

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

STOCHASTIC COMPUTATION IN RECURRENT NETWORKS OF SPIKING
NEURONS

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO
THE FACULTY OF THE DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL
SCIENCES

IN CANDIDACY FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF SCIENCE

GRADUATE PROGRAM IN BIOPHYSICS

BY

CLAYTON W. SEITZ

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

WINTER 2021

Copyright © 2021 by Clayton W. Seitz
All Rights Reserved

Epigraph

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
ABSTRACT	vi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 STOCHASTIC NETWORKS OF NEURONS: THE BOLTZMANN MACHINE .	2
2.1 Introduction	2
3 DYNAMICAL STATES OF RECURRENT NETWORKS	3
3.1 Introduction	3
4 BIOLOGICALLY-MOTIVATED LEARNING	4
4.1 Introduction	4
5 CONCLUSIONS	5
REFERENCES	6

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ABSTRACT

One of the most challenging questions in modern neuroscience is the mechanisms by which primate cortical circuits learn from example and perform inference based upon their past experience. The cerebral cortex is responsible for many higher-order brain functions including memory and . The search for principles of neural computation common to these phenomena is made extremely difficult by the diversity of cortical structures and their putative functions. Nevertheless, progress has been made in our understanding of the interplay between the organization of cortical neurons, network dynamics, and the resulting reorganization via synaptic plasticity during learning. Many deficiencies in our models of these processes can be attributed to our lack of a mathematical understanding of both the fundamental computational paradigm implemented by neural circuits and the roles of synaptic plasticity mechanisms observed *in vivo*. These problems are further aggravated by the apparent stochastic features of the firing activity of neurons and experimentally observed trial-to-trial variability in population recordings. However, there is, in principle, a very powerful framework for stochastic computation evident in the Boltzmann machine: probabilistic inference by sampling. Here, we implement a recurrent spiking neural network (RSNN) as a variant of the Boltzmann machine and propose a precise mathematical role for a set of synaptic plasticity mechanisms in the formation of a set of synaptic weights that successfully “embody” a Gaussian input statistic.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Recent decades have yielded a number of experimental and theoretical advances in our understanding of learning in biological neural networks through the introduction of as homosynaptic, heterosynaptic, and homeostatic plasticity. However, the precise mathematical relationship between the synaptic plasticity mechanisms or *learning rules* implemented by neurons and the formation of probabilistic models of their inputs, remains elusive.

Neural network models date back to McCulloch and Pitts [1] followed later by the Hopfield network [2] which spawned an entire field of research applying techniques from the statistical physics of spin glasses to the description of neural activity. By simplifying a network of neurons firing action potentials to an ensemble of coupled spins $\sigma \in \{-1, +1\}$, the Hopfield model related the patterns learned by a network to the energy landscape over the discrete space of states. The storage capacity of these networks and the geometry of this energy landscape were of particular interest and rigorous mathematical treatment has been used to show limits on the basins of attraction in such energy landscapes [4,10,11]. However, a primary feature of these attractor networks that is unlikely to be realized in neural circuitry is that they are deterministic. There are many examples in the literature of trial-to-trial variability in the response of cortical neurons to identical stimuli, suggesting that computations in the brain are inherently stochastic. Interestingly, an extension of the Hopfield model - the Boltzmann machine actually leverages stochastic activity of Ising spins to perform powerful computations [14]. In such a model, the set of synaptic weights Φ “embody” the joint distribution $P_{\Phi}(X, R) = P_{\Phi}(X)P_{\Phi}(R|X)$ over network inputs and network response, respectively. Then, computations can be viewed as probabilistic inference after suitable transformations of the weights $\Delta\Phi$. Our primary goal is to understand the relationship between a choice of the learning rule $\Delta\Phi$ and features of the learned distribution $P_{\Phi}(X, R)$.

CHAPTER 2

STOCHASTIC NETWORKS OF NEURONS: THE BOLTZMANN MACHINE

2.1 Introduction

CHAPTER 3

DYNAMICAL STATES OF RECURRENT NETWORKS

3.1 Introduction

CHAPTER 4

BIOLOGICALLY-MOTIVATED LEARNING

4.1 Introduction

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSIONS

- [1] Mculloch and Pitts. *A logical calculus of the ideas imminent in nervous activity*. Journal of Mathematical Biophysics. 1943.
- [2] J.J. Hopfield. *Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities*. 1982.
- [3] D.J. Amit. *Spin-glass models of neural networks*. Physical Rev A. 1985.
- [4] E. Gardner. *The space of interactions in neural network models*. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General. 1988.
- [5] N. Brunel. *Dynamics of sparsely connected networks of excitatory and inhibitory neurons*. Journal of Computational Neuroscience. 2000.
- [6] Rosenbaum and Doiron. *Balanced Networks of Spiking Neurons with Spatially Dependent Recurrent Connections*. Physical Review X. 2014.
- [7] Zenke et al. *Diverse synaptic plasticity mechanisms orchestrated to form and retrieve memories in spiking neural networks*. Nature Communications. 2015.
- [8] Williams et al. *Nonnegative decomposition of multivariate information*. arXiv. 2010.
- [9] Amit et al. *Storing infinite numbers of patterns in a spin-glass model of neural networks*. Physical Rev. Letters. 1985
- [10] Nishimori. *Statistical physics of spin glasses and information processing: an introduction*. Clarendon Press. 2010
- [11] Buesing et al. *Neural Dynamics as Sampling: A Model for Stochastic Computation in Recurrent Networks of Spiking Neurons*. PLOS Computational Biology. 2011
- [12] Pecevski et al. *Learning Probabilistic Inference through Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity*. eNeuro. 2016
- [13] Pecevski et al. *Formation and maintenance of neuronal assemblies through synaptic plasticity*. Nature Communications. 2014
- [14] Pecevski et al. *A learning algorithm for Boltzmann Machines*. Cognitive Science. 1985