

Dualizing complexes on the moduli of parabolic bundles

Linus Hamann and Naoki Imai

Abstract

For a non-archimedean local field F and a connected reductive group G over F equipped with a parabolic subgroup P , we show that the dualizing complex on Bun_P , the moduli stack of P -bundles on the Fargues–Fontaine curve, can be described explicitly in terms of the modulus character of P . As applications, we identify various characters appearing in the theory of local and global Shimura varieties, show the Harris–Viehmann conjecture in the Hodge–Newton reducible case, and carry out some computations of the geometric Eisenstein functors for general parabolics.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | Dualizing complexes on Picard v-groupoids | 8 |
| 3 | Structure of the moduli space of P-structures | 13 |
| 3.1 | Modulus character | 13 |
| 3.2 | Connected components of Bun_P | 14 |
| 3.3 | Description of the preimage HN-strata | 16 |
| 3.4 | Local systems on Bun_G and reduction to the semi-stable locus | 19 |
| 4 | Applications | 22 |
| 4.1 | Connection with other results | 22 |
| 4.2 | Harris–Viehmann conjecture | 25 |
| 4.3 | Geometric Eisenstein series | 31 |

1 Introduction

Let G/F be a connected reductive group over a non-archimedean field F . We let Bun_G denote the v -stack parameterizing G -bundles on the relative Fargues–Fontaine curve X_S , for $S \in \mathrm{Perf}_k$. It is known [FS21, Theorem IV.1.19] that this defines an ℓ -cohomologically smooth Artin v -stack of pure ℓ -dimension 0. Concretely, this translates into the fact that, étale locally on Bun_G , the dualizing complex $K_{\mathrm{Bun}_G} \in D(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$ is isomorphic to the constant sheaf Λ . On the other hand, Bun_G admits a locally closed stratification into Harder–Narasimhan (abbrev. HN) strata $i^b: \mathrm{Bun}_G^b \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$, indexed by elements

$b \in B(G)$ in the Kottwitz set of G . These HN-strata are isomorphic $[\ast/\tilde{G}_b]$, where \tilde{G}_b is a group diamond admitting a surjection $\tilde{G}_b \rightarrow \underline{G_b(F)}$ with kernel given by an ℓ -adically contractible unipotent part denoted $\tilde{G}_b^{>0}$. Pulling back along the induced map $[\ast/\tilde{G}_b] \rightarrow [\ast/\underline{G_b(F)}]$ induces an isomorphism $D(\mathrm{Bun}_G^b) \cong D([\ast/\underline{G_b(F)}])$, and the RHS is in turn isomorphic to the unbounded derived category of smooth Λ -representations of $G_b(F)$ [FS21, Theorem V.0.1 (ii)-(iii)]. It follows that one has a semi-orthogonal decomposition of any object $\mathcal{F} \in D(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$ into complexes of $G_b(F)$ -representations, for varying $b \in B(G)$.

It is a natural question to wonder what the $G_b(F)$ -representations corresponding to the dualizing complex K_{Bun_G} under the semi-orthogonal decomposition are. In particular, any sheaf on the classifying stack $D([\ast/\underline{G_b(F)}])$ corresponding to a character of $G_b(F)$ will be étale constant, but not constant on the nose. Our first result is as follows.

Proposition 1.1 (Proposition 3.18). *For G/F any connected reductive group, we have an isomorphism*

$$K_{\mathrm{Bun}_G} \cong \Lambda$$

of objects in $D(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$.

Remark 1.2. *This is claimed without proof in [FS21, V.5].*

The idea for proving this is to note that, on the semistable locus $\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}}$, we have a decomposition

$$\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}} \cong \bigsqcup_{b \in B(G)_{\mathrm{basic}}} [\ast/\underline{G_b(F)}],$$

and the dualizing complex on such classifying stacks $[\ast/\underline{G_b(F)}]$ is given by a module of Haar measures on $G_b(F)$, which is trivial as a $G_b(F)$ -representation since G_b is unimodular. We can then extend to all of Bun_G using the following result, which is interesting in its own right.

Proposition 1.3 (Proposition 3.16). *For G/F a connected reductive group, we have a well-defined equivalence of categories*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Loc}}(\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Loc}(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$$

$$A \mapsto R^0 j_{G*}(A),$$

where $\mathrm{Loc}(X) \subset D(X)$ denotes the category of local systems on X with respect to the étale topology, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Loc}}(\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}}) \subset \mathrm{Loc}(\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}})$ denotes the subcategory of étale local systems which admit a trivialization induced from an étale covering of Bun_G , and $j_G : \mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$ is the natural open immersion.

Remark 1.4. *A proof of this result and the previous result through a less explicit, but more direct method will appear in upcoming work of Wild [Wil24].*

Remark 1.5. *The restriction to the subcategory $\widetilde{\mathrm{Loc}}(\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}})$ implies that $R^0 j_{G*}(A)$ is also an étale local system so that the map is well-defined. In general, the inclusion $\widetilde{\mathrm{Loc}}(\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}}) \subset \mathrm{Loc}(\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}})$ is strict. For example, consider a finite-dimensional smooth irreducible representation ρ of the division algebra $D_{\frac{1}{n}}^*$. This defines a sheaf on a connected component of $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^{\mathrm{ss}}$. If ρ is not 1-dimensional so that its semi-simplified Harris–Taylor*

parameter (= Fargues–Scholze parameter) is supercuspidal (i.e. does not factor through a proper Levi) then it follows that its $*$ -pushforward to all of Bun_G is the same as its $!$ -pushforward (See the proof of [BMHN22, Lemma 2.6]). Therefore, we see that $R^0 j_{G*}(A)$ is not a local system if $A \in D(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$ has such ρ as a constituent.

The proof we give of Proposition 1.3 is in fact related to the computation of the dualizing object on certain ℓ -cohomologically smooth charts $\pi_b: \mathcal{M}_b \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$, as studied in [FS21, Section V.3]. These can be viewed as subspaces of the moduli stack of P -bundles, where P is a parabolic. In particular, consider such a parabolic P with Levi factor L and the natural diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bun}_P & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_P} & \mathrm{Bun}_G \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_P & & \\ \mathrm{Bun}_L & & \end{array}$$

where Bun_P parameterizes P -bundles on X_S . The v -stack Bun_P is an ℓ -cohomologically smooth Artin v -stack, and the map \mathfrak{q}_P induces an isomorphism on the set of connected components. Moreover, each connected component is pure of some fixed ℓ -dimension (Proposition 3.5, Corollary 3.6). We write $\dim(-): |\mathrm{Bun}_P| \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ for this locally constant function. It is natural to ask for an explicit description of the dualizing complex of Bun_P . As in the case of Bun_G , it is helpful to first look at the classifying stack $[*/P(F)]$, which will define an open subspace of Bun_P corresponding to the trivial P -structure. As above, the dualizing complex on this classifying stack will be identified with the module of Haar measures, which is isomorphic to the modulus character δ_P as a $P(F)$ -representation, by definition.

One can wonder whether this relationship between the dualizing complex on Bun_P and the modulus character holds over the entire space. To formulate this, we consider the abelianization L^{ab} of L , and write

$$\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_L: \mathrm{Bun}_L \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}$$

for the natural map. Since L^{ab} is commutative, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Bun}_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}} \cong \bigsqcup_{\overline{\theta} \in B(L^{\mathrm{ab}})} [*/\underline{L^{\mathrm{ab}}(F)}].$$

We write Δ_P for the sheaf on Bun_L obtained by pulling back along $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_L$ the sheaf on $\mathrm{Bun}_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}$ whose value is given by $\overline{\delta}_P$ on each connected component, where $\overline{\delta}_P: L^{\mathrm{ab}}(F) \rightarrow \Lambda^*$ is a factorization of δ_P (cf. §3). Our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1.6 (Theorem 3.19). *For G/F any connected reductive group, with parabolic P and Levi L , we have an isomorphism*

$$K_{\mathrm{Bun}_P} \cong \mathfrak{q}_P^*(\Delta_P)[2 \dim(\mathrm{Bun}_P)]$$

of objects in $D(\mathrm{Bun}_P)$.

In other words, the dualizing complex is the geometrization of the modulus character in the representation theory of p -adic reductive groups.

Using Proposition 1.3, Theorem 1.6 is reduced to determining the dualizing object on $\mathrm{Bun}_P^{\mathrm{ss}}$. This is the locus given by the preimage of the semistable locus in $\mathrm{Bun}_L^{\mathrm{ss}} = \bigsqcup_{b_L \in B(L)_{\mathrm{basic}}} [*/\underline{L_{b_L}(F)}]$ under \mathfrak{q}_P . Here, for each $b_L \in B(L)_{\mathrm{basic}}$, we have an explicit geometric description of the connected components $\mathrm{Bun}_P^{b_L}$ of $\mathrm{Bun}_P^{\mathrm{ss}}$ in terms of certain stacks attached to Banach–Colmez spaces called Picard v -groupoids. However it is difficult to determine the action of $L_{b_L}(F)$ on the Picard v -groupoids directly. We use the fact that the action of $L_{b_L}(F)$ factors through an inner form of the automorphism group of a subspace of the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of P . By this trick, the question is reduced to calculating dualizing complexes on Picard v -groupoids attached to vector bundles, which we can do explicitly.

When G is quasi-split and P is the Borel, this recovers [Ham22, Theorem 1.2], where this result was used as a fundamental computational input in the theory of geometric Eisenstein series over the Fargues–Fontaine curve in the principal case. It should serve a similar role in building an analogous theory for general parabolics. We partially carry this out in §4.3 by defining the Eisenstein functor with the appropriate modulus character twists in light of Theorem 1.6 (Definition 4.15), proving some of its basic properties (Lemmas 4.17 and 4.18), and computing its values after restricting to the semistable locus of Bun_P (Theorem 4.26).

The importance of this result is also emphasized by its relation to various other computations occurring in the Fargues–Scholze geometric Langlands program and the theory of local Shimura varieties. Namely, we already mentioned above that, in the quasi-split case, the ℓ -cohomologically smooth charts for Bun_G can be realized as Bun_P^θ , where θ has dominant isocrystal slopes (which we recall are the negatives of the Harder–Narasimhan slopes). More specifically, assume that G is quasi-split with a choice of Borel B . Given $b \in B(G)$, there is a maximal Levi subgroup $L^b \subset G$ such that $b \in B(G)$ admits a basic reduction to $b_{L^b} \in B(L^b)_{\mathrm{basic}}$ which has G -dominant isocrystal slopes. Here L^b is the centralizer of the slope homomorphism of b . We write P^b for the standard parabolic with Levi factor L^b , and $P^{b,-}$ for its opposite. In this case, we have an identification $G_b \cong (L^b)_{b_{L^b}}$, where $(L^b)_{b_{L^b}}$ is the inner twist of L^b induced by b_{L^b} . In particular, the group G_b is an inner twist of L^b . The projections \mathfrak{q}_{P^b} and $\mathfrak{q}_{P^{b,-}}$ then give rise to maps

$$\mathfrak{q}_b^+ : \mathcal{M}_b \cong \mathrm{Bun}_{P^b}^{b_{L^b}} \rightarrow [*/\underline{G_b(F)}],$$

$$\mathfrak{q}_b^- : [*/\tilde{G}_b] \cong \mathrm{Bun}_{P^{b,-}}^{b_{L^b}} \rightarrow [*/\underline{G_b(F)}]$$

respectively, where \mathfrak{q}_b^+ and \mathfrak{q}_b^- (resp. \mathcal{M}_b and $[*/\tilde{G}_b]$) identify with the corresponding maps considered in [FS21].

Corollary 1.7 (Proposition 3.15). *For G/F a connected reductive group, there exists a character δ_b such that we have isomorphisms*

$$\mathfrak{q}_b^{+*}(\delta_b)[2\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle] \cong K_{\mathcal{M}_b}$$

$$\mathfrak{q}_b^{-*}(\delta_b^{-1})[-2\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle] \cong K_{[*/\tilde{G}_b]},$$

where ρ_G denotes the half sum of the positive roots. If G is quasi-split then δ_b is the modulus character of the standard parabolic $P^b \subset G$ transferred to $G_b \cong (L^b)_{b_{L^b}}$ via the inner twisting from L^b (In general, see Definition 3.14).

The second claim is compatible with the key result used in the proof of [Han23, Proposition 1.1.4], where an alternative proof is mentioned using the compatibility of Fargues–Scholze parameters with Verdier duality on Bun_G . We write out the details of this alternative argument in §4.1. It is also essentially equivalent to the following corollary.

Corollary 1.8 (Proposition 4.4). *The compactly supported cohomology (resp. dualizing complex) of $\widetilde{G}_b^{>0}$ as a $G_b(F)$ -representation is isomorphic to $\delta_b^{-1}[-2\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle]$ (resp. $\delta_b[2\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle]$) via the right action of $G_b(F)$ induced by right conjugation.*

The character appearing in this compactly supported cohomology appears in several places in the literature; namely in [Kos21] and [HL23] in the guise of Manotovan’s product formula, and similarly in [GI16] and [Ham22] in the guise of comparing Hecke correspondences on Bun_G with the cohomology of local Shimura varieties. We summarize this as follows.

Corollary 1.9 (Corollary 4.2 and Corollary 4.6). *The following is true.*

- (1) *The sheaf $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell(d_b)$ with $G_b(F)$ -equivariant structure appearing in [Kos21, Theorem 7.1, Lemma 7.4] and [HL23, Theorem 1.15] is isomorphic to δ_b as a sheaf with $G_b(F)$ -action.*
- (2) *The character κ appearing in [Ham22, Lemma 11.1] is equal to δ_b^{-1} .*
- (3) *The character κ appearing in [GI16, Lemma 4.18 (ii), Theorem 4.26] is equal to $\delta_{P,\theta}$ (cf. §4.1 for the notations).*

As an application, we show the Harris–Viehmann conjecture [RV14, Conjecture 8.4] in the Hodge–Newton reducible case. Let $b, b' \in B(G)$ and $\mu \in X_*(G)$. Let E_μ denote the reflex field of μ . We write $R\Gamma_c(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu)$ for the cohomology of moduli spaces of shtukas for (G, b, b', μ) at level $K' \subset G_{b'}(F)$. First, we show the following compatibility with character twists, which is useful in its own right.

Proposition 1.10 (Proposition 4.10). *Let χ be a character of $G^{\mathrm{ab}}(F)$. We write χ_b and $\chi_{b'}$ for the characters of $G_b(F)$ and $G_{b'}(F)$ determined by χ . Let χ_μ be the character of W_{E_μ} determined by the L -parameter of χ and μ . For a smooth representation ρ of $G_b(F)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R\mathrm{Hom}_{G_b(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu), \rho \otimes \chi_b) \\ \cong \varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R\mathrm{Hom}_{G_b(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu), \rho) \otimes \chi_{b'} \otimes \chi_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 1.9 and Proposition 1.10 as well as results in [GI16], we show the following form of the Harris–Viehmann conjecture (cf. [RV14, Conjecture 8.4])¹:

¹This also fixes a gap in [Han21]. In particular, the Tate twists in [Han21, Theorem 4.13] should be replaced by the modulus character appearing in Corollary 1.9, and then one has to argue as in §4.2 to deduce Proposition 4.11.

Theorem 1.11 (Theorem 4.12). *Assume that F is p -adic, G is quasi-split, $([b], [b'], \mu)$ is Hodge–Newton reducible for L with reductions $[\theta], [\theta'] \in B(L)$ of b and b' , $L \supset L^b$, b' is basic and μ is minuscule. Let P' be a parabolic of $G_{b'}$ corresponding to $P = LP^{b,-}$. For a smooth representation ρ of $G_b(F)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R\mathrm{Hom}_{G_b(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu), \rho) \\ & \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P'(F)}^{G_{b'}(F)} \varinjlim_{K' \subset L_{\theta'}(F)} R\mathrm{Hom}_{L_{\theta}(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\mathrm{Sht}_{L,\theta,\theta',K'}^\mu), \rho) \otimes \|\cdot\|^{-\frac{d_{P,\theta}}{2}}[-d_{P,\theta}], \end{aligned}$$

where $d_{P,\theta}$ is an integer defined in §3.1.

In §2, we recall the notion of the Picard v -groupoid attached to a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on the Fargues–Fontaine F and prove several results on its dualizing complex. In §3, we describe the geometric structure of the moduli stack of parabolic structures and prove Proposition 1.1, Proposition 1.3, Theorem 1.6, and Corollary 1.7. In §4, we deduce the applications to the Harris–Viehmann Conjecture and geometric Eisenstein series.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Laurent Fargues, David Hansen, Teruhisa Koshikawa, Peter Scholze, and Ruth Wild for helpful discussions. Many of the key ideas surrounding this project were found during the Hausdorff Trimester program “Arithmetic of the Langlands Program” at the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy - EXC-2047/1 - 390685813, and we would like to thank the organizers for the fantastic learning environment. We thank Konrad Zou for helpful comments. This work was carried out while L. Hamann was a NSF postdoctoral scholar, and he thanks them for their support. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22H00093.

Notation

- For a field F , let Γ_F denote the absolute Galois group of F .
- For a non-archimedean local field F , let \check{F} denote the completion of the maximal unramified extension of F . We write σ for the arithmetic Frobenius on \check{F} .
- Let F be a non-archimedean local field with residue characteristic p . Let k_F be the residue field of F . Let q be the cardinality of k_F and ω a uniformizing element.
- Let $C := \widehat{\check{F}}$ be the completion of the algebraic closure of F . Let k be the algebraic closure of k_F .
- We let Perf_k denote the category of perfectoid spaces over k , and $\mathrm{AffPerf}_k$ the category of affinoid perfectoid spaces over k . For $S \in \mathrm{Perf}_k$, let Perf_S denote the category of perfectoid spaces over S .
- Let Λ be a torison ring of order prime to p unless otherwise stated.

- For a v -stack or diamond Z , we write $D(Z) := D_{\text{ét}}(Z, \Lambda)$ for the derived category of étale Λ sheaves on Z , as defined in [Sch17].
- For a fine map [GHW22, Definition 1.3] $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of decent v -stacks [GHW22, Definition 1.2], we will work with the four functors $Rf_!, Rf_*: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$ and $Rf^!, Lf^*: D(Y) \rightarrow D(X)$ constructed in [GHW22], which, together with $R\mathcal{H}om(-, -)$ and $\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}$, extend the six functor formalism in [Sch17].
- We let $* = \text{Spd}(k)$.
- For $X \rightarrow *$ a decent v -stack fine over the base, we write $K_X := f^!(\Lambda) \in D(X)$ for the dualizing complex, and $R\Gamma_c(X, \Lambda) := f_!(\Lambda) \in D(\Lambda)$ for the compactly supported cohomology.
- Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over F . Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G , and L the Levi factor of P .
- For a connected reductive group H/F , we write $D(H(F), \Lambda)$ for the unbounded derived category of smooth representations.
- We let $\text{Ind}_{P(F)}^{G(F)}: D(L(F), \Lambda) \rightarrow D(G(F), \Lambda)$ denote the functor given by parabolic induction. We note that this has no higher derived functors since parabolic induction is exact.
- We let $\delta_P: P(F) \rightarrow \Lambda^*$ denote the modulus character (*cf.* [Vig96, I. 2.6]). After fixing a choice of square root of q in Λ , we define the square root $\delta_P^{1/2}$ of the modulus character with respect to this choice, and write $i_{P(F)}^{G(F)}(-) := \text{Ind}_{P(F)}^{G(F)}(- \otimes \delta_P^{1/2})$ for the normalized parabolic induction functor.
- For $S \in \text{Perf}_k$, we write X_S for the associated relative adic Fargues–Fontaine curve. For $S \in \text{AffPerf}_k$, we write X_S^{alg} for the associated schematic Fargues–Fontaine curve. We simply write X for X_{C^\flat} , where C^\flat is the tilt of C .
- Let $B(G) = G(\check{F})/(b \sim gb\sigma(g)^{-1})$ be the Kottwitz set of G . For $b \in B(G)$, we write G_b for the σ -centralizer of b .
- For $b \in G(\check{F})$, let b^{ab} and b^{ad} denote the image of b in $G^{\text{ab}}(\check{F})$ and $G^{\text{ad}}(\check{F})$ respectively.
- For $b \in B(G)$ and $S \in \text{Perf}_k$ (resp. $S \in \text{AffPerf}_k$), we write $\mathcal{E}_{b,S}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_{b,S}^{\text{alg}}$) for the associated G -bundle on X_S (resp. X_S^{alg}).
- We write $\overline{(-)}$ for the natural composition:

$$B(L) \rightarrow B(L^{\text{ab}}) \cong^{\kappa} \mathbb{X}_*(L_F^{\text{ab}})_{\Gamma_F}.$$

- We write

$$\langle -, - \rangle: \mathbb{X}_*(L_F^{\text{ab}})_{\Gamma_F} \times \mathbb{X}^*(L_F^{\text{ab}})^{\Gamma_F} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

for the natural pairing induced by the pairing between cocharacters and characters.

