

# Bernstein components for $p$ -adic groups

Maarten Solleveld  
Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen

14 October 2020

$G$ : reductive group over a non-archimedean local field  $F$   
 $\text{Rep}(G)$ : category of smooth complex  $G$ -representations

## Bernstein decomposition

Direct product of categories  $\text{Rep}(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{s}} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$   
where  $\mathfrak{s}$  is determined by a supercuspidal representation  $\sigma$  of a Levi subgroup  $M$  of  $G$

We suppose that  $M$  and  $\sigma$  are given

## Questions

- What does  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  look like? Is it the module category of an explicit algebra?
- Can one classify  $\text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \text{Irr}(G) \cap \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ ?
- Can one describe tempered/unitary/square-integrable representations in  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ ?

$G$ : reductive group over a non-archimedean local field  $F$   
 $\text{Rep}(G)$ : category of smooth complex  $G$ -representations

## Bernstein decomposition

Direct product of categories  $\text{Rep}(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{s}} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$   
where  $\mathfrak{s}$  is determined by a supercuspidal representation  $\sigma$  of a Levi subgroup  $M$  of  $G$

We suppose that  $M$  and  $\sigma$  are given

## Questions

- What does  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  look like? Is it the module category of an explicit algebra?
- Can one classify  $\text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \text{Irr}(G) \cap \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ ?
- Can one describe tempered/unitary/square-integrable representations in  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ ?

$G$ : reductive group over a non-archimedean local field  $F$   
 $\text{Rep}(G)$ : category of smooth complex  $G$ -representations

## Bernstein decomposition

Direct product of categories  $\text{Rep}(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{s}} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$   
where  $\mathfrak{s}$  is determined by a supercuspidal representation  $\sigma$  of a Levi subgroup  $M$  of  $G$

We suppose that  $M$  and  $\sigma$  are given

## Questions

- What does  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  look like? Is it the module category of an explicit algebra?
- Can one classify  $\text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \text{Irr}(G) \cap \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ ?
- Can one describe tempered/unitary/square-integrable representations in  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ ?

# I. Bernstein components and a rough version of the new results

# Bernstein components

$P = MU$ : parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with Levi factor  $M$

$I_P^G : \text{Rep}(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(P) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$ : normalized parabolic induction

## Definition

For  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ :

- $\pi$  is supercuspidal if it does not occur in  $I_P^G(\sigma)$  for any proper parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  and any  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$
- Supercuspidal support  $\text{Sc}(\pi)$ : a pair  $(M, \sigma)$  with  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$ , such that  $\pi$  is a constituent of  $I_P^G(\sigma)$  and  $M$  is minimal for this property

$X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ : group of unramified characters  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$

$\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Irr}(M)$ : an  $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ -orbit of supercuspidal irreps

$\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$ :  $G$ -association class of  $(M, \mathcal{O})$

## Definition

$\text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \text{Sc}(\pi) \in [M, \mathcal{O}]\}$

$\text{Rep}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \text{all irreducible subquotients of } \pi \text{ lie in } \text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s}\}$

# Bernstein components

$P = MU$ : parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with Levi factor  $M$

$I_P^G : \text{Rep}(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(P) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$ : normalized parabolic induction

## Definition

For  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ :

- $\pi$  is supercuspidal if it does not occur in  $I_P^G(\sigma)$  for any proper parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  and any  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$
- Supercuspidal support  $\text{Sc}(\pi)$ : a pair  $(M, \sigma)$  with  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$ , such that  $\pi$  is a constituent of  $I_P^G(\sigma)$  and  $M$  is minimal for this property

$X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ : group of unramified characters  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$

$\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Irr}(M)$ : an  $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ -orbit of supercuspidal irreps

$\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$ :  $G$ -association class of  $(M, \mathcal{O})$

## Definition

$\text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \text{Sc}(\pi) \in [M, \mathcal{O}]\}$

$\text{Rep}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \text{all irreducible subquotients of } \pi \text{ lie in } \text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s}\}$

# Bernstein components

$P = MU$ : parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with Levi factor  $M$

$I_P^G : \text{Rep}(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(P) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$ : normalized parabolic induction

## Definition

For  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ :

- $\pi$  is supercuspidal if it does not occur in  $I_P^G(\sigma)$  for any proper parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  and any  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$
- Supercuspidal support  $\text{Sc}(\pi)$ : a pair  $(M, \sigma)$  with  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$ , such that  $\pi$  is a constituent of  $I_P^G(\sigma)$  and  $M$  is minimal for this property

$X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ : group of unramified characters  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$

$\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Irr}(M)$ : an  $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ -orbit of supercuspidal irreps

$\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$ :  $G$ -association class of  $(M, \mathcal{O})$

## Definition

$\text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \text{Sc}(\pi) \in [M, \mathcal{O}]\}$

$\text{Rep}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \text{all irreducible subquotients of } \pi \text{ lie in } \text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s}\}$



# Bernstein components

$P = MU$ : parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with Levi factor  $M$

$I_P^G : \text{Rep}(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(P) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$ : normalized parabolic induction

## Definition

For  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ :

- $\pi$  is supercuspidal if it does not occur in  $I_P^G(\sigma)$  for any proper parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  and any  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$
- Supercuspidal support  $\text{Sc}(\pi)$ : a pair  $(M, \sigma)$  with  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$ , such that  $\pi$  is a constituent of  $I_P^G(\sigma)$  and  $M$  is minimal for this property

$X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ : group of unramified characters  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$

$\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Irr}(M)$ : an  $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ -orbit of supercuspidal irreps

$\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$ :  $G$ -association class of  $(M, \mathcal{O})$

