

Intro to BMS 1.

- K/\mathbb{Q}_p fin ext. $k := \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$ res. field. Fix p prime. $\mathbb{C}_p := \widehat{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$.

Question 1: As a variety. "degen." from K to k how does coho. change.

- Ctx: • Let X be a proper smooth var. sch / \mathcal{O}_K .
• Diff coho. The best under. k -adic, $k \neq p$.

$$\underbrace{H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)}_{\text{generic fibre}} \simeq \underbrace{H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_k, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)}_{\text{Special fibre}} \quad \text{"Sing-Sart".}$$

\mathcal{O}_K

Broth: $\ell \neq p$ • $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is still good.

- Special fibre is too small.

(N. look at the case of elliptic curves).

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K/W(k)) \quad \text{"de-Rham - sart"}$$

Question 2: Is there a comparison functor: $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K/W(k))$.

- Diff / \mathbb{C}_p "not much".

$$I \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K/k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K/k).$$

generic \uparrow special

- Fontaine defind. $W(k)[\frac{1}{p}]$ -alg. B_{dR} . • has actn of Frob, Galois. "relation!"

$$\text{Thm: } H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}} \simeq H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K/W(k))[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes_{W(k)[\frac{1}{p}]} B_{\text{dR}}. \quad (\text{Tsuji}).$$

$\text{solubles is } \mathbb{Z}_p$.

- One can recover $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ from $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K/W(k))[\frac{1}{p}]$ by considering the Hodge filtration (from $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K/W(k)) \otimes_{W(k)} K = H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K)$).

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K/W(k))[\frac{1}{p}] \simeq (\pi)^{B_{\text{dR}}} \leftrightarrow$$

In FO. There are equivl of categories $\{B_{\text{dR}} \text{ reps } / \varphi \rightarrow\} \simeq \{ \text{Modulos with } \varphi \text{ Frobenius} \}.$

2. Main Result.

Thm 1.1 • K as before • $\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{F}$. X proper smoth f.d / \mathcal{O}_K . For $i \geq 0$.

• $X_{\mathcal{C}}$ as the rigid analytic fibre of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{C}$ → have to define this.

$$i) H_{\text{crys}}^{i,i}(X_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{crys}} \cong H_{\text{crys}}^i(X_K / W(k)) \otimes_{W(k)} B_{\text{crys}}.$$

compatible with actions. \Rightarrow Frob. \Rightarrow Galois \Rightarrow filtration.

ii) don't really care.

iii) If $H_{\text{crys}}^{i,i}(X_K / W(k))$ are p -torsion free. Then we recover.

$$(H_{\text{crys}}^i(X_K / W(k)) \text{ } + \text{ } \varphi\text{-action}) \text{ from } (H_{\text{crys}}^i(X_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \text{ with } \mathcal{O}_K \text{ action}).$$

pf. EMS1.

• Strategy of pf.

Thm 1.8. \mathcal{O} ring of integers of $\mathcal{C} = \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

• \exists perfect splx of A_{inf} -modules. $R\Gamma_{A_{\text{inf}}}(X) + \varphi$ -semi-lin map. $\in D(A_{\text{inf}})$.

• $A_{\text{inf}}(\mathcal{O}) = W(\mathcal{O}^b)$. • M is A_{inf} -module. $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$.

$\lambda \in A_{\text{inf}}$. A_{inf} equipd φ : i) $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ ii) $\varphi(\lambda x) = \varphi(\lambda)\varphi(x)$.

In $D(A_{\text{inf}})$.

$$i) \text{ Crys of Special fibre: } R\Gamma_A(X) \otimes_A W(k) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X_K / W(k))$$

$$ii) \text{ de Rham of } X: R\Gamma_A(X) \otimes_A \mathcal{O} \cong R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X)$$

$$iii) \text{ Cys of } X / \mathcal{O}_F: R\Gamma_A(X) \otimes_A A_{\text{crys}} \cong R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X_{\mathcal{O}_F} / A_{\text{crys}}).$$

is $\neq v$.

• To construct $R\Gamma_A(X)$ we need a complex $A\Omega_X$ of splx of A_{inf} -modules on X_{zar} : an object in $D(X_{\text{zar}}, A)$.

$$\tilde{L}_A R\Gamma_A(X) := R\Gamma(X_{\text{zar}}, A\Omega_X).$$

3. Fontaine Period Ring Anf.

- Fix prime p . $S \in \mathcal{CF}_p^{\text{op}}$. π -adically cpl + sep., $\pi \nmid p$.
- $\varphi: S/pS \rightarrow S/pS$ to denote Frobenius. $S^b := \varprojlim (\dots \rightarrow S/pS \rightarrow S/pS)$.

3.2.i) The canonical map.

$$\varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} S \rightarrow S^b = \varprojlim_{\varphi} S/pS \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\varphi} S/\pi S.$$

is an iso of monoids, rings resp.

Notn: we let $X = (X_0, X_1, \dots) \in S^b$. $X_i \in S/pS$ (or $S/\pi S$)write it as $(X^{(0)}, X^{(1)}, \dots) \in \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} S$. $X^{(i)} \in S$.

$$X_{i+1}^p = X_i, \quad (X^{(i+1)})^p = X^{(i)}.$$

- Witt vector. • Rabit4. • 2, 3, 4, 10. Speciali... char. 0 to char p .

- $A_{\text{inf}}(S) = W(S^b)$. These are the p -typical Witt vectors.

$$\cong (S^b)^{\mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}}$$

set theoretically.

$$3.2 \text{ (ii)-(v)} \quad W(S^b) \cong \varprojlim_{\mathbb{R}} W_r(S^b) \xleftarrow{\varphi^b} \varprojlim_{\mathbb{F}} W_r(S^b)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \downarrow \text{(iv)} \\ \varprojlim_{\mathbb{F}} W_r(S) & \xleftarrow{(v)} & \varprojlim_{\mathbb{F}} W_r(S/\pi S) \end{array}$$

$$\varphi^{\infty}: W_r(S^b) \xrightarrow{\varphi^r} W_r(S^b), \quad (\varphi: S^b \rightarrow S^b \text{ is Frobenius, where } W_r(S^b) \xrightarrow{\varphi} W_r(S^b))$$

 S^b is perf char p .

1

$$3.2 (ii)-(v) \quad W(S^b) \cong \varprojlim_{\mathbb{R}} W_r(S^b) \xleftarrow{\varphi^b} \varprojlim_{\mathbb{F}} W_r(S^b)$$

$$\downarrow \text{ (iv)}$$

$$\varprojlim_{\mathbb{F}} W_r(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\mathbb{F}} W_r(S/\pi S)$$

As W_r is faithful: the maps are induced by.

i.) $S^b \rightarrow S/\mathfrak{p}S \rightarrow S/\pi S.$

v.) $S \rightarrow S/\pi S.$

All maps are isomorphisms.

Pf: i) We have the equality $\varphi_R = R\varphi = F.$ If K is a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -alg. then φ^r is an iso.

• By VP.

A1.
$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{rel}(K) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & W_{rel}(K) \\ F \searrow & & \swarrow R \\ & W_r(K). & \end{array}$$

A2.
$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{rel}(K) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & W_{rel}(K) \\ R \downarrow & & \downarrow R \\ W_r(K) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & W_r(K) \end{array}$$

\Rightarrow

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{rel}(K) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{rel}} & W_{rel}(K) \\ F \downarrow \varphi^r \nearrow & W_{rel}(K) \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \downarrow R \\ W_r(K) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^r} & W_r(K) \\ \varphi^w \nearrow & & \searrow \end{array}$$

• Take limit of diagram.

□

$$3.2 (ii) - (v) \quad W(S^b) \simeq \varprojlim_F W_r(S^b) \xleftarrow{\varphi^b} \varprojlim_F W_r(S^b)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \downarrow \scriptstyle (iv) \\ \varprojlim_F W_r(S) & \xrightarrow{\scriptstyle (v)} & \varprojlim_F W_r(S/\pi S) \end{array}$$

As W_r is faithful: the maps are induced by:

$$i) \quad S^b \rightarrow S/\pi S \rightarrow S/\pi S.$$

$$v) \quad S \rightarrow S/\pi S.$$

All maps are isomorphisms.

$$\bullet \quad W(S^b) \simeq \varprojlim_F W_r(S).$$

Remark: topology of Witt vectors, see 4.16.14. And any element $x \in W(S^b)$ has a Teichmüller expansion given by $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} V^i [x_i]$.

$$\bullet \text{ (let } x \in S^b. \quad (x_0, x_1, \dots) \in \varprojlim S/\pi S \simeq \varprojlim S/\pi S. \text{ or } (x^{(0)}, \dots) \in \varprojlim S.$$

$$\bullet \text{ (orange) } [\varphi^b]^{-1}: [x] \mapsto ([x], [x]^{1/p}, [x]^{1/p^2}, \dots) \in \varprojlim_F W_r(S^b). \quad [-] \text{ abusively } \forall S \rightarrow W_r(S), r \geq 1.$$

