Homework 4

Quantum Mechanics

Sept 22nd, 2022

CLAYTON SEITZ

Problem 1. Problem 2.14 from Sakurai

Solution.

We are given that the state vector is

$$|\alpha; t_0\rangle = \exp\left(\frac{-ipa}{\hbar}\right)|0\rangle$$

The Heisenberg equation of motion for x(t) reads

$$x(t) = x(0)\cos(\omega t) + \frac{p(0)}{m}\sin(\omega t)$$

Therefore

$$\langle x \rangle = \langle \alpha; t_0 | x(t) | \alpha; t_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \alpha; t_0 | \left(x(0) \cos(\omega t) + \frac{p(0)}{m} \sin(\omega t) \right) | \alpha; t_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \exp\left(\frac{ipa}{\hbar}\right) \left(x(0) \cos(\omega t) + \frac{p(0)}{m} \sin(\omega t) \right) \exp\left(\frac{-ipa}{\hbar}\right) | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \exp\left(\frac{ipa}{\hbar}\right) x(0) \exp\left(-\frac{ipa}{\hbar}\right) | 0 \rangle \cos(\omega t)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m} \langle 0 | \exp\left(\frac{ipa}{\hbar}\right) p(0) \exp\left(\frac{-ipa}{\hbar}\right) | 0 \rangle \sin(\omega t)$$

We can simplify this last expression by using the Baker-Haussdorf lemma for arbitrary operators G and A

$$\exp(iG\lambda)A\exp(-iG\lambda) = A + i\lambda[G, A] + \dots$$

$$\langle x \rangle = \langle 0 | \exp\left(\frac{ipa}{\hbar}\right) x(0) \exp\left(-\frac{ipa}{\hbar}\right) | 0 \rangle \cos(\omega t)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m} \langle 0 | \exp\left(\frac{ipa}{\hbar}\right) p(0) \exp\left(\frac{-ipa}{\hbar}\right) | 0 \rangle \sin(\omega t)$$

$$= \langle 0 | \left(x + \frac{ia}{\hbar}[p, x]\right) | 0 \rangle \cos(\omega t)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m} \langle 0 | \left(p + \frac{ia}{\hbar}[p, p]\right) | 0 \rangle \sin(\omega t)$$

$$= (\langle 0 | x | 0 \rangle + a) \cos(\omega t) = a \cos(\omega t)$$

Problem 2. Problem 2.15 from Sakurai Solution.

First note that the state is being translated $x \to x + a$

$$|\alpha; t_0\rangle = \exp\left(\frac{-ipa}{\hbar}\right)|0\rangle = \mathcal{J}(a)|0\rangle$$

where \mathcal{J} is the translation operator. Using that

$$\langle x|0\rangle = \pi^{-1/4}x_0^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^2\right)$$

we expect to be able to show

$$\langle x| \exp\left(\frac{-ipa}{\hbar}\right) |0\rangle = \langle x+a|0\rangle$$

$$= \pi^{-1/4} x_0^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x+a}{x_0}\right)^2\right)$$

where $x_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}}$. The probability that $|\alpha\rangle$ is measured to be in the state $|0\rangle$ is

$$\langle \alpha | 0 \rangle = \pi^{-1/2} x_0 \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x+a}{x_0}\right)^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^2\right) dx$$

which is an integral of a product of Gaussians.

Problem 3. Problem 2.16 from Sakurai

Solution.

We will assume the form of the annihilation and creation operators

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \left(x + \frac{ip}{m\omega} \right)$$
$$a^{\dagger} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \left(x - \frac{ip}{m\omega} \right)$$

Adding these equations gives and rearranging we can express x as

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a + a^{\dagger})$$

$$\langle m | x | n \rangle = \langle m | \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a + a^{\dagger}) | n \rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\langle m | a | n \rangle + \langle m | a^{\dagger} | n \rangle)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\sqrt{n\delta_{m,n-1}} + \sqrt{n+1}\delta_{m,n+1})$$

Subtracting the creation operator from the annihalation operator allows us to write the momentum operator as

$$p = i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} \left(a^{\dagger} - a\right)$$

$$\langle m|p|n\rangle = \langle m|\left(i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} \left(a^{\dagger} - a\right)\right)|n\rangle$$

$$= \left(i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} \left(\langle m|a^{\dagger}|n\rangle - \langle m|a|n\rangle\right)\right)$$

$$= i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} \left(\sqrt{n+1}\delta_{m,n+1} - \sqrt{n}\delta_{m,n-1}\right)$$

$$\langle m | \{x, p\} | n \rangle = \langle m | xp | n \rangle + \langle m | px | n \rangle$$

$$= \frac{i\hbar}{2} \langle m | ((a^{\dagger})^{2} - a^{2}) | n \rangle + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \langle m | ((a^{\dagger})^{2} + a^{\dagger}a - aa^{\dagger} - a^{2}) | n \rangle$$

$$= \frac{i\hbar}{2} (\sqrt{n+1}\sqrt{n+2}\delta_{m,n+2} + \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n-1}\delta_{m,n-2})$$

since only the cross terms will survive.

