one-to-one correspondence between  $|\delta\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle'$  and  $|\delta\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle$  through the operator P [see Eqs. (S4), (S7), (S8)], unless  $|\delta\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle'$  is tangent to the spatial translation,  $|\delta\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle' \propto |T\mathbf{x}\rangle$ , which results in  $|\delta\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle = 0$  [see Eq. (S5)]. Therefore,  $|\delta\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle$  indeed describes the perturbation within the slice. Moreover, if we define the evolution operator  $\hat{J}^t(\mathbf{x})$  by

$$|\delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle = \hat{J}^t(\mathbf{x}(0)) |\delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}(0)\rangle,$$
 (S9)

similarly to

$$|\delta \mathbf{x}(t)\rangle = J^t(\mathbf{x}(0)) |\delta \mathbf{x}(0)\rangle,$$
 (S10)

with  $J^{t}(\mathbf{x})$  being the Jacobian of  $f^{t}(\mathbf{x})$ , we obtain

$$|\delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle = \hat{h}(\mathbf{x}(t))g(-\theta(\mathbf{x}(t)))J^{t}(\mathbf{x}(0))|\delta \mathbf{x}(0)\rangle$$
$$= \hat{J}^{t}(\mathbf{x}(0))\hat{h}(\mathbf{x}(0))g(-\theta(\mathbf{x}(0)))|\delta \mathbf{x}(0)\rangle. \quad (S11)$$

For a periodic orbit of period  $T_p$  (hence  $\mathbf{x}(T_p) = \mathbf{x}(0)$ ), we have  $J^{T_p}(0) | \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}(0)) \rangle = \Lambda_j | \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}(0)) \rangle$  with its Floquet multipliers  $\Lambda_j$  and vectors  $| \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ . Therefore, defining

$$|\hat{e}_{j}(\mathbf{x})\rangle \equiv \hat{h}(\mathbf{x})g(-\theta(\mathbf{x}))|e_{j}(\mathbf{x})\rangle,$$
 (S12)

we find that they are indeed eigenvectors of  $\hat{J}^t(\mathbf{x})$ , associated with the eigenvalues  $\Lambda_j$  unchanged by the transformation. This justifies calling  $|\hat{e}_j(\mathbf{x})\rangle$  the in-slice Floquet vectors, associated with the Floquet multipliers  $\Lambda_j$  or exponents  $\lambda_j$  of the orbit. Similarly to  $|\delta\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\rangle$ , all information of the jth Floquet mode is retained in the corresponding in-slice Floquet mode, except that the marginal mode due to the spatial translation is excluded in the inslice descriptions. Therefore, the number of the marginal modes, as well as the number of the entangled Floquet modes, are one less than those in the full-space descriptions.

<sup>[</sup>S1] N. B. Budanur, P. Cvitanović, R. L. Davidchack, and E. Siminos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 084102 (2015).