As we have comm. dia. of monoids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{\text{rel}}(S^b) & \xrightarrow{F} & W_r(S^b) \\ [-] \uparrow & & \uparrow [-] \\ S^b & \xrightarrow{[\varphi^b]} & S^b \end{array}$$

$$\bullet \text{ (orange) } i_r: ([x], [x]^{1/p}, \dots) \mapsto ([x_0], [x_1], \dots) \in \varprojlim_F W_r(S/\pi S), \quad x_i \in S/\pi S, \quad x_{i+1}^{1/p} = x_i.$$

$$\bullet \text{ (orange) } v: ([x_0], [x_1], \dots) \mapsto ([x^{(0)}], [x^{(1)}], \dots) \in \varprojlim_F W_r(S), \quad x^{(i)} \in S, \text{ image } x_i \in S/\pi S.$$

$$\bullet \quad W(S^b) \simeq \varprojlim_F W_r(S) \quad [x] \mapsto ([x^{(0)}], [x^{(1)}], \dots). \text{ is formula for identifi.}$$

Fontaine maps Θ_r and $\tilde{\Theta}_r$.• S as above. $r \geq 1$

$$\text{Defn: } \begin{aligned} \Theta_r &: W(S^b) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\mathbb{F}} W_r(S) \xrightarrow{p_r} W_r(S). \\ \tilde{\Theta}_r \circ \varphi^r &: W(S^b) = \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}(S) \longrightarrow W_r(S) \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} \Theta_r &: W(S^b) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\mathbb{F}} W_r(S) \xrightarrow{p_r} W_r(S). \\ \tilde{\Theta}_r \circ \varphi^r &: W(S^b) = \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}(S) \longrightarrow W_r(S) \end{aligned}} \right\} \text{ nat. map.}$$

Cor 3.2 BMS, 5.2 FO. $\forall x \in S^b$. We have.

$$\Theta_r [x] = [x^{(r)}] \in W_r(S).$$

$$\tilde{\Theta}_r [x] = [x^{(r)}] \in W_r(S).$$

Pf: • By formula in 3.2 compute $\tilde{\Theta}_r: [x] \xrightarrow{\sim} ([x^{(r)}], \dots, [x^{(r)}], \dots) \mapsto [x^{(r)}]$

$$\bullet \varphi^r: [x] \xrightarrow{\sim} [x^{pr}] = [x]^{pr} \in W(S^b).$$

$$\bullet \tilde{\Theta}_r \text{ is ring hom. } \tilde{\Theta}_r ([x]^{pr}) = [x^{(r)}]^{pr} = \underbrace{[x^{(r)}]^{pr}}_{\text{by defn.}} = [x^{(r)}].$$

When $r=1$, we obtain Fontaine Θ -map- (5.2, FO)

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad W(S^b) &\longrightarrow S \\ [x] &\longmapsto x^{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

3.4. The following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{r+1}} & W_{r+1}(S) \\ \downarrow A & & \downarrow B \\ \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_r} & W_r(S) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{r+1}} & W_{r+1}(S) \\ \uparrow \lambda_{r+1} \varphi^{-1} & & \uparrow \nu \\ \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_r} & W_r(S) \end{array}$$

$$(A, B) = (\text{id}, F), (\varphi, F)$$

$$\lambda_{r+1} \in \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}(S) \text{ st. } \Theta_{r+1}(\lambda_{r+1}) = \nu(1) \in W(S^b) = \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}.$$

and a similar diagram with $\tilde{\Theta}_r$.

$$\bullet \Theta_r = \tilde{\Theta}_r \circ \varphi^r.$$

Commuting of $\theta_r \Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_r$ and vice versa.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A_{\text{mf}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-(r+1)}} & A_{\text{mf}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_r} & W_{r+1}(S) & & A_{\text{mf}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}_r} & W_{r+1}(S) \\
 \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} & \Rightarrow \varphi' \downarrow & & \downarrow R \\
 A_{\text{mf}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-(r+1)}} & A_{\text{mf}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}_r} & W_r(S) & & A_{\text{mf}}(S) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}_r} & W_r(S)
 \end{array}$$

An analysis of Frobenius map.

S is π -adically cpl., $\pi \nmid p$: Ex: $S = \mathbb{O}_C$, $C = \frac{1}{\mathbb{O}_p}$.

39. Surj. of Frob. True.

- i) Every el. of $S/\pi^r S$ is a p th power.
- ii) " $S/\pi^r S$ "
- iii) " $S/\pi^r S$ "
- iv) $F: W_{r+1}(S) \rightarrow W_r(S)$ is surj. $\forall r \geq 1$.
- v) $\theta_r: A_{\text{mf}}(S) \rightarrow W_r(S)$ "

Pf: i \Rightarrow ii \Rightarrow iii as $(\pi^r \mid p) \mid \pi^r$.

iii \Rightarrow i) use π -adic completeness. let $y \in S$.

$y = x_0^p + \pi^r y_1$ using surjectivity. apply same thing for y_1, \dots inductively.

$$y = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i^p \pi^i = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i \pi^i \right)^p \pmod{\pi^r}$$

□

v) \Rightarrow ii) Uses the formula. $\theta(x) = x^{(p)}$, $x^{(p)} = x^{(1)p} = x^{(p)} \pmod{p}$.
 $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)} \in S$.

Perfectoid Rings.

* S as above.

Defn: $\varphi \in \ker(\theta: \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow W(S) \cong S)$ is distinguished.

if $\varphi \in W(S^b)$ $\varphi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots)$, ξ_1 is unit in S^b .

3.10. Injectivity of Frob. $\varphi: S/\pi S \rightarrow S/\pi^p S$, $x \mapsto x^p$ is surj.

i) $\ker \theta$ is principal then.

ii) φ is an iso.

b) $\varphi \in \ker \theta$ is gen. iff φ is distinguished.

3.5 S is perf iff

i) π -ad. spl. $\pi^p | p$ for some $\pi \in S$.

ii) $\varphi: S/\pi S \rightarrow S/\pi^p S$ is surj. i.o. semi perfect.

iii) $\ker(\theta: \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow W(S^b))$ is principal.

* Next time: can define. Bcrs, Bdr via. case $S = \mathcal{O}_K$, K is perf. field, char. 0.

Some generalities on Witt vectors.

Defn: $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **div**: if $P \neq \emptyset$. $\forall n \in P$ all proper divisors of n are in P .

2.6 Prop: If P div. $\exists!$ covariant functor. $W_P: \text{CAlg}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}^{\text{op}}$

$$1) W_P(A) = \prod_{n \in P} A \supseteq AP. \quad f: A \rightarrow B, \quad f_*: (x_n)_{n \in P} \mapsto (f(x_n))_{n \in P}.$$

2) The $w_n: W_P(A) \rightarrow A$ are homo. $\forall n \in P$.

3) Zero el. is $(0, \dots)$ unit is $(1, 0, \dots)$

• We will be applying to $P = \{p^0, p^1, p^2, p^3, \dots\}$. $P_{(p^n)} := \{p^0, \dots, p^{n-1}\}$.

Denote $W_P(A) =: W(A)$.

$$W_{P_{(p^n)}}(A) =: W_n(A).$$

These are called the **p-typical** Witt vectors.

• Write elem $x \in W(A)$ as (x_0, x_1, \dots) , abusively (x_0, x_1, \dots) .

• The maps: $\forall r \geq 1$

$$w_r: W_r(S) \subseteq S^r \longrightarrow S^r$$

we have ring homo. $(x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}) \mapsto (w_0(x_0), \dots, w_{r-1}(x_0, \dots, x_{r-1})).$

$$w_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \sum p^i x_i^{p^{n-i}} \quad \text{are the ghost maps}$$

• Restrictions: $R: W_r(S) \rightarrow W_{r-1}(S) \quad (x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}) \mapsto (x_0, \dots, x_{r-2})$.

• Frobenius: $W_r(S) \xrightarrow{F} W_r(S)$

• Ver: $V: W_{r+1}(S) \rightarrow W_r(S). \quad (x_0, \dots, x_{r+1}) \mapsto (0, x_0, \dots, x_{r+1}).$

• Teich: $[-]: A \rightarrow W_r(A) \quad a \mapsto (a, 0, \dots, 0).$

$$\text{Defn: } F[a] = [a^p].$$

• we have $[-]: A \rightarrow W(S)$. since $A \xrightarrow{w_1(S)} W_1(S) \xrightarrow{R} W(S)$.

$$W(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_R W_r(S).$$