- We recall that the Kottwitz set $B(G)$ is equipped with two maps:

- The slope homomorphism

$$\nu: B(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_*(T_{\overline{F}})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{+, \Gamma_F}$$

$$b \mapsto \nu_b,$$

where $\mathbb{X}_*(T_{\overline{F}})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{+}$ is the set of rational geometric dominant cocharacters of G .

- The Kottwitz invariant

$$\kappa_G: B(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(G)_{\Gamma_F},$$

where $\pi_1(G)$ denotes the algebraic fundamental group of Borovoi.

- If G is quasi-split with a fixed Borel pair (B, T) then, for $b \in B(G)$, we let L^b be the centralizer of ν_b , P^b the parabolic subgroup of G associated to ν_b such that the weights of ν_b in $\text{Lie}(P^b)$ is non-negative, and $P^{b,-}$ the parabolic subgroup of G opposite to P^b .

2 Dualizing complexes on Picard v -groupoids

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $S \in \text{Perf}_k$, and a two term complex $\mathcal{E}^* = \{\mathcal{E}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^0\}$ of vector bundles on X_S , we define the v -sheaves $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow S$ as the sheaves sending $T \in \text{Perf}_S$ to the hyper-cohomology $\mathbb{H}^i(X_T, \mathcal{E}_T^*)$, where \mathcal{E}_T^* is the base-change of the complex to X_T . If \mathcal{E}^* is a two term complex of vector bundles on X , we also have an absolute version $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow \text{Spd } k$. We will make repeated use of the following fact.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f: S' \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of small v -stacks. We assume one of the following:*

- (1) $S \in \text{Perf}_k$ and \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on X_S that is everywhere of positive (resp. negative) slopes.

- (2) \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on X that is of positive (resp. negative) slopes.

If f is a torsor under $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{E})$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{E})$) then $f^: D(S) \rightarrow D(S')$ is fully faithful and the adjunction morphism $Rf_! Rf^! A \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism for $A \in D(S)$.*

Proof. The first claim is [FS21, Proposition V.2.1]. The second claim is reduced to the case where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(1/n)$ for some n , as in the proof of [FS21, Proposition V.2.1]. In this case, the claimed isomorphism is proved in the proof of [FS21, Proposition V.2.1]. \square

The calculation of the dualizing complex on Bun_P will ultimately reduce to a calculation of the dualizing complex on the following kinds of spaces discussed in [Ham21, Definition 3.6].

Definition 2.2. *Let $S \in \text{Perf}_k$ and $\mathcal{E}^* = \{\mathcal{E}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^0\}$ a two term complex of vector bundles on X_S . We consider the action of $x \in \mathbb{H}^{-1}(X_T, \mathcal{E}^*)$ on $y \in \mathbb{H}^0(X_T, \mathcal{E}^*)$ via the map $y \mapsto y + d(x)$ and check that this gives a well-defined action on cohomology. This allows us to form the Banach–Colmez space like v -stack*

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}^*) := [\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{E}^*)/\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathcal{E}^*)] \rightarrow S,$$

which we refer to as the Picard v -groupoid of \mathcal{E}^ .*

We will only consider this in the case that $\mathcal{E}^* := \mathcal{E}[1]$ for a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X . It follows from [Ham21, Proposition 3.14] that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}[1])$ is ℓ -cohomologically smooth over $\mathrm{Spd}(C)$ of pure ℓ -dimension equal to $-\deg(\mathcal{E})$. In this case, the stack $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}[1]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(C)$ also has an absolute version, which we abusively also write as $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}[1]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(k)$ and will work with for the rest of the section.

We consider the dualizing complex $K_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}[1])}$ on this space. We let $G_{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the automorphism group of the isocrystal attached to \mathcal{E} , and write

$$\det: G_{\mathcal{E}}(F) \rightarrow (G_{\mathcal{E}}/G_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathrm{der}})(F) \cong (F^*)^r \xrightarrow{\mathrm{mult}} F^*,$$

where the last map is given by taking the product of the elements. The group $G_{\mathcal{E}}(F)$ acts on $K_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}[1])}$ via the right action on \mathcal{E} by the inverse. The main result of this section is the following.

Proposition 2.3. *We have an isomorphism*

$$K_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}[1])} \cong |\det(\cdot)|[-2\deg(\mathcal{E})]$$

of sheaves with $G_{\mathcal{E}}(F)$ -action. Here $|\cdot|: F^ \rightarrow \Lambda^*$ denotes the norm character.*

We first need some preliminaries. For $\lambda = d/r$ where r is a positive integer and d is an integer prime to r , we define the isocrystal $V_{-\lambda}$ as the vector space \check{F}^r with Frobenius $\varphi_{-\lambda}$ given by $\varpi_{-\lambda}^{-d}$, where

$$\varpi_{-\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & & 0 & 1 \\ \varpi & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_r(F), \quad (2.1)$$

and let $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ be the vector bundle on the Fargues–Fontaine curve given by the isocrystal $V_{-\lambda}$ as [FS21, Section II.2]. We put $D_{-\lambda} = \mathrm{End}(V_{-\lambda})$. Then $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ has a right action of $D_{-\lambda}$ by the inverse. We view $\varpi_{-\lambda}$ in (2.1) as an element of $D_{-\lambda}$, and denote it by the same symbol $\varpi_{-\lambda}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\lambda = d/r$ where r is a positive integer and d is an integer prime to r . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{Spd} k[[x_1^{1/q^\infty}, \dots, x_d^{1/q^\infty}]]$$

such that the action of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{-\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))$ via automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ is induced from an action of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{-\lambda}}$ on $\mathrm{Spd} k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$ over $\mathrm{Spd} k$ by taking inverse limits over the q -power Frobenius map.

Proof. We follow the proof of [FS21, Proposition II.2.5 (iv)]. In the p -adic case, the question is reduced to the case where $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ as in the proof of [FS21, Proposition II.2.5 (iv)]. Then, in the proof of [SW13, Proposition 3.1.3 (iii)], the coordinates of $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))$ are obtained from the coordinates of G , where G is the connected p -divisible group over k corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_F^\vee \subset V_{-\lambda}$ with Frobenius $\varphi_{-\lambda}$ via Dieudonné theory.

Since $\mathcal{O}_{D_{-\lambda}}$ acts on G in a way that is compatible with the action of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{-\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))$ by automorphisms, the claim in the p -adic case follows.

Assume that F is of equal characteristic. By the proof of [SW13, Proposition 3.1.3 (iii)], $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))(\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+))$ is identified with $B_{R, [1, \infty]}^{\varphi^r = \varpi^d}$, whose element $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} r_i \varpi^i$ is determined by topologically nilpotent r_1, \dots, r_d . We note that $B_{R, [1, \infty]}^{\varphi^r = \varpi^d}$ is identified with $\varphi_{-\lambda}$ -fixed part $(B_{R, [1, \infty]}^d)^{\varphi_{-\lambda}}$ of $B_{R, [1, \infty]}^d$ under

$$(B_{R, [1, \infty]}^d)^{\varphi_{-\lambda}} \cong B_{R, [1, \infty]}^{\varphi^r = \varpi^d}; \quad (b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d} \mapsto b_1. \quad (2.2)$$

Under the identification (2.2), the natural action of $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ and $\varpi_{-\lambda}$ on $(B_{R, [1, \infty]}^d)^{\varphi_{-\lambda}}$ is identified with the action on $B_{R, [1, \infty]}^{\varphi^r = \varpi^d}$ given by $r_i \mapsto ar_i$ and $r_i \mapsto \varphi^{m_i}(r_{\sigma(i)})$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma \in S_d$. Since $\varphi_{-\lambda} = \varpi_{-\lambda}^{-d} \varphi$, we see that the action of $\varpi_{-\lambda}^d$ on r_1, \dots, r_d is given by $r_i \mapsto \varphi(r_i)$. Hence we have $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} m_i = 1$. Therefore, replacing the coordinates r_i with $1 \leq i \leq d$ by their q^{n_i} -th power for some $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we may assume that one of m_i for $1 \leq i \leq d$ is 1 and the other are 0. Let x_1, \dots, x_d be the coordinate of $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))$ given by r_1, \dots, r_d after this replacement. Then $k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$ is stable under the action of \mathbb{F}_{q^r} and $\varpi_{-\lambda}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{D_{-\lambda}}$ is generated by \mathbb{F}_{q^r} and $\varpi_{-\lambda}$, the claim follows. \square

We recall that the map $\det: \mathrm{GL}_n(D_{-\lambda}) \rightarrow F^*$ can be identified with the reduced norm, which we denote by $\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(D_{-\lambda})/F}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\lambda = d/r$ where r is a positive integer and d is an integer prime to r . Let n be a positive integer. Let $f_{\lambda, n}: \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)^n) \rightarrow *$, $g_{\lambda, n}: [*/\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)^n)] \rightarrow *$ and $h_{\lambda, n}: \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)^n) \rightarrow *$ be the structure maps, where $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))$) is the absolute Banach–Colmez-space parameterizing elements of $H^0(X_S, \mathcal{O}(\lambda)_S)$ (resp. $H^1(X_S, \mathcal{O}(\lambda)_S)$) for $S \in \mathrm{Perf}_k$.*

(1) *If λ is positive, then $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)^n) = *$ and we have isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda, n, !} \Lambda &\cong |\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(D_{-\lambda})/F}(\cdot)|[-2dn] \\ f_{\lambda, n}^! \Lambda &\cong |\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(D_{-\lambda})/F}(\cdot)|^{-1}[2dn] \\ g_{\lambda, n, !} \Lambda &\cong |\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(D_{-\lambda})/F}(\cdot)|^{-1}[2dn] \\ g_{\lambda, n}^! \Lambda &\cong |\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(D_{-\lambda})/F}(\cdot)|[-2dn] \end{aligned}$$

as sheaves with $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{-\lambda})$ -action.

(2) *If $\lambda = 0$, then $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)^n) \cong \underline{F}^n$, $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)^n) = *$ and we have isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\lambda, n, !} \Lambda &\cong |\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(F)/F}(\cdot)|^{-1} \\ g_{\lambda, n}^! \Lambda &\cong |\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(F)/F}(\cdot)| \end{aligned}$$

as sheaves with $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -action.

(3) *If λ is negative, then $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)) = *$ and we have isomorphisms*

$$h_{\lambda, n, !} \Lambda \cong |\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(D_{-\lambda})/F}(\cdot)|^{-1}[2dn] \quad (2.3)$$

$$h_{\lambda, n}^! \Lambda \cong |\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(D_{-\lambda})/F}(\cdot)|[-2dn] \quad (2.4)$$

as sheaves with $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{-\lambda})$ -action.

Proof. The first claims in (1) and (3), and the first two claims in (2) are proven in [FS21, Proposition II.2.5]. The later two claims in (2) follow from [HKW22, Example 4.2.4]. For the remaining claims, it suffices to construct isomorphisms as sheaves that are compatible with the actions of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{D_{-\lambda}})$ and $\mathrm{diag}(\varpi_{-\lambda}^{m_1}, \dots, \varpi_{-\lambda}^{m_n})$ for $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, since these generate $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{-\lambda})$ by the Cartan decomposition. We note that it suffices to show the claim after the base change to $\mathrm{Spd} C$, by invoking [FS21, Theorem V.1.1].

We prove the second claim in (1) in a similar way as [GI16, Lemma 4.17]. The claims on $g_{\lambda,n}$ are reduced to the claims on $f_{\lambda,n}$ by Lemma 2.1. First, we assume that $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. We take an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)^n) \cong \mathrm{Spd} k[[x_1^{1/q^\infty}, \dots, x_{dn}^{1/q^\infty}]]$$

using Lemma 2.4. By [Sch17, Theorem 24.1], we know that $f_{\lambda,n}^! \Lambda \cong \Lambda[2dn]$ if we forget the group actions. Hence, the claim on $f_{\lambda,n}^! \Lambda$ is reduced to the claim on $f_{\lambda,n,!} \Lambda$ by Lemma 2.1. By [Ima19, Lemma 1.3], we have an isomorphism

$$f_{\lambda,n,!} \Lambda \cong |\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(D_{-\lambda})/F}(\cdot)|[-2dn]$$

that is compatible with the action of $\mathrm{diag}(\varpi_{-\lambda}^{m_1}, \dots, \varpi_{-\lambda}^{m_n})$. The action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{D_{-\lambda}})$ on $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)^n)$ is induced from an action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{D_{-\lambda}})$ on $\mathrm{Spd} k[[x_1, \dots, x_{dn}]]$ over $\mathrm{Spd} k$ by taking inverse limits, as in Lemma 2.4. We show that $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{D_{-\lambda}})$ acts trivially on $f_{\lambda,n,!} \Lambda$. As mentioned above, it suffices to show this after base-changing to $\mathrm{Spd} C$.

We can see that the action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{D_{-\lambda}})$ on $\mathrm{Spd} k[[x_1, \dots, x_{dn}]] \times_{\mathrm{Spd} k} \mathrm{Spd} C$ comes from an action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{D_{-\lambda}})$ on $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_C^{dn} = \mathrm{Spa}(\mathcal{O}_C[[x_1, \dots, x_{dn}]] \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_C)} \mathrm{Spa}(C, \mathcal{O}_C))$. If F is p -adic, this follows from [SW20, Proposition 10.2.3]. In the equal characteristic case, we can use the base change to $\mathrm{Spa}(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ of the action on $k[[x_1, \dots, x_{dn}]]$.

By [Sch17, Lemma 15.6], it suffices to show the same claim for $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_C^{dn}$. By Poincaré duality (cf. [Hub96, Corollary 7.5.6]), we have

$$H_c^{2dn}(\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_C^{dn}, \Lambda) \cong H^0(\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_C^{dn}, \Lambda(dn)) = \Lambda(dn).$$

Since any automorphism of $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_C^{dn}$ over C acts trivially on $H^0(\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_C^{dn}, \Lambda(dn))$, we see the claim.

Assume that $\lambda > 1$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda-1)^n)|_{\mathrm{Spd} C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)^n)|_{\mathrm{Spd} C} \xrightarrow{p_{\lambda,n,C}} (\mathbb{A}_C^{nr})^\diamond \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.5)$$

induced by

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\lambda-1)^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)^n \rightarrow i_{\infty*} C^{nr} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.6)$$

as in [SW20, Example 15.2.9.4], where $i_\infty: \infty \hookrightarrow X$ is the point given by the untild C of C^\flat . In (2.6), the first map is given by the multiplication by a nonzero section of $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ vanishing at ∞ (cf. [FS21, Proposition II.2.3]), and the second is given by the restriction to ∞ . Under the equality $D_{-(\lambda-1)} = D_{-\lambda} \subset \mathrm{End}(\check{F}^r)$, the group $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{-\lambda})$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda-1)^n$ and in turn on $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda-1)^n)|_{\mathrm{Spd} C}$. By taking the fiber of $\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)^n$ at ∞ , we have a homomorphism $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{-\lambda}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{nr}(C)$. Via this homomorphism, $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{-\lambda})$ acts on \mathbb{A}_C^{nr} and $(\mathbb{A}_C^{nr})^\diamond$. Then the sequence (2.5) is equivariant for the action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{-\lambda})$ by the construction.

Let $\psi_{\lambda,n,C}: (\mathbb{A}_C^{nr})^\diamond \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd} C$ be the structure morphism. Then we have

$$\psi_{\lambda,n,C,!} \Lambda \cong \Lambda[-2nr] \quad (2.7)$$

by [Ima19, Lemma 1.3]. The action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{-\lambda})$ on (2.7) is trivial by the argument as above using Poincare duality since the action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{-\lambda})$ on $(\mathbb{A}_C^{nr})^\diamond$ is induced from an action on \mathbb{A}_C^{nr} . Hence, we also have

$$\psi_{\lambda,n,C}^! \Lambda \cong \Lambda[2nr]. \quad (2.8)$$

By (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda,n,C,!} \Lambda &\cong \psi_{\lambda,n,C,!}(p_{\lambda,n,C,!} \Lambda) \\ &\cong \psi_{\lambda,n,C,!}(|\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(D_{-\lambda})/F}(\cdot)|[-2(d-r)n]) \cong |\mathrm{Nrd}_{M_n(D_{-\lambda})/F}(\cdot)|[-2dn], \end{aligned}$$

where we use the case of $\lambda - 1$ at the second isomorphism and (2.7) at the third isomorphism. The claim on $f_{\lambda,n,C}^! \Lambda$ is proved in the same way using (2.5) and (2.8).

We prove the second claim in (3). Assume that $-1 \leq \lambda < 0$. Then we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{O}(\lambda+1)^n)|_{\mathrm{Spd} C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_C^{nr})^\diamond \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)^n)|_{\mathrm{Spd} C} \rightarrow 0,$$

as in [SW20, p. 138]. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\lambda,n,C,!} \Lambda &\cong g_{\lambda+1,n,C,!} \Lambda \otimes \psi_{\lambda,n,C,!} \Lambda \cong g_{\lambda+1,n,C,!} \Lambda[-2nr], \\ h_{\lambda,n,C}^! \Lambda &\cong g_{\lambda+1,n,C}^! \Lambda \otimes \psi_{\lambda,n,C}^! \Lambda \cong g_{\lambda+1,n,C}^! \Lambda[2nr] \end{aligned}$$

by (2.7) and (2.8). Therefore the claim follows from the claim (1) and (2).

Assume that $\lambda < -1$. Then we have

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_C^{nr})^\diamond \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)^n)|_{\mathrm{Spd} C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{O}(\lambda+1)^n)|_{\mathrm{Spd} C} \rightarrow 0$$

as [SW20, p. 139]. Hence the claim is reduced to the case of $\lambda + 1$ by (2.7) and (2.8). \square

With this in hand we can prove Proposition 2.3.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. By [FS21, Proposition II.2.5], we have identifications $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{E}^{<0})$ and $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{E}^{\geq 0}) = \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{E}^{>0}) \times \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{E}^{=0})$. Therefore, we can factorize the structure map $f_{\mathcal{E}}: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(k)$ as

$$[\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{E}^{<0})/\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{E}^{\geq 0})] \xrightarrow{f^{<0}} [\mathrm{Spd}(F)/\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{E}^{\geq 0})] \xrightarrow{f^{>0}} [\mathrm{Spd}(F)/\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{E}^{=0})] \xrightarrow{f^{=0}} \mathrm{Spd}(k).$$

Since all these maps are ℓ -cohomologically smooth by the slope decomposition of \mathcal{E} and [FS21, Proposition II.2.5], we can use the formula

$$R((g \circ f)^!)(\mathbb{F}_\ell) = Rg^!(Rf^!(\mathbb{F}_\ell)) = Rf^!(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} f^* Rg^!(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

for a pair of ℓ -cohomologically smooth maps f and g , as follows from the Definition ([FS21, Definition IV.1.15]). This reduces us to showing the claim in three cases.

- (1) The slopes of \mathcal{E} are strictly positive.
- (2) The slopes of \mathcal{E} are all 0.
- (3) The slopes of \mathcal{E} are strictly negative.

