

Bernstein components for p -adic groups

Maarten Solleveld
Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen

14 October 2020

G : reductive group over a non-archimedean local field F
 $\text{Rep}(G)$: category of smooth complex G -representations

Bernstein decomposition

Direct product of categories $\text{Rep}(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{s}} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$
where \mathfrak{s} is determined by a supercuspidal representation σ of a Levi subgroup M of G

We suppose that M and σ are given

Questions

- What does $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ look like? Is it the module category of an explicit algebra?
- Can one classify $\text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \text{Irr}(G) \cap \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$?
- Can one describe tempered/unitary/square-integrable representations in $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$?

G : reductive group over a non-archimedean local field F
 $\text{Rep}(G)$: category of smooth complex G -representations

Bernstein decomposition

Direct product of categories $\text{Rep}(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{s}} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$
where \mathfrak{s} is determined by a supercuspidal representation σ of a Levi subgroup M of G

We suppose that M and σ are given

Questions

- What does $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ look like? Is it the module category of an explicit algebra?
- Can one classify $\text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \text{Irr}(G) \cap \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$?
- Can one describe tempered/unitary/square-integrable representations in $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$?

G : reductive group over a non-archimedean local field F
 $\text{Rep}(G)$: category of smooth complex G -representations

Bernstein decomposition

Direct product of categories $\text{Rep}(G) = \prod_{\mathfrak{s}} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$
where \mathfrak{s} is determined by a supercuspidal representation σ of a Levi subgroup M of G

We suppose that M and σ are given

Questions

- What does $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ look like? Is it the module category of an explicit algebra?
- Can one classify $\text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \text{Irr}(G) \cap \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$?
- Can one describe tempered/unitary/square-integrable representations in $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$?

I. Bernstein components and a rough version of the new results

Bernstein components

$P = MU$: parabolic subgroup of G with Levi factor M

$I_P^G : \text{Rep}(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(P) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$: normalized parabolic induction

Definition

For $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$:

- π is supercuspidal if it does not occur in $I_P^G(\sigma)$ for any proper parabolic subgroup P of G and any $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$
- Supercuspidal support $\text{Sc}(\pi)$: a pair (M, σ) with $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$, such that π is a constituent of $I_P^G(\sigma)$ and M is minimal for this property

$X_{\text{nr}}(M)$: group of unramified characters $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$

$\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Irr}(M)$: an $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ -orbit of supercuspidal irreps

$\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$: G -association class of (M, \mathcal{O})

Definition

$\text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \text{Sc}(\pi) \in [M, \mathcal{O}]\}$

$\text{Rep}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \text{all irreducible subquotients of } \pi \text{ lie in } \text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s}\}$

Bernstein components

$P = MU$: parabolic subgroup of G with Levi factor M

$I_P^G : \text{Rep}(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(P) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$: normalized parabolic induction

Definition

For $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$:

- π is supercuspidal if it does not occur in $I_P^G(\sigma)$ for any proper parabolic subgroup P of G and any $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$
- Supercuspidal support $\text{Sc}(\pi)$: a pair (M, σ) with $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$, such that π is a constituent of $I_P^G(\sigma)$ and M is minimal for this property

$X_{\text{nr}}(M)$: group of unramified characters $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$

$\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Irr}(M)$: an $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ -orbit of supercuspidal irreps

$\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$: G -association class of (M, \mathcal{O})

Definition

$\text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \text{Sc}(\pi) \in [M, \mathcal{O}]\}$

$\text{Rep}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \text{all irreducible subquotients of } \pi \text{ lie in } \text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s}\}$

Bernstein components

$P = MU$: parabolic subgroup of G with Levi factor M

$I_P^G : \text{Rep}(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(P) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$: normalized parabolic induction

Definition

For $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$:

- π is supercuspidal if it does not occur in $I_P^G(\sigma)$ for any proper parabolic subgroup P of G and any $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$
- Supercuspidal support $\text{Sc}(\pi)$: a pair (M, σ) with $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$, such that π is a constituent of $I_P^G(\sigma)$ and M is minimal for this property

$X_{\text{nr}}(M)$: group of unramified characters $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$

$\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Irr}(M)$: an $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ -orbit of supercuspidal irreps

$\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$: G -association class of (M, \mathcal{O})

Definition

$\text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \text{Sc}(\pi) \in [M, \mathcal{O}]\}$

$\text{Rep}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \text{all irreducible subquotients of } \pi \text{ lie in } \text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s}\}$

Bernstein components

$P = MU$: parabolic subgroup of G with Levi factor M

$I_P^G : \text{Rep}(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(P) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$: normalized parabolic induction

Definition

For $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$:

- π is supercuspidal if it does not occur in $I_P^G(\sigma)$ for any proper parabolic subgroup P of G and any $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$
- Supercuspidal support $\text{Sc}(\pi)$: a pair (M, σ) with $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(M)$, such that π is a constituent of $I_P^G(\sigma)$ and M is minimal for this property

$X_{\text{nr}}(M)$: group of unramified characters $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$

$\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Irr}(M)$: an $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$ -orbit of supercuspidal irreps

$\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$: G -association class of (M, \mathcal{O})

Definition

$\text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \text{Sc}(\pi) \in [M, \mathcal{O}]\}$

$\text{Rep}(G)^\mathfrak{s} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \text{all irreducible subquotients of } \pi \text{ lie in } \text{Irr}(G)^\mathfrak{s}\}$

Iwahori-spherical component

I : an Iwahori subgroup of G

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G)' = \{(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) : V \text{ is generated by } V'\}$$

The foremost example of a Bernstein component,
for $\mathfrak{s} = [M, X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)]$ where M is a minimal Levi subgroup of G

Theorem (Borel, Iwahori–Matsumoto, Morris)

$\mathcal{H}(G, I) := C_c(I \backslash G/I)$ with the convolution product

- $\mathrm{Rep}(G)'$ is equivalent with $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(G, I))$
- $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ is isomorphic with an affine Hecke algebra

When G is F -split, $M = T$ and these affine Hecke algebras are understood very well from Kazhdan–Lusztig

Iwahori-spherical component

I : an Iwahori subgroup of G

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G)' = \{(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) : V \text{ is generated by } V'\}$$

The foremost example of a Bernstein component,
for $\mathfrak{s} = [M, X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)]$ where M is a minimal Levi subgroup of G

Theorem (Borel, Iwahori–Matsumoto, Morris)

$\mathcal{H}(G, I) := C_c(I \backslash G/I)$ with the convolution product

- $\mathrm{Rep}(G)'$ is equivalent with $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(G, I))$
- $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ is isomorphic with an affine Hecke algebra

When G is F -split, $M = T$ and these affine Hecke algebras are understood very well from Kazhdan–Lusztig

Iwahori-spherical component

I : an Iwahori subgroup of G

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G)' = \{(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) : V \text{ is generated by } V'\}$$

The foremost example of a Bernstein component,
for $\mathfrak{s} = [M, X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)]$ where M is a minimal Levi subgroup of G

Theorem (Borel, Iwahori–Matsumoto, Morris)

$\mathcal{H}(G, I) := C_c(I \backslash G/I)$ with the convolution product

- $\mathrm{Rep}(G)'$ is equivalent with $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(G, I))$
- $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ is isomorphic with an affine Hecke algebra

When G is F -split, $M = T$ and these affine Hecke algebras are understood very well from Kazhdan–Lusztig

Iwahori-spherical component

I : an Iwahori subgroup of G

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G)' = \{(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) : V \text{ is generated by } V'\}$$

The foremost example of a Bernstein component,
for $\mathfrak{s} = [M, X_{\mathrm{nr}}(M)]$ where M is a minimal Levi subgroup of G

Theorem (Borel, Iwahori–Matsumoto, Morris)

$\mathcal{H}(G, I) := C_c(I \backslash G/I)$ with the convolution product

- $\mathrm{Rep}(G)'$ is equivalent with $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(G, I))$
- $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ is isomorphic with an affine Hecke algebra

When G is F -split, $M = T$ and these affine Hecke algebras are understood very well from Kazhdan–Lusztig

Centre of a Bernstein component

$N_G(M)$ acts on $\text{Rep}(M)$ by $(g \cdot \sigma)(m) = \sigma(g^{-1}mg)$

$$W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$: ring of regular functions on the complex torus \mathcal{O}

Theorem (Bernstein, 1984)

The centre of $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$ is $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]^{W(M, \mathcal{O})}$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})] := \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ with multiplication from $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ -action on \mathcal{O} :

$$(f \otimes w)(f' \otimes w') = f w(f') \otimes ww'$$

Main result (first rough version)

$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$ looks like $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})])$

Centre of a Bernstein component

$N_G(M)$ acts on $\text{Rep}(M)$ by $(g \cdot \sigma)(m) = \sigma(g^{-1}mg)$

$$W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$: ring of regular functions on the complex torus \mathcal{O}

Theorem (Bernstein, 1984)

The centre of $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$ is $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]^{W(M, \mathcal{O})}$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})] := \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ with multiplication from $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ -action on \mathcal{O} :

$$(f \otimes w)(f' \otimes w') = f w(f') \otimes ww'$$

Main result (first rough version)

$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$ looks like $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})])$

Centre of a Bernstein component

$N_G(M)$ acts on $\text{Rep}(M)$ by $(g \cdot \sigma)(m) = \sigma(g^{-1}mg)$

$$W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$: ring of regular functions on the complex torus \mathcal{O}

Theorem (Bernstein, 1984)

The centre of $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$ is $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]^{W(M, \mathcal{O})}$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})] := \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ with multiplication from $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ -action on \mathcal{O} :

$$(f \otimes w)(f' \otimes w') = f w(f') \otimes ww'$$

Main result (first rough version)

$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$ looks like $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})])$

Centre of a Bernstein component

$N_G(M)$ acts on $\text{Rep}(M)$ by $(g \cdot \sigma)(m) = \sigma(g^{-1}mg)$

$$W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$: ring of regular functions on the complex torus \mathcal{O}

Theorem (Bernstein, 1984)

The centre of $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$ is $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]^{W(M, \mathcal{O})}$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})] := \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ with multiplication from $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ -action on \mathcal{O} :

$$(f \otimes w)(f' \otimes w') = f w(f') \otimes ww'$$

Main result (first rough version)

$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$ looks like $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})])$

Approach with progenerators

Π : progenerator of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

so $\Pi \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is finitely generated, projective and $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \neq 0$ for every $\rho \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \setminus \{0\}$

Lemma (from category theory)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod} \\ \rho & \mapsto & \text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \\ V \otimes_{\text{End}_G(\Pi)} \Pi & \xleftarrow{\quad} & V \end{array}$$

is an equivalence of categories

Setup of talk

Investigate the structure and the representation theory of $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$, for a suitable progenerator Π of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

Draw consequences for $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

Approach with progenerators

Π : progenerator of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

so $\Pi \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is finitely generated, projective and $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \neq 0$ for every $\rho \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \setminus \{0\}$

Lemma (from category theory)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod} \\ \rho & \mapsto & \text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \\ V \otimes_{\text{End}_G(\Pi)} \Pi & \xleftarrow{\quad} & V \end{array}$$

is an equivalence of categories

Setup of talk

Investigate the structure and the representation theory of $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$, for a suitable progenerator Π of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

Draw consequences for $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

Approach with progenerators

Π : progenerator of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

so $\Pi \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is finitely generated, projective and $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \neq 0$ for every $\rho \in \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \setminus \{0\}$

Lemma (from category theory)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod} \\ \rho & \mapsto & \text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho) \\ V \otimes_{\text{End}_G(\Pi)} \Pi & \xleftarrow{\quad} & V \end{array}$$

is an equivalence of categories

Setup of talk

Investigate the structure and the representation theory of $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$, for a suitable progenerator Π of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

Draw consequences for $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

Comparison with types

$J \subset G$ compact open subgroup, $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(J)$

Suppose: (J, λ) is a \mathfrak{s} -type, so

$\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \pi \text{ is generated by its } \lambda\text{-isotypical component}\}$

Bushnell–Kutzko: $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is equivalent with $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)\text{-Mod}$

Consequences

- $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$ and $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ are Morita equivalent
- In many cases $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ is Morita equivalent with an affine Hecke algebra

Problems:

- It is not known whether every Bernstein component admits a type
- Even if you have (J, λ) , it can be difficult to analyse $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$

Comparison with types

$J \subset G$ compact open subgroup, $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(J)$

Suppose: (J, λ) is a \mathfrak{s} -type, so

$\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \pi \text{ is generated by its } \lambda\text{-isotypical component}\}$

Bushnell–Kutzko: $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is equivalent with $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)\text{-Mod}$

Consequences

- $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$ and $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ are Morita equivalent
- In many cases $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ is Morita equivalent with an affine Hecke algebra

Problems:

- It is not known whether every Bernstein component admits a type
- Even if you have (J, λ) , it can be difficult to analyse $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$

Comparison with types

$J \subset G$ compact open subgroup, $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(J)$

Suppose: (J, λ) is a \mathfrak{s} -type, so

$\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \{\pi \in \text{Rep}(G) : \pi \text{ is generated by its } \lambda\text{-isotypical component}\}$

Bushnell–Kutzko: $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is equivalent with $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)\text{-Mod}$

Consequences

- $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$ and $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ are Morita equivalent
- In many cases $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ is Morita equivalent with an affine Hecke algebra

Problems:

- It is not known whether every Bernstein component admits a type
- Even if you have (J, λ) , it can be difficult to analyse $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$

II. The structure of supercuspidal Bernstein components

based on work of Roche

Underlying tori

$\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)$ supercuspidal

$$\mathcal{O} = \{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G)\}$$

Covering $X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} : \chi \mapsto \sigma \otimes \chi$

Example: $GL_2(F)$

χ_- : quadratic unramified character of $GL_2(F)$

It is possible that $\sigma \otimes \chi_- \cong \sigma$,

see the book of Bushnell–Henniart

Then $\mathbb{C}^\times \cong X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a degree two covering

$X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) := \{\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G) : \sigma \otimes \chi \cong \sigma\}$, a finite group

$X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is bijective, this makes \mathcal{O} a complex algebraic torus (as variety)

Underlying tori

$\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)$ supercuspidal

$$\mathcal{O} = \{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G)\}$$

Covering $X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} : \chi \mapsto \sigma \otimes \chi$

Example: $GL_2(F)$

χ_- : quadratic unramified character of $GL_2(F)$

It is possible that $\sigma \otimes \chi_- \cong \sigma$,

see the book of Bushnell–Henniart

Then $\mathbb{C}^\times \cong X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a degree two covering

$X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) := \{\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G) : \sigma \otimes \chi \cong \sigma\}$, a finite group

$X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is bijective, this makes \mathcal{O} a complex algebraic torus (as variety)

Underlying tori

$\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)$ supercuspidal

$$\mathcal{O} = \{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G)\}$$

Covering $X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} : \chi \mapsto \sigma \otimes \chi$

Example: $GL_2(F)$

χ_- : quadratic unramified character of $GL_2(F)$

It is possible that $\sigma \otimes \chi_- \cong \sigma$,

see the book of Bushnell–Henniart

Then $\mathbb{C}^\times \cong X_{\text{nr}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a degree two covering

$X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) := \{\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G) : \sigma \otimes \chi \cong \sigma\}$, a finite group

$X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is bijective, this makes \mathcal{O} a complex algebraic torus (as variety)

A progenerator

G^1 : subgroup of G generated by all compact subgroups
 $\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\text{triv}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[G/G^1] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

Lemma (Bernstein)

For $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(G)$ supercuspidal

$$\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\sigma) = E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$$

is a progenerator of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$, with $\mathfrak{s} = [G, \mathcal{O}] = [G, X_{\text{nr}}(G)\sigma]$

Some endomorphisms of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

- $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \subset \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$, by multiplication operators
- for $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$: $\sigma \cong \chi \otimes \sigma$
in combination with translation by χ on $X_{\text{nr}}(G)$ that gives a $\phi_{\chi} \in \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

A progenerator

G^1 : subgroup of G generated by all compact subgroups
 $\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\text{triv}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[G/G^1] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

Lemma (Bernstein)

For $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(G)$ supercuspidal

$$\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\sigma) = E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$$

is a progenerator of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$, with $\mathfrak{s} = [G, \mathcal{O}] = [G, X_{\text{nr}}(G)\sigma]$

Some endomorphisms of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

- $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \subset \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$, by multiplication operators
- for $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$: $\sigma \cong \chi \otimes \sigma$
in combination with translation by χ on $X_{\text{nr}}(G)$ that gives a $\phi_{\chi} \in \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

A progenerator

G^1 : subgroup of G generated by all compact subgroups

$$\mathrm{ind}_{G^1}^G(\mathrm{triv}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[G/G^1] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\mathrm{nr}}(G)]$$

Lemma (Bernstein)

For $(\sigma, E) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ supercuspidal

$$\mathrm{ind}_{G^1}^G(\sigma) = E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\mathrm{nr}}(G)]$$

is a progenerator of $\mathrm{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$, with $\mathfrak{s} = [G, \mathcal{O}] = [G, X_{\mathrm{nr}}(G)\sigma]$

Some endomorphisms of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\mathrm{nr}}(G)]$

- $\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathrm{nr}}(G)] \subset \mathrm{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\mathrm{nr}}(G)])$, by multiplication operators
- for $\chi \in X_{\mathrm{nr}}(G, \sigma)$: $\sigma \cong \chi \otimes \sigma$
in combination with translation by χ on $X_{\mathrm{nr}}(G)$ that gives a $\phi_{\chi} \in \mathrm{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\mathrm{nr}}(G)])$

A progenerator

G^1 : subgroup of G generated by all compact subgroups
 $\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\text{triv}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[G/G^1] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

Lemma (Bernstein)

For $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(G)$ supercuspidal

$$\text{ind}_{G^1}^G(\sigma) = E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$$

is a progenerator of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$, with $\mathfrak{s} = [G, \mathcal{O}] = [G, X_{\text{nr}}(G)\sigma]$

Some endomorphisms of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]$

- $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \subset \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$, by multiplication operators
- for $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$: $\sigma \cong \chi \otimes \sigma$
in combination with translation by χ on $X_{\text{nr}}(G)$ that gives a $\phi_{\chi} \in \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

Structure of endomorphism algebra

For $\chi, \chi' \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$ there exists $\natural(\chi, \chi') \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that

$$\phi_\chi \circ \phi_{\chi'} = \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

This gives a twisted group algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$ inside $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

As vector space: $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$, with multiplication

$$(f \otimes \phi_\chi)(f' \otimes \phi_{\chi'}) = f(f' \circ m_\chi^{-1}) \otimes \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

Properties, from $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

- $\text{Irr}(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \longleftrightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}$
- $Z(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$

Structure of endomorphism algebra

For $\chi, \chi' \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$ there exists $\natural(\chi, \chi') \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that

$$\phi_\chi \circ \phi_{\chi'} = \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

This gives a twisted group algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$ inside $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

As vector space: $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$, with multiplication

$$(f \otimes \phi_\chi)(f' \otimes \phi_{\chi'}) = f(f' \circ m_\chi^{-1}) \otimes \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

Properties, from $\text{Rep}(G)^{\text{f}}_s$

- $\text{Irr}(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \longleftrightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}$
- $Z(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$

Structure of endomorphism algebra

For $\chi, \chi' \in X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)$ there exists $\natural(\chi, \chi') \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that

$$\phi_\chi \circ \phi_{\chi'} = \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

This gives a twisted group algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$ inside $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$

Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

As vector space: $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$, with multiplication

$$(f \otimes \phi_\chi)(f' \otimes \phi_{\chi'}) = f(f' \circ m_\chi^{-1}) \otimes \natural(\chi, \chi') \phi_{\chi\chi'}$$

Properties, from $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

- $\text{Irr}(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \longleftrightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}$
- $Z(\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$

Structure of $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

$$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural \cong \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])\text{-Mod}$$

Lemma (Roche, Heiermann)

If $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$ is multiplicity-free or \natural is trivial, then $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$ is Morita equivalent with the commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)]$

Questions

Maybe $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$ is always multiplicity-free?

Maybe $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$ is always Morita equivalent with $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$?

Structure of $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

$$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural \cong \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])\text{-Mod}$$

Lemma (Roche, Heiermann)

If $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$ is multiplicity-free or \natural is trivial, then $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$ is Morita equivalent with the commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)]$

Questions

Maybe $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$ is always multiplicity-free?

Maybe $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$ is always Morita equivalent with $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$?

Structure of $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

Theorem (Roche)

$$\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)]) \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma), \natural]$$

$$\text{Rep}(G)^\natural \cong \text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])\text{-Mod}$$

Lemma (Roche, Heiermann)

If $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$ is multiplicity-free or \natural is trivial, then $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$ is Morita equivalent with the commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)/X_{\text{nr}}(G, \sigma)]$

Questions

Maybe $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G(\sigma)$ is always multiplicity-free?

Maybe $\text{End}_G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(G)])$ is always Morita equivalent with $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$?

III. Structure of non-supercuspidal Bernstein components

Motivated by work of Heiermann for classical p -adic groups

A progenerator

$P = MU$: parabolic subgroup of G , $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(M)$ supercuspidal
 $\mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(M)\sigma$, $\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

Theorem (Bernstein)

$\Pi := I_P^G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)])$ is a progenerator of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$
In particular $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$

This is deep, it relies on second adjointness

Via I_P^G , $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ embeds in $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

Lemma

$\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$. Suppose that the $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -module $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho)$ has a $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weight χ .
Then ρ has supercuspidal support $(M, \sigma \otimes \chi)$.

A progenerator

$P = MU$: parabolic subgroup of G , $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(M)$ supercuspidal
 $\mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(M)\sigma$, $\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

Theorem (Bernstein)

$\Pi := I_P^G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)])$ is a progenerator of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$
In particular $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$

This is deep, it relies on second adjointness

Via I_P^G , $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ embeds in $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

Lemma

$\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$. Suppose that the $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -module $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho)$ has a $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weight χ .
Then ρ has supercuspidal support $(M, \sigma \otimes \chi)$.

A progenerator

$P = MU$: parabolic subgroup of G , $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(M)$ supercuspidal

$\mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(M)\sigma$, $\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

Theorem (Bernstein)

$\Pi := I_P^G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)])$ is a progenerator of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

In particular $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$

This is deep, it relies on second adjointness

Via I_P^G , $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ embeds in $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

Lemma

$\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$. Suppose that the $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -module $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho)$ has a $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weight χ .

Then ρ has supercuspidal support $(M, \sigma \otimes \chi)$.

A progenerator

$P = MU$: parabolic subgroup of G , $(\sigma, E) \in \text{Irr}(M)$ supercuspidal
 $\mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(M)\sigma$, $\mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

Theorem (Bernstein)

$\Pi := I_P^G(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)])$ is a progenerator of $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$
In particular $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$

This is deep, it relies on second adjointness

Via I_P^G , $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ embeds in $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

Lemma

$\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$. Suppose that the $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -module $\text{Hom}_G(\Pi, \rho)$ has a $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weight χ .
Then ρ has supercuspidal support $(M, \sigma \otimes \chi)$.

Example: $SL_2(F)$

$$M = T, \sigma = \text{triv}, \mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$W(G, T) = \{1, s_\alpha\}$$

Harish-Chandra's intertwining operator

$$I_{s_\alpha}(\chi) : I_P^G(\chi) \rightarrow I_P^G(\chi^{-1}), \quad f \mapsto [g \mapsto \int_{U_{-\alpha}} f(us_\alpha g) du]$$

rational as function of $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(T)]} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) = \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[1, J_{s_\alpha}]$$

where J_{s_α} comes from I_{s_α} , acting as $\chi \mapsto \chi^{-1}$ on $X_{\text{nr}}(T)$, $J_{s_\alpha}^2 = 1$

Singularities of J_{s_α}

at $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$ with $\chi(\alpha^\vee(\text{uniformizer of } F)) = q_F^{\pm 1}$

For these χ : $I_P^G(\chi)$ is reducible

Example: $SL_2(F)$

$$M = T, \sigma = \text{triv}, \mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$W(G, T) = \{1, s_\alpha\}$$

Harish-Chandra's intertwining operator

$$I_{s_\alpha}(\chi) : I_P^G(\chi) \rightarrow I_P^G(\chi^{-1}), \quad f \mapsto [g \mapsto \int_{U_{-\alpha}} f(us_\alpha g) du]$$

rational as function of $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(T)]} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) = \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[1, J_{s_\alpha}]$$

where J_{s_α} comes from I_{s_α} , acting as $\chi \mapsto \chi^{-1}$ on $X_{\text{nr}}(T)$, $J_{s_\alpha}^2 = 1$

Singularities of J_{s_α}

at $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$ with $\chi(\alpha^\vee(\text{uniformizer of } F)) = q_F^{\pm 1}$

For these χ : $I_P^G(\chi)$ is reducible

Example: $SL_2(F)$

$$M = T, \sigma = \text{triv}, \mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$W(G, T) = \{1, s_\alpha\}$$

Harish-Chandra's intertwining operator

$$I_{s_\alpha}(\chi) : I_P^G(\chi) \rightarrow I_P^G(\chi^{-1}), \quad f \mapsto [g \mapsto \int_{U_{-\alpha}} f(us_\alpha g) du]$$

rational as function of $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(T)]} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) = \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[1, J_{s_\alpha}]$$

where J_{s_α} comes from I_{s_α} , acting as $\chi \mapsto \chi^{-1}$ on $X_{\text{nr}}(T)$, $J_{s_\alpha}^2 = 1$

Singularities of J_{s_α}

at $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$ with $\chi(\alpha^\vee(\text{uniformizer of } F)) = q_F^{\pm 1}$

For these χ : $I_P^G(\chi)$ is reducible

Example: $SL_2(F)$

$$M = T, \sigma = \text{triv}, \mathcal{O} = X_{\text{nr}}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$W(G, T) = \{1, s_\alpha\}$$

Harish-Chandra's intertwining operator

$$I_{s_\alpha}(\chi) : I_P^G(\chi) \rightarrow I_P^G(\chi^{-1}), \quad f \mapsto \left[g \mapsto \int_{U_{-\alpha}} f(us_\alpha g) du \right]$$

rational as function of $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(T)]} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) = \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(T)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[1, J_{s_\alpha}]$$

where J_{s_α} comes from I_{s_α} , acting as $\chi \mapsto \chi^{-1}$ on $X_{\text{nr}}(T)$, $J_{s_\alpha}^2 = 1$

Singularities of J_{s_α}

at $\chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(T)$ with $\chi(\alpha^\vee(\text{uniformizer of } F)) = q_F^{\pm 1}$

For these χ : $I_P^G(\chi)$ is reducible

Finite groups related to (M, \mathcal{O}) and $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

- $X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma)$, acting on $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\}/M$, acting on \mathcal{O}

Every $w \in W(M, \mathcal{O})$ lifts to a $\mathfrak{w} \in \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

Lemma

There exists a group $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \subset \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ with

$$1 \rightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma) \rightarrow W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rightarrow W(M, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 1$$

Example

$G = GL_6(F)$, $M = GL_2(F)^3$, $\sigma = \tau^{\boxtimes 3}$, then $X_{\text{nr}}(M) \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3$ and

either $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \cong S_3$

or $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \rtimes S_3$

Finite groups related to (M, \mathcal{O}) and $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

- $X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma)$, acting on $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$, acting on \mathcal{O}

Every $w \in W(M, \mathcal{O})$ lifts to a $\mathfrak{w} \in \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

Lemma

There exists a group $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \subset \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ with

$$1 \rightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma) \rightarrow W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rightarrow W(M, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 1$$

Example

$G = GL_6(F)$, $M = GL_2(F)^3$, $\sigma = \tau^{\boxtimes 3}$, then $X_{\text{nr}}(M) \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3$ and

either $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \cong S_3$

or $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \rtimes S_3$

Finite groups related to (M, \mathcal{O}) and $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

- $X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma)$, acting on $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in N_G(M) : g \text{ stabilizes } \mathcal{O}\} / M$, acting on \mathcal{O}

Every $w \in W(M, \mathcal{O})$ lifts to a $\mathfrak{w} \in \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

Lemma

There exists a group $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \subset \text{Aut}_{\text{alg.var.}}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ with

$$1 \rightarrow X_{\text{nr}}(M, \sigma) \rightarrow W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rightarrow W(M, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 1$$

Example

$G = GL_6(F)$, $M = GL_2(F)^3$, $\sigma = \tau^{\boxtimes 3}$, then $X_{\text{nr}}(M) \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3$ and

either $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \cong S_3$

or $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \rtimes S_3$

Structure of $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

$\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$: quotient field of $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$, rational functions on $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

Main result (precise but weak version)

There exist a 2-cocycle \natural of $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ and an algebra isomorphism

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \underset{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \natural]$$

In some examples \natural is nontrivial

This result only says something about $\text{Rep}(G)^5 \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$ outside the tricky points of the cuspidal support variety \mathcal{O}

Structure of $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

$\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$: quotient field of $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$, rational functions on $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

Main result (precise but weak version)

There exist a 2-cocycle \natural of $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ and an algebra isomorphism

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \underset{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \natural]$$

In some examples \natural is nontrivial

This result only says something about $\text{Rep}(G)^5 \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$ outside the tricky points of the cuspidal support variety \mathcal{O}

Structure of $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$

$\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$: quotient field of $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$, rational functions on $X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

Main result (precise but weak version)

There exist a 2-cocycle \natural of $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ and an algebra isomorphism

$$\text{End}_G(\Pi) \underset{\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cong \mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \natural]$$

In some examples \natural is nontrivial

This result only says something about $\text{Rep}(G)^5 \cong \text{End}_G(\Pi)\text{-Mod}$ outside the tricky points of the cuspidal support variety \mathcal{O}

IV. Links with affine Hecke algebras

Sketch of an extended affine Hecke algebra

- Start with $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ contains a normal reflection subgroup $W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- Twist the multiplication in $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ by a 2-cocycle $\tilde{\eta}$ of $W(M, \mathcal{O})/W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- For every simple reflection $s_{\alpha} \in W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$, replace the relation $(s_{\alpha} + 1)(s_{\alpha} - 1) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ by
$$(T_{s_{\alpha}} + 1)(T_{s_{\alpha}} - q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}) = 0 \quad \text{for some } \lambda(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$
- Adjust the multiplication relations between $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ and the $T_{s_{\alpha}}$
- This gives an algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ with the same underlying vector space $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$, $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ is still a subalgebra

Sketch of an extended affine Hecke algebra

- Start with $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ contains a normal reflection subgroup $W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- Twist the multiplication in $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ by a 2-cocycle $\tilde{\eta}$ of $W(M, \mathcal{O})/W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- For every simple reflection $s_{\alpha} \in W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$, replace the relation $(s_{\alpha} + 1)(s_{\alpha} - 1) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ by
$$(T_{s_{\alpha}} + 1)(T_{s_{\alpha}} - q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}) = 0 \quad \text{for some } \lambda(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$
- Adjust the multiplication relations between $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ and the $T_{s_{\alpha}}$
- This gives an algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ with the same underlying vector space $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$, $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ is still a subalgebra

Sketch of an extended affine Hecke algebra

- Start with $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$
- $W(M, \mathcal{O})$ contains a normal reflection subgroup $W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- Twist the multiplication in $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ by a 2-cocycle $\tilde{\eta}$ of $W(M, \mathcal{O})/W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$
- For every simple reflection $s_{\alpha} \in W(\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})$, replace the relation $(s_{\alpha} + 1)(s_{\alpha} - 1) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$ by
$$(T_{s_{\alpha}} + 1)(T_{s_{\alpha}} - q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}) = 0 \quad \text{for some } \lambda(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$
- Adjust the multiplication relations between $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ and the $T_{s_{\alpha}}$
- This gives an algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ with the same underlying vector space $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$, $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ is still a subalgebra

Localization

We analyse the category of those $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -modules, all whose $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weights lie in a specified subset $U \subset X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

These are related to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ -modules with $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ -weights in $\{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in U\}$

Polar decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\text{nr}}(M) &= \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1) \times \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{R}_{>0}) \\ &= X_{\text{unr}}(M) \times X_{\text{nr}}^+(M) \end{aligned}$$

Fix any $u \in \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1)$ and define

$$U = W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \cdot u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)$$

$$\tilde{U} = \text{image of } U \text{ in } \mathcal{O} = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \{\sigma \otimes u\chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$$

Localization

We analyse the category of those $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -modules, all whose $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weights lie in a specified subset $U \subset X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

These are related to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ -modules with $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ -weights in $\{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in U\}$

Polar decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\text{nr}}(M) &= \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1) \times \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{R}_{>0}) \\ &= X_{\text{unr}}(M) \quad \times \quad X_{\text{nr}}^+(M) \end{aligned}$$

Fix any $u \in \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1)$ and define

$$U = W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) \, u \, X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)$$

$$\tilde{U} = \text{image of } U \text{ in } \mathcal{O} = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \{ \sigma \otimes u\chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}^+(M) \}$$

Localization

We analyse the category of those $\text{End}_G(\Pi)$ -modules, all whose $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$ -weights lie in a specified subset $U \subset X_{\text{nr}}(M)$

These are related to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ -modules with $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ -weights in $\{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in U\}$

Polar decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\text{nr}}(M) &= \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1) \times \text{Hom}(M/M^1, \mathbb{R}_{>0}) \\ &= X_{\text{unr}}(M) \times X_{\text{nr}}^+(M) \end{aligned}$$

Fix any $u \in \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1)$ and define

$$U = W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)$$

$$\tilde{U} = \text{image of } U \text{ in } \mathcal{O} = W(M, \mathcal{O}) \{\sigma \otimes u\chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$$

Main result

G : reductive p -adic group

$\mathcal{O} = \{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(M)\}, \mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

Π : progenerator of Bernstein block $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ constructed by modification of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$
(with certain specific parameters $q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}$)

$u \in \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1), U = W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)$

\tilde{U} : image of U in \mathcal{O}

Theorem

There are equivalences between the following categories

- $\{\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} : \text{Sc}(\pi) \subset (M, \tilde{U})\}$ (fl : finite length)
- $\{V \in \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]\text{-weights of } V \text{ in } U\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weights of } \tilde{V} \text{ in } \tilde{U}\}$

Main result

G : reductive p -adic group

$\mathcal{O} = \{\sigma \otimes \chi : \chi \in X_{\text{nr}}(M)\}, \mathfrak{s} = [M, \mathcal{O}]$

Π : progenerator of Bernstein block $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$

$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ constructed by modification of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})]$
(with certain specific parameters $q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}$)

$u \in \text{Hom}(M/M^1, S^1), U = W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)) u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)$

\tilde{U} : image of U in \mathcal{O}

Theorem

There are equivalences between the following categories

- $\{\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} : \text{Sc}(\pi) \subset (M, \tilde{U})\}$ (fl : finite length)
- $\{V \in \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]\text{-weights of } V \text{ in } U\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weights of } \tilde{V} \text{ in } \tilde{U}\}$

Main result

Theorem

There are equivalences between the following categories

- $\{\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\text{f}} : \text{Sc}(\pi) \subset \tilde{U}\}$ (fl : finite length)
- $\{V \in \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]\text{-weights of } V \text{ in } U\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weights of } \tilde{V} \text{ in } \tilde{U}\}$

Under a mild condition on the 2-cocycle $\tilde{\eta}$ involved in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$
(conjecturally always fulfilled):

Corollary

There is an equivalence of categories between

$$\text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\text{f}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}$$

Extras

The above equivalences of categories respect parabolic induction, temperedness and square-integrability of representations

Main result

Theorem

There are equivalences between the following categories

- $\{\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\text{f}} : \text{Sc}(\pi) \subset \tilde{U}\}$ (fl : finite length)
- $\{V \in \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]\text{-weights of } V \text{ in } U\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weights of } \tilde{V} \text{ in } \tilde{U}\}$

Under a mild condition on the 2-cocycle $\tilde{\eta}$ involved in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$
(conjecturally always fulfilled):

Corollary

There is an equivalence of categories between

$$\text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\text{f}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}$$

Extras

The above equivalences of categories respect parabolic induction, temperedness and square-integrability of representations

Main result

Theorem

There are equivalences between the following categories

- $\{\pi \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} : \text{Sc}(\pi) \subset \tilde{U}\}$ (fl : finite length)
- $\{V \in \text{End}_G(\Pi) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]\text{-weights of } V \text{ in } U\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}} : \text{all } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weights of } \tilde{V} \text{ in } \tilde{U}\}$

Under a mild condition on the 2-cocycle $\tilde{\eta}$ involved in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$
(conjecturally always fulfilled):

Corollary

There is an equivalence of categories between

$$\text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}$$

Extras

The above equivalences of categories respect parabolic induction, temperedness and square-integrability of representations

V. Classification of irreducible representations in $\text{Rep}(G)^\natural$

Representations of affine Hecke algebras

- From the equivalence $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{aff}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{aff}}$, $\mathrm{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ can be determined in terms of affine Hecke algebras
- The irreps of an affine Hecke algebra are known in principle, but their classification is involved

Replacing q_F by 1 in affine Hecke algebras

- $q_F = 1$ -version of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$: $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]$
- Its representation theory is easy, with Clifford theory

Representations of affine Hecke algebras

- From the equivalence $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{aff}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O}) - \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{aff}}$, $\mathrm{Irr}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ can be determined in terms of affine Hecke algebras
- The irreps of an affine Hecke algebra are known in principle, but their classification is involved

Replacing q_F by 1 in affine Hecke algebras

- $q_F = 1$ -version of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$: $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]$
- Its representation theory is easy, with Clifford theory

Classification of tempered irreps

Assume that $\sigma \otimes u \in \text{Irr}(M)$ is supercuspidal and unitary/tempered

Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)^{\text{s}} : \pi \text{ tempered, } \text{Sc}(\pi) \in (M, \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M))\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})) : \tilde{V} \text{ tempered, } \tilde{V} \text{ has a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight in } \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$
- $\{V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]) : V \text{ tempered, with a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight } \sigma \otimes u\}$
- $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}])$

$W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}$ embeds in $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}|_{W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}}$ comes from the 2-cocycle \mathfrak{h} of $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

Classification of tempered irreps

Assume that $\sigma \otimes u \in \text{Irr}(M)$ is supercuspidal and unitary/tempered

Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)^s : \pi \text{ tempered, } \text{Sc}(\pi) \in (M, \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M))\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})) : \tilde{V} \text{ tempered, } \tilde{V} \text{ has a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight in } \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$
- $\{V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]) : V \text{ tempered, with a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight } \sigma \otimes u\}$
- $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}])$

$W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}$ embeds in $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}|_{W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}}$ comes from the 2-cocycle \mathfrak{h} of $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

Classification of tempered irreps

Assume that $\sigma \otimes u \in \text{Irr}(M)$ is supercuspidal and unitary/tempered

Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)^s : \pi \text{ tempered, } \text{Sc}(\pi) \in (M, \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M))\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})) : \tilde{V} \text{ tempered, } \tilde{V} \text{ has a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight in } \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$
- $\{V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]) : V \text{ tempered, with a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight } \sigma \otimes u\}$
- $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}])$

$W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}$ embeds in $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}|_{W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}}$ comes from the 2-cocycle \mathfrak{h} of $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

Classification of tempered irreps

Assume that $\sigma \otimes u \in \text{Irr}(M)$ is supercuspidal and unitary/tempered

Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)^s : \pi \text{ tempered, } \text{Sc}(\pi) \in (M, \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M))\}$
- $\{\tilde{V} \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})) : \tilde{V} \text{ tempered, } \tilde{V} \text{ has a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight in } \sigma \otimes u X_{\text{nr}}^+(M)\}$
- $\{V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}]) : V \text{ tempered, with a } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]\text{-weight } \sigma \otimes u\}$
- $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}])$

$W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}$ embeds in $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}|_{W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma \otimes u}}$ comes from the 2-cocycle \mathfrak{h} of $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$

Classification of irreducible representations

Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\text{Irr}(G)^s$
- $\text{Irr}\left(\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \natural]\right)$
- $\text{Irr}\left(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\natural}]\right)$
- $\{(\sigma', \rho) : \sigma' \in \mathcal{O}, \rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma'}, \tilde{\natural}])\} / W(M, \mathcal{O})$

The last item is also known as a twisted extended quotient

$$(\mathcal{O} // W(M, \mathcal{O}))_{\natural}$$

The bijection between that and $\text{Irr}(G)^s$ was conjectured by ABPS

Classification of irreducible representations

Theorem

There exist canonical bijections between the following sets

- $\text{Irr}(G)^s$
- $\text{Irr}\left(\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \natural]\right)$
- $\text{Irr}\left(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \tilde{\natural}]\right)$
- $\{(\sigma', \rho) : \sigma' \in \mathcal{O}, \rho \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O})_{\sigma'}, \tilde{\natural}])\} / W(M, \mathcal{O})$

The last item is also known as a twisted extended quotient

$$(\mathcal{O} // W(M, \mathcal{O}))_{\natural}$$

The bijection between that and $\text{Irr}(G)^s$ was conjectured by ABPS

Summary

For an arbitrary Bernstein block $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ of a reductive p -adic group G :

- $\text{Rep}_{\mathfrak{H}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is equivalent with the category of finite length modules of an extended affine Hecke algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$, whose $q_F = 1$ -form is $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \mathfrak{h}]$
- Upon tensoring with $\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ over $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$, or upon taking irreducible representations, $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ becomes equivalent with $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \mathfrak{h}] - \text{Mod}$

Questions / open problems

- Can one use the above to study unitarity of G -representations?
- Can the parameters $q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ be described in terms of σ or \mathcal{O} ? Are the $\lambda(\alpha)$ integers?
- How to determine the 2-cocycles \mathfrak{h} of $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$?

Summary

For an arbitrary Bernstein block $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ of a reductive p -adic group G :

- $\text{Rep}_{\text{fl}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is equivalent with the category of finite length modules of an extended affine Hecke algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$, whose $q_F = 1$ -form is $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \mathfrak{h}]$
- Upon tensoring with $\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ over $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$, or upon taking irreducible representations, $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ becomes equivalent with $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \mathfrak{h}] - \text{Mod}$

Questions / open problems

- Can one use the above to study unitarity of G -representations?
- Can the parameters $q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ be described in terms of σ or \mathcal{O} ? Are the $\lambda(\alpha)$ integers?
- How to determine the 2-cocycles \mathfrak{h} of $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$?

Summary

For an arbitrary Bernstein block $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ of a reductive p -adic group G :

- $\text{Rep}_{\mathfrak{H}}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is equivalent with the category of finite length modules of an extended affine Hecke algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$, whose $q_F = 1$ -form is $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \mathcal{O}), \mathfrak{h}]$
- Upon tensoring with $\mathbb{C}(X_{\text{nr}}(M))$ over $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)]$, or upon taking irreducible representations, $\text{Rep}(G)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ becomes equivalent with $\mathbb{C}[X_{\text{nr}}(M)] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M)), \mathfrak{h}] - \text{Mod}$

Questions / open problems

- Can one use the above to study unitarity of G -representations?
- Can the parameters $q_F^{\lambda(\alpha)}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{O})$ be described in terms of σ or \mathcal{O} ? Are the $\lambda(\alpha)$ integers?
- How to determine the 2-cocycles \mathfrak{h} of $W(M, \sigma, X_{\text{nr}}(M))$?