

24 / 12 / 20

Prerequisites for 5.8MS1.

Goal of Today:

- i) Pro étale Site.
- ii) Adic Spaces.
- iii) Define the infinite period shf: $\mathcal{A}_{\text{inf}, X}$

We will define: $X_{\text{proét}}$,

$\mathcal{A}_{\text{inf}, X}$ would be a shf on this site.

Ref:

[Sch13, p-adic cohomology, rigid ana. var.] \leftarrow all the arguments are here.

The Pro-étale Site.

• The foll. holds when

- X is loc. Noeth. sch.
- X is loc. Noeth. **adic spc.**

• The disc. is formal: so you don't need to know the obj.

• Idea: $X_{\text{fét}} \hookrightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$.

In $X_{\text{fét}}$, the structures $U \xrightarrow{\text{fét}} X$ are finite étale.

• 1.11.1 $X_{\text{fét}} \simeq \pi_1(X, \bar{x})$ - Funsets.

$\pi_1(X, \bar{x})$ is étale fundamental gp of X .

Rank: $\pi_1(X, \bar{x})$; $X = \text{Spec } k$. $\pi_1(X, \bar{x}) := \text{Gal}(k^s/k)$.

$\bar{x} := \text{Spec } k^s$.

• Goal of defining $X_{\text{proét}}$.

i) Limit of stus of well defined. $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \subseteq \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$.

ii) Properties of adic spacs are nice:

Every adic spc. + cd is pro-étale loc. perfectid.

• I'll explain, inclusion of cat.

$$\text{Pro}(X_{\text{fét}}) \hookrightarrow X_{\text{proét}} \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}(X_{\text{ét}}).$$

3.3RV: $X_{\text{proét}}$

• Under cat $\text{Pro}(X_{\text{fét}})$.

• There is an **underlying spc.** for such an obj.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pro}(X_{\text{fét}}) &\longrightarrow \text{Top} & (*) \\ \varprojlim_i u_i &\longmapsto |u| \simeq \varprojlim_i |u_i|. \end{aligned}$$

• Cov. family: $\{f_i: V_i \rightarrow V\}$

i) Each f_i is open "from (*)"

ii) jointly surj. i.e. $|V| \simeq \bigcup f_i(|V_i|)$

2.3RV $h \in \text{Pro}(\text{FinGrp})$.

• **h -FinSet** := obj: fin sets with h -action. morph: h -equiv

cov: $\{f_i: S_i \rightarrow S\}$ s.t. $S = \bigcup f_i(S_i)$.

• **h -Pro(FinSet)** := obj: fin set with act h -action.

cov: $\{f_i: S_i \rightarrow S\}$ are open act. h . s.t. $S = \bigcup f_i(S_i)$

$X_{\text{ét}}$: obj: $U \xrightarrow{\text{ét}} X$ $U \in \text{Sch}$.

cov: étale covg.

$\{f_i: U_i \rightarrow U\}$.

$|u| = \varprojlim_i |u_i|$

$|u|$ is underlying space of u .

Langra: Galois theory of schemes.

Def: If $f: C \rightarrow D$ is

morphism of sites (\exists a

fun. $f^{-1}: D \rightarrow C$) **SP**.

$\text{Pro}(C)$

• obj: $F: I \rightarrow C$.

I is a small cofiltered diagr.

" \varprojlim " F_i .

• $\text{Hom}(\varprojlim_i F_i, \varprojlim_j G_j)$

$\simeq \varprojlim_j \varprojlim_i \text{Hom}_C(F_i, G_j)$

3.5QV let X be comm. loc. noeth. sch / adic spc. Then.

We $X_{\text{proét}} \cong \pi_1(X, \bar{x}) = \text{Pro}(\text{fibre sets})$.

p.f: Step 1: Describe the functor. l.h.s. to r.h.s.

$$\bullet \quad (Y \subseteq \varprojlim Y_i \rightarrow X) \mapsto (S_Y := \varprojlim S_i),$$

where $S_i :=$ fibre of Y_i at \bar{x} i.e. $\bar{x} \rightarrow Y_i$

• Each S_i carries a cts. $\pi_1(X, \bar{x})$ -action.

By the 1.1L $X_{\text{proét}} \cong \pi_1(X, \bar{x}) = \text{Fibre sets}$.

\leadsto induces an action (by taking \varprojlim) on S_Y .

Step 2: Equivalence of cat.

is formal and boils down to the 1.1L.

Remark on case of field.

$$(S_{\text{proét}})_{\text{proét}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(X, \bar{x}) := \text{Gal}(k^s/k) = \text{Fibre sets}.$$

Sketch:

Step 0: Setup.

• l.h.s is equivalent to cat. of finite, separable k -algebras.

• $F: B \mapsto \text{Alg}_k(B, k^s) \supseteq$ admits an action of $\pi_1 := \text{Gal}(k^s/k)$.

Step 1: Com. alg.

$B \cong \prod_{i=1}^t k_i$, st. each k_i/k is a finite sep. ext.

$$\bullet \quad \text{Alg}_k(B, k^s) \cong \prod_{i=1}^t \text{Alg}_k(k_i, k^s)$$

• $k_i \cong (k^s)^{\pi_i}$, π_i is open subgroup of π_1 .

\leadsto we can write $\prod_{i=1}^t \text{Alg}_k(k_i, k^s) \cong \prod_{i=1}^t B_i/\pi_i \leftarrow$ each of these are finite with π_i -action.

In general case? No idea yet. \square

Step 3: Equivalence of sites

NS: $Y \rightarrow Z$ is $X_{\text{proét}}$ is open iff $S_Y \rightarrow S_Z$ is open.

(\Rightarrow), easier direction

(\Leftarrow) in paper is more difficult.

• $X_{\text{proét}} \cong \pi_1(X, \bar{x}) = \text{fibre sets}$.

• $\pi_1(X, \bar{x})$ for a scheme.

for an adic spc?

• 2.9L.

(\Rightarrow) boils down to

1. \varprojlim_i carries with pts. in spcs.

$$e. |\bar{x} \times_X Y| \cong |Y_{\bar{x}}| \cong |\varprojlim_i Y_i|$$

"underlying space functor" carries with fibre products.

- I can similarly define a site on $X_{\text{proét}}$.

3.9.1v Notions on morphisms: $U \rightarrow V$ in $\text{Pro}(X_{\text{ét}})$.

i) (fin) étale. iff it is induced by (fin) étale in $X_{\text{ét}}$.

- $(*) \Rightarrow X_{\text{ét}} \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}(X_{\text{ét}})$.

imp $U \rightarrow V$ is (fin) étale if \exists a diagram in $\text{Pro}(X_{\text{ét}})$

$$\text{pb.} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U & \rightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ U_0 & \rightarrow & V_0 \end{array} \quad U_0 \rightarrow V_0 \text{ is a (fin) étale in } X_{\text{ét}}$$

ii) pro étale if \exists a presentation $U \simeq \varprojlim_i U_i$.

i) $U_i \rightarrow V$ is étale. in $\text{Pro}(X_{\text{ét}})$.

ii) $U_i \rightarrow U_j \quad \forall i \leq j$.

\hookleftarrow finite étale + surj.

Defn in 5.13MS1. define $U \rightarrow V$ to be pro étale.

$\exists U \simeq \varprojlim_{\mu < \lambda} U_\mu$, where λ is an ordinal. st.

i) $U_0 \rightarrow V$ is étale.

ii) $\forall \mu > 0, U_\mu \rightarrow U_{\mu-1} := \varprojlim_{\mu' < \mu} U_{\mu'}$ is finite étale and surjective.

- These two notions coincide for presentation when λ is countable.

- λ should be λ^2 .

- Notes of Morava:

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ U_3 \\ \downarrow \text{fin étale} \\ U_2 \\ \downarrow \text{fin étale} \\ U_1 \\ \downarrow \\ \checkmark \end{array}$$

Defn: $X_{\text{proét}}$: obj: full subcat of $\text{Pro}(X_{\text{ét}})$ spanned
those which are pro étale over X .

cov: $\{f_i: U_i \rightarrow U\}$ f_i is pro étale.

st. $|U| = \bigcup f_i |U_i|$.

$$(*) \text{Pro}(C) \in \text{Fun}(C, \text{Set})^{\text{op}}$$

f. sub spread the union.

refl. of representables.

$$C \longrightarrow \text{Pro}(C) \quad (\text{coyale})$$

- ordinal:

i) transitive set

ii) well-ordered set by \in .

$$*U := \varprojlim_i U_i \in \text{Pro}(X_{\text{ét}})$$

$$|U| := \varprojlim_i |U_i|$$

- There is a comparison map, a morphism of sites
 $\gamma: X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$
 $(\dots U \rightarrow V \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow U \rightarrow X) \mapsto (U \rightarrow X)$

$$\text{map } (V^*, V_*) : \text{Shv}(X_{\text{proét}}) \xrightleftharpoons{\gamma^*} \text{Shv}(X_{\text{ét}}).$$

3.16 RV. • $f \in \text{Ab}(X_{\text{ét}})$ then

$$H^j(U, v^* f) \cong \varinjlim_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H^j(U_i, f), \quad j \geq 0.$$

 where $U = \varinjlim U_i \in X_{\text{proét}}$

- This says, these sheaves on $X_{\text{proét}}$ induced from pb. don't really give new info.

5.4. BMS1. They construct 6 shvs on $X_{\text{proét}}$.

- Idea: On $X_{\text{ét}}$: (X is an adic spec).

- Can define shvs $\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X^*$.

$$\text{map } \underbrace{\mathcal{O}_X}_{\text{rat.}}, \underbrace{\mathcal{O}_X^*}_{\text{int.}} := \gamma^* \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}, \gamma^* \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}^*.$$

\Rightarrow We can constr. finally new shvs.

5.4.iii) Cpl. int. shvs. $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^* := \varprojlim_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathcal{O}_X^* / \mathfrak{m}$. completion (along quotient)

iv) Cpl. str shf $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_X := \hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^*[\frac{1}{p}]$

v) tilt. $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^* := \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X^* / p$.

vi) $\text{Ainf}_X :=$ the derived v-adic completion of $W(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^*)$

- W is furnished a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra.

Remark: Morrow notes: we can apply Witt functr on $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^*$ pt wise.

$$W\hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{*1}(u) := W(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^*(u)).$$

- and this gives the correct answer??

Adic Spaces.

Sch 12, SP, Pra 17, BP.

Defn: $A \in \text{TopRng}$ is **Tate** if

- 1) \exists open subalg A_0 . **r of defn.**
- 2) induced top on A_0 is t -adic. for some.
 $t \in A_0$ which is unit in A . t is **uniformizer**,
 • using (A_0, t) as a **couple of defn.**

• Given. In all discussion K , n.a. + cpl. field. $K = \mathbb{C}_p = \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}$

• "everything is determined by unit ball".

1) (A_0, t) couple of defn.

$t^n A_0$ is nhd basis of A_0 but also of A as $A \cong \widehat{A_0}$.

2) $A \xrightarrow{f} A$ is cts. \exists n st $t^n f \in A_0$. i.e. $f \in A_0[t^{-1}]$

$\leadsto A \subset A_0[t^{-1}]$.

Notn: $A^\circ :=$ plhd elts of A .

7.2.1 BP • $A \in \text{CA}_{\text{tg}}^{\text{Tate}}$

- A^\dagger as a **ring of int. elements** is any
 open + **int. clcs** - subalg of A° .
- An **affinoid tate ring** is a pair
 (A, A^\dagger) .

• Mapsh: $(A, A^\dagger) \rightarrow (B, B^\dagger)$ cts rmg $f: A \rightarrow B$.
 St. $f(A^\dagger) \subset B^\dagger$.

\leadsto a cat. $\text{CA}_{\text{tg}}^{\text{Aff, Tate}}$.

7.2.2 BP. $(A, A^\dagger) \in \text{CA}_{\text{tg}}^{\text{Aff, Tate}} (\rightarrow \text{CA}_{\text{tg}}^{\text{Tate}})$. is
complete if A is complete (wrt to the topol.).

Prop: $\text{CA}_{\text{tg}}^{\text{Tate, cpl}} \xleftarrow{\perp} \text{CA}_{\text{tg}}^{\text{Tate}}$.
 A + (propety of lnc's (A_0, t)).

Pf: **Step 0. Previous thng.** let $A \in \text{CA}_{\text{tg}}^{\text{Tate}}$.

- **OSch 12, SP.** M is an R -module. $\hat{M} := \varprojlim M/I^n M$.
 - gives the right defn for completion.

• Apply to our case: fix a couple of defn. (A_0, t) of A .

A is an A_0 -module.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A} &:= \varprojlim A/t^n A_0. \cong \varprojlim A_0[t^{-1}]/t^n A_0[t^{-1}] \\ &\cong \dots \\ &\cong (\varprojlim \hat{A}_0 / t^n \hat{A}_0) [t^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{A}_0 := \varprojlim A_0/t^n A_0$.

