
Rascal Requirements and Design Document

Paul Klint
Tijs van der Storm
Jurgen Vinju

Table of Contents

Rationale	2
Introduction	2
Requirements	3
Rascal at a glance	3
Modules	3
Types and Values	4
Variables	4
Functions	5
Patterns	5
Expressions	6
Statements	7
Examples	7
Booleans	8
Abstract Graph datatype	9
Tree traversal	10
Substitution in Lambda	13
Renaming in Let	14
Concise Pico Typechecker	15
Pico control flow extraction	16
Pico use def extraction	17
Pico uninitialized variables	17
Pico common subexpression elimination	18
Pico constant propagation	19
Pico Reaching definitions	19
Innerproduct	20
Bubble sort	21
Generic Bubble sort [under discussion]	21
Read-Eval-Print Loop (REPR)	21
Syntax Definition	22
Prototyping/implementation of Rascal	22
Issues	23
Graveyard	24
Mapping features to datatypes	24
Renaming in Let using global variables	25
Outdated examples	27
Pico Typecheck using dynamically scoped variables (OUTDATED)	27
Pico eval with dynamically scoped variables (OUTDATED)	28
Generating Graph files in Dot format	30
Integration with Tscripts (Outdated)	30
Introduction	30
Requirements	30

Different styles of Type Declarations	30
Global Flow of Control	31
Modularization	32

Note

This document is a braindump of ideas. See the section called “*Issues*”[23] for the issues that have to be resolved.

Rationale

In the domain of software analysis and transformation, there exists a phlethora of domain-specific languages for defining grammars, rewrite rules, software analysis and the like. So why embark on the design of yet another DSL in this area? We see the following arguments for this:

- Many existing DSLs are based on more or less exotic concepts that do excite researchers but are frightening for users without an appropriate research background.
- The notation used in many DSLs is uninviting to say the least.
- The scope of DSLs is usually narrow (this is where the word "domain-specific" kicks in). The tasks involved in carrying out a code analysis or renovation project require several DSLs. Integration between these DSLs is insufficient.
- As designers of various DSLs (ASF+SDF, Tscript, Rscript, ...) we have seen the positive as well as the negative side of DSLs. We certainly know howto implement DSLs in this area.
- We see an opportunity for a user-friendly, conceptually high-level, and rich DSL for all tasks related to software analysis and transformation.

With this background and an essential dose of optimism, we embark on this trip

Introduction

The goals of the envisaged language (with working name Rascal) are:

- Separating pure syntax definitions (SDF) from function definitions.
- Easy syntax-directed analysis of programming languages.
- Easy fact extraction.
- Easy connection of fact extraction with fact manipulation and reasoning.
- Easy feedback of analysis results in source code transformation.
- Efficient and scalable implementation.
- Unsurprising syntax and concepts. Where possible we will stay close to C and Java notation.

The above goals are all but one already met in the current design of ASF+SDF, and the current design of RScript. What is missing is the connection (and to be honest: an efficient implementation of relational operators). Alas, any bridge between the two languages is both complex to manage and an efficiency bottleneck. This work is an attempt to consolidate this engineering trade-off. This basically means that we include most features of the RScript language into ASF+SDF. Although we take these languages as conceptual starting point, Rascal is a completely new design.

In the section called “*Integration with Tscripts (Outdated)*”[30] we will also explore the issues when we take integration one step further and also include Tscripts in the considerations.

Requirements

- R1: Runtime speed: large-scale analysis of facts is expensive (frequently high-polynomial and exponential algorithms). A factor speedup can mean the difference between a feasible and an unfeasible case.
- R2: Backward compatible with ASF+SDF. We need to port old ASF+SDF definitions to Rascal.
- R3: Compilation speed: parsetable generation is a major bottleneck in current ASF+SDF. This needs to be fixed.
- R4: Concrete syntax: for readability and easy parsing of a wide range of source languages.
- R5: Functional (no side-effects).
- R6: File I/O (contradicts R5).
- R7: Easily accessible fact storage (similar to a heap, but remember R5 and the details of backtracking).
- R8: List matching (because of R2, influences R7).
- R9: Nesting of data-structures: relations can be nested to model nested features of programming languages (such as scoping).
- R10: Syntax trees can be elements of the builtin data-structures (but not vice versa).
- R11: Features are orthogonal: try to keep the number of ways to write down a program minimal
- R12 (**new**): Minimize possible syntactic ambiguities; resolve them by type inference.

Rascal at a glance

Rascal consists of the following elements:

- Modules to group definitions.
- A type system and corresponding values.
- Variables to associate a name with a value in some scope.
- Parameterized functions.
- Abstract and concrete patterns to deconstruct (match) values and to construct (make) them.
- Expressions provide the elementary computations on values.
- Statements for providing more advanced control flow in computations.

These elements are summarized in the following subsections.

Modules

Modules are the organizational unit of Rascal. They may:

- import other modules (either Rascal modules or SDF modules).
- Define datatypes, subtypes or functions.

Types and Values

The type system (and notation) are mostly similar to that of Rscript, but

- Symbols (as defined by an SDF module) are also types.
- There are built-in types (bool, int, str, loc) that have a syntactic counterpart (not yet defined how to do this exactly).
- There is a catch-all type "Value".
- Relations and tuples can have optional column names.
- There is subtyping (as opposed to aliasing of types in Rscript)
- All syntactic types are a subtype of the type TREE that corresponds to AsFix. Up casts from a subtype to an enclosing type are automatic; Down casts require a run-time check.
- Datatype declarations may introduce new structured types.
- Types may include type variables like $\&T$ as in Rscript.

As a design strategy we try to offer the option to leave out as many type indications as possible.

The root of the type system is the type Value. It has the following subtypes:

- bool
- int
- str
- loc
- lists, sets, tuples, and relations of Values.
- datatype: all structures defined with a datatype definition. One of the subtypes of datatype is Tree.
 - Tree is a subtype of datatype and is the type that corresponds with concrete syntax trees (AsFix in the case of the Meta-Environment). Tree has as subtype all types that are derived from SDF definitions, i.e., all notions that are defined using a grammar.
 - The type Tree is "special" in the following sense:
 - Parsers generates values of type Tree.
 - Although the datatype Tree can be defined in Rascal, its definition is built-in in order to preserve the consistency with the parser.

Note

There is inconsistency in naming here. Probably switch to "tree", "value", etc. so that all built-in types are in lowercase.

