

STACKY APPROACH TO MOTIVIC PERIODS

MILTON LIN

CONTENTS

Notations and conventions	1
1. Introduction	2
1.1. Periods	2
1.2. Goal	3
1.3. The de Rham unipotent homotopy groups	3
1.4. Further directions	4
2. Recollection on Chen's theorem	5
2.1. Differential forms on loop space	5
2.2. Relation to Malcev–Lie algebra	5
3. Recollection on Stacks Approach	5
3.1. Stacks approach to unipotent group scheme	5
3.2. Homotopy and hypercomplete sheaves	6
3.3. Representability results of Toën	7
3.4. Nori's unipotent scheme	7
4. Betti Analytic Stack	7
4.1. Stein manifolds	8
Appendix A. Loop and deloop of affine stacks	8
References	9

NOTATIONS AND CONVENTIONS

- Any sections with *to be written/added* are only sketched.
- \mathcal{S} denotes the ∞ -category of anima. For \mathcal{C} an ∞ -category, and $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ we let $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{S}$ denote the mapping space.

Fix base R , a discrete commutative ring. We consider the following homotopy rings:

- $\mathrm{CAlg} := \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$, the ∞ -category of \mathbb{E}_{∞} algebra. This has two full subcategories, the *coconnective* and *connective* algebras.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathrm{CAlg}^{\mathrm{ccn}} := \mathrm{CAlg}_{\leq 0} & \xleftarrow{\tau_{\leq 0}} & \mathrm{CAlg} & \xrightleftharpoons[\tau_{\geq 0}]{} & \mathrm{CAlg}_{\geq 0} := \mathrm{CAlg}^{\mathrm{cn}} \\
 \mathrm{Sym}^{\mathrm{co}} \uparrow \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \mathrm{Sym} \uparrow \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Mod}_{\leq 0} & \xleftarrow{\tau_{\leq 0}} & \mathrm{Mod} & \xrightleftharpoons[\tau_{\geq 0}]{} & \mathrm{Mod}_{\geq 0}
 \end{array}$$

Date: April 1, 2024.

- SCR the ∞ -category of simplicial commutative rings. This is the sifted completion of the category of polynomial algebra $\text{Poly}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Dually, we have coSCR , the ∞ -category of co-simplicial rings. Importantly, there is a Dold Kan and co dual Dold-Kan inducing

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : \text{SCR} &\rightarrow \text{CAlg}^{\text{cn}} \\ \text{co}\theta : \text{coSCR} &\rightarrow \text{CAlg}^{\text{ccn}}\end{aligned}$$

these are equivalences when we consider relative over a base field k of characteristic zero.

- All three categories have the ordinary category of discrete rings, CAlg^{\heartsuit} embedding to it. We let $\text{Aff}_R^{\heartsuit} := (\text{CAlg}_R^{\text{op}})^{\heartsuit} \hookrightarrow \text{Aff}_R$ be the ordinary category of affine schemes over R .
- $\text{CAlg}_R^{\text{aug}} := (\text{CAlg}_R^{\text{cn}})_{/R}$, be the ∞ -category of augmented R -algebra.
- $\text{Stk}_R := \text{Shv}_R := \text{Shv}_S(\text{CAlg}_R^{\heartsuit}, \tau) \hookrightarrow \text{PStk}_R$ denotes the ∞ -category of *stacks*¹ and prestacks over R . Unless stated otherwise, τ is the fpqc-topology. Let the category of *pointed stacks* be denoted as $(\text{Stk}_R)_* := (\text{Shv}_R)_*$.
- $\text{dStk}_R := \text{Shv}_S(\text{Aff}_R, \tau)$, the category of *derived stacks*.

Remark 0.1. One can formulate a similar theory for Cdga , the ∞ -category of commutative differential graded algebra (we use cohomological grading, as per convention here).

If $R \in \text{Cdga}_R^{\heartsuit}$, there are equivalences $\text{Cdga}_R \simeq \text{CAlg}_R$, with the ∞ -category of \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebras over R . We will freely interchange between the variations in this case. Cdga_R is not as useful outside of characteristic zero, as there does not exist model categories.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X \in \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{sm}, \text{proj}}$, and $X^{\circ} : X \setminus D$, where D is a divisor with normal crossing.

Example 1.1. $X^{\circ} = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, studied in [Del89].