$$\langle m | x^{2} | n \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \langle m | \left(a^{2} + aa^{\dagger} + a^{\dagger}a + (a^{\dagger})^{2} \right) | n \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left((2n+1)\delta_{mn} + \sqrt{m}\sqrt{n+1}\delta_{m-1,n+1} + \sqrt{m+1}\sqrt{n}\delta_{m+1,n-1} \right)$$

$$\langle m | p^{2} | n \rangle = -\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \langle m | \left(a^{2} - aa^{\dagger} - a^{\dagger}a + (a^{\dagger})^{2} \right) | n \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \left((2n+1)\delta_{mn} - \sqrt{m}\sqrt{n+1}\delta_{m-1,n+1} - \sqrt{m+1}\sqrt{n}\delta_{m+1,n-1} \right)$$

To validate the virial theorem we write,

$$\frac{1}{m}\langle p^2\rangle = m\omega^2\langle x^2\rangle$$

From the above, we can see that this is satisfied for an energy eigenstate

$$\frac{1}{m}\langle p^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}(2n+1)$$

$$m\omega^2 \langle x^2 \rangle = m\omega^2 \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}(2n+1) = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}(2n+1)$$

Problem 4. Problem 2.28 from Sakurai

Solution.

First of all, the solution is not trivial since x does not commute with the Hamiltonian since $[x, p^2] \neq 0$. At $t = t_0$ we are in the position eigenstate

$$\langle x|\alpha;t_0\rangle = \delta\left(x - \frac{L}{2}\right)$$

Since this is the infinite square well, we have the following energy eigenstates, in the position representation

$$\langle x | \alpha \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right)$$

Of course $|\alpha; t_0\rangle$ is not an eigenstate of H, so this state will measurably evolve in time. The state $|\alpha; t_0\rangle$ in the energy basis is

$$|\beta\rangle = \sum_{n} |\epsilon_{n}\rangle \langle \epsilon_{n} | \alpha; t_{0}\rangle$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sum_{n} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) |\epsilon_{n}\rangle$$

From this, we can show the probability of measuring the particle in energy eigenstate $|\epsilon_n\rangle$

$$\langle \epsilon_m | \beta \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sum_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \langle \epsilon_m | \epsilon_n \rangle$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sum_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \delta_{mn}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2}\right)$$

and therefore $|\langle \epsilon_m | \beta \rangle|^2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2}\right)$. The relative probabilities with respect to the ground state are then given by

$$r_{m+1} = \sin^2\left(\frac{(m+1)\pi}{2}\right)\csc^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2}\right)$$

Since we know a representation for $|\alpha; t_0\rangle$ in the energy basis, we can determine the time evolution of the wavefunction $\langle x | \alpha \rangle$

$$|\alpha; t\rangle = \mathcal{U}(t) |\beta\rangle$$

= $\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sum_{n} \exp\left(\frac{-i\epsilon_n t}{\hbar}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) |\epsilon_n\rangle$

which has the position representation (wave function)

$$\langle x | \alpha; t \rangle = \psi(x, t)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sum_{n} \exp\left(\frac{-i\epsilon_{n}t}{\hbar}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \langle x | \epsilon_{n} \rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sum_{n} \exp\left(\frac{-i\epsilon_{n}t}{\hbar}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \psi_{n}(x)$$

where $\psi_n(x)$ are the energy eigenstates given above.

Problem 5. Problem 2.29 from Sakurai

Solution.

For a delta-potential, Schrodinger's equation reads

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d\psi^2}{dx^2} - \nu_0\delta(x)\psi(x) = E\psi(x)$$

We then solve Schrodingers equation in two regions. Let the first region be x < 0

$$\frac{d\psi_I^2}{dx^2} = -\frac{2mE}{\hbar^2}\psi_I(x) = \kappa_0^2 \psi_I(x)$$

for $\kappa_0 = \sqrt{-2mE}/\hbar$. If E < 0, then the general solution is

$$\psi_I(x) = A \exp(\kappa_0 x) + B \exp(-\kappa_0 x)$$

We choose B=0 on physical grounds. Now for the second region x>0, we have a similar situation, but this time we choose an exponential decay

$$\psi_{II}(x) = A \exp(-\kappa_0 x)$$

We have chosen the constant to be the same as the first region, to preserve continuity of $\psi(x)$.

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} A \exp(\kappa_0 x) & x < 0 \\ A \exp(-\kappa_0 x) & x \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

The constant A is found by enforcing the normalization condition:

$$2A^2 \int_{-\infty}^0 \exp(2\kappa_0 x) dx = 1$$

and it is straightforward to show that $A = \sqrt{\kappa_0}$

The energy is $E = -\hbar^2 \kappa_0^2 / 2m$. The usual trick for relating this to the strength of the potential ν_0 is to integrate Schrodingers equation

$$-\int_{-\epsilon}^{+\epsilon} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d\psi^2}{dx^2} dx - \int_{-\epsilon}^{+\epsilon} \nu_0 \delta(x) \psi(x) dx = 0$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$. Ignoring the normalization, because it will cancel

$$\int_{-\epsilon}^{+\epsilon} \frac{d\psi}{dx} = -2\kappa_0 = -\frac{2m\nu_0}{\hbar^2}\psi(0)$$

So we find that $\kappa_0 = \nu_0 m/\hbar^2$ so $E = -m\nu_0^2/2\hbar^2$. This is unique so we just have one bound state. There are of course unbound states when E > 0, for which the solutions would be complex exponentials.

Problem 6. Problem 2.32 from Sakurai

Solution. Let us define

$$\psi_I = A \exp(\alpha x)$$

$$\psi_{II} = B \exp(ikx) + C \exp(-ikx)$$

$$\psi_{III} = D \exp(-\alpha x)$$

Here α, k are constants. We can enforce continuity in the wavefunction itself at x=-a and x=+a

$$A \exp(-\alpha a) = B \exp(-ika) + C \exp(ika)$$
$$D \exp(-\alpha a) = B \exp(ika) + C \exp(-ika)$$

And we can also enforce continuity in the first-order derivative at these points

$$\alpha A \exp(-\alpha a) = ikB \exp(-ika) - ikC \exp(ika)$$
$$-\alpha D \exp(-\alpha a) = ikB \exp(ika) - ikC \exp(-ika)$$

This system of four equations can be written in matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{-\alpha a} & e^{-ika} & e^{ika} & 0\\ 0 & e^{ika} & e^{-ika} & e^{-\alpha a}\\ \alpha e^{-\alpha a} & ike^{-ika} & ike^{ika} & 0\\ 0 & ike^{ika} & -ike^{-ika} & -\alpha e^{-\alpha a} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A\\ B\\ C\\ D \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

According to Mathematica, the determinant is

$$\mathcal{D} = \exp(-2a(ik+\alpha))\left(-\exp(4iak)(k-i\alpha)^2 + (k+i\alpha)^2\right)$$

If the determinant is zero, then a solution exists. The determinant \mathcal{D} is zero when

$$\exp(-2iak)(k+i\alpha)^2 = \exp(2iak)(k-i\alpha)^2$$

Notice that we have just distributed the $\exp(-2ika)$ from the prefactor. If we let $z = \exp(-iak)(k+i\alpha)$ then the above equation just reads $z^2 = (z^*)^2$ or $z = \pm z^*$.

Considering the purely real solution first, we make the substitutions

$$\exp(-iak) \to \frac{\sqrt{k^2 + \alpha^2}}{k + i\alpha}$$
$$\exp(iak) \to \frac{\sqrt{k^2 + \alpha^2}}{k - i\alpha}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{-\alpha a} & \frac{-i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k+i\alpha} & \frac{\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k-i\alpha} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k-i\alpha} & \frac{-i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k+i\alpha} & e^{-\alpha a}\\ \alpha e^{-\alpha a} & ik\frac{-i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k+i\alpha} & ik\frac{\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k-i\alpha} & 0\\ 0 & ik\frac{\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k-i\alpha} & -ik\frac{-i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k+i\alpha} & -\alpha e^{-\alpha a} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A\\B\\C\\D \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Now considering the purely imaginary solution, we make the substitutions

$$\exp(-iak) \to \frac{i\sqrt{k^2 + \alpha^2}}{k + i\alpha}$$
$$\exp(iak) \to \frac{-i\sqrt{k^2 + \alpha^2}}{k - i\alpha}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
e^{-\alpha a} & \frac{i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k+i\alpha} & \frac{-i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k-i\alpha} & 0\\
0 & \frac{-i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k-i\alpha} & \frac{i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k+i\alpha} & e^{-\alpha a}\\
\alpha e^{-\alpha a} & ik\frac{i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k+i\alpha} & ik\frac{-i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k-i\alpha} & 0\\
0 & ik\frac{-i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k-i\alpha} & -ik\frac{i\sqrt{k^2+\alpha^2}}{k+i\alpha} & -\alpha e^{-\alpha a}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A\\B\\C\\D
\end{pmatrix} = 0$$