

Lecture 7 Siegel modular varieties and Shimura varieties of PEL type

§1. Hodge structures

Let $R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q},$ or \mathbb{R} , a subring of \mathbb{C} .

A R -Hodge structure is a finite projective R -module V , equipped with a bigrading

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} := V \otimes_R \mathbb{C} \simeq \bigoplus_{p,q} V^{p,q} \quad \leftarrow \text{analogous to } H^r(X(\mathbb{C})^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=r} H^{p,q}(X/\mathbb{C})$$

s.t. $V^{p,q} = \overline{V^{q,p}}$ (for the complex conjugation on \mathbb{C} : $V \otimes_R \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V \otimes_R \mathbb{C}$)

$$v \otimes z \mapsto v \otimes \bar{z}$$

The pairs (p,q) for which $V^{p,q} \neq 0$ are called types of V , counted with mult. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^{p,q}$

Say the Hodge structure is of pure wt n if for all types (p,q) of V , $n=p+q$.

In this case, the bigrading decomposition is the same as the filtration

$$F^p V := \bigoplus_{r \geq p} V^{rs}, \quad \text{as } V^{p,q} = F^p V \cap \overline{F^q V}.$$

The bigrading $V_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \bigoplus_{p,q} V^{p,q}$ can be interpreted as an action of

$\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ s.t. (z, w) acts on $V^{p,q}$ via $z^{-p} w^{-q}$

or $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow GL(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ an algebraic group homomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{induces } (z, w) \mapsto (\bar{w}, \bar{z}) \end{array}$$

↑ complex conjugation

Taking $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ -invariants, $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow GL(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ homomorphism of alg gps/ \mathbb{R} .

$$\mathbb{S} \stackrel{?}{=} \text{Weil restriction: } \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} G(A) = G(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$$

for any \mathbb{R} -algebra A .

$$(\text{Rmk: } \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$$

So, $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ is a real form of $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$.

$$\text{Cor: } \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{=} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times \hookrightarrow V^{p,q}$$

$$\mathbb{C}^\times \quad z \longmapsto (z, \bar{z}) \quad \text{acts by } z^{-p} \bar{z}^{-q}$$

Summary: Giving an R -Hodge structure on V is equivalent to

giving an \mathbb{R} -homomorphism $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Example: $V = \mathbb{Z}(1) = 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}$. Hodge type $(-1, -1)$, $V_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq V^{-1, -1}$, weight -2

$$h: \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathbb{R}^*$$

$$\mathbb{C}^* \quad z \mapsto z\bar{z}$$

The $2\pi i$ comes from

$$\mathbb{Z}(1) \simeq H_1(G_m) \text{ & } \mathbb{C}/2\pi i \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathbb{C}^*$$

Example: $V = H_1(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = H_1(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \simeq H_1^{dR}(E/\mathbb{C}) \text{, type } (-1, 0), (0, -1)$$

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(E^\vee, \Omega^1_{E^\vee}) \rightarrow H_1^{dR}(E/\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(E/\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{H^{-1, 0}} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$H^{0, 1} \xrightarrow{\quad} F^0 V_{\mathbb{C}} \quad , \quad F^{-1} H_1^{dR}(E/\mathbb{C}) = H_1^{dR}(E/\mathbb{C})$$

mult by z .
auto by

$h: \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ \iff giving $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ a structure of complex vector space
 \mathbb{C}^* then $V_{\mathbb{R}}/V$ recovers the elliptic curve

Theorem: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Z-Hodge structures of rank 2 and type } (-1, 0), (0, -1) \\ \downarrow \text{bijection} \\ \{ \text{Elliptic curves } / \mathbb{C} \} \end{array} \right\}$

Definition A polarization on an \mathbb{R} -Hodge structure V of weight n is a morphism of Hodge structures $\psi: V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(-n)$ s.t. $(2\pi i)^n \psi(x, h(i)y)$ is symmetric and positive definite

(Rmk: X compact Kähler of dim d , $(H^n(X, \mathbb{R})_{\mathrm{prim}}, \mathbb{Q})$ nsd is a polarized \mathbb{R} -Hodge structure of wt n)

$$Q([\alpha], [\beta]) := \int_X \omega^{d-n} \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta.$$

Note: $\psi(x, h(i)y) = \psi(h(-i)x, y) = (-i)^n \psi(h(i)x, y)$
 $\psi(y, h(i)x) \xleftarrow{\text{symm.}} \psi(h(i)x, y)$ as ψ is morphism and $h(i)$ is trivial on $\mathbb{R}(-n)$.

$\Rightarrow \psi$ is $(-1)^n$ -symmetric.

Fact: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Polarized Z-Hodge structures } V \text{ of rank } 2g \text{ & type } (-1, 0)^g \times (0, -1)^{2g} \\ \downarrow \\ \{ g\text{-dim Abelian varieties } / \mathbb{C} \} \end{array} \right\}$

Defn: An abelian variety A over S is a proper smooth group S -scheme all fibers are geometrically connected.

$$h: S(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\cong} GSp(V_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi) \simeq GSp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$$

$h(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ gives $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ a structure of complex vector space
 $\rightsquigarrow A(\mathbb{C}) := V_{\mathbb{R}} / V$ (as complex tori)

& ψ gives rise to an ample line bundle on A (see Mumford.)

§2. Siegel modular varieties.

Quick blackbox: A/S abelian variety, $A \xleftarrow[\pi]{e} S$ (Reference: Mumford, AV.)

$$\text{Pic}(A): \text{Sch}/S \longrightarrow \text{Sets}$$

$$T \longmapsto \{ \text{line bundles } L \text{ over } A_T \text{ with an isom. } e_T^* L \simeq \mathcal{O}_T \}$$

$\text{Pic}(A)$ is represented by a smooth group scheme/ S

& $A^\vee := \text{Pic}^\circ(A) = \text{connected component of } \text{Pic}(A)$

Fact: $\text{Pic}^\circ(A)$ is an abelian variety, the universal line bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & & \text{i.e. } P|_{A \times \{L\}} \simeq L \\ \downarrow & & \\ A \times \text{Pic}^\circ(A) & & \end{array}$$

Reading this the other way $\rightsquigarrow A \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\text{Pic}^\circ(A))$ induces $A \simeq A^{\vee\vee}$.

Another explicit construction: If L is a (relatively) ample line bundle over A

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } A &\longrightarrow \text{Pic}^\circ(A) \\ x &\longmapsto x^* L \otimes L^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Fact: (when A/\mathbb{R}) L ample $\iff \{x \in A; x^* L \simeq L\} =: K_L$ is finite

& thus $\lambda_L: A \longrightarrow A/K_L \simeq A^\vee$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Moreover } m^*(L) \otimes p_1^*(L)^{-1} \otimes p_2^*(L)^{-1} & \xleftarrow{\text{pullback}} & P \\ | & & | \\ A \times A & \longrightarrow & A \times A^\vee \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_L : A \rightarrow A^\vee \rightsquigarrow \begin{matrix} \lambda_L^\vee : A \simeq A^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow A^\vee \\ \text{---} \\ \lambda_L \end{matrix}$$

Polarization: Isogeny $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^\vee$ s.t. $\lambda^\vee : A \simeq A^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow A^\vee$ is the same as λ

Conversely, every morphism $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^\vee / \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $\lambda \simeq \lambda^\vee$
 $\Rightarrow \lambda = \lambda_L$ for a line bundle L .

Caveat: Not true if A/k for non-algebraically closed field.

Integral version: Let Λ be a \mathbb{Z} -module of rank $2g$
and $\psi : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ a nondegenerate alternating pairing

Base case: ψ is perfect, i.e. $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2g}$, $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} & I_g \\ -I_g & \end{pmatrix}$

$A_{g,n} : \text{Sch}/\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \text{Sets}$

$$S \mapsto A_{g,n}(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (A, \lambda, i), \text{ abelian scheme } A \text{ of } \dim g/S \\ \lambda : A \xrightarrow{\sim} A^\vee \text{ polarization} \\ i : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S^{2g} \xrightarrow{\sim} A[N] \text{ isom. s.t.} \\ \text{on each connected comp. } S, \text{ there exists an isom. } \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_N \\ \text{s.t. } (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{2g} \times (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{2g} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \\ \simeq | i \quad \simeq | i \\ A[N] \times A[N] \xrightarrow{1 \times \lambda} A[N] \times A^\vee[N] \xrightarrow[\text{Weil pairing}]{} \mu_N \end{array} \right\}$$

is represented by a quasi-proj. sm. scheme $/\mathbb{Q}$. (Mumford)

Caveat: Over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}$, there's no isom $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_N$
(such isomorphism exists over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$.)

So $A_{g,n}$ has no \mathbb{Q} -points, even though it is a smooth \mathbb{Q} -scheme.

$$\text{In fact } \pi_0^{\text{geom}}(A_{g,n}) = \pi_0 \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_g^{\oplus 2g} \times GSp_{2g}(\mathbb{A}_f)}{GSp_{2g}(\mathbb{Q}) / \widehat{\Gamma}(N)} \right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{similitudes}} \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_f^{\times} / (\mathbb{I} + N\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \right)^{\times} \xrightarrow{\text{acted by } \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})} \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)/\mathbb{Q})$$

$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,n}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}(\mu_N) \\ \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

There's no \mathbb{Q} -point on $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ b/c

there's no morphism $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)$
 $(\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\mu_N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q})$

Remark: $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ is a connected \mathbb{Q} -scheme but not geometrically connected.

- Slightly more general, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}^{2g}$ $\psi: \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ antisymmetric perfect $/ \mathbb{Q}$
 $K \subseteq \text{GSp}(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{A}_f, \psi)$ open compact

$$M_K : \text{Sch}/\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \text{Sets}$$

$$S \longmapsto M_K(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (A, \lambda, \eta_K) \text{ quasi-isogeny class} \\ A \text{ abelian scheme of dim } g \\ \lambda: A \longrightarrow A^{\vee} \text{ quasi-polarization} \\ \text{On each connected component of } S, \text{ fixing a geometric pt } \bar{s} \in S \\ \eta_K \text{ is a } \pi_1(S, \bar{s})\text{-stable, } K\text{-orbit of isoms.} \end{array} \right\}$$

stalk at \bar{s}
so $\pi_1(S, \bar{s}) \text{ acts on } \widehat{V}(A_{\bar{s}})$

Note: K also acts on the isom.
 $c: \mathbb{A}_f \simeq \mathbb{A}_f^{(1)}$
through the similitude factor

$\eta: \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{A}_f \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{V}(A_{\bar{s}})$ and $c: \mathbb{A}_f \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_f^{(1)}$

s.t. $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{A}_f \times \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{A}_f \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{A}_f$

$\simeq \downarrow \eta \quad \simeq \downarrow \eta \quad \simeq \downarrow c$

$\widehat{V}(A_{\bar{s}}) \times \widehat{V}(A_{\bar{s}}) \xrightarrow{1 \times \lambda} \widehat{V}(A_{\bar{s}}) \times \widehat{V}(A_{\bar{s}}^{\vee}) \xrightarrow[\text{pairing}]{} \mathbb{A}_f$

M_K is rep'd by a smooth quasi-proj \mathbb{Q} -scheme.

Theorem. Let $\mathbb{H}_g^{\pm} := \{ Z \in \text{Sym}_g(\mathbb{C}) : \text{Im}(Z) > 0 \text{ or } \text{Im}(Z) < 0 \}$

\uparrow means totally positive

$$\text{Then } M_K(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathbb{H}_g^{\pm} \times \text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{A}_f) / K.$$

Proof: Given (A, λ, η) , fix an isom. $H_1(A(\mathbb{C})^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$,

s.t. polarization $\lambda \longleftrightarrow \psi$.

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A_f \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\eta} H_1^{\text{et}}(A(\mathbb{C}), A_f) \simeq H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A_f \xrightarrow{\beta} \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A_f$$

isomorphism preserving λ -Weil pairing vs. ψ up to scalar

$$\omega_{A/\mathbb{C}} \hookrightarrow H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/\mathbb{C}) \simeq H_1(A(\mathbb{C})^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$$

This defines a polarized Hodge structure on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$

$$\rightsquigarrow h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \text{GSp}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi) \simeq \text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R}).$$

All such h is $\text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugate to

$$h_0: \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$x+iy \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} xI_g & -yI_g \\ yI_g & xI_g \end{pmatrix}$$

$$h(i) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \text{check } (A \cdot i + B)(C \cdot i + D)^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}_g^{\pm}.$$

§3 Unitary Shimura variety

Let E be an imaginary quadratic ext'n.

Let V be a Hermitian space of dim n over E ,

that is, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: V \times V \longrightarrow E$ non-degenerate Hermitian form

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}, \quad \langle ax, by \rangle = a\bar{b} \langle x, y \rangle \text{ for } x, y \in V, a, b \in E.$$

Fix $\delta \in E^{c=-1}$. This determines an embedding $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

Then the Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces an alternating form

$$\{ \cdot, \cdot \}: V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{This is } \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\{x, y\} := \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\delta \cdot \langle x, y \rangle)$$

$$\text{check } \{x, y\} = -\{y, x\}$$

$$\text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\delta \cdot \langle x, y \rangle) = \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\delta \cdot \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}) = \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(-\delta \cdot \langle y, x \rangle) = -\{y, x\}$$

$$\text{Fact: } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-degenerate Herm. forms} \\ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{\text{bij.}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-degenerate alternating forms } \{ \cdot, \cdot \}: V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ \text{satisfying } \{ax, y\} = \{x, \bar{a}y\} \end{array} \right\}$$

Note: This bijection depends on the choice of S .

Consider group $\mathrm{GU}(V)$: for S an \mathbb{Q} -algebra

$$\mathrm{GU}(V)(S) := \left\{ (g, c) \in \mathrm{GL}_S(V \otimes_S \mathbb{Q}) \times S^\times \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall x, y \in V \\ \langle gx, gy \rangle = c \langle x, y \rangle \end{array} \right\}$$

\uparrow
similitude unitary group

$$\Downarrow \quad \{gx, gy\} = c \{x, y\}$$

$$\text{Have } 1 \rightarrow U(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{GU}(V) \xrightarrow{c} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

We are interested in understanding the Shimura variety for $\mathrm{GU}(V)$.

Fix an open compact subgroup $K \subseteq \mathrm{GU}(V)(\mathbb{A}_f)$

Previously, we've talked about the group, then data at all finite places, now at archimedean place

At ∞ , $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ has signature (a, b) $n = a + b$

i.e. \exists a basis of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ s.t. the Herm. form is $\begin{pmatrix} I_a & \\ & -I_b \end{pmatrix}$

$$h : S \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

Here $E \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ uses
the embedding determined by S

$$z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z I_a & \\ & \bar{z} I_b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then } \{x, h(i)y\} = \mathrm{Tr}_{E_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathbb{R}}(S \cdot \langle x, h(i)y \rangle)$$

$c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ conjugate linear in second factor
(for $x, y \in E_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus a}$, this is $\mathrm{Tr}_{E_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathbb{R}}(c \cdot i \cdot (-i) \cdot \langle x, y \rangle)$)
so positive definite. Similarly for $E_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus b}$.)

Moduli functor: $M_K : \mathrm{Sch}/\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{loc. noe}} \text{Sets}$

This is E instead; viewed canonically as a subfield of \mathbb{C} using the one given by S .

$$S \longmapsto M_K(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (A, i, \lambda, \eta) : \text{up to quasi-isogeny} \\ \cdot A \text{ abelian variety of dim } n \text{ over } S \text{ satisfying a signature condition} \\ \cdot i : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \text{ an embedding} \\ \cdot \lambda : A \rightarrow A^\vee \text{ a polarization (only requiring to be quasi-isog.)} \\ \quad \text{s.t. the Rosati involution induces complex conj on } \mathcal{O}_E \\ \cdot \text{On each connected component of } S, \text{ fixing a geom. point } \bar{s} \end{array} \right\}$$

Important remark:

With \mathbb{C} instead of E (which is what we did in class)

Weil pairing can't see the Hermitian form; so we need to turn the Hermitian form \langle , \rangle into a \mathbb{Q} -valued symplectic form $\{ , \}$ to compare w/ Weil pairing. This corresponds to a choice of embedding $GU(V) \hookrightarrow GSp_{\text{reg}}$.

η is $\pi_1(S, \bar{s})$ -stable K-orbit of \mathcal{O}_E -linear isom.

$$\begin{aligned} \eta: V \otimes A_f &\xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{V}(A_{\bar{s}}) \text{ and } A_f \xrightarrow{\sim} A_f(1) \\ \text{s.t. } V_{A_f} \times V_{A_f} &\xrightarrow{\{ \cdot, \cdot \}} A_f \\ s \downarrow \eta &\quad s \downarrow \eta \quad \hookrightarrow \quad s \downarrow \\ \hat{V}(A_{\bar{s}}) \times \hat{V}(A_{\bar{s}}) &\xrightarrow{\text{Weil pairing}} A_f(1) \end{aligned}$$

Rosati involution Given an endomorphism $\theta: A \rightarrow A$, the polarization induces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\text{---} \leftrightarrow \text{---}} & A \\ \lambda \downarrow \simeq & & \lambda \downarrow \simeq \\ A^v & \xrightarrow{\theta^v} & A^v \end{array} \quad \theta_\lambda \text{ is the Rosati involution} \quad (\text{quasi-isogeny})$$

b/c we chose S
to be an E-scheme

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Signature condition: } 0 \rightarrow \omega_{A^v/S} \rightarrow H_1^{dR}(A/S) \rightarrow \text{Lie}_{A/S} \rightarrow 0 \\ \uparrow \\ \mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \end{array} \quad \hookrightarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_S \otimes \mathcal{O}_E \simeq \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S$$

$x \otimes a \mapsto (ax, \bar{a}x)$

locally free $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ -module of rank n

According to the decomposition
on the right, get decomposition

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{A^v/S,j} \rightarrow H_1^{dR}(A/S)_j \rightarrow \text{Lie}_{A/S,j} \rightarrow 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} j=1. \mathcal{O}_E\text{-linear} \\ j=2. \mathcal{O}_E\text{-conj-linear} \end{array}$$

Require: $\text{rank}(\text{Lie}_{A/S,1}) = a$, $\text{rank}(\text{Lie}_{A/S,2}) = b$.
(corresponding to the condition for h earlier.)

corresponds to the eigenspace
of $h(\zeta)$, acting by ζ .

Remark: The polarization λ induces a perfect pairing

$$\lambda: H_1^{dR}(A/S) \times H_1^{dR}(A/S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$$

But the Rosati involution condition implies that under the decomposition into $j=1, 2$.

$$\text{we get } \lambda: H_1^{dR}(A/S)_1 \times H_1^{dR}(A/S)_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$$

$$\text{rank } n-a=b \xrightarrow{\text{---}} \omega_{A^v/S,1} \quad \omega_{A^v/S,2} \xleftarrow{\text{rank } n-b=a}$$

$\omega_{A^v/S,1}$ & $\omega_{A^v/S,2}$ are exact annihilator of each other.

Theorem When $K \subseteq GU(V)(A_f)$ is sufficiently small, M_K is represented by a smooth variety
of dimension $a \cdot b$ over E .

Remark: Similar to the argument for modular curve & Siegel moduli variety,

can "almost" prove $M_K(\mathbb{C}) = \frac{G(\mathbb{Q})}{G(\mathbb{Q})} \backslash \left(X \times \left(G(\mathbb{A}_f) / K \right) \right)$ if $a \neq b$.

where $X = \frac{GU_{\mathbb{R}}(a, b)(\mathbb{R})}{G(U_{\mathbb{R}}(a) \times U_{\mathbb{R}}(b))(\mathbb{R})} = U_{\mathbb{R}}(a, b) / U_{\mathbb{R}}(a) \times U_{\mathbb{R}}(b)$

Not quite correct. When $n = a+b$ is even, this is okay.

When $n = a+b$ is odd, $M_K(\mathbb{C}) = \text{finite identical copies of this.}$
be here