
**COMP30027 Assignment 1 Report**

**He Shen (1297447)**

**2. 1-NN classification**

Since the label quality is a Boolean of numerical 0 and 1, I simply compare my prediction label’s value with the actual ones, sum the difference, then divide by overall row counts to get a mean value, which results in an accuracy about 0.764 (76.4%).

The 76.4% of accuracy is not a very high number, this implies that this dataset is not quite suitable for a 1-NN classifier. On the one hand, this may be because 1-NN itself only considers the nearest neighbor, and for our current wine quality dataset of 1350 data points, only one of them was used for prediction, which did not have a very good utilization rate. On the other hand, the nearest neighbor may not be reliable and should be considered an outlier. For example, in the below graph, I choose the feature “volatileAcidity” as x, “totalSulfurDioxide” as y, and randomly select 100 samples from the training dataset. If the black dot is one of out test instances that needs to predict its label, from 1-NN, it seems to be low quality since its distance to its neighbor blue dot is shorter. However, from the overall distribution, there are more orange dots nearby, hence, labeling itself as high quality has much more possibility under this curriculum.





**3. Normalization**

This prediction itself is very sensitive to Euclidean distance, and some features may occupy larger values than others. In this case, features with larger values may have more dominance, resulting in some features with smaller values but actually having a greater impact on the label being unable to be listed as neighbors.