## Definition

$\text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \text{Sc}(\pi) \in [M, \mathcal{O}]\}$

$\text{Rep}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \text{all irreducible subquotients of } \pi \text{ lie in } \text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s}\}$

# Iwahori-spherical component

$I$ : an Iwahori subgroup of  $G$

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G)' = \{(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) : V \text{ is generated by } V'\}$$

The foremost example of a Bernstein component,  
for  $\mathfrak{s} = [M, X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)]$  where  $M$  is a minimal Levi subgroup of  $G$

## Theorem (Borel, Iwahori–Matsumoto, Morris)

$\mathcal{H}(G, I) := C_c(I \backslash G/I)$  with the convolution product

- $\mathrm{Rep}(G)'$  is equivalent with  $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(G, I))$
- $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$  is isomorphic with an affine Hecke algebra

When  $G$  is  $F$ -split,  $M = T$  and these affine Hecke algebras are understood very well from Kazhdan–Lusztig

# Iwahori-spherical component

$I$ : an Iwahori subgroup of  $G$

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G)' = \{(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) : V \text{ is generated by } V'\}$$

The foremost example of a Bernstein component,  
for  $\mathfrak{s} = [M, X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)]$  where  $M$  is a minimal Levi subgroup of  $G$

## Theorem (Borel, Iwahori–Matsumoto, Morris)

$\mathcal{H}(G, I) := C_c(I \backslash G/I)$  with the convolution product

- $\mathrm{Rep}(G)'$  is equivalent with  $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(G, I))$
- $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$  is isomorphic with an affine Hecke algebra

When  $G$  is  $F$ -split,  $M = T$  and these affine Hecke algebras are understood very well from Kazhdan–Lusztig

# Iwahori-spherical component

$I$ : an Iwahori subgroup of  $G$

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G)' = \{(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) : V \text{ is generated by } V'\}$$

The foremost example of a Bernstein component,  
for  $\mathfrak{s} = [M, X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)]$  where  $M$  is a minimal Levi subgroup of  $G$

## Theorem (Borel, Iwahori–Matsumoto, Morris)

$\mathcal{H}(G, I) := C_c(I \backslash G/I)$  with the convolution product

- $\mathrm{Rep}(G)'$  is equivalent with  $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(G, I))$
- $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$  is isomorphic with an affine Hecke algebra

When  $G$  is  $F$ -split,  $M = T$  and these affine Hecke algebras are understood very well from Kazhdan–Lusztig

# Iwahori-spherical component

$I$ : an Iwahori subgroup of  $G$

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G)' = \{(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) : V \text{ is generated by } V'\}$$

The foremost example of a Bernstein component,  
for  $\mathfrak{s} = [M, X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)]$  where  $M$  is a minimal Levi subgroup of  $G$

## Theorem (Borel, Iwahori–Matsumoto, Morris)

$\mathcal{H}(G, I) := C_c(I \backslash G/I)$  with the convolution product

- $\mathrm{Rep}(G)'$  is equivalent with  $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(G, I))$
- $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$  is isomorphic with an affine Hecke algebra

When  $G$  is  $F$ -split,  $M = T$  and these affine Hecke algebras are understood very well from Kazhdan–Lusztig

# Centre of a Bernstein component

$N_G(M)$  acts on  $\text{Rep}(M)$  by  $(g \cdot \sigma)(m) = \sigma(g^{-1}mg)$

$$W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ : ring of regular functions on the complex torus  $\mathcal{O}$

## Theorem (Bernstein, 1984)

The centre of  $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$  is  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]^{W(M, \mathcal{O})}$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})] := \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$  with multiplication from  $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}$ :

$$(f \otimes w)(f' \otimes w') = f w(f') \otimes ww'$$

## Main result (first rough version)

$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$  looks like  $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})])$

# Centre of a Bernstein component

$N_G(M)$  acts on  $\text{Rep}(M)$  by  $(g \cdot \sigma)(m) = \sigma(g^{-1}mg)$

$$W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ : ring of regular functions on the complex torus  $\mathcal{O}$

## Theorem (Bernstein, 1984)

The centre of  $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$  is  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]^{W(M, \mathcal{O})}$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})] := \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$  with multiplication from  $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}$ :

$$(f \otimes w)(f' \otimes w') = f w(f') \otimes ww'$$

## Main result (first rough version)

$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$  looks like  $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})])$

# Centre of a Bernstein component

$N_G(M)$  acts on  $\text{Rep}(M)$  by  $(g \cdot \sigma)(m) = \sigma(g^{-1}mg)$

$$W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ : ring of regular functions on the complex torus  $\mathcal{O}$

## Theorem (Bernstein, 1984)

The centre of  $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$  is  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]^{W(M, \mathcal{O})}$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})] := \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$  with multiplication from  $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}$ :

$$(f \otimes w)(f' \otimes w') = f w(f') \otimes ww'$$

## Main result (first rough version)

$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$  looks like  $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})])$



# Centre of a Bernstein component

$N_G(M)$  acts on  $\text{Rep}(M)$  by  $(g \cdot \sigma)(m) = \sigma(g^{-1}mg)$

$$W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ : ring of regular functions on the complex torus  $\mathcal{O}$

## Theorem (Bernstein, 1984)

The centre of  $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$  is  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]^{W(M, \mathcal{O})}$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})] := \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$  with multiplication from  $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}$ :

$$(f \otimes w)(f' \otimes w') = f w(f') \otimes ww'$$

## Main result (first rough version)

$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$  looks like  $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})])$

# Approach with progenerators

$\Pi$ : progenerator of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

so  $\Pi \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  is finitely generated, projective and  $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \neq 0$  for every  $\rho \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \setminus \{0\}$