The claim now follows by writing \mathcal{E} as a direct sum of semistable bundles of fixed slope, the Künneth formula, and Lemma 2.5. \square

3 Structure of the moduli space of P -structures

3.1 Modulus character

We make use of the following notation.

- (1) Let P be a parabolic with Levi factor L . We set $U = R_u(P)$ to be the unipotent radical. The adjoint action of P on $\mathrm{Lie}(U)$ induces

$$P \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut} \left(\bigwedge^{\dim U} \mathrm{Lie}(U) \right) \cong \mathbb{G}_m,$$

which factors through L^{ab} and defines an element $\xi_P \in \mathbb{X}^*(L_F^{\mathrm{ab}})^{\Gamma_F}$.

- (2) We recall that $\delta_P: P(F) \rightarrow \Lambda^\times$ is the modulus character of P . We define $\bar{\delta}_P: L^{\mathrm{ab}}(F) \rightarrow \Lambda^\times$ by $\bar{\delta}_P(x) = |\xi_P(x)|$.

- (3) Given $\theta \in B(L)$, we consider the composition

$$\delta_{P,\theta}: L_\theta(F) \rightarrow (L^{\mathrm{ab}})_{\theta^{\mathrm{ab}}}(F) \cong L^{\mathrm{ab}}(F) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_P} \Lambda^\times,$$

where θ^{ab} denotes the image of θ in $B(L^{\mathrm{ab}})$. We put $d_{P,\theta} := \langle \xi_P, \bar{\theta} \rangle$.

For a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} over a scheme S , we define the group scheme $\mathbf{W}(\mathcal{E})$ over S by $\mathbf{W}(\mathcal{E})(T) = (V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_T)(T)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let P be a parabolic subgroup of a reductive group scheme G over a scheme S with a Levi subgroup L . Then there is a filtration*

$$U = U_0 \supset U_1 \supset \cdots \supset U_n = \{1\}$$

of the unipotent radical of P stable under the conjugation action of P such that for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$

- (1) *the action of P on U_i/U_{i+1} factors through L ,*

- (2) *there is an isomorphism*

$$U_i/U_{i+1} \cong \mathbf{W}(\mathrm{Lie}(U_i/U_{i+1}))$$

of group schemes with an action of L ,

- (3) *there is an isomorphism*

$$U \cong \mathbf{W} \left(\bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \mathrm{Lie}(U_i/U_{i+1}) \right)$$

of schemes with an action of L , and

- (4) *if S is connected semi-local, there is unique $\alpha_i \in X^*(A_L)$ such that $\mathrm{Lie}(U_i) = \mathrm{Lie}(U)_{\alpha_i} \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(U_{i+1})$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, where A_L is the maximal split torus inside the center of L .*

Proof. We do the argument as [SGA3-3, XXVI, 2.1.1] for a pinning of G adapted to P such that the maximal torus is contained in L . Then, there is an isomorphism $U_i/U_{i+1} \cong \mathbf{W}(\mathcal{E}_i)$ compatible with the actions of L for a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E}_i on S with a linear action of L . By taking the Lie algebras, we obtain an isomorphism $\mathrm{Lie}(U_i/U_{i+1}) \cong \mathcal{E}_i$ compatible with the actions of L . Furthermore, $\prod_{a(\gamma)=i+1} U_\gamma$ in [SGA3-3, XXVI, 2.1.1] in gives a splitting of $U_i \rightarrow U_i/U_{i+1}$ as schemes with the action of L .

In the argument of [SGA3-3, XXVI, 2.1.2], different pinnings are conjugate by L since we use only the pinnings such that the maximal tori are contained in L . Hence $\prod_{a(\gamma)=i+1} U_\gamma$ above descends. Therefore we obtain a filtration $\{U_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfying the conditions (1), (2) and (3).

Assume that S is connected semi-local. We note that L is the centralizer of A_L in G by [SGA3-3, XXVI, Proposition 6.8]. By using the weight decomposition of $\mathrm{Lie}(U_i/U_{i+1})$ with respect to the action of A_L , we can refine the filtration $\{U_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ further so that the condition (4) is satisfied. \square

Lemma 3.2. *The modulus character δ_P is equal to $P(F) \rightarrow L(F) \rightarrow L^{\mathrm{ab}}(F) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_P} \Lambda^\times$.*

Proof. Since the both characters are trivial on $U(F)$, it suffice to show the equality on $L(F)$. Let l be an element of $L(F)$. We take a compact open subgroup K of $P(F)$, and $\{U_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ as Lemma 3.1. We put $K_i = K \cap U_i(F)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Let \bar{K} and \bar{K}_i be the image of K in $L(F)$ and K_i in $(U_i/U_{i+1})(F)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. By Lemma 3.1 (3), \bar{K} and \bar{K}_i are compact open in $L(F)$ and $(U_i/U_{i+1})(F)$ respectively, and we have

$$\delta_P(l) = [\mathrm{Ad}(l)K : K] = [\mathrm{Ad}(l)\bar{K} : \bar{K}] \prod_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} [\mathrm{Ad}(l)\bar{K}_i : \bar{K}_i]. \quad (3.1)$$

Since the modulus character of L is trivial, we have

$$[\mathrm{Ad}(l)\bar{K} : \bar{K}] = 1. \quad (3.2)$$

We take an \mathcal{O}_F -lattice N_i of $\mathrm{Lie}(U_i/U_{i+1})(F)$ which corresponds to a compact open subgroup of \bar{K}_i under the isomorphism in Lemma 3.1 (2). Then we have

$$[\mathrm{Ad}(l)\bar{K}_i : \bar{K}_i] = [\mathrm{Ad}(l)N_i : N_i]. \quad (3.3)$$

The claim follows from (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3). \square

3.2 Connected components of Bun_P

We have a natural diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bun}_P & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_P} & \mathrm{Bun}_G \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_P & \searrow \mathfrak{q}_P^\dagger & \\ \mathrm{Bun}_L & & \\ \downarrow \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_L & \swarrow & \\ \mathrm{Bun}_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}} & & \end{array}$$

given by the corresponding maps of reductive groups.

By [FS21, Corollary IV.1.23], we have decompositions

$$\mathrm{Bun}_L = \bigsqcup_{\theta \in B(L)_{\mathrm{basic}}} \mathrm{Bun}_L^{(\theta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Bun}_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}} = \bigsqcup_{\bar{\theta} \in \mathbb{X}_*(L_F^{\mathrm{ab}})_{\Gamma_F}} \mathrm{Bun}_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}^{\bar{\theta}}$$

into connected components via the Kottwitz invariant. We let $\mathrm{Bun}_P^{(\theta)}$ denote the fiber of \mathfrak{q}_P over the connected component $\mathrm{Bun}_L^{(\theta)}$, and write $\mathfrak{q}_P^{(\theta)}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{p}_P^{(\theta)}$) for the base-change (resp. restriction) of \mathfrak{q}_P (resp. \mathfrak{p}_P) to this connected component. To describe these components in maximum generality, we first establish some lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *Consider a parabolic $P \subset G$ with Levi factor L , its image defines a parabolic $P^{\mathrm{ad}} \subset G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ with Levi factor L^{ad} . The natural diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bun}_P & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Bun}_{P^{\mathrm{ad}}} \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_P & & \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{P^{\mathrm{ad}}} \\ \mathrm{Bun}_L & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Bun}_{L^{\mathrm{ad}}} \end{array} \quad (3.4)$$

is Cartesian.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the fibers of \mathfrak{q}_P over an L -bundle \mathcal{F}_L can be described in terms of torsors under $\mathcal{F}_L \times^L U$, where L acts through the group L^{ad} . \square

For $b \in P(\check{F})$ and $S \in \mathrm{Perf}_k$, we define P -bundle $\mathcal{E}_{P,b}$ on X_S by $(\varphi \times b\sigma) \backslash (Y_S \times P)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $b \in G(\check{F})$ be a basic element. Let $P \subset G$ and $Q_b \subset G_b$ be parabolic subgroups which correspond under the natural isomorphisms $G_{\check{F}} \cong G_{b,\check{F}}$.*

- (1) *We have $b \in P(\check{F})$.*
- (2) *We have an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Bun}_P \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Bun}_{Q_b}; \quad \mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{I}som(\mathcal{E}_{P,b}, \mathcal{E})$$

of v -stacks. This gives the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bun}_P & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Bun}_{Q_b} \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_P & & \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{Q_b} \\ \mathrm{Bun}_L & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Bun}_{L_b} \end{array}$$

Proof. Since $P_{\check{F}} \subset G_{\check{F}}$ is stable under $x \mapsto b\sigma(x)b^{-1}$, we have $b \in N_{G_{\check{F}}}(P_{\check{F}}) = P_{\check{F}}$. Then we can check the claim (2) easily (cf. the proof of [SW20, Corollary 23.3.2] when $P = G$). \square

We now have our key structural result on the components $\mathrm{Bun}_P^{(\theta)}$.

Proposition 3.5. *The map \mathfrak{q}_P is an ℓ -cohomologically smooth (non-representable) map of Artin v -stacks with connected fibers. In particular, if $\theta \in B(L)_{\mathrm{basic}}$, then the map $\mathfrak{q}_P^{(\theta)}$ is ℓ -cohomologically smooth of pure ℓ -dimension equal to $d_{P,\theta}$.*

Proof. The first part follows from [Ham21, Proposition 3.16] and its proof. The second part follows from combining [Ham21, Lemma 3.8, Proposition 4.7] and [FS21, Theorem IV.1.19] when G is quasi-split. The general case is reduced to the quasi-split case using Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. \square

In particular, we deduce the following from this.

Corollary 3.6. *We have a decomposition into connected components*

$$\mathrm{Bun}_P = \bigsqcup_{\theta \in B(L)_{\mathrm{basic}}} \mathrm{Bun}_P^{(\theta)}.$$

Proof. This follows by combining with the previous Proposition together with the fact that ℓ -cohomologically smooth maps are universally open [Sch17, Proposition 23.1]². \square

We consider the semistable locus $\bigsqcup_{\theta \in B(L)_{\mathrm{basic}}} [* / L_\theta(F)] \cong \mathrm{Bun}_L^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathrm{Bun}_L$, and write $\mathrm{Bun}_P^{\mathrm{ss}}$ for the preimage along \mathfrak{q}_P . We have decompositions into connected components

$$\bigsqcup_{\theta \in B(L)_{\mathrm{basic}}} \mathfrak{q}_P^\theta: \mathrm{Bun}_P^{\mathrm{ss}} \cong \bigsqcup_{\theta} \mathrm{Bun}_P^\theta \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\theta} \mathrm{Bun}_L^\theta,$$

where \mathfrak{q}_P^θ is the base-change of \mathfrak{q}_P to the HN-strata $\mathrm{Bun}_L^\theta \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_L$. Ultimately, the proof of our main Theorem will reduce to an explicit description of the connected components Bun_P^θ of $\mathrm{Bun}_P^{\mathrm{ss}}$ in terms of Banach–Colmez spaces. Those connected components are the preimage of the basic HN-strata.

3.3 Description of the preimage HN-strata

Let $\theta \in B(L)$. We take $\{U_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\{\alpha_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n-1}$ as in Lemma 3.1. We note that $\mathrm{Lie}(U_i/U_{i+1}) \cong \mathrm{Lie}(U)_{\alpha_i}$. Let $\mathcal{L}ie(U)_{\alpha_i, \theta}$ be the vector bundle on the Fargues–Fontaine curve with Kottwitz element given by the image of θ under $L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{Lie}(U)_{\alpha_i})$. Recalling the minus sign when passing between isocrystals and G -bundles, we note that this has degree equal to $-\langle \alpha_i, \theta \rangle$.

We write Bun_P^θ for the preimage of the HN-strata Bun_L^θ along \mathfrak{q}_P . In order to describe Bun_P^θ , for $S \in \mathrm{AffPerf}_k$, we consider the torsors

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\theta, S} := \mathcal{E}_{\theta, S}^{\mathrm{alg}} \times^L P$$

and

$$R_u \mathcal{Q}_{\theta, S} := \mathcal{E}_{\theta, S}^{\mathrm{alg}} \times^L U,$$

which we view as group schemes over X_S^{alg} .

We now come to the key description of the space Bun_P^θ .

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathrm{Bun}_{P,*}^\theta$ denote the fiber of the map*

$$\mathfrak{q}_P^\theta: \mathrm{Bun}_P^\theta \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_L^\theta \cong [* / \widetilde{L}_\theta]$$

over $ \rightarrow [* / \widetilde{L}_\theta]$. Then the map $\mathrm{Bun}_{P,*}^\theta \rightarrow *$ is an iterated fibration of the absolute Picard v -groupoids*

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}ie(U)_{\alpha_i, \theta}[1]) \rightarrow * \tag{3.5}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

²Strictly speaking, this only applies to representable morphisms; however, it is easy to see the proof extends to this context.

Proof. The fiber $\text{Bun}_{P,*}^\theta$ will parametrize, for $S \in \text{AffPerf}_k$, P -bundles on X_S^{alg} whose underlying L -bundle is equal to $\mathcal{E}_{\theta,S}^{\text{alg}}$. This can be expressed as the set of torsors under the group scheme $R_u(\mathcal{Q}_{\theta,S}) = \mathcal{E}_{\theta,S}^{\text{alg}} \times^L U$ on X_S^{alg} . Then the claim follows from Lemma 3.1 and the fact that, for a vector bundle \mathcal{E}^{alg} on X_S^{alg} , the set of \mathcal{E}^{alg} -torsors is parametrized by $H^1(X_S^{\text{alg}}, \mathcal{E}^{\text{alg}})$, and the automorphisms of such \mathcal{E}^{alg} -torsors is parametrized by $H^0(X_S^{\text{alg}}, \mathcal{E}^{\text{alg}})$. Here we have implicitly used GAGA for the Fargues–Fontaine curve [FS21, Proposition II.2.7]. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let $b \in G(\check{F})$ be the image of θ . We assume the conjugate action of ν_θ on $\text{Lie}(U)$ has non-positive weights. Then $\mathbf{q}_P^\theta: \text{Bun}_P^\theta \rightarrow \text{Bun}_L^\theta$ identifies with the natural map $[*/\tilde{G}_b] \rightarrow [*/\tilde{L}_\theta]$.*

Proof. This follows from the proof of Lemma 3.7, since $H^1(X_S, \mathcal{L}\text{ie}(U)_{\alpha_i, \theta}) = 0$ by the assumption. \square

We now prove the following proposition using Lemma 3.7.

Proposition 3.9. *We have an isomorphism*

$$K_{\text{Bun}_P^\theta} \cong \mathbf{q}_P^{\theta*}(\delta_{P,\theta})[2d_{P,\theta}]$$

in $D(\text{Bun}_P^\theta)$.

Proof. The adjoint action of P on $\text{Lie}(U_i/U_{i+1})$ induces

$$P \rightarrow \text{Aut} \left(\bigwedge^{\dim(U_i/U_{i+1})} \text{Lie}(U_i/U_{i+1}) \right) \cong \mathbb{G}_m,$$

which factors through L^{ab} and define $\xi_{P,i} \in \mathbb{X}^*(L_{\check{F}}^{\text{ab}})^{\Gamma_F}$ to be the corresponding character. We put $d_{P,\theta,i} = \langle \xi_{P,i}, \bar{\theta} \rangle$ and

$$\delta_{P,\theta,i}: L_\theta(F) \rightarrow (L^{\text{ab}})_{\theta^{\text{ab}}}(F) = L^{\text{ab}}(F) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_{P,i}} \Lambda^\times,$$

where $\bar{\delta}_{P,i}(x) = |\xi_{P,i}(x)|$. By Lemma 3.7, it suffices to show that the dualizing complex of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}\text{ie}(U)_{\alpha_i, \theta}[1])$ is isomorphic to

$$\Lambda[2d_{P,\theta,i}]$$

with the action of L_θ given by $\delta_{P,\theta,i}$.

We consider the natural map

$$L \rightarrow \text{GL}(\text{Lie}(U)_{\alpha_i}); l \mapsto (u \mapsto lul^{-1})$$

given by the left conjugation action, and the element $\theta_{\text{Ad},i}$ defined as the image of θ under the induced map $B(L) \rightarrow B(\text{GL}(\text{Lie}(U)_{\alpha_i}))$. We have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\theta_{\text{Ad},i}} \cong \mathcal{L}\text{ie}(U)_{\alpha_i, \theta}.$$

The right action of $L_\theta(F)$ on $\text{Bun}_{P,*}^\theta$ factors through the natural map

$$L_\theta(F) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\text{Lie}(U)_{\alpha_i})_{\theta_{\text{Ad},i}}(F).$$

composed with the right action of $\text{GL}(\text{Lie}(U)_{\alpha_i})_{\theta_{\text{Ad},i}}(F)$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}\text{ie}(U)_{\alpha_i, \theta}[1])$ given by acting via automorphisms on $\mathcal{L}\text{ie}(U)_{\alpha_i, \theta}$ and taking inverses. Hence, the claim follows from Proposition 2.3. \square

It is convenient to slightly reformulate this. We define the following.

Definition 3.10. We let $\overline{\Delta}_P$ be the sheaf on

$$\mathrm{Bun}_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}} \cong \bigsqcup_{\overline{\theta} \in \mathbb{X}_*(L_{\overline{F}}^{\mathrm{ab}})_{\Gamma_F}} [* / \underline{L^{\mathrm{ab}}(F)}],$$

whose value on each connected component is given by $\overline{\delta}_P$.

We let $\mathfrak{q}_P^{\dagger, \mathrm{ss}} : \mathrm{Bun}_P^{\mathrm{ss}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}$ be the restriction of \mathfrak{q}_P^{\dagger} to the semistable locus. Recalling the definition of $\delta_{P, \theta}$, we can reformulate the previous Corollary as follows.

Corollary 3.11. We have an isomorphism

$$K_{\mathrm{Bun}_P^{\mathrm{ss}}} \cong \mathfrak{q}_P^{\dagger, \mathrm{ss}*}(\overline{\Delta}_P)[2 \dim(\mathrm{Bun}_P)]$$

of objects in $D(\mathrm{Bun}_P)$.

Lemma 3.12. For $b \in G(\check{F})$, the natural diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_b & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{b^{\mathrm{ad}}} \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_b^+ & & \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{b^{\mathrm{ad}}}^+ \\ [* / \underline{G_b(F)}] & \longrightarrow & [* / \underline{G_{b^{\mathrm{ad}}}^{\mathrm{ad}}(F)}], \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [* / \widetilde{G}_b] & \longrightarrow & [* / \widetilde{G}_{b^{\mathrm{ad}}}^{\mathrm{ad}}] \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_b^- & & \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{b^{\mathrm{ad}}}^- \\ [* / \underline{G_b(F)}] & \longrightarrow & [* / \underline{G_{b^{\mathrm{ad}}}^{\mathrm{ad}}(F)}] \end{array}$$

are Cartesian.

Proof. Let $S \in \mathrm{AffPerf}_k$. Let H be the inner twisting of $G \times X_S^{\mathrm{alg}}$ by $\mathcal{E}_{b, S}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ over X_S^{alg} . Then we have $\widetilde{G}_b^{>0}(S) = H^{>0}(X_S^{\mathrm{alg}})$ as the proof of [FS21, Proposition III.5.1]. Hence $G \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ induces an isomorphism $\widetilde{G}_b^{>0} \cong \widetilde{G}_{b^{\mathrm{ad}}}^{\mathrm{ad}, >0}$, since $H^{>0}$ is a unipotent radical of a parabolic subgroup $H^{\geq 0}$ of H by [FS21, Proposition III.5.2]. Hence the first diagram is Cartesian.