Variables

Variables are names that have an associated scope and in that scope they have a value. A variable declaration consists of a type followed by the variable name and---depending on the syntactic position---

--they are followed by an initialization. Variables may be introduced at the following syntactic positions:

- As formal parameters of a function. Their scope is the function and they get their initial value when the function is called.
- Local variables in a function body are declared and initialized. Their scope is the function body.
- Variables in patterns. For patterns in match positions, variables are initialized during the match and their scope is the rule in which they occur. For patterns in make positions, the values of variables are taken from the local scope.
- Variables that are introduced by generators in comprehensions or for statement, have the comprehension, respectively, for statement as scope.

Note

To do: global/dynamic variables.

Functions

A function declaration consists of a visibility declaration, the keyword `fun`, result type, a function name, typed arguments and a function body.

A visibility declaration is one of the keywords `public` or `private` (default).

A function body contains optional variable declarations (with optional initializations) followed by a number of statements. A return statement produces the value of the function.

Functions can later be extended:

Note

Function extension is still under discussion.

- The keyword `extend` before a function declaration extends a previously defined function with the same signature.
- Local declarations in the extension function are added to the original function.
- If both bodies consist of a `switch` or `visit` construct, the cases are merged.
- Other cases may be considered: `switch + expr`, `expr + switch`, `visit + expr`, and `expr + visit`.
- No other extensions are allowed.

Patterns

We distinguish two kinds of patterns:

- *Abstract* patterns: prefix dataterms that are generated by a signature.
- *Concrete* patterns: textual fragment that are generated by a context-free grammar.

Patterns may contain variables and can occur in two syntactic positions:

- *Match* positions where the patterns is matched against another term and the variables in the pattern are bound when the match is successfull. Examples of match positions are:

- After a `case` keyword in `switch` or `visit` statement.
- In a generator, where generated values are matched against the pattern.
- *Make* positions where the pattern is used to construct a new term (after replacing any variables in the pattern by their values. Examples of make positions are:
 - If the pattern is preceded by the keyword `make`.

Abstract Patterns

Datatype declarations introduce a signature of abstract terms. These terms (possibly including typed variables as introduced for concrete patterns) may be used as abstract patterns at the same position where concrete patterns are allowed. Subtype declarations define an inclusion relation between types.

Concrete Patterns

There is a notation of a *concrete pattern*: a (possibly quoted) concrete syntax fragment that may contain variables. We want to cover the whole spectrum from maximally quoted patterns that can unambiguously describe **any** syntax fragment to minimally quoted patterns as we are used to in ASF+SDF. Therefore we support the following mechanisms:

- Optionally typed variables, written as `<TYPE NAME>` or `<NAME>`.
- Quoted patterns enclosed between `[|` and `|]`. Inside a fully quoted string, the characters `<`, `>` and `|` can be escaped as `\<`, `\>`, `\|`. Fully quoted patterns may contain variables.
- Unquoted patterns are an (unquoted) syntax fragment that may contain variables.

Quoted and unquoted patterns form the *patterns* that are supported in Rascal.

Examples are:

- Quoted pattern with typed variables:

```
[ | while <EXP Exp> do <{STATEMENT ";"}* Stats> od | ]
```

- Quoted pattern with untyped variables:

```
[ | while <Exp> do <Stats> od | ]
```

- Unquoted pattern with typed variables:

```
while <EXP Exp> do <{STATEMENT ";"}* Stats> od
```

- Unquoted pattern with untyped variables:

```
while <Exp> do <Stats> od
```

Obviously, with less quoting and type information, the probability of ambiguities increases. Our assumption is that a type checker can resolve them.

Implementation hint. For every sort *S* in the syntax definition add the following rules:

```
S                                -> Pattern
"<" "S"? Variable ">" -> S
```

Expressions

Expressions correspond roughly to Rscript expressions with some extensions:

- There are lists, sets and relations together with comprehensions for these types.
- Generators in comprehensions may range over syntax trees.
- Generators may have a strategy option to indicate:
 - all = continue
 - first = break
 - td = top-down
 - bu = bottom-up
- The complete repertoire of operators in Rscript is available.

Statements

Rascal has the following statement types:

- Variable declaration with initialization.
- An assignment statement assigns a value to a (local or global) variable.
- If-then statement and if-then-else statement.
- A "return" statement returns a value from a function.
- A "switch" statement is similar to a switch statement in C or Java and for a given subject term, it corresponds to the matching provided by the left-hand sides of a set of rewrite rules. However, it provides **only** matching at the top level of the subject term and does not traverse it. The type of each pattern must be identical to the type of the subject term (or be a subtype of it).
- A rewrite rule consists of a Pattern followed by `=>` and a replacement expression:

```
case Pattern => Replacement
```

or the pattern is followed by a block of statements:

```
case Pattern: Block
```

A block may consist of declarations and statements.

- A "visit" statement corresponds to a traversal function. Given a subject term and a list of rewrite rules it traverses the term. Depending on the precise rules it may perform replacement (mimicking a transformer), update local variables (mimicking an accumulator) or a combination of these two. The visit statement may contain the same strategy options as a generator and also:
 - repeat = compute a fixed-point: repeat as long as the traversal function changes values.
- A "for" statement to repeat a block of code.
- A "solve" statement to solve a set of linear equations.
- A "yield" statement that delivers a value during a traversal.

Examples

Here we list experimental examples of Rascal code to try out features.

Booleans

It seems that every language specification effort has to produce a specification of the Booleans at some moment, so let's try it now. We try the following versions:

- A version using visit, see the section called “*Booleans using visit*” [8].
- A version using an implicit reduction function

We use the following common syntax:

```
module Booleans-syntax
exports
  sorts Bool

  context-free syntax
    "true"          -> Bool
    "false"         -> Bool
    Bool "&" Bool -> Bool {left}
    Bool "|" Bool -> Bool {right}
```

Booleans using visit

A simple solution exists using the visit construct that we have encountered in the above examples.

```
module Bool-example1
imports Booleans-syntax

fun Bool reduce(Bool B) {
  visit bu B {
    case true & <Bool B2> => B2      %% Style 1: Use Variables
    case false & <Bool B2> => false

    case true | true  => true        %% Style 2: Use a truth table
    case true | false => true
    case false | true  => true
    case false | false => false
  }
}
```

Observe that there are two styles:

- Using variables on the left-hand side: the visit is needed to fully normalize the result.
- A truth table: this is sufficient as is.

Abstract Booleans

In the above example we used concrete syntax for Booleans expressions. It also possible to define Booleans as abstract terms.

```
module Bool-abstract

datatype Bool true;
datatype Bool false;
datatype Bool and(Bool, Bool);
datatype Bool or(Bool, Bool);
```



```
fun Bool reduce(Bool B) {  
  visit bu B {  
    case and(true, Bool B2) => B2    %% Style 1: Use Variables  
    case and(false, Bool)   => false  
  
    case or(true, true)      => true  %% Style 2: Use a truth table  
    case or(true, false)    => true  
    case or(false, true)    => true  
    case or(false, false)   => false  
  }  
}
```

First, type declarations are used to define the abstract syntax of the type `Bool`. Next, a similar `reduce` function is defined as before, but now we use abstract patterns.

