

ON CYCLES IN FLAG MANIFOLDS

Author(s): H. C. HANSEN

Source: *Mathematica Scandinavica*, Vol. 33, No. 2 (1973), pp. 269-274

Published by: Mathematica Scandinavica

Stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24490629>

Accessed: 14-07-2024 08:45 UTC

REFERENCES

Linked references are available on JSTOR for this article:

[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24490629?seq=1&cid=pdf-reference#references\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24490629?seq=1&cid=pdf-reference#references_tab_contents)

You may need to log in to JSTOR to access the linked references.

---

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <https://about.jstor.org/terms>



JSTOR

*Mathematica Scandinavica* is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Mathematica Scandinavica*

## ON CYCLES IN FLAG MANIFOLDS

H. C. HANSEN

**0. Introduction.**

Let  $K$  be a compact connected real Lie group and  $T$  a maximal torus in  $K$ .

In 1954 R. Bott constructed a Morse function on  $K/T$  and showed that  $K/T$  was a cell complex with cells in the even dimensions only. That means that the cells considered as cycles give a basis for the homology of  $K/T$ . It is easy to calculate these cycles explicitly and in fact they turn out to be the so-called  $K$ -cycles of Bott–Samelson [2], which were constructed in 1958 in a more general setting using Morse theory of loop spaces.

The space  $K/T$  also appears as  $G/B$ , where  $G$  is the complexification of  $K$  and  $B$  is a Borel group in  $G$  containing  $T$ . In 1954 F. Bruhat discovered that if  $G$  was one of the classical Lie groups,  $G/B$  had a cell decomposition, each cell being isomorphic as an algebraic variety to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . This was soon afterwards proved to be the case for all reductive linear algebraic groups  $G$  by Chevalley [3].

The closure of a Bruhat cell can be considered as a cycle (see [4]) and these cycles again generate the homology of  $G/B$ .

Now the reductive groups are exactly the complexifications of the compact real groups (see [5]), so we have two decompositions of  $K/T = G/B$ . We prove that they are identical, and as a consequence of the proof we solve another problem. The closure of a Bruhat cell is in general an algebraic variety with singularities and the construction of the  $K$ -cycles can be improved to give a resolution of these singularities.

In section 1 we describe the  $K$ -cycles, in section 2 the Bruhat decomposition and in section 3 we show the identity and construct the resolution.

**1. The  $K$ -cycles.**

Let  $K$  and  $T$  be as above.  $L(K)$  and  $L(T)$  will denote the Lie algebras and  $\pm \alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , the roots. The hyperplanes through 0 in  $L(T)$  given

---

Received January 30, 1973.

by  $\alpha_i(x) = 0$  are called  $O_i$ . The stabilizer of the plane  $O_i$  is  $K_i$ . The Weyl group  $N(T)/T$  is denoted  $W$ .

$W$  operates on  $L(T)$  by the adjoint action. There is an  $r_i \in K_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that  $r_i \in W$  and  $\text{Ad}(r_i)$  is the reflection in  $O_i$ . Corresponding to a choice of fundamental root system we have a fundamental Weyl chamber  $\mathcal{F}$  and we keep  $w \in W$  fixed.  $\text{Ad}(w)$  brings  $\mathcal{F}$  to another Weyl chamber  $\text{Ad}w(\mathcal{F})$ . Let  $s$  be a straight line from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\text{Ad}w(\mathcal{F})$  crossing the planes  $O_i$  one at a time. We can assume that they are met in the order  $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_k$ . It is then clear that  $\text{Ad}(r_k \dots r_2 r_1)$  brings  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\text{Ad}w(\mathcal{F})$ . Since  $w$  operates simply transitively on the Weyl chambers,  $w$  must be equal to  $r_k \dots r_2 r_1$ .