By the proof of [FS21, Proposition V.3.5], the fiber of \mathfrak{q}_b^+ is parametrized by $H^{<0}$ -torsors, where $H^{<0}$ is the unipotent radical of a parabolic subgroup $H^{\leq 0}$ of H . Hence the second diagram is also Cartesian for a similar reason. \square

Lemma 3.13. Let $b' \in G(\check{F})$ be an basic element. We put $G' = G_{b'}$. Then $b \mapsto bb'^{-1}$ induces a bijection $B(G) \cong B(G')$. Furthermore, for $b \in G(\check{F})$ we have isomorphisms of the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_b & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{M}_{bb'^{-1}} \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_b^+ & & \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{bb'^{-1}}^+ \\ [* / \underline{G_b(F)}] & \xrightarrow{\sim} & [* / \underline{G'_{bb'^{-1}}(F)}], \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [* / \widetilde{G}_b] & \xrightarrow{\sim} & [* / \widetilde{G'}_{bb'^{-1}}] \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_b^- & & \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{bb'^{-1}}^- \\ [* / \underline{G_b(F)}] & \xrightarrow{\sim} & [* / \underline{G'_{bb'^{-1}}(F)}]. \end{array}$$

Proof. The second bijection can be checked easily (cf. [Ima19, Lemma 2.2]). We define H as in the proof of Lemma 3.12 using G and b . We define H' similarly using G' and bb'^{-1} . Then we have a natural identification $H \cong H'$ by the construction. Hence, the isomorphisms in the diagram follow in the same way as the proof of Lemma 3.12. \square

We put $d_b = \langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle$. We have the following definition.

Definition 3.14. We take a quasi-split inner form $G^{\text{ad},*}$ of G^{ad} and $b' \in G^{\text{ad},*}(\check{F})$ such that $G_{b'}^{\text{ad},*} = G^{\text{ad}}$. We put $b^* = b^{\text{ad}}b'$. Then we have $G_{b^*}^{\text{ad},*}(F) \cong G_{b^{\text{ad}}}^{\text{ad}}(F)$ as in Lemma 3.13. We fix a Borel pair of $G^{\text{ad},*}$. We define

$$\delta_b: G_b(F) \rightarrow G_{b^{\text{ad}}}^{\text{ad}}(F) \cong G_{b^*}^{\text{ad},*}(F) \xrightarrow{\delta_{P^{b^*}, b_L^*}} \Lambda^\times,$$

where b_L^* is the image of b^* in the Levi quotient of P^{b^*} .

Proposition 3.15. We have isomorphisms

$$K_{\mathcal{M}_b} \cong \mathfrak{q}_b^{+*}(\delta_b)[2d_b], \quad K_{[*]/\tilde{G}_b} \cong \mathfrak{q}_b^{-*}(\delta_b^{-1})[-2d_b].$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.12 and Lemma 3.13, we may assume that G is quasi-split. We fix a Borel pair of G . Then the first claim follows from Proposition 3.9 for P^b by [FS21, Example V.3.4]. The second claim follows from Proposition 3.9 for $P^{b,-}$. \square

3.4 Local systems on Bun_G and reduction to the semi-stable locus

For an Artin v -stack Z , we write $\text{Loc}(Z) \subset D(Z)$ for the category of Λ -local systems on Z with respect to the étale topology on Z . We write $j_G: \text{Bun}_G^{\text{ss}} \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_G$ for the open subspace defined by the semistable bundles. We recall that we have a decomposition

$$\bigsqcup_{b \in B(G)_{\text{basic}}} \text{Bun}_G^b \cong \text{Bun}_G^{\text{ss}},$$

and that $\text{Bun}_G^b \cong [*]/G_b(F)$ is just the classifying stack attached to the σ -centralizer of b for b basic. The set $B(G)$ has a partial ordering, where $b \geq b'$ if $\nu_b - \nu_{b'}$ is a positive linear combination of coroots and $\kappa_G(b) = \kappa_G(b')$.

We start by deducing some interesting consequences of Corollary 3.11.

Proposition 3.16. There is well-defined equivalence of categories:

$$\widetilde{\text{Loc}}(\text{Bun}_G^{\text{ss}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Loc}(\text{Bun}_G)$$

$$A \mapsto R^0 j_{G*}(A),$$

where $\widetilde{\text{Loc}}(\text{Bun}_G^{\text{ss}}) \subset \text{Loc}(\text{Bun}_G^{\text{ss}})$ denotes the subcategory of étale local systems which admit a trivialization induced from an étale covering of Bun_G .

Proof. The claim that this is well-defined will follow from the proof. In particular, we will show that $R^0 j_{G*}(\Lambda)$ defines an object in $\text{Loc}(\text{Bun}_G)$.

Let $b \in B(G)$, we write $\text{Bun}_G^{\leq b}$ (resp. $\text{Bun}_G^{< b}$) for the open substack parametrizing G -bundles whose associated element in $B(G)$ is $\leq b$ (resp. $< b$) after pulling back to a geometric point. We write $j^b: \text{Bun}_G^{\leq b} \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_G^{\leq b}$ for the open inclusion and $i^b: \text{Bun}_G^b \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_G^{\leq b}$ for the complementary closed inclusion.

By induction and excision on $D(\text{Bun}_G)$ with respect to the HN-strata and its partial ordering, we can reduce to showing that, for $A \in \text{Loc}(\text{Bun}_G^{\leq b})$ and all b non-basic, that the natural adjunction map

$$A \rightarrow R^0 j_* j^{b*}(A)$$

is an isomorphism. It suffices to check this v -locally and, since A is a local system with respect to the étale topology, we can reduce to checking this for the constant sheaf. Since the cone is supported on the closed substack defined by i^b , it suffices to show that the map

$$\Lambda \rightarrow i^{b*} R^0 j_*^b(\Lambda)$$

is an isomorphism for all $b \in B(G)$.

To do this, we recall the ℓ -cohomologically smooth chart $\pi_b: \mathcal{M}_b \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G^{\leq b}$ considered in [FS21, Section V.3]. This has a natural map $\mathfrak{q}_b^+: \mathcal{M}_b \rightarrow [*/G_b(F)]$, as in Proposition 3.15. The map \mathfrak{q}_b^+ admits a closed section given by the split reduction. We write \mathcal{M}_b° for the open subspace obtained by removing the image of this section and $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_b^+: \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_b \rightarrow *$ for the base-change of \mathfrak{q}_b^+ along $* \rightarrow [*/G_b(F)]$, with its corresponding open subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_b^\circ$. We write $(\tilde{i}^b, \tilde{j}^b)$ for the base-change of (i^b, j^b) along π_b .

By applying smooth base-change with respect to π_b , the $G_b(F)$ -representation $i^{b*} R^0 j_*^b(\Lambda)$ can be identified with

$$\tilde{i}^{b*} R^0 \tilde{j}_*^b(\Lambda),$$

together with its $G_b(F)$ action.

Applying [FS21, Proposition V.4.2], we can identify this with the complex

$$R\Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_b, R^0 \tilde{j}_*^b(\Lambda)) \cong R^0 \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_b^\circ, \Lambda),$$

where we note that \mathcal{M}_b° is precisely the preimage of the open substack $\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\leq b} \subset \mathrm{Bun}_G^{\leq b}$ under π_b . Therefore, we are reduced to the following.

Lemma 3.17. *For b non-basic, we have that*

$$R^i \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_b^\circ, \Lambda) \cong 0$$

unless $i \in \{0, 2\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle - 1\}$. If $i = 0$ then it is isomorphic to the trivial representation, and if $i = 2\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle - 1$ then it is isomorphic to δ_b^{-1} , as in Definition 3.14

Proof. By Proposition 3.15, it follows that we have an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_b^{+!}(\Lambda) \cong \delta_b[2\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle],$$

using that the dualizing complex on $[*/G_b(F)]$ is the constant sheaf by [HKW22, Example 4.4.3] and the unimodularity of G_b .

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_b$ is an iterated fibration of negative Banach–Colmez spaces, we have an isomorphism $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_b^{+!} \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_b^{+!}(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda$ by Lemma 2.1. It follows that the compactly supported cohomology of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_b$ is isomorphic to $\delta_b^{-1}[-2\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle]$.

We can apply excision with respect to the pair $(\tilde{i}^b, \tilde{j}^b)$, which is a $G_b(F)$ -equivariant stratification. This tells us that $R\Gamma_c(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_b^\circ, \Lambda)$ has cohomology as a $G_b(F)$ -representation in degree 1 isomorphic to the trivial representation, and cohomology in degree $2\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle$ given by δ_b^{-1} . Using the description of the dualizing complex on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_b$ provided above and in turn on the open subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_b^\circ$, we obtain that the non-compactly supported cohomology of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_b^\circ$ has cohomology in degree 0 isomorphic to the trivial representation, and cohomology in degree $\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle - 1$ with $G_b(F)$ -action given by δ_b^{-1} , as desired. \square

\square

From this, we can deduce the following.

Proposition 3.18. *We have an isomorphism*

$$K_{\mathrm{Bun}_G} \cong \Lambda$$

of objects in $D(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$.

Proof. We know that Bun_G is ℓ -cohomologically smooth of pure ℓ -dimension 0, by [FS21, Theorem IV.1.19]. It follows that K_{Bun_G} is étale locally isomorphic to the constant sheaf. Therefore, we have that $K_{\mathrm{Bun}_G} \in \mathrm{Loc}(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$ and, by the previous proposition, we have an isomorphism:

$$K_{\mathrm{Bun}_G} \cong R^0 j_{G*} j_G^* (K_{\mathrm{Bun}_G}) \cong R^0 j_{G*} (K_{\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}}}).$$

Note that it is easy to describe the dualizing complex on $\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}} \cong \bigsqcup_{b \in B(G)_{\mathrm{basic}}} [* / G_b(F)]$, since it is a disjoint union of classifying stacks and the groups G_b are unimodular. In particular, by [HKW22, Example 4.4.3], we have an identification

$$K_{\mathrm{Bun}_G^{\mathrm{ss}}} \cong \Lambda,$$

after fixing a choice of Haar measure on the $G_b(F)$ for $b \in B(G)_{\mathrm{basic}}$. The claim immediately follows. \square

We now have the following.

Theorem 3.19. *We have an isomorphism*

$$K_{\mathrm{Bun}_P} \cong \mathfrak{q}_P^{\dagger*}(\overline{\Delta}_P)[2 \dim(\mathrm{Bun}_P)]$$

of objects in $D(\mathrm{Bun}_P)$.

Proof. By the previous Lemma and its proof, we have isomorphisms:

$$K_{\mathrm{Bun}_L} \cong \Lambda \cong R^0(j_{L*})(\Lambda).$$

We apply $\mathfrak{q}_P^!$ to both sides of this relationship, giving us an isomorphism:

$$K_{\mathrm{Bun}_P} \cong \mathfrak{q}_P^! R^0(j_{L*})(\Lambda).$$

It suffices to restrict to a connected component indexed by $\theta \in B(L)_{\mathrm{basic}}$. The map $\mathfrak{q}_P^{(\theta)}$ is pure of ℓ -dimension equal to $d_{P,\theta}$ by Proposition 3.5. This implies that we have an identification

$$K_{\mathrm{Bun}_P^{(\theta)}} \cong R^{-2d_{P,\theta}}(\mathfrak{q}_P^{(\theta)!}) R^0 i_*^\theta(\Lambda)[2d_{P,\theta}] \cong R^0(i_{P*}^\theta) R^{-2d_{P,\theta}}(\mathfrak{q}_P^{\theta!})(\Lambda)[2d_{P,\theta}],$$

where we have used proper base change for the last identification, and $i_P^\theta: \mathrm{Bun}_P^\theta \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_P$ denotes the base-change of $i^\theta: \mathrm{Bun}_L^\theta \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_L$ along \mathfrak{q}_P .

Using Corollary 3.11 and the fact that the dualizing complex on $[* / \underline{L}_\theta(F)]$ is the constant sheaf since it is unimodular, we can rewrite the RHS of the previous identification as

$$R^0(i_{P*}^\theta) \mathfrak{q}_P^{\theta*}(\delta_{P,\theta})[2d_{P,\theta}].$$

However, now by applying smooth base-change with respect to $\mathfrak{q}_P^{(\theta)}$ via Proposition 3.5, we can rewrite this as

$$\mathfrak{q}_P^{(\theta)*}(R^0(i_*^\theta)(\delta_{P,\theta}))[2d_{P,\theta}],$$

but, using Proposition 3.16³, this is easily identified with

$$(\mathfrak{q}_P^{(\theta)*} \circ \overline{\mathfrak{q}}_L^{(\theta)*})(\overline{\delta}_P)[2d_{P,\theta}] \cong \mathfrak{q}_P^{\dagger,(\theta)*}(\overline{\delta}_P)[2d_{P,\theta}],$$

as desired, where $\overline{\theta} \in \mathbb{X}_*(L_F^{\text{ab}})_{\Gamma_F}$ is the element induced by $\theta \in B(L)_{\text{basic}}$, and $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_L^{(\theta)}: \text{Bun}_L^{(\theta)} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{L^{\text{ab}}}^{\overline{\theta}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{q}_P^{\dagger,(\theta)}: \text{Bun}_P^{(\theta)} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{L^{\text{ab}}}^{\overline{\theta}}$) is the natural map induced by $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_L$ (resp. \mathfrak{q}_P^\dagger). \square

4 Applications

4.1 Connection with other results

We now discuss the relation of this paper with various other results in the literature.

For $b \in B(G)$, the natural map

$$p_b: \text{Bun}_G^b \cong [*/\widetilde{G}_b] \rightarrow [*/\underline{G}_b(F)]$$

considered in [FS21, Proposition V.2.2] defines via pullback an equivalence

$$D(\text{Bun}_G^b) \cong D(G_b(F), \Lambda),$$

where $D(G_b(F), \Lambda)$ is the unbounded derived category of smooth Λ -representations. This identifies with the map \mathfrak{q}_b^- considered in Proposition 3.15.

Proposition 4.1. *For all $b \in B(G)$, we have an isomorphism*

$$p_b^!(\Lambda) \cong p_b^*(\delta_b^{-1})[-2d_b]$$

of objects in $D(\text{Bun}_G^b)$, where we recall that $d_b := \langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle$ and δ_b is as in Proposition 3.15.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.15 together with the unimodularity of $G_b(F)$ and [HKW22, Example 4.4.3]. \square

The action of $G_b(F)$ on the dualizing complex of Bun_G^b describes the inverse of the character appearing in [HL23, Theorem 1.15] and [Kos21, Theorem 7.1, Lemma 7.4], by [Kos21, Lemma 9.1]. Therefore, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *The sheaf $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell(d_b)$ with $G_b(F)$ -equivariant structure appearing in [Kos21, Theorem 7.1, Lemma 7.4] and [HL23, Theorem 1.15] is isomorphic to δ_b as a sheaf with $G_b(F)$ -action.*

Now we will briefly change the assumption on Λ , and let Λ be a \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -algebra until Proposition 4.5. We use the notations $D_\blacksquare(-, \Lambda)$ and Rf_{\natural} in [FS21, VII]. We have the following analogue of Lemma 2.1.

³Note that we can trivialize the sheaf $\delta_{P,\theta}$ on Bun_M^θ on an étale cover of the connect component $\text{Bun}_{L^{\text{ab}}}^{(\theta)}$ by pulling back an étale cover of $\text{Bun}_{L^{\text{ab}}}^{\overline{\theta}} = [*/\underline{L}^{\text{ab}}(F)]$ which trivializes the character $\overline{\delta}_{P,\theta}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f: S' \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of small v-stacks. We assume one of the following:*

(1) *$S \in \text{Perf}_k$ and \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on X_S that is everywhere of positive (resp. negative) slopes.*

(2) *\mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on X that is of positive (resp. negative) slopes.*

If f is a torsor under $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{E})$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{E})$), then f is ℓ -cohomologically smooth,

$$f^*: D_{\blacksquare}(S, \Lambda) \rightarrow D_{\blacksquare}(S', \Lambda)$$

*is fully faithful and the adjunction morphism $Rf_{\natural}Rf^*A \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism for $A \in D_{\blacksquare}(S, \Lambda)$.*

Proof. This is proven in the same way as [FS21, Proposition V.2.1], using [FS21, Proposition VII.3.1] and [Ima19, Lemma 1.3]. \square

For an Artin v-stack X , let $D_{\text{lis}}(X, \Lambda)$ be the category of lisse-étale Λ -sheaves defined in [FS21, Definition VII.6.1]. When we are working with $D_{\text{lis}}(-, \Lambda)$, we consider pushforward functors in $D_{\text{lis}}(-, \Lambda)$.

For an ℓ -cohomologically smooth morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of Artin v-stacks, we put

$$f^!\Lambda = \left(\varprojlim_n f^!(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \Lambda$$

and

$$f_!(A) = f_{\natural}(A \otimes (f^!\Lambda)^{-1})$$

for $A \in D_{\text{lis}}(X, \Lambda)$ (cf. [FS21, Definition VII.6.1]).

Proposition 4.4. *Consider $f_b: \widetilde{G}_b^{>0} \rightarrow *$ with its right $G_b(F)$ -action given by right conjugation. Then $f_{b!}\Lambda \cong \Lambda(\delta_b^{-1})[-2d_b]$ as a complex of sheaves with left $G_b(F)$ -action.*

Proof. Let

$$[*/\underline{G_b(F)}] \xrightarrow{s_b} [*/\widetilde{G_b}] \xrightarrow{p_b} [*/\underline{G_b(F)}]$$

be the natural morphisms. By Proposition 4.1, we have an isomorphism:

$$s_b^!(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})(\delta_b)[2d_b]. \quad (4.1)$$

Hence we have $f_b^!(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})[2d_b]$, since the fiber of s_b at $*$ gives f_b . By this, Lemma 4.3 and [FS21, Proposition VII.3.1], we have $f_{b!}\Lambda \cong \Lambda[-2d_b]$ as a complex of sheaves. Therefore the claim is reduced to the case where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$ by [FS21, Proposition VII.3.1]. In this case, (4.1) and Lemma 2.1 imply that $s_{b!}\Lambda \cong p_b^*(\delta_b^{-1})[-2d_b]$. Hence we obtain the claim. \square

Let $\theta \in L(\check{F})$, and $b \in G(\check{F})$ the image of θ . Assume that the conjugate action of ν_{θ} on $\text{Lie}(U)$ is non-positive. Under this assumption, we have $\widetilde{G}_b(S) = \mathcal{Q}_{\theta, S}(X_S^{\text{alg}})$ for $S \in \text{AffPerf}_k$. We put

$$\widetilde{G}_{b, U}^{>0}(S) = (R_u \mathcal{Q}_{\theta, S})(X_S^{\text{alg}})$$

for $S \in \text{AffPerf}_k$. Then we have $\widetilde{G}_b = \widetilde{G}_{b, U}^{>0} \rtimes \widetilde{L}_{\theta}$. We can view $\underline{G_b(F)}$ as a subgroup diamond of \widetilde{L}_{θ} via $\underline{G_b(F)} \cong \underline{L_{\theta}(F)} \subset \widetilde{L}_{\theta}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Consider $f_{b,U}: \tilde{G}_{b,U}^{>0} \rightarrow *$ with its right $G_b(F)$ -action given by right conjugation. Then $f_{b,U}!\Lambda \cong \Lambda(\delta_{P,\theta})[2d_{P,\theta}]$ as a sheaf with left $G_b(F)$ -action.*

Proof. This is proved in the same way as Proposition 4.4 using the natural morphisms

$$[*/\tilde{L}_\theta] \longrightarrow [*/\tilde{G}_b] \longrightarrow [*/\tilde{L}_\theta],$$

Corollary 3.8 and Proposition 3.9. \square

Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5 are compatible with [Ham22, Proposition 11.3], as well as compatible with [GI16, Lemma 4.18]⁴.

Corollary 4.6. *The following is true.*

- (1) *The character κ appearing in [Ham22, Lemma 11.1] is isomorphic to δ_b^{-1} .*
- (2) *The character κ appearing in [GI16, Lemma 4.18 (2), Theorem 4.26] is equal to $\delta_{P,\theta}$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5 respectively. \square

Proposition 4.1 also appeared in [Han23, Proposition 1.14], where an argument was mentioned that used properties of the Fargues–Scholze local Langlands correspondence. We now fill in the details and show that those properties are compatible with what we have proved.