1.1. **Periods.** Periods are classically integrals of rational differential forms:

$$\log(2) = \int_{1 \leq z \leq 2} \frac{dz}{z}, \quad \zeta(2) = \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq 1} \frac{dt_1}{1-t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2}$$

More generally, they are the matrix coefficient from Grothendieck's comparison theorem

$$H_{\text{dR}}^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{Betti}}^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$$

with respect to the \mathbb{Q} structure of de-Rham and Betti cohomology (of $X(\mathbb{C})$) are *periods associated to X* . These periods along with their enhancements through Hodge structures, has a natural action of "Galois group"² which should govern the arithmetic structure of periods.

¹we simply refer sheaves as stacks, which is not the convention. Often these require some *geometric context*, see [Toë06], [Lur11].

²For instance, in the approach of Deligne, he defined a *systems of realizations* [Del89]

1.2. **Goal.** We will study the *pro unipotent homotopy groups*

$$\pi_1^{U,?}(X^\circ, x)$$

in various realization $? \in \{\text{ét}, \text{Betti}, \text{dR}, \text{cris}\}$. We discuss the de Rham version in [Section 1.3](#).

1.3. **The de Rham unipotent homotopy groups.** In this section $k = \mathbb{Q}$ would be a field of characteristic 0. What should be $\pi_1^{U, \text{dR}, ?}$? In [Proposition 1.1](#) we prove its equivalence with a stack definition. For a stack X one can associate its unipotent homotopy type $\mathbf{U}(X)$ see [Section 3.1](#).

Definition 1.1. Denote the *de Rham complex functor*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{CAlg}_k^{\heartsuit, \text{sm}} & \xrightarrow{\text{dR}} & \text{CAlg}_k^{\text{cncn}} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \text{CAlg}_k^{\text{cncn}} & & \end{array}$$

where on smooth discrete algebras,

$$\text{dR}(A) = \Omega_{A/k}^*$$

is the algebraic de Rham complex. This is then left Kan extended to $\text{CAlg}_k^{\text{cncn}}$.

Lemma 1.1. $A \in \text{Poly}_k \hookrightarrow \text{CAlg}_k^{\heartsuit}$, a finitely generated polynomial algebra over k . then

$$(\text{Spec } A)^{\text{dR}} \simeq \text{Spec } dR A$$

where

$$(-)^{\text{dR}} : \text{Shv}_k \rightarrow \text{Shv}_k$$

is the associated endo functor of de Rham stack functor in [Example 3.1](#), and Spec is the Yoneda embedding, see [Proposition 3.1](#).

Proof. This is [\[Mon22, Lem 2.0.5\]](#) combined with [\[Mon22, Thm 2.0.1\]](#). □

Definition 1.2. For a stack $X \in (\text{Stk}_k)_*$, we let

$$\pi_1^{u, \text{dR}}(X) := \pi_1(\mathbf{U}(X^{\text{dR}}), *)$$

be its unipotent de Rham fundamental group scheme.

Proposition 1.1. If X° is $\text{Aff}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\heartsuit, \text{ft}}$, a finite type affine scheme over \mathbb{Q} , then

$$\pi_1^{u, \text{dR}}(X^\circ) \simeq \text{Spec } H^0(B(dR(X^\circ)))$$

in $\text{Grp}(\text{Sch}_k)$, where the right hand side is the Bar complex construction definition of Haine,³ [\[Hai87\]](#) the right hand object being what is classically used to define the unipotent de Rham homotopy group, [\[Bro14\]](#).

³By result of Chen, this has interpretation of "differentials on loops space", see [Section 2](#)

Proof. Let $A := \mathrm{dR}(X^\circ)$. Consider the homotopy sheaf [Definition 3.3](#),

$$(\pi_1 \mathrm{Spec} A : R \mapsto \pi_1(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_k}(A, R), *)) \in \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Set}}(\mathrm{CAlg}_k^\heartsuit, \mathrm{fpqc})$$

By Toën's representability [Theorem 3.1](#) and hypercompleteness of affine stacks [Proposition 3.2](#) this sheaf is representable by pro-unipotent group scheme, $\pi_1^u(\mathrm{Spec} A, *) \in \mathrm{Grp}(\mathrm{Aff}_k)$. By [\[Ols16, Ch.6\]](#) we have

$$\pi_1(\mathbf{U}(\mathrm{Spec} A), *) \simeq \pi_1^u \mathrm{Spec} A \simeq \mathrm{Spec} H^0 BA$$

where first equivalence is by [Definition 3.2](#). Lastly,

$$\mathrm{Spec} A \simeq (X^\circ)^{\mathrm{dR}}$$

by [Lemma 1.1](#). □

Remark 1.1. We would hope the proof generalize to schemes with log structures. A definition of de Rham homotopy of scheme with log structures can be found in [\[Shi00\]](#).