Booleans with implicit reduce (NOT YET DECIDED)

In ASF, values are always reduced to a normal form when they are created. In order to approximate this behaviour we introduce the possibility to associate a reduction function with all values of a sort:

```
simplify Bool B as reduce(B)
```

with as meaning that the (sort preserving) function `reduce` is applied whenever a value of type `Bool` is constructed. A notational alternative is to include this in the function declaration:

```
reduction fun Bool reduce(Bool B) { ... }
```

There are some issues here:

- It should be forbidden that more than one `simplify` applies for the same sort. This situation could arise due to imports.
- We could (optionally) forbid or warn for the explicit use of a function that is used as simplifier.
- We have not yet addressed visibility (public/private/hidden etc) of names. We should be careful in determining the visibility and scope of the `simplify` construct.
- It is interesting that the `simplify` construct allows us to explicitly use certain sorts as free terms or as terms that are to be simplified, depending on the context. This flexibility is absent in ASF.

Abstract Graph datatype

In the Meta-Environment we use an abstract data type to exchange data representing graphs. It can be defined as follows.

```
module Graph  
  
datatype Graph graph(NodeList nodes,  
                    EdgeList edges,  
                    AttributeList attributes);  
  
subtype NodeList list[Node];  
  
datatype Node node(NodeId id,  
                  AttributeList attributes);  
  
datatype Node subgraph(NodeId id,  
                      NodeList nodes,  
                      EdgeList edges,
```

```
        AttributeList attributes);

datatype NodeId id(term id);

subtype AttributeList list[Attribute];

datatype Attribute bounding-box(Point first, Point second);
datatype Attribute color(Color color);
datatype Attribute curve-points(Polygon points);
datatype Attribute direction(Direction direction);
datatype Attribute fill-color(Color color);
datatype Attribute info(str key, term value);
datatype Attribute label(str label);
datatype Attribute tooltip(str tooltip);
datatype Attribute location(int x, int y);
datatype Attribute shape(Shape shape);
datatype Attribute size(int width, int height);
datatype Attribute style(Style style);
datatype Attribute level(str level);
datatype Attribute file(File file);
datatype Attribute file(term file);

datatype Color rgb(int red, int green, int blue);

datatype Style bold | dashed | dotted |
              filled | invisible | solid;

datatype Shape box | circle | diamond | egg |
              ellipse | hexagon | house | octagon |
              parallelogram | plaintext | trapezium |
              triangle;

datatype Direction forward | back | both | none;

subtype Edgelist list[Edge];

datatype Edge edge(NodeId from,
                  NodeId to,
                  AttributeList attributes);

subtype Polygon list[Point];

datatype Point point(int x, int y);
```

Tree traversal

Here is the TREE example that we use in explaining traversal functions in ASF+SDF.

```
module Tree-syntax
imports basic/Integers

exports
  sorts TREE
  context-free syntax
    Integer      -> TREE
    f(TREE, TREE) -> TREE
    g(TREE, TREE) -> TREE
    h(TREE, TREE) -> TREE
```

```
i (TREE, TREE) -> TREE
```

```
module Tree-Examples
imports Tree-syntax

%% Ex1: Count leaves in a TREE
%% Idea: int N : T generates alle Integer leaves in the tree
%% # is the built-in length-of operator

fun int cnt(TREE T) {
  return #{N | int N : T}
}

%% Ex1: an equivalent, more purist, version of the same function:
fun int cnt(TREE T) {
  return #{N | <Integer N> : T}
}

%% Ex1: alternative solution using trafo functions:

fun int cnt(TREE T) {
  int C = 0;
  visit T {
    case <Integer N> : C = C+1
  };
  return C;
}

%% Ex2: Sum all leaves in a TREE
%% NB sum is a built-in that adds all elements in a set or list.
%% Here we see immediately the need to identify
%% - the built-in sort "int"
%% - the syntactic sort "Integer"

fun int sumtree(TREE T) {
  return sum({N | int N : T});
}

%% Ex2: using a visit statement that acts as a accumulator

fun int cnt(TREE T) {
  int C = 0;
  visit T {
    case <Integer N> : C = C+N
  };
  return C;
}

%% Ex3: Increment all leaves in a TREE
%% Idea: using the construct "visit T { ... }" visit all leaves in the
%% tree T that match an integer and replace each N in T by N+1.
%% The expression as a whole returns the modified term.
%% Note that two conversions are needed here:
%% - from int to NAT to match subterms
%% - from int to NAT to convert the result of addition into
%%   a NAT tree

fun TREE inc(TREE T) {
```

```

    visit T {
        case <Integer N>: yield (N + 1)
    };
}

%% Ex4: full replacement of g by i
%% The whole repertoire of traversal functions is available:
%% - visit first bu T { ... }
%% - visit all td T { ... }
%% - etc.
%% with:
%% "first" (= break) and "all" (= continue).
%% "bu" (= bottom-up) and "td" (=top-down)
%% A nice touch is that these properties are not tied to the
%% declaration of a traversal function (as in ASF+SDF) but to
%% its use.

%% Note the "make" keyword that turns a pattern into a value.

fun TREE frepl(TREE T) {
    visit all bu T {
        case g(<TREE T1>, <TREE T2>) => make i(<TREE T1>, <TREE T2>)
    };
}

%% Ex5: Deep replacement of g by i

fun TREE frepl(TREE T) {
    visit first bu T {
        case g(<TREE T1>, <TREE T2>) => make i(<TREE T1>, <TREE T2>)
    };
}

%% Ex6: shallow replacement of g by i (i.e. only outermost
%% g's are replaced);

fun TREE srepl(TREE T) {
    visit first td T {
        case g(<TREE T1>, <TREE T2>) => make i(<TREE T1>, <TREE T2>)
    };
}

%% Ex7: We can also add the first/td directives to all generators
%% (where "all td" would be the default):

fun set[TREE] find-outer-gs(TREE T) {
    return
    { S | STATEMENT S : first td T,
      g(<TREE T1>, <TREE T2>) := S };
}

%% Ex8: accumulating transformer that increments leaves with
%% amount D and counts them
fun tuple(int, TREE) count-and-inc(TREE T, int C, int D) {
    int C = 0;

    visit T {

```

```
    case <Integer N>: { C = C + 1; yield N+D }  
    };  
    return <C, T>;  
}
```

Substitution in Lambda

Below a definition of substitution in lambda expressions. It would be nice to get this as simple as possible since it is a model for many binding mechanisms. It is also a challenge to write a generic substitution function that only depends on the syntax of variables and argument binding.

```
module examples/Lambda/Lambda-syntax  
  
exports  
sorts Var %% variables  
      Exp %% expressions  
lexical syntax  
  [a-z]+          -> Var  
context-free syntax  
  "prime" "(" Var ")" -> Var  %% generate unique name  
  Var              -> Exp  %% single variable  
  "fn" Var ">=>" Exp  -> Exp  %% function abstraction  
  Exp Exp          -> Exp  %% function application
```

Examples:

```
module Lambda-Examples  
imports Lambda-syntax  
  
fun set[Var] allVars(Exp E) {  
  return {V | Var V : E}  
}  
  
fun set[Var] boundVars(Exp E) {  
  return {V | fn <Var V> => <Exp E1> : E};  
}  
  
fun set[Var] freeVars(Exp E) {  
  return allVars(E) \ boundVars(E);  
}  
  
%% Generate a fresh variable if V does not occur in  
%% given set of variables.  
  
fun Var fresh(Var V, set[Var] S) {  
  if (V in S){ return prime(V); } else {return V;};  
}  
  
%% Substitution: replace all occurrences of V in E2 by E1  
  
fun Exp subst(Var V1, Exp E1, Exp E2) {  
  
  switch E2 {  
    case <Var V2>: if(V1 != V2){ yield V2; }  
  
    case <Var V2>: if(V1 == V2){ yield E1; }  
  
    case <Exp Ea> <Exp Eb>: {
```

```
        Exp EaS = subst(V, E, Ea);
        Exp EbS = subst(V, E, Eb);
        return make <Exp EaS> <Exp EbS>;
    }

    case fn <Var V2> => <Var Ea>:
        if (V1 == V2) { yield make fn <Var V2> => <Exp Ea> }

    case fn <Var V2> => <Exp Ea>:
        if(V1 != V2 && not(V1 in freeVars(E2) &&
            V2 in freeVars(E1))){
            Exp E1S = subst(V1, E1, Ea);
            yield make fn <Var V2> => <Exp E1S>;
        }

    case fn <Var V2> => <Exp Ea>:
        if(V1 != V2 && V1 in freeVars(Ea) && V2 in freeVars(E1)){
            Var V3 = fresh(V2, freeVars(Ea) union freeVars(E1));
            Exp EaS = subst(V1, E1, subst(V2, V3, E2));
            yield make fn <Var V3> => <Exp EaS>;
        }
    };
}
```

Renaming in Let

```
module Let-syntax
exports
sorts Var %% variables
      Exp %% expressions
lexical syntax
    [a-z]+          -> Var
context-free syntax
    Var              -> Exp
    "let" Var "=" Exp "in" Exp "end" -> Exp
```

Examples:

```
module Let-Example
imports Let

%% Rename all bound variables in an Exp
%% Version 1: purely functional
%% Exp: given expression to be renamed
%% rel[Var,Var]: renaming table
%% Int: counter to generate global variables

fun Exp rename(Exp E, rel[Var,Var] Rn, Int Cnt) {
    switch E {
    case let <Var V> = <Exp E1> in <Exp E2> end: {
        Var Y = "x" + Cnt;  %% this + operator concatenates
                           %% (after converting the int to str)

        int Cnt1 = Cnt + 1;
        Exp E1R = rename(E1, Rn, Cnt);
        Exp E2R = rename(E2, {<V, Y>} union Rn, Cnt1);
        return make let <Var Y> = <Exp E1R>
            in
                <Exp E2R>
    }
    }
```

```
        end;

    }

    case <Var V>: return Rn[V]

    default: return E
    };
}
```

Concise Pico Typechecker

The following example shows the tight integration ASF with comprehensions.

```
module Typecheck

imports Pico-syntax
imports Errors

subtype Env rel[PICO-ID,TYPE];

fun list[Error] tcp(PROGRAM P) {
  switch P {
    case begin <DECLS DeclS> <{STATEMENT ";"* Series> end: {
      Env Env = {<Id, Type> | [| <PICO-ID Id> : <TYPE Type> |] : DeclS};
      return [ tcst(S, Env) | Stat S : Series ]      %% list comprehension
    }
  };
}

fun list[Error] tcst(Stat Stat, Env Env) {
  switch Stat {
    case [| <PICO-ID Id> = <EXP Exp>|]: {
%%      {<Id, Type>} = Env[Id];
      return type-of(Exp, Type, Env);
    }

    case if <EXP Exp> then <{STATEMENT ";"* Stats1>
      else <{STATEMENT ";"* Stats1> fi:
      return type-of(Exp, natural, Env) +
        tcs(Stats1, Env) + tcs(Stats2, Env)

    case while <EXP Exp> do <{STATEMENT ";"* Stats1> od:
      yield type-of(Exp, natural, Env) + tcs(Stats, Env)
    };
  }

fun list[Error] type-of(Exp E, TYPE Type, Env Env) {
  switch E {
    case <NatCon N>: if(Type == natural){ return [|; }

    case <StrCon S>: if(Type == string) { return [|; }

    case <PICO-ID Id>: {
%%      {<Id,Type2>} = Env[Id];
      if(Type2 == Type) { return [|; }
    }

    case <EXP E1> + <EXP E2>:
```

```
    if(Type == natural){
        return type-of(E1, natural, Env) +
            type-of(E1, natural, Env);
    }

    case <EXP E1> + <EXP E2>:
        if(Type == natural){
            return type-of(E1, natural, Env) +
                type-of(E1, natural, Env);
        }

    case <EXP E1> || <EXP E2>:
        if(Type == string){
            return type-of(E1, string, Env) +
                type-of(E1, string, Env)
        }

    default: return [error("Incorrect type")]
};
}
```

Pico control flow extraction

```
module Pico-controlflow
imports pico/syntax/Pico;

subtype CP EXP;          %% A Code Point, union of two types
subtype CP STATEMENT;

subtype CFSEGMENT tuple(set[CP] entry, rel[CP,CP] graph, set[CP] exit);

fun CFSEGMENT cflow({STATEMENT ";" }* Stats){
    switch Stats {
        case <STATEMENT Stat> ; <{STATEMENT ";" }* Stats2>: {
            <set[CP] En1, rel[CP,CP] R1, set[CP] Ex1> = cflow(Stat);
            <set[CP] En2, rel[CP,CP] R2, set[CP] Ex2> = cflow(Stats2);
            return <En1, R1 union R2 union (Ex1 x En2), Ex2>
        }

        case [] || []: return <{}, {}, {}>
    }
};

fun CFSEGMENT cflow(STATEMENT Stat){
    switch Stat {
        case [] while <EXP Exp> do <{STATEMENT ";" }* Stats> od [] : {
            <set[CP] En, rel[CP,CP] R, set[CP] Ex> = cflow(Stats);
            return <{Exp}, ({Exp} x En) union R union (Ex x {Exp}), {Exp}>;
        }

        case [] if <EXP Exp> then <{STATEMENT ";" }* Stats1>
            else <{STATEMENT ";" }* Stats2> fi []: {
            <set[CP] En1, rel[CP,CP] R1, set[CP] Ex1> = cflow(Stats1);
            <set[CP] En2, rel[CP,CP] R2, set[CP] Ex2> = cflow(Stats2);
            return < {Exp},
                ({Exp} x En1) union ({Exp} x En2) union R1 union R2,
                Ex1 union Ex2
            >;
        }
    }
}
```



```

    }

    case [| <STATEMENT Stat> |]: return <{Stat}, {}, {Stat}>
  };
}

```

Pico use def extraction

```

module Pico-use-def

imports pico/syntax/Pico

fun rel[EXP,PICO-ID] uses(PROGRAM P) {
  return {<E,Id> | EXP E : P, PICO-ID Id := E}
}

fun rel[STATEMENT, PICO-ID] defs(PROGRAM P) {
  return {<S, Id> | STATEMENT S : P,
                [| <PICO-ID Id> := <EXP Exp> |] := S}
}

```

The above uses a "matching condition" to decompose S. The problem solved is that we want to have a name for the whole assignment *and* for the lhs identifier.

Pico uninitialized variables

```

module Pico-uninit
imports pico/syntax/Pico
       Pico-controlflow
       Pico-use-def

fun set[PICO-ID] uninit(PROGRAM P) {
  rel[EXP,PICO-ID] Uses = uses(P);
  rel[STATEMENT, PICO-ID] Defs = defs(P);
  CFSEGMENT CFLOW = cflow(P);
  set[CP] Root = CFLOW.entry;
  rel[CP,CP] Pred = CFLOW.graph;

  return {Id | tuple(EXP E, PICO-ID Id) : Uses,
            E in reachX(Root, Defs[-,Id], Pred)
  };
}

```

Questions (UPDATE THIS):

- There is a subtyping issue here. De type of reachX is:

```
set[&T] reachX(set[&T] Start,
```

```
set[&T] Excl,
```

```
rel[&T,&T] Rel)
```

but E has type EXP, {ROOT} has type set[STATEMENT], and cflow has type rel[CP,CP], with type CP = EXP | STATEMENT. It requires proper subtyping, e.g. set[STATEMENT] < set[CP], to type this.

Pico common subexpression elimination

```

module Pico-common-subexpression

imports pico/syntax/Pico
       Pico-controlflow
       Pico-use-def

fun PROGRAM cse(PROGRAM P) {
  rel[STATEMENT, PICO-ID] Defs = defs(P);
  rel[CP,CP] Pred = cflow(P).graph;
  rel[EXP, PICO-ID] replacements =
    {<E2, Id> | STATEMENT S : P,
               <PICO-ID Id> := <EXP E> := S,
               Id notin E,
               EXP E2 : reachX({S}, Defs[-,Id], Pred)
    };

  visit P {
    case <EXP E>: if({ PICO-ID Id } := replacements[E]) yield Id
  };
}

```

Paraphrased: Replace in P all expressions E2 by Id, such that

- P contains a statement S of the form Id := E,
- Id does not occur in E,
- E2 can be reached from S,
- There is no redefinition of Id between S and E2.

Note that a slight abbreviation is possible if we introduce labelled patterns (here S):

```

rel[EXP, PICO-ID] replacements :=
  {<E2, Id> | <PICO-ID Id> := <EXP E> S : P,
             Id notin E,
             EXP E2 : reachX({S}, Defs[-,Id], Pred)
  };

```

Also note that we could factor out the assignment pattern to make cse more generic if we introduce patterns a first class citizens:

```

fun PROGRAM cse(PROGRAM P, pat STATEMENT Assign(PICO-ID Id, EXP E)) {
  ...
  rel[EXP, PICO-ID] replacements :=
    {<E2, Id> | Assign S : P,
               Id notin E,
               EXP E2 : reachX({S}, Defs[-,Id], Pred)
    };
  ...
}

```

Example invocations (Pico style)

```
cse(P, <PICO-ID Id> := <EXP E>)
```

or (Cobol style):

```
cse(P, move <EXP E> to <PICO-ID Id>)
```

Note that the order of variables in the pattern and its declaration may differ.

It is to be determined how the instantiation of a pattern looks, e.g.

```
Assign([|x|], [| y = 1 |])
```

Pico constant propagation

```
module Pico-constant-propagation

imports pico/syntax/Pico
       Pico-controlflow
       Pico-use-def

fun Boolean is-constant(EXP E) {
  switch E {
    case <NatCon N> => true

    case <StrCon S> => true

    case <EXP E> => false
  }
}

fun PROGRAM cp(PROGRAM P) {
  rel[STATEMENT, PICO-ID] Defs = defs(P);
  rel[CP,CP] Pred = cflow(P).graph;

  rel[PICO-ID, EXP] replacements =
    {<Id2, E> | STATEMENT S : P,
      [| <PICO-ID Id> := <EXP E> |] := S,
      is-constant(E),
      PICO-ID Id2 : reachX({S},Defs[-,Id],Pred),
      Id2 == Id
    };

  visit P {
    case <PICO-ID Id>: if({ EXP E } := replacements[Id]) yield E
  };
}
```

Paraphrased: Replace in P all expressions Id2 by the constant E, such that

- P contains a statement S of the form Id := E,
- E is constant,
- Id2 can be reached from S,
- Id2 is equal to Id,
- There is no redefinition of Id between S and Id2.

Pico Reaching definitions

Recall the equations construct as used, for example, in the reaching definitions example in the Rscript guide. It computes the values of a set of variables until none of them changes any longer. The "solve" statement achieves the same effect.

```
module Pico-reaching-defs
```

```
subtype Def  tuple(Stat theStat, Var theVar);
subtype Use  tuple(Stat theStat, Var theVar);

fun set[Stat] predecessor(rel[Stat,Stat] P, Stat S) { return P[-,S] }

fun set[Stat] successor(rel[Stat,Stat] P, Stat S) { return P[S,-] }

fun rel[Stat, Def] reaching-definitions(rel[Stat,Var] DEFS,
                                       rel[Stat,Stat] PRED) {

    set[Stat] STATEMENT = carrier(PRED);

    rel[Stat,Def] DEF  = {<S,<S,V>> | <Stat S, Var V> : DEFS};

    rel[Stat,Def] KILL =
        {<S1, <S2, V>> | <Stat S1, Var V> : DEFS,
                        tuple(Stat S2, V) : DEFS,
                        S1 != S2
        };

    rel[Stat,Def] IN = {};
    rel[Stat,Def] OUT = DEF;

    solve {
        IN  = {<S, D> | int S : STATEMENT,
                      Stat P : predecessor(PRED,S),
                      Def D : OUT[P]};
        OUT = {<S, D> | int S : STATEMENT,
                      Def D : DEF[S] union (IN[S] \ KILL[S])}
    };
    return IN;
}
```

Innerproduct

[Example taken from TXL documentation]

Define innerproduct on vectors of integers, e.g. (1 2 3).(3 2 1) => 10.

```
module examples/Vectors/Vector-syntax
```

```
exports
  imports basic/Integers
sorts Vector
```

```
context-free syntax
  "(" Integer* ")"    -> Vector
  Vector "." Vector    -> Integer
```

```
module Innerproduct
```

```
imports Vector-syntax
```

```
fun int innerProduct(Vector V1, V2){
  if ( ( <Integer N1> <Integer* Rest1> ) := V1 &&
      ( <Integer N2> <Integer* Rest2> ) := V2
      )
    return (N1*N2) + innerProduct( (<Rest1>), (<Rest2>) )
  else

```

```
    return 0;
}
```

Bubble sort

[Example taken from TXL documentation]

```
module Bubble

fun Integer* sort(Integer* Numbers){
  visit Numbers {
    case <Integer* Rest1> <Integer N1> <Integer N2> <Integer* Rest2>:
      if(N1 > N2){
        return sort(make <Integer* Rest1>
                     <Integer N2> <Integer N1>
                     <Integer* Rest>);
      }
  };
  return Numbers
}
```

This example raises a number of issues about the execution of visit.

Another way to write this is:

```
module Bubble2

fun Integer* sort(Integer* Numbers){
  visit repeat Numbers {
    case <Integer N1> <Integer N2>:
      if( N1 > N2)
        yield make <Integer N2> <Integer N1>
  };
  return Numbers
}
```

The visit will replace all adjacent pairs that are in the wrong order in the current list. This is repeated (fixed point operator) until no more changes are possible.

Generic Bubble sort [under discussion]

Here is a generic bubble sort wich uses type parameters (&ELEM) and a function parameter.

```
module Bubble-Gen

fun &Elem* sort(Elem* Elements, fun bool GreaterThan(&Elem, &Elem)){

  visit repeat Elements {
    <E1> <E2>: if(GreaterThan(E1, E2)) yield <E2> <E1>
  }
}
```

Do we want this generality? What are the implications for the implementation? The current syntax does not yet allow type variables in patterns.

Read-Eval-Print Loop (REPR)

For the scripting of application it is important to have a command language and read-eval-print loop. Here is an attempt. The command prompt is ">".

```
> import lang.java.syntax.Main as Java
> str source := read("program.java");
> CU program := Java.CU.parse(source);

> accu int count(CU P, int cnt) {
>   switch P {
>     Java.Statements.IF => cnt++;
>   }
> }

> count(program)
17
```

There are several innovations here:

- The import associates a name with the imported module.

Note

This means that "grammar" and "rule" become notions that can be manipulated.

- There is a read functions that reads a text file into a string.

Note

We need an io library that reads/writes strings and data values.

- We associate a parse function with every non-terminal in a grammar.
- The notation `Java.Statements.IF` consists of three parts:
 - Language name
 - Sort name
 - Rule name (currently implemented with the "cons" attribute).

It can be used as pattern. Other potential uses are as generator:

```
{S | Java.Statements.IF S : P}
```

It generates all if statements in P.

Syntax Definition

See separate SDF definition.

Prototyping/implementation of Rascal

Every prototype will have to address the following issues:

- Parsing/typechecking/evaluating Rascal.
- How to implement the relational operations.
- How to implement matching.
- How to implement replacement.
- How to implement traversals.

The following options should be considered:

- Implementation of a typechecker in ASF+SDF:
 - Gives good insight in the type system and is comparable in complexity to the Rscript typechecker.
 - Work: 2 weeks
- Implementation of an evaluator in ASF+SDF.
 - Requires reimplementing of matching & rewriting in ASF+SDF.
 - Bound to be very slow.
 - Effort: 4 weeks
- Implementation of a typechecker in Rascal.
 - Interesting exercise to assess Rascal.
 - Not so easy to do without working Rascal implementation.
 - Not so easy when Rascal is still in flux.
 - Effort: 1 week
- Implementation of an evaluator in Rascal.
 - Ditto.
- Extending the current ASF+SDF interpreter.
 - This is a viable option. It requires extensions of AsFix.
 - Effort: 4 weeks
- Translation of Rascal to ASF+SDF in ASF+SDF.
 - Unclear whether this has longer term merit.
 - Allows easy experimentation and reuse of current ASF+SDF implementation.
 - Effort: 4 weeks
- Implementation of an interpreter in Java.
 - A future proof and efficient solution.
 - Requires reimplementing of matching & rewriting in Java.
 - Effort: 8 weeks.

Issues

- See the section called “*Concrete Patterns*”[6] for a description of patterns. There are still some questions about patterns:
 - Do we want the subexpressions in patterns? [Proposal: no since it complicates the syntax]
 - Do we want string variables in patterns? [Undecided]
 - Do we want to add regular expression matching primitives to patterns? Ex.

```
• [| if @any@ $Stats fi |]
```

- Dynamic variables need more thought; do we really want them? [Proposal: let's do without them.]
- The relation (no pun intended) between local variable declarations in functions, patterns and comprehensions has to be established.
- How do we identify built-in sorts (bool, int, etc) with their syntactic counterparts?
- What happens if no case in a switch matches? Some kind of failure? How does it propagate? Runtime error?
- In a list comprehension: do list values splice into the list result?
- Ditto for set comprehensions.
- Some clean up of Rscript notation (operators "o" and "x" should go, improve the image notation. Why "inter" and "union" but no "diff" (that is written as "\"?).
- We need an io-library.
- We need memo functions.
- How are annotations handled?
- Add public/private indications.
- Unexplored idea: add (possibly lazy) generators for all types; this allows to generate, for instance, all statements in a program.
- Shopping list of ideas in Tom:
 - Named patterns to avoid building a term, i.e. `w@[| while $Exp do $stat od |]`.
 - Anti-patterns, i.e. the complement of a patterns: `! [| while $Exp do $stat od |]` matches anything but a while.
 - Anonymous variables a la Prolog: `[| while $_ do $stat od |]`.
 - String matching in patterns.
 - Tom uses the notation `%[...]%` for quoted strings with embedded `@...@` constructs that are evaluated. It also has a backquote construct.
- Shopping list of ideas from TXL:
 - "redefine" allows modification of an imported grammar.
 - An "any" sort that matches anything.

Graveyard

Don't read the following sections.

Mapping features to datatypes

Note

This section has played a role during initial design; it is now outdated.
Emphasized cells indicate a new datatype/feature combination that needs to be thought out.

Table 1. Features vs datatypes

Which features work on which datatypes?	CF syntax trees	CF syntax lists	Lexical syntax trees	Lexical syntax lists	Lists	Sets	Relations	Tuples
Pattern matching	Y (CS)	Y, CS, LM	Y, PS	Y, PS, LM	Y, HT	Y, HT	Y, HT	Y
Pattern construction	Y, CS	Y, PS	Y, PS	Y	Y, HT	Y, HT	Y, HT	Y
Generator/Comprehension	N	N	N	N	LC	SC	SC	N
Complete Functions	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Equations	Y, BC	Y, BC	Y, BC	Y, BC	Y	Y	Y	Y
Polymorphism	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Serialization	AsFix	AsFix	AsFix	AsFix	Y	Y	Y	Y
Traversal Functions	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Subtyping	N	N	N, except character class inclusion	N	Y	Y	Y	Y

- BC = Backward Compatible with ASF
- CS = Concrete Syntax
- HT= head/tail matching
- LC = List comprehension
- LM = List Matching
- N = No
- PS = Prefic Syntax
- SC = Set Comprehension
- Y = Yes

Renaming in Let using global variables

```

%% Rename all bound variables in an Exp
%% Version 2: using a global variable
%% to generate new variables

fun Var newVar() {
    global int Cnt := 0    %% Initialize global Cnt on first call
                           %% of newVar. This is similar to a
                           %% local static var in C.

    Cnt := Cnt + 1;
    "x" + Cnt
}

%% Rename -- Version 2

fun Exp rename(Exp E, rel[Var,Var] Rn) {

```

```

Var V, Y;

switch E {

[| let $V = $E1 in $E2 end |] =>
    [| let $Y = $(rename(E1, Rn))
      in
        $(rename(E2, {<V, Y> union Rn}))
      end
    |]
    when Y := newVar()

[| $V |] => V1
    when { V1 } == Rn[V]

[| $E |] => E
}
}

%% Rename -- Version 3, with Rn also as global variabele

fun Var newVar() {
    global int Cnt := 0
    Cnt := Cnt + 1;
    "x" + Cnt
}

fun Exp rename(Exp E) {
    global rel[Var, Var] Rn := {}
    Var V, Y;

    switch E {
    [| let $V = $E1 in $E2 end |] =>
        [| let $Y = $rename(E1)
          in
            $rename(E2)
          end
        |]
        when Y := newVar,
            Rn := {<V, Y> union Rn

    [| $V |] => V1
        when { V1 } == Rn[V]

    [| $E |] = E
    }
}

%% Question: how to reset the value of global variables?
%% Idea: model them as arguments:
%% - fun Var newVar(global int Cnt := 0) { ... }
%% and allow calls without arguments (as in above example) or
%% with arguments:
%% - newVar(13)
%% which resets the value of Cnt.

```

Outdated examples

Pico Typecheck using dynamically scoped variables (OUTDATED)

The following example details the use of a dynscope global variable for building up and using an environment. Notice that we introduce *void* functions as a result.

```
module Typecheck

imports Pico-syntax

hiddens

type Env = rel[PICO-ID,TYPE]

exports

fun Bool tc(PROGRAM P) {
  dyn Env Env := {};
  switch P {
    [| begin $Decls $Stats end |] => tcs(Stats)
      when Env := tcd(Decls)
  }
}

fun Env tcd(Decls Decls) {
  {< Id, Type> | [| $(PICO-ID Id) : $(TYPE Type) |] : Decls}
}

fun Bool tcs(Stats Stats) {
  Stat Stat;
  Stats Stats;
  switch (Stats) {
    [| |] => true

    [| $Stat ; $Stats |] => tcst(Stat) + tcst(Stats)
  }
}

fun Bool tcst(Stat Stat) {
  Id Id;
  Exp Exp;
  Stats Stats, Stats1, Stats2;
  switch (Stat) {
    [| $Id := $Exp |] => type-of(Exp, Type)
      when {<Id, Type>} := Env[Id]

    [| if $Exp then $Stats1 else $Stats2 fi |] =>
      type-of(Exp, natural) + tcs(Stats1) + tcs(Stats2)

    [| while $Exp do $Stats od |] =>
      type-of(Exp, natural) + tcs(Stats)
  }
}
```

```
fun list[Error] type-of(Exp E, TYPE Type) {
  NatCon NatCon;
  StrCon StrCon;
  Id Id;
  Exp Exp;

  switch (E) {
    [| $NatCon |] => []
      when Type == natural

    [| $StrCon |] => []
      when Type == string

    [| $Id |] => []
      when {<Id,Type2>} := Env[Id],
          Type2 == Type

    [| $Exp |] => [error("Incorrect type")]
  }
}
```

Pico eval with dynamically scoped variables (OUTDATED)

A Pico evaluator using dynamic variables. It still uses functions that return VEnvs (this is not consistent and should be changed).

```
fun VEnv evalProgram(Program p) {
  dyn VEnv venv;
  Decls decls;
  Series series;

  switch p {
    [| begin $decls $series end |] => evalStatements(series)
      when venv := evalDecl(decls);
  }
}

fun VEnv evalDecl(Decl decl) {
  Id-Type* idtypes;
  switch decl {
    [| declare $idtypes |] => evalIdTypes(idtypes)
  }
}

fun VEnv evalIdTypes(Id-Type* idtypes) {
  Id id;
  Id-Type* tail;
  switch idtypes {
    [| $id : natural, $tail |] => store(evalIdTypes(tail),id,0)

    [| $id : string, $ tail |] => store(evalIdTypes(tail),id,"")

    [| |] => []
  }
}
```

```

fun VEnv evalStatements(Statement* series) {
  Statement stat; Statement* stats;
  switch series {
    [| $stat; $stats |] => venv
      when venv := evalStatement(stat),
           venv := evalStatements(stats)
    [| |] => venv
  }
}

fun VEnv evalStatement(Statement stat) {
  Exp exp;
  Series series, series1, series2;

  switch stat {
    [| if $exp then $series1 else $series2 fi |] =>
      evalStatements(series1)
      when evalExp(exp, venv) != 0

    [| if $exp then $series1 else $series2 fi |] =>
      evalStatements(series2)
      when evalExp(exp, venv) == 0

    [| while $exp do $series od |] => venv
      when evalExp(exp, venv) == 0

    [| while $exp do $series od |] =>
      evalStatement([|while $exp do $series od |])
      when evalExp(exp, venv) != 0,
           venv := evalStatements(series)
  }
}

fun VEnv evalExp(Exp exp) {
  Exp exp1, exp2;
  Natural nat1, nat2;
  StrCon str1, str2, str3;

  switch exp {
    [| $exp1 + $exp2 |] => nat1 + nat2
      when nat1 := eve(exp1),
           nat2 := eve(exp2)

    [| $exp1 - $exp2 |] => nat1 - nat2
      when nat1 := eve(exp1),
           nat2 := eve(exp2)

    [| $exp1 \|\| $exp2 |] => str3
      when str1 := eve(exp1),
           str2 := eve(exp2),
           str3 := concat(str1, str2)

    [| $exp1 |] => nil-value      %% default "equation"
  }
}

```

Generating Graph files in Dot format

This example illustrates the use of a comprehension on the right-hand side of an equation.

```
module Dot-generation

imports Dot-syntax

fun Dot gen-dot(rel[ID, ID] Rel) {
  [| digraph example {
    $([ node(Tup) | <ID,ID> Tup : Rel ])
  }
  |]
}

fun DotElem* gen-node(<ID Id1, ID Id2>) {
  [| node $Id1; node $Id2; $Id1 -> $Id2 |]}
}
```

Integration with Tscripts (Outdated)

Note

It is not yet clear whether we will also include Tscript in the integration effort. For the time being, this section is considered outdated.

Introduction

It is possible to speculate on an even further integration of formalisms and combining the above amalgam of ASF+SDF and Rscript with Tscripts.

Requirements

- R12: The resulting language uses a single type system. This means that relational types (possible including syntactic objects) can be used in Tscripts.
- R13: The current "expressions" in Tscript (terms that occur at the rhs of an assignment) are replaced by calls to ASF+SDF or Rscript functions.
- R14: There is minimal duplication in functionality between ASF+SDF/Rscript/Tscript.

Different styles of Type Declarations

We have at the moment, unfortunately, a proliferation of declaration styles for types.

Functions are declared in ASF+SDF as:

```
typecheck(PROGRAM) -> Boolean
```

Observe that only the type of the parameter is given but that it does not have a name.

In Rscript we have:

```
int sum(set[int] SI) = ...
```

while in Tscript processes are declared as

```
process mkWave(N : int) is ...
```

For variables a similar story applies. Variables are declared in ASF+SDF as:

```
"X" [0-9]+ -> INT
```

In Rscript we have:

```
int X  
  
int X : S    (in comprehensions)
```

and in Tscript we have:

```
X : int
```

In order to unify these styles, we might do the following:

- The type of an entity is always written before the entity itself.
- Formal parameters have a name.

In essence, this amounts to using the declaration style as used in Rscript. So we get:

```
Boolean typecheck(PROGRAM P) is ...  
  
process mkWave(int N) is ...
```

Or do we want things like:

```
function typecheck(P : PROGRAM) -> Boolean is ...  
  
var X -> int  
  
process mkWave(int N) is ...
```

Advantages are:

- The category of the entity is immediately clear (function, var, process, tool, ...).
- It is readable to further qualify the category, i.e., traversal function, hidden var, restartable tool)

Global Flow of Control

We have to settle the possible flow of control between the three entities ASF+SDF, Rscript and Tscript. Since Tscript imposes the notion of an atomic action it would be problematic to have completely unrestricted flow of control. Therefore it is logical to use Tscript for the top-level control and to limit the use of ASF+SDF and Rscript to computations within atomic actions. There is no reason to restrict the flow of control between ASF+SDF and Rscript.

What are the consequences of the above choice? Let's analyze two cases:

- Parse a file from within an ASF+SDF specification. This (and similar built-ins) that use the operating system are removed from ASF+SDF. Their effect has to be achieved at the Tscript-level which is the natural place for such primitives.
- Describe I/O for a defined language. Consider Pico extended with a read statement. Here the situation is more complicated. We cannot argue that the flow of control in the Pico program (as determined by an interpreter written in ASF+SDF) should be moved to the Tscript level since Tscript simply does not have the primitives to express this. On the other hand, we have to interrupt the flow of control of the Pico interpreter when we need to execute a read statement. The obvious way to achieve this is

- At the Tscript level, a loop repeatedly calls the Pico interpreter until it is done.
- After each call the Pico interpreter returns with either:
 - An indication that the execution is complete (and possibly a final state and/or final value).
 - An indication that an external action has to be executed, for instance the read statement. This indication should also contain the intermediate state of the interpreter. When the external action has been executed, the Pico interpreter can be restarted with as arguments the value of the external action and the intermediate state.

Experimentation will have to show whether such a framework is acceptable.

Modularization

Rscript and Tscript have no, respectively, very limited mechanisms for modularization. ASF+SDF, however, provides a module mechanism with imports, hiding, parameters and renaming. This mechanism was originally included in ASF, was taken over by SDF and is now reused in ASF+SDF. Currently, there are not yet sufficiently large Rscripts to feel the need for modules. In Tscript, there is a strong need for restricted name spaces and for imposing limitations on name visibility in order to limit the possible interactions of a process with its surroundings and to make it possible to create nested process definitions. What are the design options we have to explore?

First, we can design a new module system that is more suited for our current requirements. The advantage is that we can create an optimal solution, the disadvantage is that there are high costs involved regarding implementation effort and migrations of existing ASF+SDF specifications to the new module scheme.

Second, we can design an add-on to the ASF+SDF module system that addresses our current needs.

Third, we can try to reuse the current ASF+SDF module system.

As a general note, parameterized modules, polymorphic types and renamings are competing features. We should understand what we want. It is likely that we do not need all of them.

Before delving into one of the above alternative approaches, let's list our requirements first.

- We need grammar modules that allow the following operations: import, renaming, deletion (currently not supported but important to have a fixed base grammar on many variations on it). Parameterization and export/hiding: unclear.
- We need function modules (ASF+SDF and Rscript) that provide: import, maybe parametrization, and export/hiding.
- We need process modules (Tscript) that provide: import, export, hiding.