Lemma (from category theory)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod} \\ \rho & \mapsto & \text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \\ V \otimes_{\text{End}_G(\Pi)} \Pi & \xleftarrow{\quad} & V \end{array}$$

is an equivalence of categories

## Setup of talk

Investigate the structure and the representation theory of  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ , for a suitable progenerator  $\Pi$  of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

Draw consequences for  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

# Approach with progenerators

$\Pi$ : progenerator of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

so  $\Pi \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  is finitely generated, projective and  $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \neq 0$  for every  $\rho \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \setminus \{0\}$

Lemma (from category theory)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod} \\ \rho & \mapsto & \text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \\ V \otimes_{\text{End}_G(\Pi)} \Pi & \xleftarrow{\quad} & V \end{array}$$

is an equivalence of categories

## Setup of talk

Investigate the structure and the representation theory of  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ ,  
for a suitable progenerator  $\Pi$  of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

Draw consequences for  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

# Approach with progenerators

$\Pi$ : progenerator of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

so  $\Pi \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  is finitely generated, projective and  $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \neq 0$  for every  $\rho \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \setminus \{0\}$

Lemma (from category theory)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod} \\ \rho & \mapsto & \text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \\ V \otimes_{\text{End}_G(\Pi)} \Pi & \xleftarrow{\quad} & V \end{array}$$

is an equivalence of categories

## Setup of talk

Investigate the structure and the representation theory of  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ , for a suitable progenerator  $\Pi$  of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

Draw consequences for  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

# Comparison with types

$J \subset G$  compact open subgroup,  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(J)$

Suppose:  $(J, \lambda)$  is a  $\mathfrak{s}$ -type, so

$\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \pi \text{ is generated by its } \lambda\text{-isotypical component}\}$

Bushnell–Kutzko:  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  is equivalent with  $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)\text{-Mod}$

## Consequences

- $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$  and  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$  are Morita equivalent
- In many cases  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$  is Morita equivalent with an affine Hecke algebra

## Problems:

- It is not known whether every Bernstein component admits a type
- Even if you have  $(J, \lambda)$ , it can be difficult to analyse  $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$

# Comparison with types

$J \subset G$  compact open subgroup,  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(J)$

Suppose:  $(J, \lambda)$  is a  $\mathfrak{s}$ -type, so

$\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \pi \text{ is generated by its } \lambda\text{-isotypical component}\}$

Bushnell–Kutzko:  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  is equivalent with  $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)\text{-Mod}$

## Consequences

- $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$  and  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$  are Morita equivalent
- In many cases  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$  is Morita equivalent with an affine Hecke algebra

## Problems:

- It is not known whether every Bernstein component admits a type
- Even if you have  $(J, \lambda)$ , it can be difficult to analyse  $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$

# Comparison with types

$J \subset G$  compact open subgroup,  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(J)$

Suppose:  $(J, \lambda)$  is a  $\mathfrak{s}$ -type, so

$\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \pi \text{ is generated by its } \lambda\text{-isotypical component}\}$

Bushnell–Kutzko:  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  is equivalent with  $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)\text{-Mod}$

## Consequences

- $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$  and  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$  are Morita equivalent
- In many cases  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$  is Morita equivalent with an affine Hecke algebra

## Problems:

- It is not known whether every Bernstein component admits a type
- Even if you have  $(J, \lambda)$ , it can be difficult to analyse  $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$

## II. The structure of supercuspidal Bernstein components

based on work of Roche



# Underlying tori

$\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)$  supercuspidal

$$\mathcal{O} = \{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G)\}$$

Covering  $X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} : \chi \mapsto \sigma \otimes \chi$

Example:  $GL_2(F)$

$\chi_-$ : quadratic unramified character of  $GL_2(F)$

It is possible that  $\sigma \otimes \chi_- \cong \sigma$ ,

see the book of Bushnell–Henniart

Then  $\mathbb{C}^\times \cong X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is a degree two covering

$X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) := \{\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G) : \sigma \otimes \chi \cong \sigma\}$ , a finite group

$X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is bijective, this makes  $\mathcal{O}$  a complex algebraic torus (as variety)

# Underlying tori

$\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)$  supercuspidal

$$\mathcal{O} = \{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G)\}$$

Covering  $X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} : \chi \mapsto \sigma \otimes \chi$

Example:  $GL_2(F)$

$\chi_-$ : quadratic unramified character of  $GL_2(F)$

It is possible that  $\sigma \otimes \chi_- \cong \sigma$ ,

see the book of Bushnell–Henniart

Then  $\mathbb{C}^\times \cong X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is a degree two covering

$X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) := \{\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G) : \sigma \otimes \chi \cong \sigma\}$ , a finite group

$X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is bijective, this makes  $\mathcal{O}$  a complex algebraic torus (as variety)

# Underlying tori

$\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)$  supercuspidal

$$\mathcal{O} = \{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G)\}$$

Covering  $X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} : \chi \mapsto \sigma \otimes \chi$

Example:  $GL_2(F)$

$\chi_-$ : quadratic unramified character of  $GL_2(F)$

It is possible that  $\sigma \otimes \chi_- \cong \sigma$ ,

see the book of Bushnell–Henniart

Then  $\mathbb{C}^\times \cong X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is a degree two covering

$X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) := \{\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G) : \sigma \otimes \chi \cong \sigma\}$ , a finite group

$X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is bijective, this makes  $\mathcal{O}$  a complex algebraic torus (as variety)

# A progenerator

$G^1$ : subgroup of  $G$  generated by all compact subgroups  
 $\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\text{triv}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[G/G^1] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