Conjecture 1.1. (1) *There exists X^{an} which is the (analytic) Betti stack of X , see [Section 4](#), such that the unipotent Betti homotopy group $\pi_1^{u, \mathrm{Betti}}(X(\mathbb{C}), x)$ as defined in [\[Bro17\]](#) is isomorphic to $\pi_1(\mathbf{U}(X^{an}))$.*

(2) *A logarithmic Riemann-Hilbert comparison should induce Chen's comparison theorem [\[Hai01, Thm 3.1\]](#)*

$$\pi_1^{u, \mathrm{dR}}(X, x) \simeq \pi_1^{u, \mathrm{Betti}}(X(\mathbb{C}), x) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

Remark 1.2. this is a little different to the comparison theorem as suggested [\[Toë06, Ch. 3.5\]](#). In this case X° is only smooth, but *not projective*.

1.4. Further directions. By similar techniques of [\[Bha23\]](#), we should recover Haine's theorem: the pro-unipotent completion of de Rham fundamental group admits a mixed Hodge structure.⁴ We collect a few examples below that suggests avenues with a view towards p -adic cohomology theories, such as X^Δ , X^{crys} and X^{dR} . (prismatic, crsyalline and de Rham stack, respectively). We hope that such work can spark new techniques and new phenomena, such as those used in p -adic integration theory, [\[Vol01\]](#).

In the examples below, let V be a complete discrete valuation ring with a perfect residue field of characteristic $p > 0$ and fraction field K , $K_0 := \mathrm{Frac} W(k) \hookrightarrow K$. $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_V^{\mathrm{sm}, \mathrm{prop}}$.

Example 1.2. $\pi_1^{u, \mathrm{crys}}$ has a Tannakian description as given in Shiho's [\[Shi00\]](#). Part of the strategy is formal: for one Tannakian category when can consider the *nilpotent* part. In *op.cit. Ch.5* one constructs a unipotent crystalline de-Rham comparison map,

$$\pi_1^{u, \mathrm{crys}}(X_V^\circ, x) \otimes_{K_0} K \simeq \pi_1^{u, \mathrm{dR}}(X_K^\circ, x)$$

which has been shown in the case of cohomologies by Berthelot and Ogus.

⁴This is important in Brown's approach, where he reduced his study of motivic periods to mixed Hodge periods [\[Bro17, p. 3\]](#).

2. RECOLLECTION ON CHEN'S THEOREM

Morgan showed that the homotopy Lie algebra of a smooth complex algebraic variety has a mixed Hodge structure by using Sullivan's minimal models. Haine [Hai87] generalized this result to arbitrary complex variety, the key result was using Chen's theorem, [Theorem 2.1](#). We begin by discussing an interpretation of Chen's theorem, [Section 2.1](#).

2.1. Differential forms on loop space. To be written. References: [Che73]. Our goal is to briefly review the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $x, y \in X^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. For all integer $N \geq 0$,*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{O}(\pi_{1,N}^{uni,dR}(BdR(X^\circ)) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(\pi_{1,N}^{Betti}(X^\circ, x, y)) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}(\pi_{1,N}^{uni,dR}(BdR(X^\circ))) := L_N B(dR(X^\circ))$$

L_N being the length filtration on the bar complex. taking colimit along N , we induce

$$\mathcal{O}(\pi_1^{uni,dR}(BdR(X^\circ)) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(\pi_1^{uni,Betti}(X^\circ, x, y)) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

The proof follows by using a combinatorial presentation of relative cohomology.

