## Newton polygons

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/

Let k be the field of fractions of a discrete valuation ring  $\mathbf{o}$ , with *ord*-function ord, and suppose that  $\mathbf{o}$  and k are *complete*. Let

$$f(x) = x^n + c_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + c_2x^2 + c_1x + c_0$$

be in k[x]. Consider piecewise-linear convex (bending upward) functions P on the interval [0, n] such that for each integer i

$$P(i) \leq \text{ord } c_i$$

Let N be the maximum among these, and let  $i_1 < \ldots < i_m$  be the integer indices such that we have equality

$$N(i_i) = \text{ord } c_{i+i}$$

The line segements

$$\ell_j$$
 = line segment connecting  $N(i_j)$  and  $N(i_{j+1})$ 

form the **Newton polygon** attached to f.

**Theorem:** Suppose that the roots of f generate a separable extension of k. Let  $m_j$  be the negative of the slope of  $\ell_j$ , and let  $p_j$  be the length of the projection of  $\ell_j$  to the horizontal axis. Then there are exactly  $p_j$  roots of f in  $k_{\text{sep}}$  with ord equal to  $m_j$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\nu_1 < \ldots < \nu_m$  be the distinct ords of the roots, and suppose that there are exactly  $\mu_i$  roots with ord  $\nu_i$ . Let  $\sigma_j$  be the  $j^{\text{th}}$  symmetric function of the roots, so  $c_i = \pm \sigma_i$ .

Let  $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{\mu_1}$  be the roots with largest ord. Since

$$\sigma_{\mu_1} = \rho_1 \dots \rho_{\mu_1} + (\text{other products})$$

where the other products of  $\mu_1$  factors have strictly smaller ords. By the ultrametric inequality,

$$\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_{\mu_1}) = \operatorname{ord}(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{\mu_1}) = \mu_1 \nu_1$$

Similarly, let  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{\mu_2}$  be the second-largest batch of roots, namely, roots with ord  $\nu_2$ . Then

$$\sigma_{\mu_1+\mu_2} = \rho_1 \dots \rho_{\mu_1} \tau_1 \dots \tau_{\mu_2} + (\text{other products})$$

where all the other products have strictly smaller ord. Again by the ultrametric inequality

$$\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_{\mu_1+\mu_2}) = \operatorname{ord}(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{\mu_1} \tau_1 \dots \tau_{\mu_2}) = \mu_1 \nu_1 + \mu_2 \nu_2$$

Generally,

$$\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_{\mu_1+\ldots+\mu_j}) = \mu_1\nu_1 + \ldots + \mu_j\nu_j$$

Therefore, the line segment connecting  $N(n - \mu_1 - \ldots - \mu_j)$  and  $N(n - \mu_1 - \ldots - \mu_{j+1})$  has slope  $-\nu j + 1$  and the projecting to the horizontal axis has length  $\mu_{j+1}$ .

On the other hand, for

$$\mu_1 \nu_1 + \ldots + \mu_j \nu_j < M < \mu_1 \nu_1 + \ldots + \mu_{j+1} \nu_{j+1}$$

by the ultrametric inequality

ord
$$M \ge \min$$
 (ord of products of  $M$  roots) =  $\mu_1 \nu_1 + \ldots + \mu_i \nu_i + (M - \mu_1 - \ldots - \mu_i) \nu_{i+1}$ 

That is, N(n-M) lies on or above the line segment connecting the two points  $N(n-\mu_1-\ldots-\mu_j)$  and  $N(n-\mu_1-\ldots-\mu_{j+1})$ .

**Corollary:** (Irreducibility criterion) Let f be monic of degree n over an ultrametric local field k as above. Suppose that the Newton polygon consists of a single line segment of slope -a/n where a is relatively prime to n. Then f is irreducible in k[x].

*Proof:* By the theorem, there are n roots of ord a/n. Since a is prime to n, the field  $k(\alpha)$  generated over k by any one of these roots has ramification index divisible by n, by the following lemma, for example. But  $[k(\alpha):k] \leq n$ , so the field extension degree is exactly n.

**Lemma:** Let  $\alpha$  belong to the separable closure of the ultrametric field k, and suppose that ord $\alpha = a/n$  with a relatively prime to n. Then  $k(\alpha)$  has ramification index divisible by n (and, thus n divides  $[k(\alpha):k]$ ).

*Proof:* Let  $\varpi$  be a local parameter in the extension  $k(\alpha)$ . Then

$$\operatorname{ord} \varpi = \frac{1}{e}$$

where e is the ramification index of the extension. Since  $\alpha$  differs by a unit from some integer power of  $\varpi$ ,

$$\frac{a}{n} = \operatorname{ord}\alpha \in \frac{1}{e} \cdot \mathbf{Z}$$

That is,  $ea \in n\mathbf{Z}$ . Since a is prime to n, it must be that n divides e, which divides the field extension degree in general.

**Corollary:** (Eisenstein's criterion) Let f be monic of positive degree over a principal ideal domain R. Let E be the field of fractions of E. Let  $\pi$  be a prime element of E dividing all the coefficients of E (apart from the leading one, that of E), and suppose that E0 does not divide the constant coefficient. Then E1 is irreducible in E1.

*Proof:* Let k be the  $\pi$ -adic completion of E, and  $\mathbf{o}$  the valuation ring in k. In fact, f is irreducible in k[x]. The hypothesis implies that the Newton polygon consists of a single segment connecting (0,1) and (n,0), with slope -1/n. Thus, by the previous corollary, f is irreducible in k[x].

**Corollary:** In the situation of the theorem, the polynomial f factors over k into polynomials  $f_i$  of degrees  $d_i$ , where all roots of  $f_i$  have ord  $-m_i$ . Let  $m_i = a_i/d_i$ , if  $a_i$  is relatively prime to  $d_i$  then  $f_i$  is *irreducible* over k and any root of  $f_i$  generates a totally ramified extension of k.

*Proof:* If  $\alpha, \beta$  are Galois conjugates, then their ords are the same. Thus, the set of roots with a given ord is stable under Galois. That is, the monic factor  $f_i$  of f with these as roots has coefficients in the ground field k. If the ord of  $\alpha$  is of the form a/M with numerator prime to M then  $\alpha$  generates an extension of degree divisible by M, by the lemma above. Thus,  $f_i$  is irreducible if in lowest terms  $-m_i$  has denominator  $d_i$ .

**Remark:** In this last corollary there is not conclusion about the irreducibility of the factor  $f_i$  if the denominator of  $-m_i$  (in lowest terms) is not the maximum possible,  $d_i$ . That is, we reach a sharp conclusion only for totally ramified extensions.

**Corollary:** If the Newton polygon has a line segment of slope -1 and length 1, then there is a factor  $x - \alpha$  of f(x) with  $\alpha \in k$ .

*Proof:* The previous results show that there is a factor  $x - \alpha$  with  $\operatorname{ord} \alpha = 1$ . If  $\alpha$  were not in k, then it would have a Galois conjugate  $\beta \neq \alpha$  with the same ord, which is excluded by the hypothesis.

Example: Consider

$$f(x) = x^5 + 2x^2 + 4$$

over  $\mathbf{Q}_2$ . The Newton polygon has two pieces, one with slope -1/3 and length 3, the other with slope -1/2 and length 2. Thus, over  $\mathbf{Q}_2$  this quintic factors into an irreducible cubic and an irreducible quadratic.

Example: Consider a slight alteration of the previous, to

$$f(x) = x^5 + 2x + 4$$

over  $\mathbf{Q}_2$ . Not the Newton polygon has two pieces, one with slope -1/4 and length 4, the other with slope -1 and length 1. Thus, over  $\mathbf{Q}_2$  this quintic factors into an irreducible quartic and has a linear factor in k.

**Example:** Consider the formal power series ring  $\mathbf{o} = \mathbf{C}[[z]]$  and its field of fractions k. Use the ord function

$$\operatorname{ord}(c_n z^n + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \ldots) = 2^{-n}$$

(with  $c_n \neq 0$ ). The non-zero prime ideal in **o** is generated by z. Let

$$f(w) = w^3 - zw + z$$

By Eisenstein's criterion this is irreducible. The extension generated by a root is totally ramified over k, that is, the Riemann surface is  $triply\ branched$  at 0.

Example: By contrast, consider

$$f(w) = w^3 - zw + z^2$$

The Newton polygon has two pieces, revealing two roots with ord 1/2 and one with ord 1. Thus, there is a root w in k. Thus, at 0 the Riemann surface of this polynomial has a doubly-branched part and a separate sheet.