Another proof of Proposition 4.1. Let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ with a fixed choice of square root of q . In general, there does not exist a $!$ -pushforward in the category of lisse-étale E -sheaves. However, if $i^b: \text{Bun}_G^b \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_G$ is an inclusion of HN-strata, this follows from the discussion after [Ima19, Lemma 3.1].

As in the proof of [FS21, Proposition V.2.2], we recall that the map p_b admits a section s_b , which is an iterated fibration in positive Banach–Colmez spaces of total dimension d_b . Therefore, we have that $s_b!s_b^!(\Lambda) = \Lambda$ by Lemma 2.1. It follows that we have an isomorphism

$$p_b^!(\Lambda) \cong p_b^*(\kappa_\Lambda)[-2d_b]$$

for some character $\kappa_\Lambda: G_b(F) \rightarrow \Lambda^*$ and Λ a torsion ring. It follows that $p_b^!(E) \in D_{\text{lis}}(\text{Bun}_G^b, E)$ is given by $p_b^*(\kappa_E)[-2d_b]$ for a smooth character $\kappa_E: G_b(F) \rightarrow E^*$ (By the definition of upper $!$ given above.).

We will now compute κ_E . Let's consider some smooth irreducible representation ρ of $G_b(F)$ on E -vector spaces with Fargues–Scholze parameter $\phi: W_F \rightarrow {}^L G_b(E)$ valued in the L -group of G_b . By the definition of G_b , we have an embedding $(G_b)_{\tilde{F}} \hookrightarrow G_{\tilde{F}}$, where $(G_b)_{\tilde{F}}$ is the centralizer of ν_b . This gives $(G_b)_{\widehat{F}} \hookrightarrow G_{\widehat{F}}$. We take a Borel pair (B, T) of $G_{\widehat{F}}$ such that ν_b factors through T and ν_b is anti-dominant with respect to B . Then $(B \cap (G_b)_{\widehat{F}}, T)$ is a Borel pair of $(G_b)_{\widehat{F}}$. This induces an embedding $\eta_b: \widehat{G}_b \hookrightarrow \widehat{G}$, which is independent of the choice of (B, T) . This gives the embedding $\eta_b: {}^L G_b \rightarrow {}^L G$.

Let $i^b: \text{Bun}_G^b \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_G$ be the inclusion of the HN-strata. We now use [FS21, Theorem IX.7.2]. This tells us that the Fargues–Scholze parameter of $i^b p_b^*(\rho)$ is equal to the composition

$$\tilde{\phi}: W_F \xrightarrow{\phi} {}^L G_b(E) \xrightarrow{\eta_b^{\text{tw}}} {}^L G(E),$$

⁴There is currently a sign error in the arXiv version of [GI16], but the corrected version is on the website of the first author.

where η_b^{tw} is the twisted embedding. In particular, this is defined by the map

$$Z^1(W_F, \widehat{G}_b) \rightarrow Z^1(W_F, \widehat{G})$$

sending a 1-cocycle ϕ to the 1-cocycle

$$w \mapsto \eta_b \circ ((2\widehat{\rho}_G - 2\widehat{\rho}_{G_b})(\sqrt{q})^{|w|}\phi(w)),$$

where $|\cdot|: W_F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the unramified character sending geometric Frobenius to 1. In particular, if $\bar{\delta}_b$ is the character of W_F attached to δ_b under local class field theory. We note that we have $\eta_b^{\text{tw}}(-) = \eta_b(-) \otimes \bar{\delta}_b^{1/2}$.

It follows by the cohomological smoothness of p_b that we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{D}_{\text{Bun}_G}(i_!^b p_b^*(\rho)) \cong i_*^b p_b^!(\rho^*) \cong i_*^b p_b^*(\rho^* \otimes \kappa_E)$, where $\mathbb{D}_{\text{Bun}_G}$ denotes Verdier duality on Bun_G and we have used [Ima19, Lemma 3.6] for the first isomorphism. By the compatibility of the Fargues–Scholze correspondence with smooth duality [FS21, Proposition IX.5.3], character twists, and local class field theory, as well as another application of [FS21, Theorem IX.7.2], the Fargues–Scholze parameter of $i_*^b p_b^*(\rho^* \otimes \kappa_E)$ is given by $\eta_b^{\text{tw}} \circ (\phi^{\vee_{G_b}} \otimes \bar{\kappa}_E)$, where $\bar{\kappa}_E$ is the image of κ_E under the geometric normalization of local class field theory, and $(-)^{\vee_{G_b}}$ is given by the Chevalley involution on \widehat{G}_b . On the other hand, by compatibility of the Fargues–Scholze correspondence with Verdier duality (Combine [FS21, Theorem IX.2.2] with Proposition 3.18 after extending E as necessary so that we may use [FS21, Proposition VI.12.1]), it must also be given by $(\eta_b^{\text{tw}} \circ \phi)^{\vee_G}$, where \vee_G is induced by the Chevalley involution on \widehat{G} . In summary, we have an equality

$$(\eta_b^{\text{tw}} \circ \phi)^{\vee_G} = \eta_b^{\text{tw}} \circ (\phi^{\vee_{G_b}} \otimes \bar{\kappa}_E)$$

of conjugacy classes of parameters. In particular, substituting in the relationship $\eta_b^{\text{tw}}(-) = \eta_b(-) \otimes \bar{\delta}_b^{1/2}$, we obtain that

$$((\eta_b \circ \phi) \otimes \bar{\delta}_b^{1/2})^{\vee_G} = (\eta_b \circ \phi^{\vee_{G_b}}) \otimes \bar{\kappa}_E \otimes \bar{\delta}_b^{1/2}$$

and the LHS identifies with $(\eta_b \circ \phi^{\vee_{G_b}}) \otimes \bar{\delta}_b^{-1/2}$. From here, we conclude that $\kappa_E = \delta_b^{-1}$, as desired. Now, to handle the case of a torsion coefficient system Λ , we can use that κ_E is the base-change of an $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p$ -valued character $\kappa_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p}$, and that $\kappa_\Lambda = \kappa_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p} \Lambda$, by definition of $p_b^!(E)$. \square

4.2 Harris–Viehmann conjecture

We show the Harris–Viehmann conjecture in the Hodge–Newton reducible case using our results and results in [GI16]. We assume that Λ is a \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -algebra in this subsection.

For a cocharacter μ of G , let E_μ denote the reflex field of μ . We take a Borel pair (B, T) of the quasi-split inner form of G . Let B^- be the opposite Borel. Let $\hat{\mu} \in \mathbb{X}^*(\widehat{T})^{+, W_{E_\mu}}$ be the character determined by μ . For a finite separable extension E of F in \overline{F} , we put $E'_\mu = E \cdot E_\mu$ and $\rho_{\mu, G, E} = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{B}^- \rtimes W_{E'_\mu}}^{\widehat{G} \rtimes W_E} \hat{\mu}$, where we view $\hat{\mu}$ as a character of $\widehat{B}^- \rtimes W_{E'_\mu}$ by extending it trivially on $W_{E'_\mu}$. Let $r_{\mu, G, E}$ be the image of the natural morphism $(\rho_{\mu, G, E}^*)^\sigma \rightarrow \rho_{\mu, G, E}$, where σ is the Chevalley involution of \widehat{G} . We assume that $r_{\mu, G, E}$ is projective over Λ . This assumption is automatically satisfied if $\Lambda = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ or μ is minuscule.

Let Div_E^1 be the moduli of Cartier divisor of degree 1 on the Fargues–Fontaine curve for E . We put

$$\text{Hck}_{G,E} = \text{Hck}_G \times_{\text{Div}_F^1} \text{Div}_E^1.$$

We write $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,G,E}$ for the sheaf on $\text{Hck}_{G,E}$ determined from $r_{\mu,G,E}$ via the geometric Satake equivalence. We put $\mathcal{S}'_{\mu,G,E} = \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{S}_{\mu,G,E})^\vee$ as in [FS21, IX.2]. We consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Bun}_G & \xleftarrow{h_{1,G,E}} & \text{Hck}_{G,E} & \xrightarrow{h_{2,G,E}} & \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Div}_E^1 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{E/F} \\ \text{Bun}_G & \xleftarrow{h_{1,G}} & \text{Hck}_G & \xrightarrow{h_{2,G}} & \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Div}_F^1. \end{array}$$

We put $T_{\mu,G,E}(A) = h_{2,G,E\sharp}(h_{1,G,E}^*(A) \otimes \mathcal{S}'_{\mu,G,E})$. We simply write $T_{\mu,G}$ for $T_{\mu,G,F}$, and omit G from the notation if it is clear from the context. This applies also for other related notations.

Lemma 4.7. *Let T be a torus over F , μ a cocharacter of T , E a finite separable extension of F , and χ a character of $T(F)$. We have an isomorphism: $T_{\mu,T,E}(\chi) \cong \chi \otimes (r_{\mu,T,E} \circ \varphi_\chi|_{W_E})$.*

Proof. Under the natural morphism $\text{Hck}_T \times_{\text{Div}_F^1} \times \text{Div}_E^1 \rightarrow \text{Hck}_T \times_{\text{Div}_F^1} \times \text{Div}_{E \cap E_\mu}^1$, the pullback of $\mathcal{S}'_{\mu,T,E \cap E_\mu}$ is $\mathcal{S}'_{\mu,T,E}$. Hence the claim is reduced to the case of $E \cap E_\mu$. So we may assume that $E \subset E_\mu$.

We consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Bun}_{T_E} & \xleftarrow{h_{1,T_E}} & \text{Hck}_{T_E}^\mu & \xrightarrow{h_{2,T_E}} & \text{Bun}_{T_E} \times \text{Div}_E^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{H,T_E/T} & & \downarrow p_{T_E/T} \\ \text{Bun}_T & \xleftarrow{h_{1,T,E}} & \text{Hck}_{T,E}^\mu & \xrightarrow{h_{2,T,E}} & \text{Bun}_T \times \text{Div}_E^1 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow p_{H,E/F} & & \downarrow p_{E/F} \\ \text{Bun}_T & \xleftarrow{h_{1,T}} & \text{Hck}_T^\mu & \xrightarrow{h_{2,T}} & \text{Bun}_T \times \text{Div}_F^1, \end{array}$$

where the right two squares are Cartesian. By $p_{H,T_E/T}^*(\mathcal{S}'_{\mu,T,E}) \cong \mathcal{S}'_{\mu,T_E}$, we have

$$p_{T_E/T}^*(T_{\mu,T,E}(\chi)) \cong T_{\mu,T_E}(\chi \circ N_{T(E)/T(F)}) \cong (\chi \circ N_{T(E)/T(F)}) \otimes (r_{\mu,T_E} \circ \varphi_\chi|_{W_E}).$$

Hence, we have $T_{\mu,T,E}(\chi) \cong \chi' \otimes (r_{\mu,T,E} \circ \varphi_\chi|_{W_E})$ for some character χ' of $T(F)$. Since $E \subset E_\mu$, we have $r_{\mu,T} = \text{Ind}_{\hat{T} \rtimes W_E}^{\hat{T} \rtimes W_F} r_{\mu,T,E}$. This implies $\mathcal{S}'_{\mu,T} \cong p_{H,E/F\sharp}(\mathcal{S}'_{\mu,T,E})$. Hence we have $p_{E/F\sharp}(T_{\mu,T,E}(\chi)) \cong T_{\mu,T}(\chi) \cong \chi \otimes (r_{\mu,T} \circ \varphi_\chi)$. Therefore, we obtain $\chi' = \chi$. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of Artin v -stacks, $A \in D_{\text{lis}}(X, \Lambda)$ and $B \in D_{\text{lis}}(Y, \Lambda)$. Assume that B is ℓ -cohomologically smooth locally constant. Then we have $(f_*A) \otimes B \cong f_*(A \otimes f^*B)$.*

Proof. Since we have a natural morphism $(f_*A) \otimes B \rightarrow f_*(A \otimes f^*B)$, it suffices to show that this is an isomorphism ℓ -cohomologically smooth locally. We can check this by the assumption on B and [Ima19, Lemma 1.6]. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let $b \in B(G)$ and $A, B \in D_{\text{lis}}(\text{Bun}_G, \Lambda)$. Assume that B is ℓ -cohomologically smooth locally constant. Then we have $i^{b!}(A \otimes B) \cong i^{b!}A \otimes i^{b*}B$.*

Proof. We note that $i^{b!} \cong i^{b*}(\text{fib}(\text{id} \rightarrow Rj_*j^{b*}))$ by [Ima19, Lemma 3.8]. Hence the claim follows from isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} i^{b*}Rj_*j^{b*}(A \otimes B) &\cong i^{b*}Rj_*^b(j^{b*}A \otimes j^{b*}B) \\ &\cong i^{b*}((Rj_*^b j^{b*}A) \otimes B) \cong (i^{b*}Rj_*^b j^{b*}A) \otimes i^{b*}B, \end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 4.8 at the second isomorphism. \square

Let $b, b' \in B(G)$ and $\mu \in X_*(G)$. We define the cohomology of moduli spaces of shtukas $R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu)$ and $R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{G,b,b'}^\mu)$, as in [Ima19, §3].

For a character χ of $G^{\text{ab}}(F)$, we write χ_b for the character of $G_b(F)$ determined by χ and $G_b(F) \rightarrow G_{b^{\text{ab}}}(F) = G^{\text{ab}}(F)$.

Proposition 4.10. *Let χ be a character of $G^{\text{ab}}(F)$. Let χ_μ be the character of W_{E_μ} determined by the L -parameter of χ and μ . We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R\text{Hom}_{G_b(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu), \rho \otimes \chi_b) \\ \cong \varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R\text{Hom}_{G_b(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu), \rho) \otimes \chi_{b'} \otimes \chi_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We put $G_0 = G \times G^{\text{ab}}$. Then the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow G_0$ and the projection $G_0 \rightarrow G$ gives the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Bun}_G & \xleftarrow{h_1} & \text{Hck}_G^{\leq \mu} & \xrightarrow{h_2} & \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Div}_F^1 \\ \downarrow \pi_B & & \downarrow \pi_H & & \downarrow \pi \\ \text{Bun}_{G_0} & \xleftarrow{h_{0,1}} & \text{Hck}_{G_0}^{\leq \mu_0} & \xrightarrow{h_{0,2}} & \text{Bun}_{G_0} \times \text{Div}_F^1 \\ & & \downarrow \pi_{0,H} & & \downarrow \pi_0 \\ & & \text{Hck}_G^{\leq \mu} & \xrightarrow{h_2} & \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Div}_F^1. \end{array} \quad (4.2)$$

The lower right square in (4.2) is Cartesian because the natural map

$$\text{Hck}_{G^{\text{ab}}}^{\leq \mu^{\text{ab}}} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G^{\text{ab}}} \times \text{Div}_F^1$$

is an isomorphism. Hence the upper right square is also Cartesian since $\pi_0 \circ \pi$ and $\pi_{0,H} \circ \pi_H$ are the identity.

Let μ_0 and μ^{ab} be the cocharacters of G_0 and G^{ab} determined by μ . Then we have

$$T_\mu(\pi_B^* A_0) \cong h_{2\sharp}((\pi_H^* h_{0,1}^* A_0) \otimes \mathcal{S}'_\mu) \cong h_{2\sharp}(\pi_H^*(h_{0,1}^* A_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}'_{\mu_0})) \cong \pi^* T_{\mu_0}(A_0) \quad (4.3)$$

using [FS21, Proposition VII.3.1]. We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Bun}_{G_0} & \xleftarrow{h_{0,1,E_\mu}} & \text{Hck}_{G_0,E_\mu} & \xrightarrow{h_{0,2,E_\mu}} & \text{Bun}_{G_0} \times \text{Div}_{E_\mu}^1 \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta_D \\ \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Bun}_{G^{\text{ab}}} & \xleftarrow{h_1 \times h_{1,E_\mu}^{\text{ab}}} & \text{Hck}_G \times \text{Hck}_{G^{\text{ab}},E_\mu} & \xrightarrow{h_2 \times h_{2,E_\mu}^{\text{ab}}} & \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Div}_F^1 \times \text{Bun}_{G^{\text{ab}}} \times \text{Div}_{E_\mu}^1, \end{array} \quad (4.4)$$

where Δ_D is induced by the diagonal morphism $\text{Div}_{E_\mu}^1 \rightarrow \text{Div}_{E_\mu}^1 \times \text{Div}_{E_\mu}^1$ together with the projection $\text{Div}_{E_\mu}^1 \rightarrow \text{Div}_F^1$ and the other morphisms are natural ones. The right square in (4.4) is Cartesian. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hck}_{G_0} & \xleftarrow{p_{H,E_\mu/E}} & \text{Hck}_{G_0,E_\mu} & \xrightarrow{p_{H,G^{ab}}} & \text{Hck}_{G^{ab},E_\mu} \\ & & \downarrow p_{H,G,E_\mu/E} & & \\ & & \text{Hck}_G & & \end{array}$$

be the natural projections. Then we have

$$p_{H,E_\mu/E}^*(\mathcal{S}'_{\mu_0,G_0}) \cong p_{H,G,E_\mu/E}^*(\mathcal{S}'_{\mu,G}) \otimes p_{H,G^{ab}}^*(\mathcal{S}'_{\mu^{ab},G^{ab},E_\mu})$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\mu_0,G_0}|_{\widehat{G}_0 \rtimes W_{E_\mu}} &\cong (\text{Ind}_{\widehat{G}_0 \rtimes W_{E_\mu}}^{\widehat{G}_0 \rtimes W_F} r_{\mu_0,G_0,E_\mu})|_{\widehat{G}_0 \rtimes W_{E_\mu}} \\ &\cong (\text{Ind}_{\widehat{G}_0 \rtimes W_{E_\mu}}^{\widehat{G}_0 \rtimes W_F} (r_{\mu,G,E_\mu} \otimes r_{\mu^{ab},G^{ab},E_\mu}))|_{\widehat{G}_0 \rtimes W_{E_\mu}} \\ &\cong (\text{Ind}_{\widehat{G}_0 \rtimes W_{E_\mu}}^{\widehat{G}_0 \rtimes W_F} r_{\mu,G,E_\mu})|_{\widehat{G}_0 \rtimes W_{E_\mu}} \otimes r_{\mu^{ab},G^{ab},E_\mu} \\ &\cong r_{\mu,G}|_{\widehat{G}_0 \rtimes W_{E_\mu}} \otimes r_{\mu^{ab},G^{ab},E_\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$p_{E_\mu/F}^* T_{\mu_0}(A \times A') \cong \Delta_D^*(T_\mu(A) \times T_{\mu^{ab},E_\mu}(A')) \quad (4.5)$$

using [FS21, Proposition VII.3.1]. By Lemma 4.7, we have

$$i^{b'ab,*} T_{\mu^{ab},E_\mu} i_*^{b^{ab}} [\chi_b] \cong \chi_{b'} \otimes \chi_\mu. \quad (4.6)$$

Let b_0 and b'_0 be the image of b and b' in G_0 . We show that

$$i_*^b [\rho \otimes \chi_b] \cong \pi_B^* i_*^{b_0} [\rho \times \chi]. \quad (4.7)$$

