Question 1.

Discussion

- We will show there exists an x such that mx + b = 0.
- We will show that x is unique.

Proof

Proof. Consider $x = -\frac{b}{m}$. Note that $mx + b = m(-\frac{b}{m}) + b = -b + b = 0$. Thus, there exists a real x such that mx + b = 0. We will show that x is unique. Assume that both x and y satisfy mx + b = 0. Then mx + b = 0 = my + b. Therefore, mx + b = my + b, and thus mx = my, and so x = y. Thus, there exists a unique x such that mx + b = 0.

Question 2.

Discussion

- We will show that $-1 \le x \le 1 \Rightarrow x^2 \le 1$.
- We will show that $x^2 \le 1 \Rightarrow -1 \le x \le 1$.

Proof

Proof. To prove this biconditional statement, we will prove two conditional statements.

We will first show that the statement "If $-1 \le x \le 1$, then $x^2 \le 1$ " is true. Assume that $-1 \le x \le 1$ is true. Then $x \le 1$ and $x \ge -1$. Since $x \le 1$, $x \cdot x \le 1 \cdot 1 \Rightarrow x^2 \le 1$, as $x \ge -1$. Thus, the original statement is true.

Next we will prove the statement "If $x^2 \le 1$, then $-1 \le x \le 1$ " by proving its contrapositve "If x < -1 or x > 1, then $x^2 > 1$." Assume that $x < -1 \lor x > 1$ is true. Then only one of x < -1 or x > 1 needs to be true for $x < -1 \lor x > 1$ to be true. Since x cannot be both greater than 1 and less than -1, only one of the statements in the compound statement can be true. If x < -1 is true, $x \cdot x < -1 \cdot -1 \Rightarrow x^2 > 1$. If x > 1 is true, $x \cdot x > 1 \cdot 1 \Rightarrow x^2 > 1$. Thus, in either case the contrapositve will be true. Since we have proven the contrapositve, the original statement must also be true.

Since we proved above the two conditional statements, the biconditional statement "Let x be a real number. $-1 \le x \le 1$ if and only if $x^2 \le 1$ " is proven.

Question 3.

Discussion

- We will show that m and n have the same parity $\Rightarrow m+n$ is even.
- We will show that m + n is even $\Rightarrow m$ and n have the same parity.

Proof

Proof. To prove this biconditional statement, we will prove two conditional statements.

We will first show that the statement "If m and n have the same parity, then m+n is even" is true. Assume that m and n have the same parity. Then there are two cases to consider:

Case 1. m and n are both even: If m and n are both even, then they can be written as m = 2k and n = 2l, for some whole numbers k and l. Then m + n = 2k + 2l = 2(k + l). Since k + l is also a whole number, m + n is even.

Case 2. m and n are both odd: If m and n are both odd, then they can be written as m=2k+1 and n=2k+1, for some whole numbers k and l. Then m+n=2k+1+2l+1=2k+2l+2=2(k+l+1). Since k+l+1 is also a whole number, m+n is even.

Thus, the statement "If m and n have the same parity, then m+n is even" is proven.

Next, we will prove the statement "If m + n is even, then m and n have the same parity." We will instead prove its contrapositve "If m and n do not have the same parity, then m + n is odd." Assume that m and n do not have the same parity. Then let us consider the following two cases:

Case 1. m is even and n is odd: If m is even and n is odd, then they can be written as m=2k and n=2l+1, for some whole numbers k and l. Then m+n=2k+2l+1=2(k+l)+1. Since k+l is also a whole number, m+n is odd.

Case 2. m is odd and n is even: If m is odd and n is even, then they can be written as m = 2k + 1 and n = 2l, for some whole numbers k and l. Then m + n = 2k + 1 + 2l = 2(k + l) + 1. Since k + l is also a whole number, m + n is odd.

Thus, the contrapositve "If m and n do not have the same parity, then m+n is odd" is true. Since we have proven the contrapositve, the original statement must also be true.

Since we have proved above the two conditional statements, the biconditional statement "Let m and n be whole numbers. m and n have the same parity if and only if m + n is even" is proven.

Question 4.

Discussion

- We will show that $m \cdot n$ is odd $\Rightarrow m$ and n are both odd.
- We will do this by proving the contrapositve statement.

Proof

Proof. We will show that the statement "Let m and n be whole numbers. If $m \cdot n$ is odd, then m and n are both odd" is true. We will instead prove its contrapositve "If m and n are not both odd, then $m \cdot n$ is even." Assume that m and n are not both odd. Then, let us consider three cases:

Case 1. m is even and n is odd: If m is even and n is odd, then they can be written as m = 2k and n = 2l + 1, for some whole numbers k and l. Then $m \cdot n = 2k(2l+1) = 4kl + 2k = 2(2kl+k)$. Since 2kl+k is also a whole number, $m \cdot n$ is even.

Case 2. m is odd and n is even: If m is odd and n is even, then they can be written as m=2k+1 and n=2l, for some whole numbers k and l. Then $m \cdot n = (2k+1)(2l) = 4kl+2l = 2(2kl+l)$. Since 2kl+l is also a whole number, $m \cdot n$ is even.

Case 3. m and n are both even: If m and n are both even, then they can be written as m = 2k and n = 2l, for some whole numbers k and l. Then $m \cdot n = (2k)(2l) = 4kl = 2(2kl)$. Since 2kl is also a whole number, $m \cdot n$ is even.

Thus, in all three cases the contrapositive statement "If m and n are not both

odd, then $m \cdot n$ is even" is true. Since we have proven the contrapositve, the original statement must also be true. \Box

Question 5.

(a) For the odd whole numbers n=-3,-1,1,3,5,7,9, write n as the difference of two perfect squares.

1.
$$n = -3$$
: $1^2 - 2^2 = -3$

2.
$$n = -1$$
: $0^2 - 1^2 = -1$

3.
$$n = 1$$
: $1^2 - 0^2 = 1$

4.
$$n = 3$$
: $2^2 - 1^2 = 3$

5.
$$n = 5$$
: $3^2 - 2^2 = 5$

6.
$$n = 7$$
: $4^2 - 3^2 = 7$

7.
$$n = 9$$
: $5^2 - 4^2 = 9$

(b) **Proposition:** Every odd whole number can be written as the difference of two perfect squares.

Discussion

- We will show that an odd whole number n can be written as n = 2k + 1, for some whole number k.
- We will show that $(k+1)^2 k^2 = 2k + 1$.

Proof

Proof. Let us consider the statement "Every odd whole number can be written as the difference of two perfect squares." Notice that for any odd whole number n, n can be written as n=2k+1, for some whole number k. Notice also that the difference between the squares of k+1 and k can be written as $(k+1)^2 - k^2 = k^2 + 2k + 1 - k^2 = 2k + 1$. Since 2k+1=n too, we have proven the original statement.