Now we define

$$\Gamma_w = K_1 \times_T K_2 \times \dots \times (K_k/T)$$

as the orbit space of the action of  $T \times \dots \times T$  on  $K_1 \times \dots \times K_k$  given by

$$(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)(k_1, \dots, k_k) = (k_1 t_1, t_1^{-1} k_2 t_2, \dots, t_{k-1}^{-1} k_k t_k).$$

We define  $g: \Gamma_w \rightarrow K/T$  by

$$g[(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_k)] = k_1 k_2 \dots k_k r_k \dots r_1 T.$$

$\Gamma_w$  is orientable. Let  $\gamma_w$  be a cycle determining the orientation. Then  $g_*(\gamma_w)$  is the  $K$ -cycle corresponding to  $w$ . As shown by Bott–Samelson [2] the set of all  $g_*(\gamma_w)$  where  $w \in W$ , constitute a basis for  $H_*(K/T)$ .

## 2. The Bruhat cells.

Following the notation of [1] let  $G$  be a reductive complex linear algebraic group with maximal torus  $T$  and  $B$  a Borel group containing  $T$ ,  $B = UT$  where  $U$  is the unipotent part of  $B$ .

The set of roots is  $\Phi$  and for each root  $\alpha$  the eigenspace  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is the Lie algebra of  $U_\alpha$ .  $L(T) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  is the Lie algebra of the group  $G_\alpha$ . The roots fall into two parts, the positive part  $\Phi(B)$  and the negative part  $-\Phi(B)$ , such that the Lie algebra of  $B$  is the direct sum of  $L(T)$  and the eigenspaces corresponding to the positive roots, whereas the sum of  $L(T)$  and the eigenspaces corresponding to the negative roots is the Lie algebra of  $B' = U^-T$ , where  $U^-$  is the unipotent part of  $B'$ .

Also define  $U_w^- = U \cap wU^{-1}w^{-1}$  for  $w \in W = N(T)/T$ . With this notation let us recall the Bruhat decomposition theorem [1, p. 347]:

**THEOREM 2.1.**  *$G/B$  is the disjoint union of the  $U$ -orbits  $UwB$ ,  $w \in W$ . If  $w \in W$  the morphism*

$$U_w^- \rightarrow UwB \quad (u \mapsto uwB)$$

is an isomorphism of varieties.

Moreover  $U_w^-$  is the semi-direct product of the  $U_\alpha$ 's, e.g. it contains the  $U_\alpha$ 's such that  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha^w < 0$ .

If we consider  $G$  as the complexification of  $K$ , then  $K$  is embedded in  $G$ . If  $T$  is a maximal torus of  $K$  we let  $B$  be a Borel group of  $G$  containing  $T$ , the complexification of  $T$ .

The Weyl group of  $G$  is as in section 1 generated by the  $r_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , the action of the Weyl group is the same in the two cases, and the set of roots  $\Phi$  restricted to  $T$  is exactly the roots of  $K, T$ .

Let  $w \in W$ . We saw in section 1 that  $w = r_k \dots r_2 r_1$ , where we met  $O_1, \dots, O_k$  successively with a straight line  $s$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\text{Ad}w(\mathcal{F})$ . For later use we continue the enumeration of the  $O_i$ 's beyond  $\text{Ad}w(\mathcal{F})$  until we meet the opposite Weyl chamber of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

LEMMA 2.2.

$$w(\Phi(B)) = (\Phi(B) \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}) \cup \{-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_k\}.$$

PROOF. The roots  $\Phi(B)$  are the ones taking positive values in  $\mathcal{F}$ . The roots in  $w(\Phi(B))$  are the ones taking positive values in  $w(\mathcal{F})$ . Now following the line  $s$  we first go through  $O_1$  coming to another Weyl chamber. Here all roots in  $\Phi(B)$  still take positive value, except  $\alpha_1$ , because we passed through the 0-hyperplane  $O_1$  of  $\alpha_1$ . But then  $-\alpha_1$  takes positive value. An obvious induction now finishes the proof since a root  $\alpha_i$  only changes sign along  $s$ , when  $s$  passes through  $O_i$ .

In the following we write  $U_i$  for  $U_{\alpha_i}$ . As a consequence of Lemma 2.2 we get:

LEMMA 2.3. For  $w = r_k \dots r_2 r_1$  as above the group  $U_w^-$  equals  $U_k \dots U_2 U_1$ , the semi-direct product of the  $U_i$ 's,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

### 3. The resolution.

Keeping the notation of section 2 we shall study a typical Bruhat cell of  $G/B$ ,

$$UwB = U_1 \dots U_k r_k \dots r_1 B,$$

where  $w = r_k \dots r_2 r_1 \in W$ . We want to compare this cell with the set

$$g(\Gamma_w) = K_1 \dots K_k r_k \dots r_1 B$$

underlying the  $K$ -cycle. In fact the closure of  $UwB$  equals  $g(\Gamma_w)$ . To show this we need a new variety.

Let  $B_i$  be the connected subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra equal to the direct sum of  $L(T)$  and the eigenspaces of  $-\alpha_j$ ,  $j=1, \dots, i$  and  $\alpha_j$ ,  $j=i+1, \dots, k$ . This is a Lie algebra, since it equals  $\text{Ad}w(L(B))$ . Using this fact for  $i=l$  and  $i=l-1$  it is easily seen that also the direct sum of  $L(T)$  and the eigenspaces of  $-\alpha_j$ ,  $j=1, \dots, l$ , and  $\alpha_j$ ,  $j=l, \dots, k$  is a Lie algebra. The corresponding subgroup we denote  $H_l$ . In fact  $H_i = G_i B_i$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let

$$M_w = H_1 \times_{B_1} H_2 \times_{B_2} \dots \times H_k / B_k$$

be the orbit space of the action of  $(B_1, \dots, B_k)$  on  $(H_1, \dots, H_k)$  given by

$$(h_1, \dots, h_k)(b_1, \dots, b_k) = (h_1 b_1^{-1}, b_1 h_2 b_2^{-1}, \dots, b_{k-1}^{-1} h_k b_k).$$

Using [7] it is seen by induction that  $M_w$  is a non-singular complex algebraic variety of real dimension  $2k$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *The map induced by inclusion*

$$i: K_1 \times_T K_2 \times \dots \times K_k / T \rightarrow H_1 \times_{B_1} H_2 \times \dots \times H_k / B_k$$

*is a homeomorphism.*

PROOF.  $i$  is one-one, since  $K_i \cap B_i = T$ . But  $\Gamma_w$  and  $M_w$  are manifolds of the same dimension, hence the conclusion.

Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_w = H_1 \times_{B_1} H_2 \times \dots \times H_k / B_k & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G/B \\ \uparrow i & & \uparrow i \\ \Gamma_w = K_1 \times_T K_2 \times \dots \times K_k / T & \xrightarrow{g} & K/T \end{array}$$

where  $g$  was defined in section 1 and  $\varphi$  is defined similarly by

$$\varphi[(h_1, \dots, h_k)] = h_1 h_2 \dots h_k r_k \dots r_1 B.$$

The  $K$ -cycle  $g(\Gamma_w)$  is now seen to be the same as  $\varphi(M_w)$ , but

$$\varphi(M_w) = H_1 \dots H_k r_k \dots r_1 B$$

obviously contains  $U_1 \dots U_k r_k \dots r_1 B$ . Moreover, according to Theorem 2.1 the dimension of  $UwB$  is  $2k$  and the dimension of  $\varphi(M_w)$  is not greater. Now  $\Gamma_w$  is compact, which ensures us that  $\varphi(M_w)$  is compact

and thus closed. More precisely,  $\varphi(M_w)$  contains the closure of  $UwB$  in the strong topology and therefore also in the Zariski topology, because  $UwB$  is constructible (cf. [6]).

Since closed subvarieties of an algebraic variety always have strictly smaller dimension we can conclude that  $\varphi(M_w)$  equals the closure of  $UwB$ . We have thus proved:

**THEOREM 3.3.** *The sets underlying the  $K$ -cycles of Bott–Samelson are the closures of the Bruhat cells.*

We have seen that it suffices to take representatives for elements in  $M_w$  from  $K_1 \times K_2 \dots \times K_k$ . More illuminating is the following:

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Elements in  $M_w$  can be represented by elements of the form  $(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ , where  $v_i \in U_i \cup \{r_i\}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $[(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k)]$  be an arbitrary element in  $M_w$ . We shall find  $(b_1, \dots, b_k) \in (B_1, \dots, B_k)$  such that

$$(h_1 b_1, b_1^{-1} h_2 b_2, \dots, b_{k-1}^{-1} h_k b_k) = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

where  $v_i \in U_i \cup \{r_i\}$ . Assume inductively that we found  $(b_1, \dots, b_j)$  such that

$$(h_1 b_1, \dots, b_{j-1}^{-1} h_j b_j) = (v_1, \dots, v_j), \quad v_i \in U_i \cup \{r_i\}.$$

Now using Theorem 2.1 on  $G_i$  we obtain

$$G_{\alpha_i} = U_{-\alpha_i} U_{-\alpha_i} \mathbf{T} \cup U_{-\alpha_i} r_i U_{-\alpha_i} \mathbf{T}$$

and therefore

$$G_{\alpha_i} = r_i U_{-\alpha_i} \mathbf{T} \cup U_{\alpha_i} U_{-\alpha_i} \mathbf{T}.$$

Hence

$$H_i = G_{\alpha_i} B_i = r_i B_i \cup U_i B_i \quad \text{for } i=1, \dots, k.$$

Since  $b_j^{-1} h_{j+1} \in H_{j+1}$ , we can thus find  $b_{j+1} \in B_{j+1}$  such that

$$b_j^{-1} h_{j+1} b_{j+1} \in U_{j+1} \cup \{r_j\},$$

and the induction step is concluded.

**THEOREM 3.5.**  *$\varphi: M_w \rightarrow G/B$  is a resolution of the closure of  $UwB$ .*

**PROOF.** We have only left to show that  $\varphi$  is one-one when restricted to  $\varphi^{-1}(UwB)$ . By 2.1 we know that

$$i: U_1 \times \dots \times U_k \rightarrow U_1 \dots U_k r_k \dots r_i B$$

is a homeomorphism. So according to Lemma 3.4 we only have to show that elements outside of  $[U_1 \times \dots \times U_k]$  of the form  $[(v_1, \dots, v_k)]$ , where  $v_i = r_i$  for at least one  $i$ , map outside of  $UwB$  by  $\varphi$ . But such elements are in the boundary of  $[U_1 \times \dots \times U_k]$  in  $M_w$ , and therefore the images are in the boundary of  $UwB$ , which is disjoint from  $UwB$  since it consists of other Bruhat cells.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, Amsterdam, 1969.
2. R. Bott, and H. Samelson, *Applications of the theory of Morse to symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. 70 (1958), 964–1028.
3. C. Chevalley, *Classification de groupes de Lie algébriques*, Séminaire C. Chevalley, vol. 1, 1956–58, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1958.
4. C. Ehresmann, *Topologie de certains espaces*, Ann. of Math. 35 (1934), 411–414.
5. G. Hochschild, *The structure of Lie groups*, Holden-Day, Inc., San Francisco – London – Amsterdam, 1965.
6. D. Mumford, *Introduction to algebraic geometry*, Lecture Notes, Harvard University, 1967.
7. J. P. Serre, *Espaces fibré algébriques*, in Séminaire C. Chevalley, Anneaux de Chow et application, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1958.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE  
UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS  
DENMARK