## Lemma (Bernstein)

For  $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(G)$  supercuspidal

$$\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\sigma) = E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$$

is a progenerator of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ , with  $\mathfrak{s} = [G, \mathcal{O}] = [G, X_{\text{nr}}(G)\sigma]$

## Some endomorphisms of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

- $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \subset \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$ , by multiplication operators
- for  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$ :  $\sigma \cong \chi \otimes \sigma$   
in combination with translation by  $\chi$  on  $X_{\text{nr}}(G)$  that gives a  $\phi_{\chi} \in \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

# A progenerator

$G^1$ : subgroup of  $G$  generated by all compact subgroups  
 $\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\text{triv}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[G/G^1] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

## Lemma (Bernstein)

For  $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(G)$  supercuspidal

$$\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\sigma) = E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$$

is a progenerator of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ , with  $\mathfrak{s} = [G, \mathcal{O}] = [G, X_{\text{nr}}(G)\sigma]$

## Some endomorphisms of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

- $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \subset \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$ , by multiplication operators
- for  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$ :  $\sigma \cong \chi \otimes \sigma$   
in combination with translation by  $\chi$  on  $X_{\text{nr}}(G)$  that gives a  $\phi_{\chi} \in \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

# A progenerator

$G^1$ : subgroup of  $G$  generated by all compact subgroups  
 $\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\text{triv}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[G/G^1] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

## Lemma (Bernstein)

For  $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(G)$  supercuspidal

$$\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\sigma) = E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$$

is a progenerator of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ , with  $\mathfrak{s} = [G, \mathcal{O}] = [G, X_{\text{nr}}(G)\sigma]$

## Some endomorphisms of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

- $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \subset \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$ , by multiplication operators
- for  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$ :  $\sigma \cong \chi \otimes \sigma$   
in combination with translation by  $\chi$  on  $X_{\text{nr}}(G)$  that gives a  $\phi_{\chi} \in \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

# A progenerator

$G^1$ : subgroup of  $G$  generated by all compact subgroups  
 $\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\text{triv}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[G/G^1] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

## Lemma (Bernstein)

For  $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(G)$  supercuspidal

$$\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\sigma) = E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$$

is a progenerator of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ , with  $\mathfrak{s} = [G, \mathcal{O}] = [G, X_{\text{nr}}(G)\sigma]$

## Some endomorphisms of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

- $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \subset \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$ , by multiplication operators
- for  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$ :  $\sigma \cong \chi \otimes \sigma$   
in combination with translation by  $\chi$  on  $X_{\text{nr}}(G)$  that gives a  $\phi_{\chi} \in \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

# Structure of endomorphism algebra

For  $\chi, \chi' \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$  there exists  $\natural(\chi, \chi') \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that

$$\phi_\chi \circ \phi_{\chi'} = \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

This gives a twisted group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$  inside  $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

## Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

As vector space:  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$ , with multiplication

$$(f \otimes \phi_\chi)(f' \otimes \phi_{\chi'}) = f(f' \circ m_\chi^{-1}) \otimes \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

## Properties, from $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

- $\text{Irr}(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \longleftrightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}$
- $Z(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$



# Structure of endomorphism algebra

For  $\chi, \chi' \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$  there exists  $\natural(\chi, \chi') \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that

$$\phi_\chi \circ \phi_{\chi'} = \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

This gives a twisted group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$  inside  $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

## Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

As vector space:  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$ , with multiplication

$$(f \otimes \phi_\chi)(f' \otimes \phi_{\chi'}) = f(f' \circ m_\chi^{-1}) \otimes \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

## Properties, from $\text{Rep}(G)^{\text{f}}_s$

- $\text{Irr}(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \longleftrightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}$
- $Z(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$

## Structure of endomorphism algebra

For  $\chi, \chi' \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$  there exists  $\natural(\chi, \chi') \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that

$$\phi_\chi \circ \phi_{\chi'} = \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

This gives a twisted group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$  inside  $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

### Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

As vector space:  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$ , with multiplication

$$(f \otimes \phi_\chi)(f' \otimes \phi_{\chi'}) = f(f' \circ m_\chi^{-1}) \otimes \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

### Properties, from $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

- $\text{Irr}(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \longleftrightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}$
- $Z(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$

# Structure of $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

## Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

$$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural \cong \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])\text{-Mod}$$

## Lemma (Roche, Heiermann)

If  $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$  is multiplicity-free or  $\natural$  is trivial, then  $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$  is Morita equivalent with the commutative algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)]$

## Questions

Maybe  $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$  is always multiplicity-free?

Maybe  $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$  is always Morita equivalent with  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ ?

# Structure of $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

## Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

$$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural \cong \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])\text{-Mod}$$

## Lemma (Roche, Heiermann)

If  $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$  is multiplicity-free or  $\natural$  is trivial, then  $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$  is Morita equivalent with the commutative algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)]$

## Questions

Maybe  $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$  is always multiplicity-free?

Maybe  $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$  is always Morita equivalent with  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ ?

# Structure of $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

## Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

$$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural \cong \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])\text{-Mod}$$

## Lemma (Roche, Heiermann)

If  $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$  is multiplicity-free or  $\natural$  is trivial, then  $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$  is Morita equivalent with the commutative algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)]$

## Questions

Maybe  $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$  is always multiplicity-free?

Maybe  $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$  is always Morita equivalent with  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ ?

# III. Structure of non-supercuspidal Bernstein components

Motivated by work of Heiermann for classical  $p$ -adic groups

# A progenerator

$P = MU$ : parabolic subgroup of  $G$ ,  $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(M)$  supercuspidal  
 $\mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(M)\sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

## Theorem (Bernstein)

$\Pi := I_P^G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)])$  is a progenerator of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$   
In particular  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$

This is deep, it relies on second adjointness

Via  $I_P^G$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$  embeds in  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

## Lemma

$\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ . Suppose that the  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -module  $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho)$  has a  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weight  $\chi$ .  
Then  $\rho$  has supercuspidal support  $(M, \sigma \otimes \chi)$ .

# A progenerator

$P = MU$ : parabolic subgroup of  $G$ ,  $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(M)$  supercuspidal  
 $\mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(M)\sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

## Theorem (Bernstein)

$\Pi := I_P^G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)])$  is a progenerator of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$   
In particular  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$

This is deep, it relies on second adjointness

Via  $I_P^G$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$  embeds in  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

## Lemma

$\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ . Suppose that the  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -module  $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho)$  has a  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weight  $\chi$ .  
Then  $\rho$  has supercuspidal support  $(M, \sigma \otimes \chi)$ .



# A progenerator

$P = MU$ : parabolic subgroup of  $G$ ,  $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(M)$  supercuspidal  
 $\mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(M)\sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

## Theorem (Bernstein)

$\Pi := I_P^G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)])$  is a progenerator of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$   
In particular  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$

This is deep, it relies on second adjointness

Via  $I_P^G$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$  embeds in  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

## Lemma

$\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ . Suppose that the  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -module  $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho)$  has a  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weight  $\chi$ .  
Then  $\rho$  has supercuspidal support  $(M, \sigma \otimes \chi)$ .

# A progenerator

$P = MU$ : parabolic subgroup of  $G$ ,  $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(M)$  supercuspidal  
 $\mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(M)\sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

## Theorem (Bernstein)

$\Pi := I_P^G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)])$  is a progenerator of  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$   
In particular  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$

This is deep, it relies on second adjointness

Via  $I_P^G$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$  embeds in  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

## Lemma

$\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ . Suppose that the  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -module  $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho)$  has a  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weight  $\chi$ .  
Then  $\rho$  has supercuspidal support  $(M, \sigma \otimes \chi)$ .

## Example: $SL_2(F)$

$$M = T, \sigma = \text{triv}, \mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$W(G, T) = \{1, s_\alpha\}$$

### Harish-Chandra's intertwining operator

$$I_{s_\alpha}(\chi) : I_P^G(\chi) \rightarrow I_P^G(\chi^{-1}), \quad f \mapsto [g \mapsto \int_{U_{-\alpha}} f(us_\alpha g) du]$$

rational as function of  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(T)]} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) = \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[1, J_{s_\alpha}]$$

where  $J_{s_\alpha}$  comes from  $I_{s_\alpha}$ , acting as  $\chi \mapsto \chi^{-1}$  on  $X_{\text{nr}}(T)$ ,  $J_{s_\alpha}^2 = 1$

### Singularities of $J_{s_\alpha}$

at  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$  with  $\chi(\alpha^\vee(\text{uniformizer of } F)) = q_F^{\pm 1}$

For these  $\chi$ :  $I_P^G(\chi)$  is reducible

## Example: $SL_2(F)$

$$M = T, \sigma = \text{triv}, \mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$W(G, T) = \{1, s_\alpha\}$$

### Harish-Chandra's intertwining operator

$$I_{s_\alpha}(\chi) : I_P^G(\chi) \rightarrow I_P^G(\chi^{-1}), \quad f \mapsto [g \mapsto \int_{U_{-\alpha}} f(us_\alpha g) du]$$

rational as function of  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(T)]} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) = \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[1, J_{s_\alpha}]$$

where  $J_{s_\alpha}$  comes from  $I_{s_\alpha}$ , acting as  $\chi \mapsto \chi^{-1}$  on  $X_{\text{nr}}(T)$ ,  $J_{s_\alpha}^2 = 1$

### Singularities of $J_{s_\alpha}$

at  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$  with  $\chi(\alpha^\vee(\text{uniformizer of } F)) = q_F^{\pm 1}$

For these  $\chi$ :  $I_P^G(\chi)$  is reducible

## Example: $SL_2(F)$

$$M = T, \sigma = \text{triv}, \mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$W(G, T) = \{1, s_\alpha\}$$

### Harish-Chandra's intertwining operator

$$I_{s_\alpha}(\chi) : I_P^G(\chi) \rightarrow I_P^G(\chi^{-1}), \quad f \mapsto [g \mapsto \int_{U_{-\alpha}} f(us_\alpha g) du]$$

rational as function of  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(T)]} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) = \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[1, J_{s_\alpha}]$$

where  $J_{s_\alpha}$  comes from  $I_{s_\alpha}$ , acting as  $\chi \mapsto \chi^{-1}$  on  $X_{\text{nr}}(T)$ ,  $J_{s_\alpha}^2 = 1$

### Singularities of $J_{s_\alpha}$

at  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$  with  $\chi(\alpha^\vee(\text{uniformizer of } F)) = q_F^{\pm 1}$

For these  $\chi$ :  $I_P^G(\chi)$  is reducible

## Example: $SL_2(F)$

$$M = T, \sigma = \text{triv}, \mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$W(G, T) = \{1, s_\alpha\}$$

### Harish-Chandra's intertwining operator

$$I_{s_\alpha}(\chi) : I_P^G(\chi) \rightarrow I_P^G(\chi^{-1}), \quad f \mapsto \left[ g \mapsto \int_{U_{-\alpha}} f(us_\alpha g) du \right]$$

rational as function of  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(T)]} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) = \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[1, J_{s_\alpha}]$$

where  $J_{s_\alpha}$  comes from  $I_{s_\alpha}$ , acting as  $\chi \mapsto \chi^{-1}$  on  $X_{\text{nr}}(T)$ ,  $J_{s_\alpha}^2 = 1$

### Singularities of $J_{s_\alpha}$

at  $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$  with  $\chi(\alpha^\vee(\text{uniformizer of } F)) = q_F^{\pm 1}$

For these  $\chi$ :  $I_P^G(\chi)$  is reducible

# Finite groups related to $(M, \mathcal{O})$ and $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

- $X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma)$ , acting on  $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\}/M$ , acting on  $\mathcal{O}$

Every  $w \in W(M, \mathcal{O})$  lifts to a  $\mathfrak{w} \in \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

## Lemma

There exists a group  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \subset \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$  with

$$1 \rightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma) \rightarrow W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rightarrow W(M, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 1$$

## Example

$G = GL_6(F)$ ,  $M = GL_2(F)^3$ ,  $\sigma = \tau^{\boxtimes 3}$ , then  $X_{\text{nr}}(M) \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3$  and

either  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \cong S_3$

or  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \rtimes S_3$

# Finite groups related to $(M, \mathcal{O})$ and $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

- $X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma)$ , acting on  $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$ , acting on  $\mathcal{O}$

Every  $w \in W(M, \mathcal{O})$  lifts to a  $\mathfrak{w} \in \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

## Lemma

There exists a group  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \subset \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$  with

$$1 \rightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma) \rightarrow W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rightarrow W(M, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 1$$

## Example

$G = GL_6(F)$ ,  $M = GL_2(F)^3$ ,  $\sigma = \tau^{\boxtimes 3}$ , then  $X_{\text{nr}}(M) \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3$  and

either  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \cong S_3$

or  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \rtimes S_3$



# Finite groups related to $(M, \mathcal{O})$ and $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

- $X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma)$ , acting on  $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$ , acting on  $\mathcal{O}$

Every  $w \in W(M, \mathcal{O})$  lifts to a  $\mathfrak{w} \in \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

## Lemma

There exists a group  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \subset \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$  with

$$1 \rightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma) \rightarrow W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rightarrow W(M, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 1$$

## Example

$G = GL_6(F)$ ,  $M = GL_2(F)^3$ ,  $\sigma = \tau^{\boxtimes 3}$ , then  $X_{\text{nr}}(M) \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3$  and

either  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \cong S_3$

or  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \rtimes S_3$

# Structure of $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

$\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ : quotient field of  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ , rational functions on  $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

## Main result (precise but weak version)

There exist a 2-cocycle  $\natural$  of  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$  and an algebra isomorphism

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \underset{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \natural]$$

In some examples  $\natural$  is nontrivial

This result only says something about  $\text{Rep}(G)^5 \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$  outside the tricky points of the cuspidal support variety  $\mathcal{O}$

# Structure of $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

$\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ : quotient field of  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ , rational functions on  $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

## Main result (precise but weak version)

There exist a 2-cocycle  $\natural$  of  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$  and an algebra isomorphism

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \underset{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \natural]$$

In some examples  $\natural$  is nontrivial

This result only says something about  $\text{Rep}(G)^5 \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$  outside the tricky points of the cuspidal support variety  $\mathcal{O}$

# Structure of $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

$\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ : quotient field of  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ , rational functions on  $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

## Main result (precise but weak version)

There exist a 2-cocycle  $\natural$  of  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$  and an algebra isomorphism

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \underset{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \natural]$$

In some examples  $\natural$  is nontrivial

This result only says something about  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\natural} \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$  outside the tricky points of the cuspidal support variety  $\mathcal{O}$

## IV. Links with affine Hecke algebras

# Sketch of an extended affine Hecke algebra

- Start with  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O})$  contains a normal reflection subgroup  $W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- Twist the multiplication in  $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$  by a 2-cocycle  $\tilde{\eta}$  of  $W(M, \mathcal{O})/W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- For every simple reflection  $s_{\alpha} \in W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$ , replace the relation  $(s_{\alpha} + 1)(s_{\alpha} - 1) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$  by
$$(T_{s_{\alpha}} + 1)(T_{s_{\alpha}} - q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}) = 0 \quad \text{for some } \lambda(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$
- Adjust the multiplication relations between  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$  and the  $T_{s_{\alpha}}$
- This gives an algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$  with the same underlying vector space  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$  is still a subalgebra

# Sketch of an extended affine Hecke algebra

- Start with  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O})$  contains a normal reflection subgroup  $W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- Twist the multiplication in  $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$  by a 2-cocycle  $\tilde{\eta}$  of  $W(M, \mathcal{O})/W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- For every simple reflection  $s_{\alpha} \in W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$ , replace the relation  $(s_{\alpha} + 1)(s_{\alpha} - 1) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$  by
$$(T_{s_{\alpha}} + 1)(T_{s_{\alpha}} - q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}) = 0 \quad \text{for some } \lambda(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$
- Adjust the multiplication relations between  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$  and the  $T_{s_{\alpha}}$
- This gives an algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$  with the same underlying vector space  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$  is still a subalgebra

# Sketch of an extended affine Hecke algebra

- Start with  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O})$  contains a normal reflection subgroup  $W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- Twist the multiplication in  $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$  by a 2-cocycle  $\tilde{\eta}$  of  $W(M, \mathcal{O})/W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- For every simple reflection  $s_{\alpha} \in W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$ , replace the relation  $(s_{\alpha} + 1)(s_{\alpha} - 1) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$  by
$$(T_{s_{\alpha}} + 1)(T_{s_{\alpha}} - q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}) = 0 \quad \text{for some } \lambda(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$
- Adjust the multiplication relations between  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$  and the  $T_{s_{\alpha}}$
- This gives an algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$  with the same underlying vector space  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$  is still a subalgebra



