Petersson inner product.

F=Q, B Indefinite, f, an P \(\sigma \text{SL_2(IR)}

How do we normalize f?

It B=M21Q1, use q-expansion.

f my section of a line bundle

F H°(XB, Ω')

XB has a canonical model / a.

Can pick a multiple of f that is rational over Kg.

Can do better: Fix some prime p, PX level N

XB has an integral model over 72[2].

: Can normalite f up to p-units in Kf.

Same ideas work over totally real fields.

Petersson inner product: F=Q,

If you think addically, i.e. f as a form on BY(A) (or GL2(A))

These definitions generalise to HMFIS.

$$\Omega_{+}, \Omega_{-}$$
.

$$\langle f, f \rangle \sim \Omega_{+} \Omega_{-} \qquad (F=0)$$

Homaner Kto, &

F = totally real field $[F: \Omega] = d$ $Z_{\infty,F} = \{v_1, v_2, -, v_d\}$

B = quat. algebra/F.

f a HMF of Wt (2,2,-,2)

Bvi = quat algebra split at Vi & ramified at Vi, for j \(\) i.

Xvi = XBi = a Shimura Cuve.

Assume for now f transfers to Bri +i.

Box is split at {71, - zan3, ramified at other infinite places.

{z1, -> zn3 ⊆ {v1, -> vd3

Shimura's conjecture.

B, B' have complementary ramification at 80 (XBXXBI) × XM2(F)

(fB,fB) (fB,fB) ~ (f,f)

R

Proved By Shimure

(fB, fB) ~ (fB, fB2)

B₁, B₂ two quatalgs, Same ramification at 00

V «Vo

 $X_{B_1} \times X_{B_2}$

Consequences for Period Relations:

a: How are (fB, fB) related as B varies?

Conjecture (Shimura): I a set of invariants CV1, -, CV2 E Cx,

such that

(fB,fB) ~ TT CVi Qx vertice Bissplit at Vi

BANNA W

Michael Harri's proved Shimure & conjecture under the following assumption:

(*) I at least one finite place v at which Try is discrete series.

J-l: $f \longrightarrow an automorphic representation$ $<math>\pi = \otimes \pi_v$

 $T = \otimes T_{V}$

f transfers to B^{x} (=) $\sum_{11}^{11} B \subseteq \sum_{11}^{11} \pi$ set of places where B is ramified

Z'(TT) = { v / TTV is discrete series } 2 Zoo

Harris: theta correspondence for unitary groups.

—) also can remove assumption (*)

Joint work nith: quaternianic unitary gbs.

-> can prove Shim's conjecture mithout (K).

- Integrality issues.

Q: Can we say something more precise?

F=R, FESz(PO(N)). N=NT.N

discB=N.

Like to formulate a Conjecture:
Need to study
Congruences of Modular forms.

258 D, E Eg- q congruences between newforms of same level.

 $\begin{cases} \frac{258A}{129A} \cdot + + 1 - 5 \cdot 1 - 3 \cdot 0 - 7 \cdot . \\ 129A \cdot 0 + -2 - 2 - 5 \cdot 3 \cdot -3 \cdot 2 \cdot - . \end{cases}$

Mod 3 congruence.

Can we predict such congruences?

Hida, Ribet, Wiles & Taylor-Wiles. N = cond(f) $(f,f) \doteq L(\text{sym}^2f,2)$ $= L(\text{ad}^0f,1)$ · Hida: (f, f) is a measure of congruences; $(1-dpp^5)(1-B_pp^{-5})$

11 (f,s) (=) 3 g 4 level [N, s.+ Lp(f,s)

· Ribet: Level-raising / Level-Lowering. = (1- ~ps).

f level N. PXN.

Can you find g of kevel Np, s.t. $f = g(\lambda)$, All. Riber (=) Il Lp(adof, 1)

f level PN; can you tind g of kell N, s.t. f = g(l). Pf. > Ps. > .

Riber: It And is unramified, then this is true.

 $f = g(l) | L_p(ad^of, s)$

f so isogeny dans of elliptic auves.

N= sq-free. > Imducible.

PIN; Pf, 2 is unramified at p

(=) L|Cp = order of the component of of
the Neron model of E at P.

(E any ouve in this isogeny dass).

(Take parametrization).

. Wiles, Taylor-Wiles.

Wiles: n-invariant. (precise measure of congruences).

$$\eta - inv = \frac{\langle A+\rangle}{\Omega_{+} \Omega_{-}}$$

· n- inv order 4 a Selmengp.