2.2. Relation to Malcev–Lie algebra.

3. RECOLLECTION ON STACKS APPROACH

Various cohomology theories – crystalline cohomology, syntomic cohomology, and Dolbeaut cohomology – admit a factorization to the category of stacks over some affine scheme $\text{Spec } R$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{sep,ft}} &\rightarrow \text{Stk}_R \rightarrow D(R) \\ X &\mapsto X^? \mapsto \Gamma(X^?, \mathcal{O}_{X^?}) \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.1. The *de Rham stack* X^{dR} over \mathbb{Q} , has points given by $X^{\text{dR}}(A) := X(A_{\text{red}})$ for any \mathbb{Q} -algebra A (cf. [GR14]).

This is often referred to as a *stacky approach* [Dri22] or *transmutation* [Bha23], which allows one to use six functor formalism and geometric techniques.

3.1. Stacks approach to unipotent group scheme. We recall the work of [MR23]. Let $(X, x) \in (\text{Sch}_k)_*$ such that it is cohomologically connected ⁵ A classical homotopical invariant for schemes is the étale fundamental group introduced by Grothendieck. Nori, upgraded this definition to that of a *group scheme*, $\pi_1^N(X, x)$, which is constructed using Tannakian methods. One can associate a unipotent homotopy type $\mathbf{U}(X)$, which recovers Nori's unipotent homotopy group scheme, [Definition 3.2](#),

$$(2) \quad \pi_1(\mathbf{U}(X), x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{U,N}(X, x)$$

This is proved in [MR23, §3.1.].

⁵ $H^0(X, k) \simeq k$.

Proposition 3.1. *We have an adjunction [Toë06, Cor. 2.2.4]*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{CAlg}_k^\heartsuit & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ (\text{CAlg}_k^{\text{ccn}})^{\text{op}} & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathbf{U}]{\text{Spec}} & \text{PShv}(\text{CAlg}_k^\heartsuit) \end{array}$$

The right adjoint is alternatively denoted as $\Gamma(-, \mathcal{O})$, the *global sections* functor.

Definition 3.1. An object in the essential image of Spec in Proposition 3.1 is an *affine stack*, and the right adjoint \mathbf{U} is called the *affinization*.

From the results of [Toë06], discussed in Section 3.3, we can define the homotopy groups:

Definition 3.2. Let $X \in (\text{Sch}_k)_*$ which is cohomologically connected. Define

$$\pi_i^u(X) := \pi_i(\mathbf{U}(X), *) \in \text{Grp}(\text{Aff}_k)$$

as the *unipotent homotopy groups* of X , where \mathbf{U} is as defined in Proposition 3.1.

Remark 3.1. The unipotent type can be defined for $(\text{Stk}_R)_*$. But they are not necessarily representable, see [Mon22, p. 5].

Proposition 3.2. *Spec factors through Shv_k^\wedge .*

Proof. By faithfully flat descent, Spec factors through Shv_k . *To be continued.* □

Example 3.2. $K(\mathbb{G}_a, i) := \text{Spec Sym}_k^\infty k[-i]$ for $i > 0$ are affine stacks.

Example 3.3. Zero truncated quasi-affine stacks are *not* affine.

3.2. Homotopy and hypercomplete sheaves. Let $X \in \text{Stk}_k$, $R \in \text{CAlg}^\heartsuit$ in this section. In this paper, we would only be considering hypercomplete sheaves.

Definition 3.3. Let $n \geq 0$, then

$$\pi_n(X, *) \in \text{Shv}_{\text{Set}}(\text{CAlg}_R^\heartsuit, \text{fpqc})$$

is the sheafification of the presheaf

$$A \mapsto \pi_n(X(A), *)$$

We will be interested in hypercomplete sheaves, see [CM21] for a discussion in the prestable setting.

Definition 3.4. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in an ∞ -topos \mathfrak{X} is ∞ -*connective* if

- (1) it is an effective epimorphism.
- (2) $\pi_k f = *$ for $k \geq 0$.

Definition 3.5. $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ is *hypercomplete* iff it is local to ∞ -connective morphism. We denote the hypercomplete objects as \mathfrak{X}^\wedge , fitting into an adjunction

$$\mathfrak{X}^\wedge \begin{matrix} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{matrix} \mathfrak{X}$$

Hypercompleteness can also be characterized by hypercoverings.