# Localization

We analyse the category of those  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -modules, all whose  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weights lie in a specified subset  $U \subset X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

These are related to  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ -modules with  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ -weights in  $\{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in U\}$

## Polar decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\text{nr}}(M) &= \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1) \times \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{R}_{>0}) \\ &= X_{\text{unr}}(M) \times X_{\text{nr}}^+(M) \end{aligned}$$

Fix any  $u \in \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1)$  and define

$$U = W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cdot u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)$$

$$\tilde{U} = \text{image of } U \text{ in } \mathcal{O} = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \{\sigma \otimes u\chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$$

# Localization

We analyse the category of those  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -modules, all whose  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weights lie in a specified subset  $U \subset X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

These are related to  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ -modules with  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ -weights in  $\{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in U\}$

## Polar decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\text{nr}}(M) &= \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1) \times \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{R}_{>0}) \\ &= X_{\text{unr}}(M) \quad \times \quad X_{\text{nr}}^+(M) \end{aligned}$$

Fix any  $u \in \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1)$  and define

$$U = W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \, u \, X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)$$

$$\tilde{U} = \text{image of } U \text{ in } \mathcal{O} = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \{ \sigma \otimes u\chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}^+(M) \}$$

# Localization

We analyse the category of those  $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -modules, all whose  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weights lie in a specified subset  $U \subset X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

These are related to  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ -modules with  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ -weights in  $\{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in U\}$

## Polar decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\text{nr}}(M) &= \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1) \times \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{R}_{>0}) \\ &= X_{\text{unr}}(M) \times X_{\text{nr}}^+(M) \end{aligned}$$

Fix any  $u \in \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1)$  and define

$$U = W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cdot u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)$$

$$\tilde{U} = \text{image of } U \text{ in } \mathcal{O} = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \{\sigma \otimes u\chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$$

# Main result

$G$ : reductive  $p$ -adic group

$\mathcal{O} = \{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(M)\}, \mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

$\Pi$ : progenerator of Bernstein block  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$  constructed by modification of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$   
(with certain specific parameters  $q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}$ )

$u \in \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1), U = W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)$

$\tilde{U}$ : image of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{O}$

## Theorem

There are equivalences between the following categories

- $\{\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} : \text{Sc}(\pi) \subset (M, \tilde{U})\}$  (fl : finite length)
- $\{V \in \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]\text{-weights of } V \text{ in } U\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weights of } \tilde{V} \text{ in } \tilde{U}\}$

# Main result

$G$ : reductive  $p$ -adic group

$\mathcal{O} = \{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(M)\}, \mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

$\Pi$ : progenerator of Bernstein block  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$  constructed by modification of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$   
(with certain specific parameters  $q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}$ )

$u \in \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1), U = W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)$

$\tilde{U}$ : image of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{O}$

## Theorem

There are equivalences between the following categories

- $\{\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} : \text{Sc}(\pi) \subset (M, \tilde{U})\}$  (fl : finite length)
- $\{V \in \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]\text{-weights of } V \text{ in } U\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weights of } \tilde{V} \text{ in } \tilde{U}\}$

# Main result

## Theorem

There are equivalences between the following categories

- $\{\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\text{f}} : \text{Sc}(\pi) \subset \tilde{U}\}$  (fl : finite length)
- $\{V \in \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]\text{-weights of } V \text{ in } U\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weights of } \tilde{V} \text{ in } \tilde{U}\}$

Under a mild condition on the 2-cocycle  $\tilde{\eta}$  involved in  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$   
(conjecturally always fulfilled):

## Corollary

There is an equivalence of categories between

$$\text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\text{f}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}$$

## Extras

The above equivalences of categories respect parabolic induction, temperedness and square-integrability of representations

# Main result

## Theorem

There are equivalences between the following categories

- $\{\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\text{f}} : \text{Sc}(\pi) \subset \tilde{U}\}$  (fl : finite length)
- $\{V \in \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]\text{-weights of } V \text{ in } U\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weights of } \tilde{V} \text{ in } \tilde{U}\}$

Under a mild condition on the 2-cocycle  $\tilde{\eta}$  involved in  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$   
(conjecturally always fulfilled):

## Corollary

There is an equivalence of categories between

$$\text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\text{f}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}$$

## Extras

The above equivalences of categories respect parabolic induction, temperedness and square-integrability of representations

# Main result

## Theorem

There are equivalences between the following categories

- $\{\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} : \text{Sc}(\pi) \subset \tilde{U}\}$  (fl : finite length)
- $\{V \in \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]\text{-weights of } V \text{ in } U\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weights of } \tilde{V} \text{ in } \tilde{U}\}$

Under a mild condition on the 2-cocycle  $\tilde{\eta}$  involved in  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$   
(conjecturally always fulfilled):

## Corollary

There is an equivalence of categories between

$$\text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}$$

## Extras

The above equivalences of categories respect parabolic induction, temperedness and square-integrability of representations



# V. Classification of irreducible representations in $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

# Representations of affine Hecke algebras

- From the equivalence  $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{aff}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ ,  $\mathrm{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  can be determined in terms of affine Hecke algebras
- The irreps of an affine Hecke algebra are known in principle, but their classification is involved

## Replacing $q_F$ by 1 in affine Hecke algebras

- $q_F = 1$ -version of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ :  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]$
- Its representation theory is easy, with Clifford theory

# Representations of affine Hecke algebras

- From the equivalence  $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{aff}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ ,  $\mathrm{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  can be determined in terms of affine Hecke algebras
- The irreps of an affine Hecke algebra are known in principle, but their classification is involved

