

**Connor Malin**  
*Notre Dame*

Recently, Lurie introduced a particularly simple definition of Koszul duality in terms of presheaves on  $\text{Env}(O)$ , the monoidal envelope of  $O$  [3]. I verify the equivalence of operads  $\Sigma_+^\infty E_n \simeq S_n \wedge K(\Sigma_+^\infty E_n)$  with respect to this definition. Such a result was originally due to Fresse [2] in the algebraic case and Ching–Salvatore in the topological case [1]. All operads are reduced, i.e.  $O(1) \simeq S^0$  and  $O(0) \simeq *$ .

Denote the Spec-enriched category  $\text{Fun}^{\text{Spec}}(\text{Env}(O)^{\text{op}}, \text{Spec})$  by  $\text{RMod}(O)$ . Day convolution yields a symmetric monoidal product  $\otimes$  computed by

$$(F \otimes G)(k) = \bigvee_{i+j=k} F(i) \otimes G(j) \wedge_{\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j} \Sigma_{i+j}.$$

For a framed  $n$ -manifold  $M$ , the disk module  $E_M$  is defined by  $E_M(i) = \text{Emb}^{\text{fr}}(\bigsqcup_i \mathbb{R}^n, M)$  (see [4, Definition 2.14] for the case of a zero-pointed manifold). These modules satisfy

$$E_M \otimes E_N \simeq E_{M \sqcup N}.$$

We write  $\text{CoEnd}_C(-)$  for the coendomorphism operad of an object  $c \in (C, \otimes)$  given by

$$\text{CoEnd}_C(c)(i) = C(c, c^{\otimes i}).$$

Let  $1$  denote the right  $O$ -module for which  $1(1) = S^0$  and is contractible otherwise.

**Definition.** The Koszul dual of  $O$  is  $\text{CoEnd}_{\text{RMod}(O)}(1)$ .

**Definition.** The  $n$ -sphere operad  $S_n$  is  $\text{CoEnd}_{\text{Spec}}(S^n)$ .

The pinch map is the map

$$E_n(i) \wedge E_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^+}(j) \rightarrow E_{(\bigsqcup_i \mathbb{R}^n)^+}(j)$$

which takes a (framed) embedding  $\bigsqcup_i \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , applies the Pontryagin–Thom construction to get a map  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^+ \rightarrow (\bigsqcup_i \mathbb{R}^n)^+$  and uses it to pushforward the  $j$  singularly embedded disks in  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^+$  into  $(\bigsqcup_i \mathbb{R}^n)^+$ .

There is a Pontryagin–Thom type equivalence [4, Theorem 9.4]

**Theorem.** For framed  $n$ -manifolds  $M, N$  there is an equivalence

$$\text{RMod}(\Sigma_+^\infty E_n)(\Sigma_+^\infty E_M, \Sigma_+^\infty E_N) \simeq \text{RMod}(\Sigma_+^\infty E_n)(\Sigma^\infty E_{N^+}, \Sigma^\infty E_{M^+}).$$

Under the identification  $\Sigma_+^\infty E_n(i) = \text{RMod}(\Sigma_+^\infty E_n)(\Sigma_+^\infty E_{\bigsqcup_i \mathbb{R}^n}, \Sigma_+^\infty E_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ , this can be seen to be the adjoint of the pinch map above.

**Theorem.** There is an equivalence  $\Sigma_+^\infty E_n \simeq S_n \wedge K(\Sigma_+^\infty E_n)$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence is given by

$$\Sigma_+^\infty E_n \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{CoEnd}_{\text{RMod}(\Sigma_+^\infty E_n)}(E_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^+}) \simeq \text{CoEnd}_{\text{RMod}(\Sigma_+^\infty E_n)}(\Sigma^n 1) \simeq S_n \wedge K(\Sigma_+^\infty E_n)$$

The first functor is an equivalence by the previous theorem. The second map exists by the elementary equivalence  $E_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^+} \simeq \Sigma^n 1$  [4, Lemma 7.2]. The third map is an equivalence by inspection of definitions.

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] Michael Ching and Paolo Salvatore. Koszul duality for topological  $E_n$  operads. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 125(1):1–60, 2022.
- [2] Benoit Fresse. Koszul duality of  $E_n$  operads. *Selecta Mathematica*, 17(2):363–434. 2010.
- [3] Jacob Lurie. Lie algebras in stable homotopy theory, 2023. Homotopy theory in honor of Paul Goerss.
- [4] Connor Malin. Koszul self duality of manifolds, 2023.