Example 3.4. Let (\mathcal{C}, τ) be an ∞ -stie, [Lur09, Ch.6]. Let \mathcal{D} be an $(n+1, 1)$ category for $n \geq 0$, [Lur09, 2.3.4]. Then $F \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ satisfies descent for coverings iff it satisfies descent for hypercovering. In particular, this is useful when (\mathcal{C}, τ) is an ordinary category as the representables factors through $\text{Set} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

3.3. Representability results of Toën.

Theorem 3.1. [Toë06, Thm. 2.4.1, 2.4.5] *Let $X \in (\text{Shv}_k^\wedge)_*$, such that $\pi_0 X \simeq *$, then X is an affine stack iff $\pi_i(X, *)$ is representable by an affine group scheme $\pi_i^u X$ for all $i > 0$.*

Remark 3.2. [Toë06, Thm. 2.4.], if $H^0(B) \simeq k$, for $B \in (\text{CAlg}_k^{\text{ccn}})_*$, then $\text{Spec } B$ is pointed connected.

3.4. Nori's unipotent scheme. To be written.

4. BETTI ANALYTIC STACK

To be written. Such stacks was discussed in [KpT08], [PY16]. The name *Betti analytic stack* can be misleading. This stack X^{an} is designed so that

$$\pi_1(X^{\text{an}}, *) \simeq \pi_1(|X(\mathbb{C})|, *)$$

where $X(\mathbb{C})$ is given the analytic topology.⁶ But here we recall the construction. The natural map $\pi : \text{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow *$, induces a geometric morphism

$$\text{Stk}_{\mathbb{C}} \begin{matrix} \xleftarrow{\pi^*} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \end{matrix} \mathcal{S}$$

Definition 4.1. $(\text{Stn}_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau_{\text{an}})$, denote the category of Stein complex analytic spaces with the analytic topology: this consists coverings $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$, where $U_i \hookrightarrow_{\text{open}} X$ are open immersions, and $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} U_i \rightarrow X$ is a surjection.⁷ Let $\text{AnStk}_{\mathbb{C}} := \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{S}}(\text{Stn}_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau_{\text{ét}})$.

Proposition 4.1. *There is an analytification functor*

$$(-)^{\text{an}} : \left(\text{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{dfp}}, \tau_{\text{ét}} \right) \rightarrow (\text{Stn}_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau_{\text{an}})$$

Proof. See [Lur11], [Por18]. □

⁶The reason for this (bad) choice is also not to be confused with recent works, [SC23].

⁷One can also consider with respect to the $\tau_{\text{ét}}$ étale topology, i.e. $U_i \rightarrow X$

In particular there is a well defined functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Stk}_{\mathbb{C}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{S} \\ X &\mapsto |X(\mathbb{C})| \end{aligned}$$

sending a stack to its underlying analytic topology.

Definition 4.2. we let $X^{\mathrm{an}} := \pi^*(|X(\mathbb{C})|) \in \mathrm{Stk}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the *Betti analytic stack*.

Lemma 4.1. (1) $*^{\mathrm{an}} \simeq \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}$.

(2) For $\mathrm{Spec} A \in \mathrm{Stk}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathrm{QCoh}(X^{\mathrm{an}} \times \mathrm{Spec} A) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(|X(\mathbb{C})|, \mathrm{Mod}_A)$.

Proof. (1) π^* is left exact, so it preserves the terminal object.

(2) This is by induction. Write $|X(\mathbb{C})|$ as the colimit of a tower cells,

$$|X(\mathbb{C})| \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$$

Then use that $\pi^*(-)$, $\mathrm{QCoh}(-)$, and $\mathrm{Fun}(-, \mathrm{Mod}_A)$ commutes with colimits in their variables

□

Note that $\mathrm{Fun}(|X(\mathbb{C})|, \mathrm{Mod}_A)$ identify with the locally constant sheaves on $\mathrm{Op}(|X(\mathbb{C})|)$, the site of open subsets of $X(\mathbb{C})$. This implies that $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}$ corresponds to the constant sheaf. In particular $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}} \simeq R\Gamma(|X(\mathbb{C})|, \mathbb{C})$, where $\pi_* : \mathrm{QCoh}(X^{\mathrm{an}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(*) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

4.1. **Stein manifolds.** To be added.

APPENDIX A. LOOP AND DELOOP OF AFFINE STACKS

To be added.

REFERENCES

- [Bha23] Bhatt, Bhargav. *Prismatic F -gauges*. 2023 (cit. on pp. 4, 5).
- [Bro14] Brown, Francis. *Motivic periods and the projective line minus three points*. 2014. arXiv: [1407.5165 \[math.NT\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.5165). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.5165> (cit. on p. 3).
- [Bro17] Brown, Francis. “Notes on motivic periods”. In: *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* 11.3 (2017), pp. 557–655. ISSN: 1931-4523,1931-4531. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4310/CNTP.2017.v11.n3.a2> (cit. on p. 4).
- [Che73] Chen, Kuo-tsai. “Iterated integrals of differential forms and loop space homology”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 97 (1973), pp. 217–246. ISSN: 0003-486X. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970846> (cit. on p. 5).
- [CM21] Clausen, Dustin and Mathew, Akhil. “Hyperdescent and étale K-theory”. In: 225.3 (2021) (cit. on p. 6).
- [Del89] Deligne, Par P. “Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points”. In: *Galois Groups over \mathbb{Q} Proceedings of a Workshop Held March 23–27, 1987*. Springer. 1989, pp. 79–297 (cit. on p. 2).
- [Dri22] Drinfeld, Vladimir. *A stacky approach to crystals*. 2022. arXiv: [1810.11853 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.11853). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.11853> (cit. on p. 5).
- [GR14] Gaitsgory, Dennis and Rozenblyum, Nick. *Crystals and D -modules*. 2014. arXiv: [1111.2087 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.2087). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.2087> (cit. on p. 5).
- [Hai01] Hain, Richard. *Iterated Integrals and Algebraic Cycles: Examples and Prospects*. 2001. arXiv: [math/0109204 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0109204). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0109204> (cit. on p. 4).
- [Hai87] Hain, Richard M. “The de Rham homotopy theory of complex algebraic varieties. I”. In: *K-Theory* 1.3 (1987), pp. 271–324. ISSN: 0920-3036. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00533825> (cit. on pp. 3, 5).
- [KpT08] Katzarkov, L., pantev, T., and Toën, B. “Schematic homotopy types and non-abelian Hodge theory”. In: (2008) (cit. on p. 7).
- [Lur09] Lurie, Jacob. *Higher topos theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, pp. xviii+925. ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0; 0-691-14049-9. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400830558> (cit. on p. 7).
- [Lur11] Lurie, Jacob. “DAGV: Structured Spaces”. In: (2011) (cit. on pp. 2, 7).
- [Mon22] Mondal, Shubhodip. “Reconstruction of the stacky approach to de Rham cohomology”. In: *Math. Z.* 302.2 (2022), pp. 687–693. ISSN: 0025-5874,1432-1823. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-022-03082-9> (cit. on pp. 3, 6).
- [MR23] Mondal, Shubhodip and Reinecke, Emanuel. *Unipotent homotopy theory of schemes*. 2023. arXiv: [2302.10703 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.10703) (cit. on p. 5).
- [Ols16] Olsson, Martin. “The Bar Construction and Affine Stacks”. In: *Communications in Algebra* 44 (2016), pp. 3088–3121. URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:783338> (cit. on p. 4).
- [Por18] Porta, Mauro. *Derived complex analytic geometry I: GAGA theorems*. 2018. arXiv: [1506.09042 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.09042). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.09042> (cit. on p. 7).
- [PY16] Porta, Mauro and Yu, Tony Yue. *Higher analytic stacks and GAGA theorems*. 2016. arXiv: [1412.5166 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.5166). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.5166> (cit. on p. 7).

- [SC23] Scholze, Peter and Clausen, Dustin. “Youtube lectures on Analytic Stacks”. In: (2023) (cit. on p. 7).
- [Shi00] Shiho, Atsushi. “Crystalline fundamental groups. I. Isocrystals on log crystalline site and log convergent site”. In: *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* 7.4 (2000), pp. 509–656. ISSN: 1340-5705 (cit. on p. 4).
- [Toë06] Toën, Bertrand. “Champs affines”. In: *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 12.1 (2006), pp. 39–135. ISSN: 1022-1824,1420-9020. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-006-0019-z> (cit. on pp. 2, 4, 6, 7).
- [Vol01] Vologodsky, Vadim. *Hodge structure on the fundamental group and its application to p-adic integration*. 2001. arXiv: [math/0108109](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0108109) [[math.AG](#)] (cit. on p. 4).