## Replacing $q_F$ by 1 in affine Hecke algebras

- $q_F = 1$ -version of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ :  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]$
- Its representation theory is easy, with Clifford theory

# Classification of tempered irreps

Assume that  $\sigma \otimes u \in \text{Irr}(M)$  is supercuspidal and unitary/tempered

## Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)^{\text{s}} : \pi \text{ tempered, } \text{Sc}(\pi) \in (M, \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M))\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})) : \tilde{V} \text{ tempered, } \tilde{V} \text{ has a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight in } \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$
- $\{V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]) : V \text{ tempered, with a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight } \sigma \otimes u\}$
- $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}])$

$W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}$  embeds in  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}|_{W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}}$  comes from the 2-cocycle  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

# Classification of tempered irreps

Assume that  $\sigma \otimes u \in \text{Irr}(M)$  is supercuspidal and unitary/tempered

## Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)^s : \pi \text{ tempered, } \text{Sc}(\pi) \in (M, \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M))\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})) : \tilde{V} \text{ tempered, } \tilde{V} \text{ has a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight in } \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$
- $\{V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]) : V \text{ tempered, with a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight } \sigma \otimes u\}$
- $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}])$

$W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}$  embeds in  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}|_{W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}}$  comes from the 2-cocycle  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

# Classification of tempered irreps

Assume that  $\sigma \otimes u \in \text{Irr}(M)$  is supercuspidal and unitary/tempered

## Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)^s : \pi \text{ tempered, } \text{Sc}(\pi) \in (M, \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M))\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})) : \tilde{V} \text{ tempered, } \tilde{V} \text{ has a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight in } \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$
- $\{V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]) : V \text{ tempered, with a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight } \sigma \otimes u\}$
- $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}])$

$W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}$  embeds in  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}|_{W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}}$  comes from the 2-cocycle  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

# Classification of tempered irreps

Assume that  $\sigma \otimes u \in \text{Irr}(M)$  is supercuspidal and unitary/tempered

## Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)^s : \pi \text{ tempered, } \text{Sc}(\pi) \in (M, \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M))\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})) : \tilde{V} \text{ tempered, } \tilde{V} \text{ has a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight in } \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$
- $\{V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]) : V \text{ tempered, with a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight } \sigma \otimes u\}$
- $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}])$

$W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}$  embeds in  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}|_{W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}}$  comes from the 2-cocycle  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

# Classification of irreducible representations

## Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$
- $\text{Irr}\left(\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \mathfrak{h}]\right)$
- $\text{Irr}\left(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]\right)$
- $\{(\sigma', \rho) : \sigma' \in \mathcal{O}, \rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma'}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}])\} / W(M, \mathcal{O})$

The last item is also known as a twisted extended quotient

$$(\mathcal{O} // W(M, \mathcal{O}))_{\mathfrak{h}}$$

The bijection between that and  $\text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  was conjectured by ABPS



# Classification of irreducible representations

## Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\mathrm{Irr}(G)^s$
- $\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)), \natural]\right)$
- $\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\natural}]\right)$
- $\{(\sigma', \rho) : \sigma' \in \mathcal{O}, \rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma'}, \tilde{\natural}])\} / W(M, \mathcal{O})$

The last item is also known as a twisted extended quotient

$$(\mathcal{O} // W(M, \mathcal{O}))_{\natural}$$

The bijection between that and  $\mathrm{Irr}(G)^s$  was conjectured by ABPS

# Summary

For an arbitrary Bernstein block  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  of a reductive  $p$ -adic group  $G$ :

- $\text{Rep}_{\mathfrak{H}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  is equivalent with the category of finite length modules of an extended affine Hecke algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ , whose  $q_F = 1$ -form is  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \mathfrak{h}]$
- Upon tensoring with  $\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$  over  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ , or upon taking irreducible representations,  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  becomes equivalent with  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \mathfrak{h}] - \text{Mod}$

## Questions / open problems

- Can one use the above to study unitarity of  $G$ -representations?
- Can the parameters  $q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$  be described in terms of  $\sigma$  or  $\mathcal{O}$ ?  
Are the  $\lambda(\alpha)$  integers?
- How to determine the 2-cocycles  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ ?

# Summary

For an arbitrary Bernstein block  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  of a reductive  $p$ -adic group  $G$ :

- $\text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  is equivalent with the category of finite length modules of an extended affine Hecke algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ , whose  $q_F = 1$ -form is  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \mathfrak{h}]$
- Upon tensoring with  $\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$  over  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ , or upon taking irreducible representations,  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  becomes equivalent with  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \mathfrak{h}] - \text{Mod}$

## Questions / open problems

- Can one use the above to study unitarity of  $G$ -representations?
- Can the parameters  $q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$  be described in terms of  $\sigma$  or  $\mathcal{O}$ ? Are the  $\lambda(\alpha)$  integers?
- How to determine the 2-cocycles  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ ?

# Summary

For an arbitrary Bernstein block  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  of a reductive  $p$ -adic group  $G$ :

- $\text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  is equivalent with the category of finite length modules of an extended affine Hecke algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ , whose  $q_F = 1$ -form is  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \mathfrak{h}]$
- Upon tensoring with  $\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$  over  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ , or upon taking irreducible representations,  $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$  becomes equivalent with  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \mathfrak{h}] - \text{Mod}$

## Questions / open problems

- Can one use the above to study unitarity of  $G$ -representations?
- Can the parameters  $q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$  be described in terms of  $\sigma$  or  $\mathcal{O}$ ? Are the  $\lambda(\alpha)$  integers?
- How to determine the 2-cocycles  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ ?