# Bounding parameter uncertainty in single molecule localization

Clayton W. Seitz

June 22, 2022

- Imaging noise consists of shot noise, thermal noise, and readout noise
- ▶ Shot noise is Poisson, thermal noise and readout noise are Gaussian

We will adopt the Gaussian PSF approximation (image function):

$$q(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

and define the number of photoelectrons at a pixel k as a sum of three random variables

$$H_{\theta,k} = S_{\theta,k} + B_{\theta,k} + W_{\theta,k}$$

where  $S_{\theta,k}$  and  $B_{\theta,k}$  are Poisson processes for signal and background while  $W_{\theta,k}$  represents dark noise of a CMOS array

The mean values of the signal and background processes are

$$\mu_{ heta,k} = \int_{t_0}^t \Lambda(\tau) \int_{C_k} q(x,y) dx dy d\tau$$

$$\beta_{\theta,k} = \int_{t_0}^t \Lambda(\tau) \int_{C_k} b(x,y) dx dy d\tau$$

where b(x,y) is a spatially dependent background function.  $\Lambda(\tau)$  is the emission rate as a function of time (for example exponential decay for photobleaching). If we take the dark noise to be Gaussian with mean  $m_{\theta,k}$ , then we have:

$$\nu_{\theta,k} = \mu_{\theta,k} + \beta_{\theta,k} + m_{\theta,k}$$

We now need to show the form of  $P(H_k)$ 

## Monte Carlo integration over a pixel

Computing the mean value at a pixel involves computing an integral  $\int_{C_k} q(x,y) dx dy$ . This integral is difficult to compute, but a 2D image is really just a 2D histogram. So if we can find the PSF q(x,y) from the objective lens, we can use Monte Carlo integration (sample from the normalized PSF) and multiply by the emission rate  $\nu$  to compute the mean of the Poisson process at a pixel k

Let's start by explicitly writing  $P(S_k)$ 

For a CMOS pixel k, the true signal  $S_k$  [ADU] is a Poisson process with rate parameter  $\Lambda_k$ 

$$S_k = \gamma g_k P_k(s_k | \Lambda_k)$$

where  $\gamma$   $[e^-/p]$  is the quantum efficiency and  $g_n$   $[\mathrm{ADU}/e^-]$  is the pixel's gain

$$P(S_k) = \frac{\exp(-\Lambda_k) \, \lambda_k^p}{p!}$$

We can use this to find the distribution over the corrupted signal  $P(H_k)$ 

To find  $P(H_k)$ , we first evaluate the joint density  $P(S_k, H_k)$ 

$$\begin{split} P(S_k, H_k) &= P(H_k | S_k = s) P(S_k = s) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{(H_k - g_k s - \mu_k)^2}{\sigma_k^2}\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\Lambda_k\right) \Lambda_k^s}{s!} \end{split}$$

Marginalizing over  $S_k$  gives the desired distribution over  $H_n$ 

$$P(H_k) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(-\Lambda_k) \Lambda_k^s}{s!} \exp\left(-\frac{(H_k - g_k s - \mu_k)^2}{\sigma_k^2}\right)$$

#### Fisher Information

Consider the general prescripton of maxmimum likelihood parameter estimation:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{MLE}}: \theta^* = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\theta} \ell(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$$

where  $\ell = \log \mathcal{L}$  is the log-likelihood function

Question: can we derive a theoretical lower bound on our uncertainty in  $\theta^*$  for an arbitrary estimator  $\mathcal{E}$ ?

Start by defining the *score* of  $\ell$  with respect to  $\theta$  as

$$S = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \right]$$

Since x is a continuous random variable, we have to consider the average score

#### Fisher Information

The Fisher Information  $I(\theta)$  is defined as the variance of the score

$$I(\theta) = \underset{x \sim p}{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \ell(x|\theta) \right) \right]^2 = \underset{x \sim p}{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \left( \ell(x|\theta) \right) \right]$$

for  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ . The variance takes this from because it can be shown that  $\mathcal{S} = 0$ 

Intuitively, if the likelihood is insensitive changes in  $\theta$ , then  $\mathcal D$  does not provide very much information about  $\theta$ 

When there are many parameters, the Fisher Information (second moment of the score) is a covariance matrix

$$I_{ij}(\theta) = \underset{x \sim p}{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left( \ell(x|\theta) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \left( \ell(x|\theta) \right) \right]$$

### Fisher Information for a multiple parameters

We have shown that the model for the number of photoelectrons at a pixel is

$$P(H_k) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(-\Lambda_k) \Lambda_k^s}{s!} \exp\left(-\frac{(H_k - g_k s - \nu_k)^2}{\sigma_k^2}\right)$$

Notice that  $\nu_k$  is dependent on  $\int q(x,y)dxdy$  and therefore the PSF parameters  $\theta = (\mu_x, \mu_y, \sigma)$ . These can be plugged into the following Fisher information matrix

$$I_{ij}(\theta) = \underset{H \sim P}{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \left( \log \prod_k P(H_k) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \left( \log \prod_k P(H_k) \right) \right]$$
$$= \underset{H \sim P}{\mathbb{E}} \sum_k \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \log P(H_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \log P(H_k) \right]$$

### References I