We take a compact open subgroup K of $G^{ab}(F)$ such that χ is trivial on K . We put

$$\text{Bun}_G^{b^{ab}} := (\text{Bun}_G \times \text{Bun}_{G^{ab}}^{b^{ab}}) \times_{\text{Bun}_{G_0}} \text{Bun}_G$$

and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bun}_G^b & \xrightarrow{i^{b,b^{ab}}} & \text{Bun}_G^{b^{ab}} \\ \downarrow \pi_B^b & & \downarrow \pi_B^{b^{ab}} \\ \text{Bun}_G^b \times \text{Bun}_{G^{ab}}^{b^{ab}} & \xrightarrow{i^{b_0,b^{ab}}} & \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Bun}_{G^{ab}}^{b^{ab}} \\ \downarrow \pi_K^b & & \downarrow \pi_K^{b^{ab}} \\ \text{Bun}_G^b \times [*/(\underline{G^{ab}(F)/K})] & \xrightarrow{i_K^{b_0}} & \text{Bun}_G \times [*/(\underline{G^{ab}(F)/K})] \end{array} \quad (4.8)$$

given by the natural morphisms. Both squares in (4.8) are Cartesian. In the Cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
* \times_{[* / (\underline{G^{\text{ab}}(F)/K})]} \text{Bun}_G^{b^{\text{ab}}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Bun}_G^{b^{\text{ab}}} \\
\downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi_K^{b^{\text{ab}}} \circ \pi_B^{b^{\text{ab}}} \\
\text{Bun}_G & \longrightarrow & \text{Bun}_G \times [* / (\underline{G^{\text{ab}}(F)/K})] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
* & \longrightarrow & [* / (\underline{G^{\text{ab}}(F)/K})],
\end{array}$$

the morphism π' is equal to

$$* \times_{[* / (\underline{G^{\text{ab}}(F)/K})]} \text{Bun}_G^{b^{\text{ab}}} \longrightarrow \text{Bun}_G^{b^{\text{ab}}} \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_G,$$

where the second morphism is the natural open and closed immersion. Hence $\pi_K^{b^{\text{ab}}} \circ \pi_B^{b^{\text{ab}}}$ is ℓ -cohomologically smooth since $* \rightarrow [* / (\underline{G^{\text{ab}}(F)/K})]$ is ℓ -cohomologically smooth. Since $\text{Bun}_G \times \text{Bun}_{G^{\text{ab}}}^{b^{\text{ab}}} \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_{G_0}$ is an open and closed immersion, to show (4.7), it suffices to show

$$i_*^{b, b^{\text{ab}}} \pi_B^{b^*} [\rho \times \chi] \cong \pi_B^{b^{\text{ab}}} i_*^{b_0, b^{\text{ab}}} [\rho \times \chi].$$

Let $[\chi]_K$ be the sheaf on $[* / (\underline{G^{\text{ab}}(F)/K})]$ determined by χ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i_*^{b, b^{\text{ab}}} \pi_B^{b^*} [\rho \times \chi] &\cong i_*^{b, b^{\text{ab}}} (\pi_K^b \circ \pi_B^b)^* ([\rho] \times [\chi]_K) \\
&\cong (\pi_K^{b^{\text{ab}}} \circ \pi_B^{b^{\text{ab}}})^* i_{K*}^{b_0} ([\rho] \times [\chi]_K) \\
&\cong (\pi_K^{b^{\text{ab}}} \circ \pi_B^{b^{\text{ab}}})^* (i_{K*}^{b_0} ([\rho] \times [1]_K) \otimes ([1] \times [\chi]_K)) \\
&\cong (\pi_K^{b^{\text{ab}}} \circ \pi_B^{b^{\text{ab}}})^* (i_*^b [\rho] \times [\chi]_K) \\
&\cong \pi_B^{b^{\text{ab}}} (i_*^b [\rho] \times [\chi]) \cong \pi_B^{b^{\text{ab}}} i_*^{b_0, b^{\text{ab}}} [\rho \times \chi],
\end{aligned}$$

where we use [Ima19, Lemma 1.6] at the second isomorphism, Lemma 4.8 at the third isomorphism, the ℓ -cohomologically smoothness of $[* / (\underline{G^{\text{ab}}(F)/K})] \rightarrow *$ and [Ima19, Lemma 1.6] at the fourth isomorphism. Thus we have proved (4.7). Let

$$\pi_{E_\mu} : \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Div}_{E_\mu}^1 \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G_0} \times \text{Div}_{E_\mu}^1$$

be the base change of π and

$$\pi_{\text{ab}, E_\mu} : \text{Bun}_G \times \text{Div}_{E_\mu}^1 \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G^{\text{ab}}} \times \text{Div}_{E_\mu}^1$$

the natural morphism. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R \text{Hom}_{G_b(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{G, b, b', K'}^\mu), \rho \otimes \chi_b) &\cong i^{b'!} p_{E_\mu/F}^* T_\mu i_*^b [\rho \otimes \chi_b](\delta_{b'})[2d_{b'}] \\
&\cong i^{b'!} p_{E_\mu/F}^* T_\mu \pi_B^* i_*^{b_0} [\rho \times \chi](\delta_{b'})[2d_{b'}] \\
&\cong i^{b'!} p_{E_\mu/F}^* \pi^* T_{\mu_0} i_*^{b_0} [\rho \times \chi](\delta_{b'})[2d_{b'}] \\
&\cong i^{b'!} \pi_{E_\mu}^* \Delta_D^*(T_\mu i_*^b [\rho] \times T_{\mu^{\text{ab}}, E_\mu} i_*^{b^{\text{ab}}} [\chi])(\delta_{b'})[2d_{b'}] \\
&\cong (i^{b'!} p_{E_\mu/F}^* T_\mu i_*^b [\rho])(\delta_{b'})[2d_{b'}] \otimes i^{b'!} \pi_{\text{ab}, E_\mu}^* T_{\mu^{\text{ab}}, E_\mu} i_*^{b^{\text{ab}}} [\chi] \\
&\cong (i^{b'!} p_{E_\mu/F}^* T_\mu i_*^b [\rho])(\delta_{b'})[2d_{b'}] \otimes \chi_{b'} \otimes \chi_\mu \\
&\cong \varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R \text{Hom}_{G_b(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{G, b, b', K'}^\mu), \rho) \otimes \chi_{b'} \otimes \chi_\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use Proposition 4.4 at the first and last isomorphism, and (4.7), (4.3), (4.5), Lemma 4.9 and (4.6) at the second, third, fourth, fifth and sixth isomorphisms respectively. \square

Assume that F is p -adic, G is quasi-split, $([b], [b'], \mu)$ is Hodge–Newton reducible ([GI16, Definition 4.5]) for L with reductions $[\theta], [\theta'] \in B(L)$ of b and b' to $B(L)$, and b' is basic. We assume that $L \supset L^b$ and $P = LP^{b,-}$. We sometimes view $\delta_{P,\theta}: L_\theta(F) \rightarrow \Lambda^\times$ as a character of $G_b(F)$ via $G_b(F) \cong L_\theta(F)$. Let P' be the parabolic subgroup of $G_{b'}$ corresponding to $P \subset G$. We note that the Levi subgroup of P' corresponding to $L \subset P$ is $L_{\theta'}$. Let $\mathring{\text{Sht}}_{G,b,b'}^\mu$ be the open subspace of $\text{Sht}_{G,b,b'}^\mu$ defined by the condition that modification is equal to μ .

Proposition 4.11. *We have an isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma_c(\mathring{\text{Sht}}_{G,b,b'}^\mu) \cong \text{Ind}_{P'(F)}^{G_{b'}(F)} R\Gamma_c(\mathring{\text{Sht}}_{L,\theta,\theta'}^\mu) \otimes \delta_{P,\theta} \otimes \|\cdot\|^{-\frac{d_{P,\theta}}{2}} [d_{P,\theta}]$$

which is compatible with the actions of $G_b(F) \cong L_\theta(F)$ and W_{E_μ} .

Proof. This follows from [GI16, Corollary 4.14, Proposition 4.24] and Corollary 4.6, as in the proof of [GI16, Theorem 4.26]. In particular, we note that we have isomorphisms

$$\varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R\Gamma_c(\mathring{\text{Sht}}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu, \Lambda[d_\mu](\frac{d_\mu}{2})) \simeq R\Gamma_c(\mathring{\text{Sht}}_{G,b,b'}^\mu),$$

since the restriction of the sheaf $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,G,E}$ to the locally closed substack of $\text{Hck}_{G,\mu,E}$ parametrizing modifications of meromorphy exactly equal to μ identifies with $\Lambda[d_\mu](\frac{d_\mu}{2})$, by definition (cf. [FS21, Proposition VI.7.5]). Here $d_\mu := \langle 2\rho_G, \mu \rangle$, where ρ_G is the half sum of all positive roots. The LHS is in turn isomorphic to

$$\varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R\Gamma_c(\mathring{\text{Sht}}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu, \Lambda)[d_\mu] \otimes \|\cdot\|^{\frac{d_\mu}{2}}.$$

Similarly, we have an identification

$$\varinjlim_{K' \subset L_{\theta'}(F)} R\Gamma_c(\mathring{\text{Sht}}_{L,\theta,\theta',K'}^\mu, \Lambda)[d_{\mu_L}] \otimes \|\cdot\|^{\frac{d_{\mu_L}}{2}} \simeq R\Gamma_c(\mathring{\text{Sht}}_{L,\theta,\theta'}^\mu).$$

Then, using the identity $d_{P,\theta} = d_{\mu_L} - d_\mu$, we deduce the claimed relationship from the analysis in [GI16]. \square

Theorem 4.12. *Assume that μ is minuscule. Let ρ be a smooth representation of $G_b(F)$. We view ρ also as a representation of $L_\theta(F)$ via the canonical isomorphism $G_b \cong L_\theta$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R\text{Hom}_{G_b(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\mathring{\text{Sht}}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu), \rho) \\ & \cong \text{Ind}_{P'(F)}^{G_{b'}(F)} \varinjlim_{K' \subset L_{\theta'}(F)} R\text{Hom}_{L_\theta(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\mathring{\text{Sht}}_{L,\theta,\theta',K'}^\mu), \rho) \otimes \|\cdot\|^{-\frac{d_{P,\theta}}{2}} [-d_{P,\theta}]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} \left[\varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} \text{Ext}_{G_b(F)}^j (H_c^i(\text{Sht}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu, \Lambda), \rho) \right] \\ &= \text{Ind}_{P'(F)}^{G_{b'}(F)} \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} \left[\varinjlim_{K' \subset L_{\theta'}(F)} \text{Ext}_{L_\theta(F)}^j (H_c^i(\text{Sht}_{L,\theta,\theta',K'}^\mu, \Lambda), \rho) \otimes \|\cdot\|^{-d_{P,\theta}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

in the Grothendieck group of finite length admissible representations.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R \text{Hom}_{G_b(F)} (R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu), \rho) \\ & \cong R \text{Hom}_{G_b(F)} \left(\varinjlim_{K' \subset G_{b'}(F)} R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{G,b,b',K'}^\mu), \rho \right)^{\text{sm}} \\ & \cong R \text{Hom}_{G_b(F)} (R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{G,b,b'}^\mu), \rho)^{\text{sm}} \\ & \cong R \text{Hom}_{L_\theta(F)} (\text{Ind}_{P'(F)}^{G_{b'}(F)} R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{L,\theta,\theta'}^\mu) \otimes \delta_{P,\theta} \otimes \|\cdot\|^{-\frac{d_{P,\theta}}{2}} [d_{P,\theta}], \rho)^{\text{sm}} \\ & \cong R \text{Hom}_{L_\theta(F)} (\text{Ind}_{P'(F)}^{G_{b'}(F)} R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{L,\theta,\theta'}^\mu), \rho \otimes \delta_{P,\theta}^{-1})^{\text{sm}} \otimes \|\cdot\|^{\frac{d_{P,\theta}}{2}} [-d_{P,\theta}] \\ & \cong \text{Ind}_{P'(F)}^{G_{b'}(F)} R \text{Hom}_{L_\theta(F)} (R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{L,\theta,\theta'}^\mu), \rho \otimes \delta_{P,\theta}^{-1})^{\text{sm}} \otimes \delta_{P'} \otimes \|\cdot\|^{\frac{d_{P,\theta}}{2}} [-d_{P,\theta}], \end{aligned}$$

where we use Proposition 4.11 at the third isomorphism. Further we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R \text{Hom}_{L_\theta(F)} (R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{L,\theta,\theta'}^\mu), \rho \otimes \delta_{P,\theta}^{-1})^{\text{sm}} \otimes \delta_{P'} \otimes \|\cdot\|^{\frac{d_{P,\theta}}{2}} [-d_{P,\theta}] \\ & \cong R \text{Hom}_{L_\theta(F)} \left(\varinjlim_{K' \subset L_{\theta'}(F)} R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{L,\theta,\theta',K'}^\mu), \rho \otimes \delta_{P,\theta}^{-1} \right)^{\text{sm}} \otimes \delta_{P'} \otimes \|\cdot\|^{\frac{d_{P,\theta}}{2}} [-d_{P,\theta}] \\ & \cong \varinjlim_{K' \subset L_{\theta'}(F)} R \text{Hom}_{L_\theta(F)} (R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{L,\theta,\theta',K'}^\mu), \rho \otimes \delta_{P,\theta}^{-1}) \otimes \delta_{P'} \otimes \|\cdot\|^{\frac{d_{P,\theta}}{2}} [-d_{P,\theta}] \\ & \cong \varinjlim_{K' \subset L_{\theta'}(F)} R \text{Hom}_{L_\theta(F)} (R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{L,\theta,\theta',K'}^\mu), \rho) \otimes (\delta_{P,\theta'}^{-1} \otimes \delta_{P'}) \otimes \|\cdot\|^{\frac{d_{P,\theta}}{2} - \langle \xi_P, \mu^{\text{ab}} \rangle} [-d_{P,\theta}], \end{aligned}$$

where we use Proposition 4.10 at the last isomorphism. We have $\delta_{P,\theta'} = \delta_{P'}$ as representations of $L_{\theta'}(F)$ because $(L_{\theta'})^{\text{ab}}(F) \cong L^{\text{ab}}(F) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_P} \Lambda^\times$ is equal to $\bar{\delta}_{P'}$. We have also $d_{P,\theta} = \langle \xi_P, \bar{\theta} \rangle = \langle \xi_P, \mu^{\text{ab}} \rangle$. Therefore we obtain the claim. \square

4.3 Geometric Eisenstein series

We again consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bun}_P & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_P} & \text{Bun}_G \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_P & & \\ \text{Bun}_L & & \end{array}$$

and attach to it the unnormalized Eisenstein functor

$$\text{Eis}_P^G(-) := \mathfrak{p}_P! \mathfrak{q}_P^*(-) [\dim(\text{Bun}_P)]: D(\text{Bun}_L) \rightarrow D(\text{Bun}_G),$$

where $\dim(\mathrm{Bun}_P): |\mathrm{Bun}_P| \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ records the ℓ -cohomological dimension of the cohomologically smooth v -stack Bun_P over the base. We saw in Proposition 3.5 that this constant on each connected component indexed by $\theta \in B(L)_{\mathrm{basic}}$ with value equal to $d_{P,\theta} = \langle \xi_P, \bar{\theta} \rangle$, where we recall $\bar{\theta} \in B(L^{\mathrm{ab}})_{\mathrm{basic}} \cong \mathbb{X}_*(L_{\overline{F}}^{\mathrm{ab}})_{\Gamma_F}$ is the corresponding element.

We assume now that Λ admits a square root of q , which we now fix. This allows us to make the following definition.

Definition 4.13. *We define the sheaf $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathrm{Bun}_P} := \mathfrak{q}_P^*(\Delta_P^{1/2})[\dim(\mathrm{Bun}_P)] \in D(\mathrm{Bun}_P)$, where $\Delta_P^{1/2} \in D(\mathrm{Bun}_L)$ is the pullback of the sheaf on $\mathrm{Bun}_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}}$ whose value on each connected component is given by $\bar{\delta}_P^{1/2}$*

We note the following corollary of Theorem 3.19.

Corollary 4.14. *The sheaf $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathrm{Bun}_P}$ on Bun_P is Verdier self-dual.*

In particular, this motivates the morally correct definition of the Eisenstein functor.

Definition 4.15. *We define the normalized Eisenstein functor to be*

$$\mathrm{nEis}_P^G: D(\mathrm{Bun}_L) \rightarrow D(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$$

$$A \mapsto \mathfrak{p}_{P!}(\mathfrak{q}_P^*(A) \otimes \mathrm{IC}_{\mathrm{Bun}_P}).$$

Remark 4.16. *We note that we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{nEis}_P(-) \cong \mathrm{Eis}_P(- \otimes \Delta_P^{1/2})$, which is analogous to the relationship between normalized and unnormalized parabolic induction.*

We write $\mathrm{Eis}_P^G(-) = \bigoplus_{\theta \in B(L)_{\mathrm{basic}}} \mathrm{Eis}_P^{G,\theta}(-)$ and $\mathrm{nEis}_P^G(-) = \bigoplus_{\theta \in B(L)_{\mathrm{basic}}} \mathrm{nEis}_P^{G,\theta}(-)$ for the direct sum decompositions induced by Corollary 3.6. These functors are compatible with inclusions of parabolics in the obvious sense.

Lemma 4.17. *Let $P_1 \subset P_2 \subset G$ be an inclusion of parabolic subgroups with Levi factors L_1 and L_2 . We write $P_1 \cap L_2$ for the image of P_1 under the composition $P_1 \subset P_2 \twoheadrightarrow L_2$. We have a natural equivalence*

$$\mathrm{nEis}_{P_2}^G \circ \mathrm{nEis}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{L_2}(-) \cong \mathrm{nEis}_{P_1}^G(-)$$

of objects in $D(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$.

More precisely, given $\theta_1 \in B(L_1)_{\mathrm{basic}} \cong \pi_1(L_1)_{\Gamma_F}$ and $\theta_2 \in B(L_2)_{\mathrm{basic}} \cong \pi_2(L_2)_{\Gamma_F}$, we have that

$$\mathrm{nEis}_{P_2}^{G,\theta_2} \circ \mathrm{nEis}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{L_2,\theta_1}(-) \cong 0$$

unless θ_2 is the image of θ_1 under the natural map $\pi_1(L_1)_{\Gamma_F} \rightarrow \pi_1(L_2)_{\Gamma_F}$. In this case, we have that

$$\mathrm{nEis}_{P_2}^{G,\theta_2} \circ \mathrm{nEis}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{L_2,\theta_1}(-) \cong \mathrm{nEis}_{P_1}^{G,\theta_1}(-).$$

The analogous claim also holds for the unnormalized functors.

Proof. We treat the case of the normalized functors, with the case of the unnormalized functors being strictly easier.

It is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{nEis}_{P_2}^{G, \theta_2} \circ \mathrm{nEis}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{L_2, \theta_1}(-) \cong 0$$

unless θ_2 is the image of θ_1 . In particular, the image of $\mathrm{Bun}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)}$ under $\mathfrak{p}_{P_1 \cap L_2}$ will be supported on the connected component $\mathrm{Bun}_{L_2}^{(\theta_2)}$, where θ_2 is the image of θ_1 . We assume that θ_1 and θ_2 are of this form for the rest of the proof.

The key point can be found in the proof of [CFS21, Lemma 6.4]. We recall this now.

For $S \in \mathrm{AffPerf}_k$ and a G -bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ on X_S^{alg} which we identify with its geometric realization, consider the natural map

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{alg}}/P_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{alg}}/P_2$$

of schemes over X_S^{alg} . This map is a locally trivial fibration with fiber $P_2/P_1 = L_2/(P_1 \cap L_2)$.

If we are given a P_2 -structure $\mathcal{E}_{P_2}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ on $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ then this corresponds to a section $X_S^{\mathrm{alg}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{alg}}/P_2$, and if we pullback along the previous fibration then the pullback is the same as

$$\mathcal{E}_{P_2}^{\mathrm{alg}} \times^{P_2} L_2/(P_1 \cap L_2) \rightarrow X_S^{\mathrm{alg}}.$$

It follows that, for a fixed G -bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{alg}}$ on X_S^{alg} , we have an equivalence between

- (1) P_1 -structures on $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{alg}}$,
- (2) a P_2 -structure on \mathcal{E} together with a $P_1 \cap L_2$ -structure on $\mathcal{E}_{P_2}^{\mathrm{alg}} \times^{P_2} L_2$.

In particular, we obtain a map $\mathfrak{q}: \mathrm{Bun}_{P_1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{P_1 \cap L_2}$. This fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathfrak{p}_{P_1} & & \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
 \mathrm{Bun}_{P_1} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}} & \mathrm{Bun}_{P_2} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_{P_2}} & \mathrm{Bun}_G \\
 \downarrow \mathfrak{q} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{P_2} & & \\
 \mathfrak{q}_{P_1} \mathrm{Bun}_{P_1 \cap L_2} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_{P_1 \cap L_2}} & \mathrm{Bun}_{L_2} & & \\
 \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{P_1 \cap L_2} & & & & \\
 & \searrow & & & \\
 & & \mathrm{Bun}_{L_1} & &
 \end{array}$$

where top left square is Cartesian by the previous equivalence. Restricting to connected components this gives

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathfrak{p}_{P_1}^{(\theta_1)} & & \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
 \mathrm{Bun}_{P_1}^{(\theta_1)} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}} & \mathrm{Bun}_{P_2}^{(\theta_2)} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_{P_2}^{(\theta_2)}} & \mathrm{Bun}_G \\
 \downarrow \mathfrak{q} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{P_2}^{(\theta_2)} & & \\
 \mathfrak{q}_{P_1}^{(\theta_1)} \mathrm{Bun}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)}} & \mathrm{Bun}_{L_2}^{(\theta_2)} & & \\
 \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)} & & & & \\
 & \searrow & & & \\
 & & \mathrm{Bun}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)} & &
 \end{array}$$

It follows that, for all $A \in D(\text{Bun}_{L_1})$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nEis}_{P_1}^{G,\theta}(A) &\cong \mathfrak{p}_{P_1!}^{(\theta_1)} \mathfrak{q}_{P_1}^{(\theta_1)*} (A \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)*} (\bar{\delta}_{P_1}^{1/2})) [\langle \xi_{P_1}, \bar{\theta}_1 \rangle] \\ &\cong \mathfrak{p}_{P_2!}^{(\theta_2)} \mathfrak{p}_{P_1}^{(\theta_1)*} \mathfrak{q}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} (A \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)*} (\bar{\delta}_{P_1}^{1/2})) [\langle \xi_{P_1}, \bar{\theta}_1 \rangle] \\ &\cong \mathfrak{p}_{P_2!}^{(\theta_2)} \mathfrak{q}_{P_2}^{(\theta_2)*} \mathfrak{p}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} \mathfrak{q}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} (A \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)*} (\bar{\delta}_{P_1}^{1/2})) [\langle \xi_{P_1}, \bar{\theta}_1 \rangle], \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism follows from proper base-change and

$$\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)} : \text{Bun}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{L_1^{\text{ab}}}^{\bar{\theta}} \cong [* / \underline{L_1^{\text{ab}}(F)}]$$

is the natural map. We now note that we have an equality

$$\langle \xi_{P_1}, \bar{\theta}_1 \rangle = \langle \xi_{P_2}, \bar{\theta}_2 \rangle + \langle \xi_{P_1 \cap L_2}, \bar{\theta}_1 \rangle.$$

Indeed, this follows from breaking up ξ_{P_1} in terms of the sum of roots that come from P_2 and the sum of roots that come from $P_1 \cap L_2$. Similarly, we have an equality of characters of $L_1^{\text{ab}}(F)$:

$$\bar{\delta}_{P_1} = \bar{\delta}_{P_1 \cap L_2} \otimes \bar{\delta}_{P_2}|_{L_1^{\text{ab}}(F)}.$$

To deal with the modulus character twists, consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bun}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)}} & \text{Bun}_{L_2}^{(\theta_2)} \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)} & & \downarrow \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_2}^{(\theta_2)} \\ \text{Bun}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)} & & \\ \downarrow \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)} & & \downarrow \\ [* / \underline{L_1^{\text{ab}}(F)}] & \xrightarrow{r} & [* / \underline{L_2^{\text{ab}}(F)}]. \end{array}$$

This tells us that $\text{nEis}_{P_1}^{G,\theta}(A)$ is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nEis}_{P_1}^{G,\theta}(A) &\cong \mathfrak{p}_{P_2!}^{(\theta_2)} \mathfrak{q}_{P_2}^{(\theta_2)*} \mathfrak{p}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} \mathfrak{q}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} (A \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)*} (\bar{\delta}_{P_1}^{1/2})) [\langle \xi_{P_1}, \bar{\theta}_1 \rangle], \\ &\cong \mathfrak{p}_{P_2!}^{(\theta_2)} \mathfrak{q}_{P_2}^{(\theta_2)*} \mathfrak{p}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} (\mathfrak{q}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} (A \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)*} (\bar{\delta}_{P_1 \cap L_2} \otimes r^*(\bar{\delta}_{P_2}))) [\langle \xi_{P_1}, \bar{\theta}_1 \rangle] \\ &\cong \mathfrak{p}_{P_2!}^{(\theta_2)} \mathfrak{q}_{P_2}^{(\theta_2)*} \mathfrak{p}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} (\mathfrak{q}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} (A \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)*} (\bar{\delta}_{P_1 \cap L_2})) \otimes \mathfrak{q}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)*} r^*(\bar{\delta}_{P_2}))) [\langle \xi_{P_1}, \bar{\theta}_1 \rangle] \\ &\cong \mathfrak{p}_{P_2!}^{(\theta_2)} \mathfrak{q}_{P_2}^{(\theta_2)*} \mathfrak{p}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} (\mathfrak{q}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} (A \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)*} (\bar{\delta}_{P_1 \cap L_2})) \otimes \mathfrak{p}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_2}^{(\theta_2)*} (\bar{\delta}_{P_2}))) [\langle \xi_{P_1}, \bar{\theta}_1 \rangle] \\ &\cong \mathfrak{p}_{P_2!}^{(\theta_2)} \mathfrak{q}_{P_2}^{(\theta_2)*} (\mathfrak{p}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} (\mathfrak{q}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{(\theta_1)*} (A \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_1}^{(\theta_1)*} (\bar{\delta}_{P_1 \cap L_2})) [\langle \xi_{P_1 \cap L_2}, \bar{\theta}_1 \rangle]) \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{L_2}^{(\theta_2)*} (\bar{\delta}_{P_2})) [\langle \xi_{P_2}, \bar{\theta}_2 \rangle] \\ &\cong \text{nEis}_{P_2}^{G,\theta_2} \circ \text{nEis}_{P_1 \cap L_2}^{L_2,\theta_1}(A), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Here we have used projection formula in the fifth isomorphism. \square

We assume from now on that the group G is quasi-split with a choice of Borel $B \subset G$ unless otherwise stated. We will need the following notation.

- (1) Let \mathcal{J} denote the set of vertices in the (relative) Dynkin diagram. For each $i \in \mathcal{J}$, we write α_i for the corresponding (reduced) simple root. For a Levi subgroup L , we let $\mathcal{J}_L \subset \mathcal{J}$ denote the corresponding subset.

- (2) For a Levi subgroup $L \subset G$, we write $\mathcal{J}_{G,L} := \mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_L$.
- (3) Let W_G denote the relative Weyl group of G .

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.18. *Let $w(L)$ and $w(P)$ denote the conjugates of the Levi and parabolic under some element $w \in W_G$. We write $w: \text{Bun}_L \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Bun}_{w(L)}$ for the map induced by the isomorphism $L \cong w(L)$. Then, for all $A \in D(\text{Bun}_L)$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{nEis}_P^G(A) \cong \mathfrak{nEis}_{w(P)}^G(w_*(A))$$

of objects in $D(\text{Bun}_G)$, and similarly for the unnormalized functors.

Proof. We note that $w_*(\Delta_P) \cong \Delta_{w(P)}$, and, for all $\theta \in B(L)_{\text{basic}}$, we have that $\langle \xi_P, \bar{\theta} \rangle = \langle \xi_{w(P)}, w(\bar{\theta}) \rangle$. Therefore, we reduce to showing that

$$\mathfrak{p}_P! \mathfrak{q}_P^*(A) \cong \mathfrak{p}_{w(P)}! \mathfrak{q}_{w(P)}^*(w_*(A)).$$

We write $w_P: \text{Bun}_P \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Bun}_{w(P)}$ for the map induced by the isomorphism $P \cong w(P)$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathfrak{p}_P & & \\ & \searrow & \text{---} & \swarrow & \\ \text{Bun}_P & \xrightarrow{w_P} & \text{Bun}_{w(P)} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_{w(P)}} & \text{Bun}_G \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_P & & \downarrow \mathfrak{q}_{w(P)} & & \\ \text{Bun}_L & \xrightarrow{w} & \text{Bun}_{w(L)} & & \end{array}$$

Using the isomorphisms $w^*w_*(A) \cong A$ and $w_P^*w_{P*}(A) \cong A$ coming from adjunction, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p}_P! \mathfrak{q}_P^*(A) &\cong \mathfrak{p}_P! \mathfrak{q}_P^* w^* w_*(A) \\ &\cong \mathfrak{p}_{w(P)}! w_{P*} w_P^* \mathfrak{q}_{w(P)}^* w_*(A) \\ &\cong \mathfrak{p}_{w(P)}! \mathfrak{q}_{w(P)}^* w_*(A), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

In general the values of these geometric Eisenstein functors are very complicated and difficult to compute (See for example [Han23, Example 3.3.2]), but there are certain simple contributions coming from the split reductions. This is the locus of Bun_P coming from the image of the natural section

$$\mathfrak{s}_P: \text{Bun}_L \rightarrow \text{Bun}_P$$

of \mathfrak{q}_P induced by the inclusion $L \subset P$. To understand this, we need to understand the topological image of the composition $\mathfrak{p}_P \circ \mathfrak{s}_P: \text{Bun}_L \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G$. For our calculation, we will restrict to the locus given by the semistable bundles.

Definition 4.19. *We define $B(G)_L$, the set of L -reducible elements, to be the image $\text{Im}(i_L: B(L)_{\text{basic}} \rightarrow B(G)) =: B(G)_L$ of the map induced by the inclusion $L \subset G$.*

We will now study the set $B(G)_L$ and its properties. We let $\Delta_{G,L}$ be the set of relative simple roots α_i , for $i \in \mathcal{J}_{G,L} := \mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_L$.

Let $\mathbb{X}_*(L_F^{\text{ab}})_{\Gamma_F}^+ \subset \mathbb{X}_*(L_F^{\text{ab}})_{\Gamma_F}$ be the subset of elements which pair non-negatively with the image of $\Delta_{G,L}$ under the identification $\mathbb{X}^*(A_L)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{X}^*(L_F^{\text{ab}})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Gamma_F}$. We say an element $\theta \in B(L)_{\text{basic}}$ such that $\bar{\theta}$ lies in $\mathbb{X}_*(L_F^{\text{ab}})_{\Gamma_F}^+$ is dominant, and if it lies in the space which pairs non-positively with the image of $\Delta_{G,L}$ then we say that it is HN-dominant.

For $b \in B(G)_L$, we consider the set $W_{L,b} := W[L, L^b]$ as defined in [BMO22, Section 5.3]. This will be identified with the set of elements in W_G such that

$$w(L) \subset L^b, \quad w(L \cap B) \subset B, \quad w^{-1}(L^b \cap B) \subset B,$$

where L^b denotes the centralizer of the slope homomorphism of $b \in B(G)$. We now want to relate this Weyl group to the fibers of the map $i_L: B(L)_{\text{basic}} \rightarrow B(G)$. We begin with some preparations.

Lemma 4.20. *Assume that $b \in B(G)$ is basic.*

- (1) *The inclusion $L \subset P$ induces $B(L) \cong B(P)$.*
- (2) *The parabolic subgroup P of G transfer to a parabolic subgroup of G_b under the inner twisting if and only if b admits a reduction to L .*

Proof. The claim (1) follows from [Kot97, 1.4, 3.6]. The claim (2) follows from the claim (1) and [CFS21, Page 259]. \square

Lemma 4.21. *Let G be a connected reductive group over a field F . We fix a maximal split torus S and a minimal parabolic subgroup P_0 containing S . Let W_G be the relative Weyl group of G with respect to S . Let P and Q be standard parabolic subgroups of G with standard Levi subgroups L and M , respectively, with respect to P_0 and S . We put*

$$S(L, M) := \{g \in G(F) \mid gLg^{-1} \cap M \text{ contains a maximal split torus of } G.\}$$

Then we have a natural bijection

$$W_M \backslash W_G / W_L \cong M(F) \backslash S(L, M) / L(F).$$

Proof. The map is given by taking a representative of an element of W_G in $N_G(S)(F)$. The map is surjective since any maximal split tori are rationally conjugate. By [BT65, 5.20 Corollaire], the composition

$$W_M \backslash W_G / W_L \rightarrow M(F) \backslash S(L, M) / L(F) \rightarrow Q(F) \backslash G(F) / P(F)$$

is a bijection. Therefore, the first surjective map is also bijective. \square

Lemma 4.22. *Let G be a connected reductive group over a field F . Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with a Levi subgroup L . Then the inclusions $L \subset P \subset G$ induces*

$$H^1(F, L) \cong H^1(F, P) \hookrightarrow H^1(F, G).$$

Proof. The injectivity of the second map follows from [BT65, 4.13 Théorème] (cf. [Ser94, Page 136, Exercice 1]). The map $H^1(F, P) \rightarrow H^1(F, L)$ induced by the natural projection $P \rightarrow L$ is injective by $H^1(F, R_u(P)) = 1$ and a torsion argument. This map is surjective too since $P \rightarrow L$ has a splitting $L \subset P$. Hence the first map in the claim is bijective. \square

We now work again with our fixed quasi-split G . We recall again that b admits a reduction to a basic element $b_{L^b} \in B(L^b)_{\text{basic}}$ such that $\bar{b}_{L^b} \in \mathbb{X}_*(L_F^b)_{\Gamma_F}^+$. We now have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.23. *For every element $b \in B(G)_L$, there exists an injective map of sets*

$$\begin{aligned} i_L^{-1}(b) &\rightarrow W_{L,b} \\ \theta &\mapsto w_\theta \end{aligned}$$

that sends θ to the unique w_θ such that $\overline{w_\theta(\theta)} \in \mathbb{X}_*(w_\theta(L)^{\text{ab}})_{\Gamma_F}$ is dominant. It follows that $w_\theta(\theta) \in B(w_\theta(L))_{\text{basic}}$ is a reduction of $b_{L^b} \in B(L^b)_{\text{basic}}$ to $B(w_\theta(L))$. Moreover, the image of this map is given by the set of $w \in W_{L,b}$ such that $w(L) \subset L^b$ transfers to a Levi subgroup of $(L^b)_{b_{L^b}}$ under the inner twisting.

Proof. We first start by constructing the map and showing it has the desired properties. By [BZ76, Lemma 2.11], we have a bijection

$$W_{L,b} \cong W_{L^b} \backslash W(L, L^b) / W_L,$$

where $W(L, L^b) := \{w \in W_G \mid w(L) \subset L^b\}$. By Lemma 4.21, we deduce that this is isomorphic to

$$L^b(F) \backslash I(L, L^b) / L(F),$$

where

$$I(L, L^b) := \{g \in G(F) \mid gLg^{-1} \subset L^b\}.$$

Now, given $\theta \in i_L^{-1}(b)$, we let L^θ denote the G -centralizer of the slope homomorphism, and let P^θ be the standard parabolic with Levi factor L^θ . Since θ maps to b , there exists $g_\theta \in G(F)$ such that $g_\theta(P^\theta, L^\theta)g_\theta^{-1} = (P^b, L^b)$. We note that $L \subset L^\theta$ by definition, and therefore it follows that $g_\theta \in I(L, L^b)$. We now simply let w_θ denote the element corresponding to g_θ under the equivalence

$$W_{L,b} \cong L^b(F) \backslash I(L, L^b) / L(F),$$

described above⁵. We note, by construction, we have an equality of slope polygons $\nu_{b_{L^b}} = \nu_b = \nu_{w_\theta(\theta)}$ as elements in $\mathbb{X}_*(T_F)_{\mathbb{Q}}^\Gamma$.

Now if we let P^{w_θ} denote the standard parabolic with Levi factor $w_\theta(L)$. We consider the parabolic structures $\mathcal{E}_{b_{L^b}} \times^{L^b} P^b$ and $\mathcal{E}_{w_\theta(\theta)} \times^{w_\theta(L)} P^{w_\theta}$ on the G -bundle \mathcal{E}_b . It follows by [Sch15, Theorem 4.1] (cf. [CFS21, Theorem 1.8] and [NV23, Appendix A, Theorem A.5], for a discussion of this in the Fargues–Fontaine setting) and the aforementioned equality of slope polygons that $\mathcal{E}_{b_{L^b}} \times^{L^b} P^b$ is obtained by extension of structure group along the inclusion $P^{w_\theta} \subset P^b$. Therefore, $w_\theta(\theta)$ is a reduction of b_{L^b} to $B(w_\theta(L))$, as desired.

We now show the injectivity of the map. Suppose we have elements θ and θ' such that $w_\theta = w_{\theta'} = w$. Then $w_\theta(\theta)$ and $w_{\theta'}(\theta')$ are both reductions of b_{L^b} to $B(w(L))_{\text{basic}}$. We claim that $w_\theta(\theta) = w_{\theta'}(\theta')$, which implies that $\theta = \theta'$.

⁵Another way of describing w_θ is as the generic relative position of the two reductions $\mathcal{E}_L \times^L P$ and $\mathcal{E}_{L^b} \times^{L^b} P^b$ of \mathcal{E} , as in [Sch15, Lemma 4.1]

Since $(w(L))_{w_\theta(\theta)}$ is isomorphic to a Levi M of $(L^b)_{b_{L^b}}$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(w(L)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & B(M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B(L^b) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & B((L^b)_{b_{L^b}}). \end{array}$$

Hence we can reduce the problem to showing that the map $B(M) \rightarrow B((L^b)_{b_{L^b}})$ is injective on the slope 0 elements. In other words, the map $\pi_1(M)_{\Gamma_F, \text{tors}} \rightarrow \pi_1((L^b)_{b_{L^b}})_{\Gamma_F, \text{tors}}$ is injective (where we have used the commutative diagram (2) in [RR96, Theorem 1.15]). However, by [RR96, Theorem 1.15], this identifies with the map on Galois Cohomology $H^1(\Gamma_F, M) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_F, (L^b)_{b_{L^b}})$, and this is injective by Lemma 4.22.

We saw in the previous paragraph that the map $i_L^{-1}(b) \hookrightarrow W_{L,b}$ takes values in the set of elements $w \in W_{L,b}$ such that $w(L) \subset L^b$ transfers to $(L^b)_{b_{L^b}}$. Conversely, if we are given such an element $w \in W_{L,b}$ then, by Lemma 4.20, the element b_{L^b} admits a reduction to $\theta' \in B(w(L))_{\text{basic}}$, where we note that this element is necessarily basic since b_{L^b} is. Then $w^{-1}(\theta') \in B(L)_{\text{basic}}$ is an element in $i^{-1}(b)$ whose attached Weyl group element is w , as desired. \square

We will also need the following result.

Lemma 4.24. *An element $b \in B(G)$ lies in $B(G)_L$ if and only if there exists $w \in W_{L,b}$ such that the parabolic $w(P) \cap L^b$ of L^b transfers to a parabolic subgroup $Q_{b,w} \subset G_b$ under the inner twisting. More precisely, if θ maps to $b \in B(G)$ with corresponding Weyl group element w_θ as in the previous lemma then $w_\theta(P) \cap L^b$ transfers to a parabolic subgroup of G_b . Moreover, for every element $\theta \in B(L)_{\text{basic}}$ mapping to b , the Levi factor of Q_{b,w_θ} is equal to $w_\theta(L)_{w_\theta(\theta)}$.*

Proof. This follows from combining Lemma 4.23 with Lemma 4.20 applied to the basic reduction b_{L^b} of b by using the isomorphism $(L^b)_{b_{L^b}} \cong G_b$, as seen in the previous argument. \square

We now turn to computing our Eisenstein functors. Let's start with the simplest possible case.

Lemma 4.25. *Suppose that $\theta \in B(L)_{\text{basic}}$ with image $b \in B(G)_L$ satisfies $\langle \xi_P, \bar{\theta} \rangle = 0$. It follows that b is basic, so in particular $W_{L,b}$ is trivial, and we have that*

$$\text{Eis}_P^{G,\theta}(i_!^\theta(A)) \cong i_!^b(\text{Ind}_{Q_b(F)}^{G_b(F)}(A))$$

$$\text{nEis}_P^{G,\theta}(i_!^\theta(A)) \cong i_!^b(i_{Q_b(F)}^{G_b(F)}(A)),$$

where $Q_b := Q_{b,1}$ is the transfer of $P \subset G$ to G_b , as in Lemma 4.24.

