# The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

### Student Feedback Questionnaire

## Instructor - Section Report

FALL 2018-19 Survey period: 16 November 2018 - 7 December 2018 CHAU,Wai Yi

#### CIVL-3730-L1 Fundamentals of Geotechnics

58 student(s) have evaluated the course.
107 student(s) have not evaluated the course.
Percentage of enrolled students responding: 35.2% (58/165)
Adjusted percentage ^ of enrolled students responding: 33.9% (56/165)

### **Tutorial sections**

### Q1. How well did the TA explain things to you?

		Weight	Count		Percentage
A	Very well	100.0	18	31.0%	
В	Well	75.0	26	44.8%	
С	Acceptable	50.0	9	15.5%	
D	Not well	25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Poorly	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not sure/applicable		5	8.6%	
	Total		58	100.0%	

	Regular		Adjust	ed^
Statistics	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Survey	79.2	17.5	79.4	17.1
Section	78.5	20.2	-	
Course	78.5	20.2	-	
Department (CIVL)	70.4	22.0		
School (SENG)	72.8	23.2	N/A	Α
University	74.4	23.3		

## Q2. Do you think the TA has a solid knowledge of the subject matter of this course?

		Weight	Count	Percentage		
Α	Yes	100.0	47	81.0%		
В	Only partially	50.0	4	6.9%		
С	No	0.0	0	0.0%		
NA	Not sure/applicable		7	12.1%		
	Total		58	100.0%		

	Regu	lar	Adjuste	ed^
Statistics	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Survey	96.1	13.6	96.9	12.1
Section	95.0	15.6	_	_
Course	95.0	15.6	-	
Department (CIVL)	86.7	24.3		
School (SENG)	86.1	25.5	N/A	\
University	87.1	24.8		

## Q3. How easy was it to reach the TA outside of class?

	Weight	Count	Percentage
Very easy	100.0	11	19.0%
Easy	75.0	12	20.7%
Acceptable	50.0	16	27.6%
Difficult	25.0	0	0.0%
Very difficult	0.0	0	0.0%
Not applicable		19	32.8%
Total		58	100.0%
	Easy Acceptable Difficult Very difficult Not applicable	Very easy         100.0           Easy         75.0           Acceptable         50.0           Difficult         25.0           Very difficult         0.0           Not applicable	Easy       75.0       12         Acceptable       50.0       16         Difficult       25.0       0         Very difficult       0.0       0         Not applicable       19

	Regu	lar	Adjusted ^	
Statistics	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Survey	71.8	20.8	71.6	20.5
Section	76.0	22.2	-	-
Course	76.0	22.2	-	-
Department (CIVL)	66.4	22.7		
School (SENG)	70.5	24.4	N/A	Α.
University	73.1	24.2		

## Q4. Is the TA helpful in general?

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
Α	Very helpful	100.0	20	34.5%	
В	Helpful	75.0	23	39.7%	

	Regular		Adjusted ^	
Statistics	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Survey	81.5	17.4	81.8	16.9

C	Somewhat helpful	50.0	7	12.1%	
D	Not helpful	25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Totally unhelpful	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not sure/applicable		8	13.8%	
	Total		58	100.0%	

Section	79.4	19.7	_	-
Course	79.4	19.7	-	-
Department (CIVL)	70.6	22.1		
School (SENG)	73.9	23.1	N/A	
University	75.7	23.0		

## Q5. Overall, how do you find the tutorials?

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Excellent	100.0	13	22.4%	
В	Good	75.0	14	24.1%	
С	Acceptable	50.0	6	10.3%	
D	Poor	25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Very poor	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not applicable		25	43.1%	
	Total		58	100.0%	

	Regu	lar	Adjusted ^		
Statistics	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Survey	80.3	18.5	80.6	17.9	
Section	79.9	20.0	_		
Course	79.9	20.0	_		
Department (CIVL)	69.7	21.9			
School (SENG)	72.9	23.2	N/A	A	
University	74.9	23.1			

### Q6. Overall, how do you find the laboratory section?

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Excellent	100.0	19	32.8%	
В	Good	75.0	27	46.6%	
С	Acceptable	50.0	5	8.6%	
D	Poor	25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Very poor	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not applicable		7	12.1%	
	Total		58	100.0%	

	Regular		Adjusted ^	
Statistics	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Survey	81.9	15.9	82.1	15.3
Section	78.1	19.2	-	-
Course	78.1	19.2	_	-
Department (CIVL)	72.0	20.5		
School (SENG)	72.0	20.5	N/A	Λ
University	71.9	20.8		

### Q7. What was good about the TA?

- 1. He explained the steps of lab procedures to us very well.
- 2. He explains the lab guidelines clearly, and offers many help in the lab
- 3. He is very nice and responsive to students questions. He evaluated himself after delivering the instructions and think of how to improve.
- 4. Well explainatio during the laboratory session.

### Q8. How might the TA improve?

1. \*\*\*not talking about the TA\*\*\* One of the lab technicians was kind of rude. I understand that we made some mistakes but we tried our best to improve. I hope he can guide us more instead of just scolding us. \*\*\*again this is not referring to the TA\*\*\*

- ^ Explanatory notes on adjusted percentage, mean and SD (collectively referred to as "adjusted statistics" below):
- $1. \ \ Adjusted \ statistics \ are \ calculated \ to \ provide \ a \ reference \ with \ extreme \ responses \ being \ excluded.$
- 2. If a survey recorded 3 or more responses, the top 1.5% AND bottom 1.5% responses will be discarded. The number of discarded response(s) of each end is rounded UP to the nearest integer. The resultant data set will then be used to calculate adjusted statistics in this report.
- 3. Adjusted statistics will be provided up to course level if and only if they meet the following conditions:

<u> </u>	1 0 0 0
Level of adjusted statistics	Conditions
Survey level	The survey receives 3 or more responses.
Section level	(1) All surveys receive 3 or more responses; AND
	(2) This section is taught by One teaching staff only (instructor / TA).
Course level	(1) All surveys receive 3 or more responses; AND
	(2) This course is taught by One teaching staff only (instructor / TA).

If no adjusted statistics is provided, it will be marked as "-" in this report.

Report prepared by OIR 11 January 2019