$\leadsto \hat{A}$ is in fact a cpl tate rmg with couple of defn (\hat{A}_0, t) . \square

• all rings comm. + ord.

Huber rings / f-rings:

1. the same.
2. A_0 is I -adic, for some I fg. A_0 .

Defn: R is a **Banach k -algebra**.

1) $1 \cdot 1: R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

i) norm. $\|f\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = 0$.

ii) submultip: $\|fg\| \leq \|f\| \|g\|$.

iii) n.a. $\|f\| \leq m(A, \|f\|)$.

2) cpl. wrt to the topology induced from norm.

(can define a metric on R .
 $d(x, y) := \|x - y\|$).

• $f \in A$ is plhd iff $\{f^n: n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}\}$
 this is bdd.

In an cpx: (A_0, t)

$\leadsto f$ is plhd iff $\exists c > 0$, st.
 $t^c f^n \in A_0$.

• It is not true that \hat{A}

I -adically cpl. (cpl wrt I -adic topology). is true, if I is fg.

References are all to Blatt.

7.2.5. $\text{CAlg}^{\text{cpl. aff. Tate}} \xleftarrow{\quad} \text{CAlg}^{\text{aff. Tate}} \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{CAlg}^{\text{aff. Tate}}$

Descrip. • $(A, A^+) \in \text{rhs}$

• cpl. is given by (\hat{A}, \hat{A}^+) .

We defined \hat{A} already ($\hat{A} = \varprojlim A/\varpi^n A_0$, for some (A_0, ϖ)).

• There is canonical map $A^+ \rightarrow \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}^+$.

\hat{A}^+ is int. closure of $\text{im}(A^+ \rightarrow \hat{A}^+)$.

7.1.1 $(A, A^+) \in \text{CAlg}^{\text{aff. Tate}}$. The adic spectrum.

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{CAlg}^{\text{aff. Tate}})^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow \text{Top}^{\text{Spec}} \\ (A, A^+) &\longmapsto \text{Spa}(A, A^+). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Spa}(A, A^+) := \{x: A \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{ultra}} \text{cts val}; x(f) := |f(x)| = |f|_x \leq 1 \forall f \in A^+\}.$$

• 2.2 ECD One char.

$$X \simeq \text{Spec} A \quad A \in \text{CAlg}^{\text{ultra}}.$$

• Γ_{ultra} has the adic topology.

$X^{-1}(\Gamma_{\text{ultra}})$ is open in

A , $\eta \in P$

7.3.10. The int. map of completion.

$(A, A^+) \rightarrow (\hat{A}, \hat{A}^+)$ induces equivale. of Top-Spec

$$\text{Spa}(A, A^+) \xleftarrow{\quad} \text{Spa}(\hat{A}, \hat{A}^+).$$

7.4.10. Special spec map locs given by qc. rational subsets.

$$\text{Spa}(A, A^+) \left(\frac{f_1, \dots, f_n}{s} \right) := \{x \in \text{Spa}(A, A^+) : |f_i(x)| \leq |g(x)| \forall i\}$$

7.5.1 Thm: $\forall U \subseteq \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$ is rational subset.

$\exists!$ cpl, aff. Tate. $(\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X^+(U))$. satisfying:

i) It is terminal. of all cpl. aff. Tate - rgs. satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spa}(\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X^+(U)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spa}(A, A^+) \\ & \searrow \scriptstyle \text{?} & \nearrow \\ \text{Spa}(B, B^+) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U \end{array}$$

$$\forall (B, B^+) \in \text{CAlg}^{\text{aff. Tate, cpl.}}$$

ii) $\text{Spa}(\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X^+(U)) \subseteq U$. homeompic.

7.5.1. • $(A, A^+) \in \text{CAg}^{\text{Tot. Aff.}}$, $X := \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$.

rat. presht θ_x

rat. map θ_x^+ .

Defined on basis by 7.5.1.

• u good if $u \in \text{Spa } X$.

$$\theta_x(u) = \lim_{\substack{u \in w \\ \text{rat. substs.}}} \theta_x(w). \quad (\text{via vke}).$$

7.5.12. An aff. tate ry (A, A^+) sheafy if θ_x is a sheaf.

Defn. 7.2 $\Rightarrow \theta_x^+$ is also a sheaf.

Next time: affinoid adic space.

an adic space is a spec locally \nearrow