Proof. The second isomorphism follows from the first using the relationship

$$\text{nEis}_P^{G,\theta}(i_!^\theta(A))|_{\text{Bun}_G^b} \cong \text{Eis}_P^{G,\theta}(i_!^\theta(A \otimes \delta_{P,\theta}^{1/2})),$$

as in Remark 4.16. To prove the first isomorphism, by an application of proper base-change we are interested in computing $\mathfrak{p}!q^*(A)$, as in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bun}_P^\theta & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}} & \mathrm{Bun}_G \\ \downarrow q & & \\ \mathrm{Bun}_L^\theta & \cong & [*/\underline{L_\theta(F)}]. \end{array}$$

Note that, since θ maps to b , we have an equality: $\langle \xi_P, \theta \rangle = \langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle = 0$, so in particular b must be basic. By a standard argument with HN-slopes (See for example the proof of [Ham22, Lemma 9.3]), it follows that the topological image of the map \mathfrak{p} must be just Bun_G^b . Moreover, if we look at the space Bun_P^θ and use Lemma 3.7, we see that all the vector bundles $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ie}(U)_{\alpha_i, \theta}$ are semistable of slope 0. Using this, we deduce an isomorphism $\mathrm{Bun}_P^\theta \cong [*/\underline{Q_b(F)}]$. Therefore, the previous diagram just becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [*/\underline{Q_b(F)}] & \xrightarrow{p} & [*/\underline{G_b(F)}] \cong \mathrm{Bun}_G^b \xrightarrow{i^b} \mathrm{Bun}_G \\ \downarrow q & & \\ [*/\underline{L_\theta(F)}] & & \end{array}$$

where p and q are induced by the natural maps of groups. If ρ is a smooth representation of $L_\theta(F)$, we note that $p_!q^*(\rho)$ will be identified with the set of compactly supported functions on $G_b(F)$ whose supports are compact in $G_b(F)/Q_b(F)$, and which transform under the right translation action of $Q_b(F)$ by the action of ρ inflated to $Q_b(F)$, which is $\mathrm{Ind}_{Q_b(F)}^{G_b(F)}(\rho)$, by definition. Using the exactness of $\mathrm{Ind}_{Q_b(F)}^{G_b(F)}(-)$, we can further deduce that $p_!q^*(A) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{Q_b(F)}^{G_b(F)}(A)$ for all $A \in D(L_\theta(F), \Lambda)$, as desired. \square

With this warmup out of the way, we come to the following more general claim.

Theorem 4.26. *For all $\theta \in B(L)_{\text{basic}}$ mapping to $b \in B(G)$ with attached Weyl group element $w_\theta \in W_{L,b}$, as in Lemma 4.23, we consider $A \in D(L_\theta(F), \Lambda)$ and write $w_{\theta*}(A) \in D(w_\theta(L)_{w_\theta(\theta)}(F), \Lambda)$ for the image under the equivalence of categories induced by the isomorphism $L_\theta \cong w_\theta(L)_{w_\theta(\theta)}$. We have an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{nEis}_P^{G,\theta}(i_!^\theta(A))|_{\mathrm{Bun}_G^b} \cong i_{Q_{b,w_\theta}(F)}^{G_b(F)}(w_{\theta*}(A)) \otimes \delta_b^{-1/2}[-\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle]$$

in $D(\mathrm{Bun}_G^b)$, where Q_{b,w_θ} is as in Lemma 4.24. Moreover, if θ is HN-dominant with respect to the Borel for which P is standard then this defines an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{nEis}_P^{G,\theta}(i_!^\theta(A)) \cong i_!^b(i_{Q_{b,w_\theta}(F)}^{G_b(F)}(w_{\theta*}(A)) \otimes \delta_b^{-1/2})[-\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle]$$

of objects in $D(\mathrm{Bun}_G^b) \cong D(G_b(F), \Lambda)$.

Proof. The second claim follows immediately from the first by noting that, in the case that θ is HN-dominant with respect to the Borel B for which P is standard then the space Bun_P^θ is a classifying stack given by the point defined by the split reduction quotiented out by the torsor. In particular, its topological image under \mathfrak{p}_P is just b .

For the first claim, we set $w := w_\theta$. By applying Lemma 4.18, we reduce to computing

$$\mathrm{nEis}_{w(P)}^{G,w(\theta)}(i_!^{w(\theta)}(w_*(A))).$$

We let P^b be the standard Levi subgroup with Levi factor L^b given by the centralizer of the slope homomorphism of b . We let $b_{L^b} \in B(L^b)_{\mathrm{basic}}$ be the canonical dominant reduction.

By definition of $w_\theta = w$, we have that $w(L) \subset L^b$. We let $P^{b,w}$ be the unique parabolic subgroup whose Levi factor is equal to L^b and contains $w(P)$. In other words, the standard parabolic with Levi factor L^b and defined with respect to the Borel $w(B)$. The element $w(\theta)$ maps under the natural composition $B(w(L))_{\mathrm{basic}} \cong \pi_1(w(L))_{\Gamma_F} \rightarrow \pi_1(L^b)_{\Gamma_F} \cong B(L^b)_{\mathrm{basic}}$ to the dominant reduction b_{L^b} of $b \in B(G)_L$, using the second part of Lemma 4.23. Therefore it follows, by applying Lemma 4.17, that we can rewrite this as

$$\mathrm{nEis}_{P^{b,w}}^{G,b_{L^b}} \circ \mathrm{nEis}_{w(P) \cap L^b}^{L^b,w(\theta)}(i_!^{w(\theta)}(w_*(A))).$$

By Lemma 4.25, this is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{nEis}_{P^{b,w}}^{G,b_{L^b}}(i_!^{b_{L^b}}(i_{Q_{b,w}(F)}^{G_b(F)}(w_*(A)))).$$

Therefore, we have reduced to showing the following.

Lemma 4.27. *For all $A \in D(G_b(F), \Lambda) \cong D(\mathrm{Bun}_{L^b}^{b_{L^b}})$, we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{nEis}_{P^{b,w}}^{G,b_{L^b}}(i_!^{b_{L^b}}(A))|_{\mathrm{Bun}_G^b} \cong A \otimes \delta_b^{-1/2}[-\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle]$$

of objects in $D(G_b(F), \Lambda) \cong D(\mathrm{Bun}_G^b)$.

Proof. Let U^b , $U^{b,-}$, $U^{b,w}$ denote the unipotent radical of P^b , $P^{b,-}$, $P^{b,w}$, respectively.

We write $\mathrm{Bun}_{P^{b,w}}^{\theta,b}$ for the preimage of $\mathrm{Bun}_G^b \cong [*/\tilde{G}_b]$ along the map $\mathrm{Bun}_{P^{b,w}}^\theta \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$ induced by $\mathfrak{p}_{P^{b,w}}$. Two applications of proper base-change tell us that we are tasked with computing

$$\mathfrak{p}_! \mathfrak{q}^*(A \otimes \delta_{P^{b,w}, b_{L^b}}^{1/2})[\langle \xi_{P^{b,w}}, \bar{b}_{L^b} \rangle],$$

with maps as in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bun}_{P^{b,w}}^{b_{L^b}, b} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}} & [*/\tilde{G}_b] \cong \mathrm{Bun}_G^b \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{q} & & \\ \mathrm{Bun}_{L^b}^{b_{L^b}} & \cong & [*/\underline{G_b(F)}]. \end{array}$$

We look at $\mathrm{Bun}_{P^{b,w},*}^{b_{L^b}, b}$, the fiber of \mathfrak{q} over $* \rightarrow [*/\underline{G_b(F)}]$. This defines a closed subspace of $\mathrm{Bun}_{P^{b,w},*}^{b_{L^b}}$, where, by Lemma 3.7, this is an iterated fibration of the Picard v -groupoids $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ie}(U^{b,w})_{\alpha, b_{L^b}}[1]) \rightarrow *$ for all roots α occurring in $\mathrm{Lie}(U^{b,w})$. In particular,

$$\mathrm{Bun}_{P^{b,w},*}^{b_{L^b}} \cong X_n,$$

where $X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow *$ is a sequence of fibrations $f_i: X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}$ in the spaces $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ie}(U^{b,w})_{\alpha_i, b_{L^b}}[1])$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are the roots occurring in $\mathrm{Lie}(U^{b,w})$. We set $Y_0 =$

* and inductively on i , define Y_i to be $f_i^{-1}(Y_{i-1})$ if $\langle \alpha_i, \bar{b}_{L^b} \rangle \leq 0$ and the closed subspace of $f_i^{-1}(Y_{i-1})$ that projects to the 0-section in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}ie(U^{b,w})_{\alpha_i, b_{L^b}}[1])$ if $\langle \alpha_i, \bar{b}_{L^b} \rangle > 0$. Then the closed subspace $Y_n \hookrightarrow X_n \cong \text{Bun}_{P^{b,w},*}^{b_{L^b,b}}$ identifies with $\text{Bun}_{P^{b,w},*}^{b_{L^b,b}}$.

It follows that $\text{Bun}_{P^{b,w}}^{b_{L^b,b}} \cong [*/\tilde{G}_w]$ is a classifying stack attached to a group diamond \tilde{G}_w . The group diamond \tilde{G}_w is an iterated fibration of $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{L}ie(U^{b,w})_{\alpha, b_{L^b}})$ over $\underline{G_b(F)}$, for α a root occurring in $U^{b,w}$ such that $\langle \alpha, \bar{b}_{L^b} \rangle \leq 0$. We get an induced map of classifying stacks $[/\tilde{G}_w] \rightarrow [*/\tilde{G}_b]$ that will be induced by a natural inclusion of group diamonds $\tilde{G}_w \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}_b$. We write \tilde{G}_w^w for the quotient stack of \tilde{G}_b by \tilde{G}_w . In light of the isomorphism $\text{Bun}_{P^{b,-}}^{b_{L^b,b}} \cong [*/\tilde{G}_b]$, as in the proof of Proposition 3.15, we can write \tilde{G}_b as an iterated fibration of $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{L}ie(U^{b,-})_{\alpha, b_{L^b}})$ for all roots α occurring in $\text{Lie}(U^{b,-})$ over $\underline{G_b(F)}$. It follows that \tilde{G}_w^w is an iterated fibration of $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{L}ie(U^{b,-})_{\alpha, b_{L^b}})$ for all negative roots $\alpha < 0$ such that $w^{-1}(\alpha) < 0$. This sits in a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{G}_w^w & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}} & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [*/\tilde{G}_w] & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}} & [*/\tilde{G}_b] \end{array}.$$

We claim we can now reduce to the following.

Lemma 4.28. *The compactly supported cohomology of \tilde{G}_w^w is isomorphic to $\delta_{P^{b,w}, b_{L^b}}^{-1/2} \otimes \delta_b^{-1/2}[-2(\langle \xi_{P^b}, \nu_b \rangle - \langle \xi_{P^b}^w, \nu_b \rangle)]$ as a $G_b(F)$ -representation, where $\xi_{P^b}^w$ is the sum of positive roots $\alpha > 0$ occurring in $\text{Lie}(U_b)$ such that $w^{-1}(\alpha) < 0$.*

We note that we have a chain of equalities: $d_{P^{b,w}, b_{L^b}} = \langle \xi_{P^{b,w}}, \bar{b}_{L^b} \rangle = \langle \xi_{P^{b,w}}, \nu_{b_{L^b}} \rangle = \langle \xi_{P^{b,w}}, \nu_b \rangle$, by definition of b_{L^b} . Since ν_b is dominant and P^b is a standard parabolic, we see that this is equal to

$$\langle \xi_{P^b}, \nu_b \rangle - 2\langle \xi_{P^b}^w, \nu_b \rangle.$$

We deduce the following chain of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p}_! \mathfrak{q}^*(A \otimes \delta_{P^{b,w}, b_{L^b}}^{1/2})[d_{P^{b,w}, b_{L^b}}] &\cong \mathfrak{p}_! \mathfrak{q}^*(A \otimes \delta_{P^{b,w}, b_{L^b}}^{1/2})[\langle \xi_{P^b}, \nu_b \rangle - 2\langle \xi_{P^b}^w, \nu_b \rangle] \\ &\cong \mathfrak{p}_! \mathfrak{p}^*(A \otimes \delta_{P^{b,w}, b_{L^b}}^{1/2})[\langle \xi_{P^b}, \nu_b \rangle - 2\langle \xi_{P^b}^w, \nu_b \rangle] \\ &\cong A \otimes \delta_{P^{b,w}, b_{L^b}}^{1/2} \otimes \mathfrak{p}_!(\Lambda)[\langle \xi_{P^b}, \nu_b \rangle - 2\langle \xi_{P^b}^w, \nu_b \rangle] \\ &\cong A \otimes \delta_{P^{b,w}, b_{L^b}}^{1/2} \otimes \delta_b^{-1/2} \otimes \delta_{P^{b,w}, b_{L^b}}^{-1/2}[-\langle \xi_{P^b}, \nu_b \rangle] \\ &\cong A \otimes \delta_b^{-1/2}[-\langle \xi_{P^b}, \nu_b \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

For the second isomorphism, we have used that \mathfrak{q} can be identified with the composition $p_b \circ \mathfrak{p}$, where $p_b: [*/\tilde{G}_b] \rightarrow [*/\underline{G_b(F)}]$ is the natural map. For the third isomorphism, we have used projection formula. We have used Lemma 4.28 in the fourth isomorphism. We now note that $-\langle \xi_{P^b}, \nu_b \rangle = -\langle 2\rho_G, \nu_b \rangle$, by virtue of the fact that P^b is a standard parabolic and that ν_b pairs trivially with all roots of G lying in its centralizer L^b . This shows the desired claim. \square

\square

Proof of Lemma 4.28. Let $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Note that δ_b identifies with the modulus character of P^b transferred to $(L^b)_{b_{L^b}}$ along the inner twisting, since the group is quasi-split. Therefore, we have that $\delta_{P^b, w, b_{L^b}}^{-1/2} \otimes \delta_b^{-1/2}$ is the unique rational cocharacter of $G_b(F)$ such that, for $t \in A_{G_b}(F)$, we obtain that

$$\delta_{P^b, w, b_{L^b}}^{-1/2} \otimes \delta_b^{-1/2}(t) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha > 0 \\ w^{-1}(\alpha) > 0}} |\det(t|_{\mathfrak{g}_\alpha})|^{-1},$$

as in the equality $\xi_{P^b} + \xi_{P^b, w} = 2(\xi_P - \xi_{P^b}^w)$ or alternatively $\prod_{\substack{\alpha < 0 \\ w^{-1}(\alpha) < 0}} |\det(t|_{\mathfrak{g}_\alpha})|$. We also note that

$$\langle \xi_{P^b}, \nu_b \rangle - \langle \xi_{P^b}^w, \nu_b \rangle = \sum_{\substack{\alpha > 0 \\ w^{-1}(\alpha) > 0}} \langle \alpha, \nu_b \rangle.$$

The claim now follows from the previous description of \widetilde{G}^w as an iterated fibration of the Banach–Colmez spaces $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathrm{Lie}(U^{b,-})_{\alpha, b_{L^b}})$ for negative roots $\alpha < 0$ such that $w^{-1}(\alpha) < 0$, by arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.9. \square

References

- [BMHN22] A. Bertoloni Meli, L. Hamann and K. H. Nguyen, Compatibility of the Fargues–Scholze correspondence for unitary groups, 2022, arXiv:2207.13193.
- [BMO22] A. Bertoloni Meli and M. Oi, The $B(G)$ -parametrization of the local Langlands correspondence, 2022, arXiv:2211.13864.
- [BT65] A. Borel and J. Tits, Groupes réductifs, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1965), no. 27, 55–150.
- [BZ76] I. N. Bernštein and A. V. Zelevinskii, Representations of the group $GL(n, F)$, where F is a local non-Archimedean field, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 31 (1976), no. 3, 5–70.
- [CFS21] M. Chen, L. Fargues and X. Shen, On the structure of some p -adic period domains, Camb. J. Math. 9 (2021), no. 1, 213–267.
- [FS21] L. Fargues and P. Scholze, Geometrization of the local Langlands correspondence, 2021, arXiv:2102.13459.
- [GHW22] D. Gulotta, D. Hansen and J. Weinstein, An enhanced six-functor formalism for diamonds and v -stacks, Preprint (2022), arXiv:2201.12467.
- [GI16] I. Gaisin and N. Imai, Non-semi-stable loci in Hecke stacks and Fargues’ conjecture, 2016, arXiv:1608.07446.
- [Ham21] L. Hamann, A Jacobian Criterion for Artin v -stacks, Preprint (2021), arXiv:2209.07495.
- [Ham22] L. Hamann, Geometric Eisenstein Series, Intertwining Operators, and Shin’s Averaging Formula, 2022, arXiv:2209.08175.

- [Han21] D. Hansen, Moduli of local shtukas and Harris’s conjecture, *Tunis. J. Math.* 3 (2021), no. 4, 749–799.
- [Han23] D. Hansen, Beijing notes on the categorical local Langlands conjecture, *arXiv* (2023), *arXiv:2310.04533*.
- [HKW22] D. Hansen, T. Kaletha and J. Weinstein, On the Kottwitz conjecture for local shtuka spaces, *Forum Math. Pi* 10 (2022), Paper No. e13, 79.
- [HL23] L. Hamann and S. Y. Lee, Torsion Vanishing for some Shimura Varieties, *arXiv* (2023), *arXiv:2309.08705*.
- [Hub96] R. Huber, *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces*, Aspects of Mathematics, E30, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996.
- [Ima19] N. Imai, Convolution morphisms and Kottwitz conjecture, 2019, *arXiv:1909.02328*.
- [Kos21] T. Koshikawa, On the generic part of the cohomology of local and global Shimura varieties, 2021, *arXiv:2106.10602*.
- [Kot97] R. E. Kottwitz, Isocrystals with additional structure. II, *Compositio Math.* 109 (1997), no. 3, 255–339.
- [NV23] K. H. Nguyen and E. Viehmann, A Harder-Narasimhan stratification of the B_{dR}^+ -Grassmannian, *Compos. Math.* 159 (2023), no. 4, 711–745.
- [RR96] M. Rapoport and M. Richartz, On the classification and specialization of F -isocrystals with additional structure, *Compositio Math.* 103 (1996), no. 2, 153–181.
- [RV14] M. Rapoport and E. Viehmann, Towards a theory of local Shimura varieties, *Münster J. Math.* 7 (2014), no. 1, 273–326.
- [Sch15] S. Schieder, The Harder-Narasimhan stratification of the moduli stack of G -bundles via Drinfeld’s compactifications, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 21 (2015), no. 3, 763–831.
- [Sch17] P. Scholze, Etale cohomology of diamonds, 2017, *arXiv:1709.07343*.
- [Ser94] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie galoisienne*, vol. 5 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fifth edn., 1994.
- [SGA3-3] Schémas en groupes. III: Structure des schémas en groupes réductifs, *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64 (SGA 3)*. Dirigé par M. Demazure et A. Grothendieck. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 153, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
- [SW13] P. Scholze and J. Weinstein, Moduli of p -divisible groups, *Camb. J. Math.* 1 (2013), no. 2, 145–237.
- [SW20] P. Scholze and J. Weinstein, *Berkeley lectures on p -adic geometry*, vol. 207, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2020.

- [Vig96] M.-F. Vignéras, Représentations l -modulaires d'un groupe réductif p -adique avec $l \neq p$, vol. 137 of Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- [Wil24] R. Wild, Etale Cohomology of the Moduli Stack of G -torsors on the Fargues-Fontaine Curve, In preparation (2024).

Linus Hamann

Department of Mathematics, Sloan Mathematical Center, Stanford, California 94305, USA

lhamann@stanford.edu

Naoki Imai

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan

naoki@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp