Exam 1

Quantum Mechanics

October 14th, 2022

C Seitz

Problem 1.

Solution. Both operators are Hermitian, so their eigenvalues are real. The eigenvectors of operator A are

$$|a_1\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ i\sqrt{2}\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} |a_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} |a_3\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ -i\sqrt{2}\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with eigenvalues $3\lambda, 2\lambda, \lambda$, in that order. Notice that $|a_1\rangle$ and $|a_3\rangle$ are not orthogonal in this basis. The eigenvectors of operator B are

$$|b_1\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} |b_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} |b_3\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with eigenvalues $5\lambda, 3\lambda, \lambda$, in that order. If the physicist sends particles in state $|1\rangle$ and we measure A, the probability we observe the particle to be in the state $|a_1\rangle$, $|a_2\rangle$, and $|a_3\rangle$ can be found by using the expressions for eigenkets of A above. These probabilities are

$$|\langle a_1|1\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{4}$$
$$|\langle a_2|1\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$|\langle a_3|1\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{4}$$

The values of A that correspond with each beam are given by the eigenvalues of A written above. The relative intensities are just given by the relative probabilities. After the coffee spill, measuring A filters out only the beam

corresponding to state $|a_2\rangle$. Therefore, these are the only particles that enter the apparatus measuring B. So when we measure B, the number of beams we get depends on the inner products

$$|\langle b_1 | a_2 \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$|\langle b_2 | a_2 \rangle|^2 = 0$$
$$|\langle b_3 | a_2 \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

so there are only two beams. The intensities are equal for these two beams. The values of B that correspond with these two beams are b_1 and b_3 .

Problem 2.

Solution. We are given the commutation relations between the operators C and D and the Hamiltonian, which suggests we should use the Heisenberg picture. The Heisenberg equations of motion are

$$\begin{split} \frac{dC}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{i\hbar}[H,C] = \alpha D - \beta C \\ \frac{dD}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{i\hbar}[H,D] = -\alpha C - \beta D \end{split}$$

When $\beta = 0$, the system becomes

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -\frac{1}{i\hbar}[H, C] = \alpha D$$

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = -\frac{1}{i\hbar}[H, D] = -\alpha C$$

which has the solution

$$C(t) = -c_0 \cos(\alpha t)$$
$$D(t) = d_0 \sin(\alpha t)$$

$$\langle C(t) \rangle = \langle \alpha | C(t) | \alpha \rangle$$

$$= -\langle \alpha | c_0 \cos(\alpha t) | \alpha \rangle$$

$$= -c_0 \cos(\alpha t)$$

since $|\alpha\rangle$ is presumed to be normalized.

$$\langle D(t) \rangle = \langle \alpha | D(t) | \alpha \rangle$$

$$= -\langle \alpha | d_0 \sin(\alpha t) | \alpha \rangle$$

$$= d_0 \sin(\alpha t)$$

since $|\alpha\rangle$ is again presumed to be normalized. The constants α and β must then relate to the angular frequency of C(t) and D(t). Of course, when $\beta = 0$, only α determines the angular frequency of C(t) and D(t).

Problem 3.

Solution.

We are given the wavefunction

$$\psi(r,\phi) = Ae^{-br^2}$$

We know that probability current is related to the gradient of the phase of the wavefunction. Regardless of what A is (purely real, imaginary, or complex) it is a constant. The exponential is real if b is real, so the phase of $\psi(r,\phi)$ is the same for all (r,ϕ) and therefore the probability current is zero everywhere. For the wavefunction

$$\psi(r,\phi) = Ae^{-br^2}e^{-im\phi}$$

The phase of the wavefunction is clearly dependent on ϕ , so there is a probability current.

$$\rho(r,\phi) = |A|^2 e^{-2br^2}$$

We can always write the wavefunction in the form:

$$\psi(r,\phi) = \sqrt{\rho(r,\phi)} \exp\left(\frac{iS(r,\phi)}{\hbar}\right)$$
$$= Ae^{-br^2}e^{-im\phi}$$

so $-im\phi = iS/\hbar$. Expanding S gives

$$S(r,\phi) = -m\hbar\phi$$

The probability flux is related to $S(r, \phi)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{j}(r,\phi) &= \frac{\rho(r,\phi)\nabla S}{m} \\ &= \frac{\rho}{m} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r} \hat{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi} \hat{\phi} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\hbar \rho}{r} \hat{\phi} \end{aligned}$$

In the limit $r \to \infty$, we can see that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \mathbf{j}(r, \phi) = \lim_{r \to \infty} -\frac{\hbar \rho}{r} \hat{\phi} = 0$$

which makes sense if the particle is localized to some region of space. However, $\rho(r, \phi)$ is static because

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{j} = \frac{j_r}{r} + \frac{\partial j_r}{\partial r} \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\partial j_{\phi}}{\partial \phi} = 0$$

This situation might correspond to a particle with spin.