

# The Fokker-Planck Equation

C.W. Seitz

March 19, 2022

## 1 The Fokker-Planck Equation

### 1.1 Kramers-Moyal Expansion

Consider the following Ito stochastic differential equation

$$d\vec{x} = F(\vec{x}, t) + G(\vec{x}, t)dW$$

The SDE given above corresponds to the Kramers-Moyal expansion (KME) of a transition density  $T(x', t'|x, t)$  see (Risken 1989) for a full derivation.

$$\frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial t} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^n [M_n(x, t)P(x, t)] \quad (1)$$

where  $M_n$  is the  $n$ th moment of the transition density. In the diffusion approximation, the KME becomes the Fokker-Planck equation (FPE) (Risken 1989). For the sake of demonstration, consider the univariate case with random variable  $x$  and the form of  $T(x', t'|x, t)$  is a Gaussian with mean  $\mu(t)$  and variance  $\sigma^2(t)$ . In this scenario, the FPE applies because  $M_n = 0$  for all  $n > 2$ . Given that  $M_1(x, t) = \mu(t)$  (drift) and  $M_2(x, t) = \sigma^2(t)$  (diffusion), the FPE reads

$$\frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial t} = \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} M^{(1)}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} M^{(2)}(t) \right) P(x, t) \quad (2)$$

We can additionally define the term in parentheses as a differential operator acting on  $P(x, t)$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{FP} = \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} M^{(1)}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} M^{(2)}(t) \right) \quad (3)$$

It is common to additionally define the probability current  $J(x, t)$  as

$$J(x, t) = \left( M^{(1)}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} M^{(2)}(t) \right) P(x, t) \quad (4)$$

This definition provides some useful intuition. The value of  $J(x, t)$  is the net probability flux into the interval between  $x$  and  $x + dx$  at time  $t$ . This also allows us to write the FPE as a continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial J(x, t)}{\partial x} \quad (5)$$

## 1.2 The Heat Equation

The well-known heat equation is a special case of the FPE where  $M^{(1)}(t) = 0$  and  $M^{(2)}(t) = \sigma^2$

$$\frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 P(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \quad (6)$$

The FPE is a parabolic partial differential equation which are difficult to solve directly. We will show a first example of solving the FPE on the above heat equation by Fourier transformation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int P(x, t) e^{i\omega t} dx = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \int \frac{\partial^2 P(x, t)}{\partial x^2} e^{i\omega t} dx \quad (7)$$

We know that  $\mathcal{F}[\partial_x f] = -i\omega \mathcal{F}[f]$  and  $\mathcal{F}[\partial_x^2 f] = \omega^2 \mathcal{F}[f]$  which allows us to write the heat equation as a first order equation

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{P}(\omega, t)}{\partial t} = - \frac{\sigma^2 \omega^2}{2} \tilde{P}(\omega, t) \quad (8)$$

which suggests the solution  $c \cdot \exp(-\alpha \omega^2 t)$ . We can find the solution in the spatial domain by inverse Fourier transformation

## 1.3 The Multivariate Case

If we now generalize the above equation to a case where we are faced with many variables  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . The continuity equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial P(\vec{x}, t)}{\partial t} = - \vec{\nabla} \cdot J(\vec{x}, t) \quad (9)$$

where the multivariate probability current now has the interpretation of the net flux into or out of a volume  $dx^n$  centered around  $\mathbf{x}$ . If we consider each dimension,

$$J(x_i, t) = \left( M_i^{(1)}(t) - \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} M_{ij}^{(2)}(t) \right) P(\vec{x}, t) \quad (10)$$

The full Fokker-Planck equation then reads

$$\frac{\partial P(\vec{x}, t)}{\partial t} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot J(\vec{x}, t) \quad (11)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} M_i^{(1)}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} M_{ij}^{(2)}(t) \right) P(\vec{x}, t) \quad (12)$$

It proves quite useful in this form because we can see that the Fokker-Planck equation represents a differentiation operator acting on the distribution  $P(\vec{x}, t)$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{FP} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} M_i^{(1)}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} M_{ij}^{(2)}(t) \right) \quad (13)$$

#### 1.4 Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process

If the transition density is Gaussian then the density is fully specified by the first two moments  $M^{(1)}(t) = \vec{\mu}(t)$  and  $M^{(2)}(\vec{x}, t) = \Sigma(t)$ . The moments can also be functions of  $\vec{x}$ . Both of these possibilities are evident in the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process. Let the drift vector be a linear function of the state  $\vec{x}$  and the diffusion matrix the square of the Gaussian covariances

$$M^{(1)}(t) = \Gamma \vec{x} \quad M^{(2)}(t) = 2D$$

with  $D = \Sigma \Sigma^T$  which is assumed to be independent of time.

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{FP} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Gamma \vec{x} + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} D \right) \quad (14)$$