

# Algorithm of HOIF estimators for ATE

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Input Data</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Target Formula</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>The Integrated Algorithm (Main Interface)</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Step-by-Step Technical Notes</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	Transformation of Covariates . . . . .	5
4.2	Compute the Residuals . . . . .	5
4.3	Compute the Inverse of the Weighted Gram Matrix . . .	6
4.4	Compute the Projection Matrix . . . . .	6
4.5	Compute the HOIF Estimators . . . . .	7

## 1 Input Data

Let the observed data be denoted by  $(X_i, A_i, Y_i)_{i=1}^n$ , where  $A_i \in \{0, 1\}$  is the binary treatment indicator,  $Y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  is the observed outcome, and  $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$  represents the vector of covariates.

We assume the availability of pre-computed nuisance function estimators: the conditional mean outcomes  $(\hat{\mu}(1, X_i), \hat{\mu}(0, X_i))$  and the propensity score  $\hat{\pi}(X_i)$ . All these estimators map to the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . In standard applications,  $\hat{\mu}(\cdot)$  and  $\hat{\pi}(\cdot)$  should be estimated using an independent sample to avoid overfitting bias.

## 2 Target Formula

The core function `hoif_ate()` in this *R* package computes the estimators described below.

The quantity  $\text{ATE}_m(\hat{\Omega}^a)$  is the  $m$ -th order higher order influence function (HOIF) estimator for the estimable bias of the doubly robust estimator (also known as double machine learning or AIPW estimator) of the average treatment effect (ATE) in causal inference. This methodology was developed through a series of works by James M. Robins and his collaborators [3, 4, 2, 1].

The estimators are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ATE}_m(\hat{\Omega}^a) &= \text{HOIF}_m^1 - \text{HOIF}_m^0, \\ \text{HOIF}_m^a(\hat{\Omega}^a) &= \sum_{j=2}^m \mathbb{IF}_j^a(\hat{\Omega}^a) = \sum_{i=2}^m \sum_{j=2}^i \binom{i-2}{i-j} \mathbb{U}_j^a(\hat{\Omega}^a),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{IF}_m^a(\hat{\Omega}^a) &= (-1)^m \frac{(n-m)!}{n!} \sum_{\substack{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in J_1^{\times m}: \\ i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_m}} r_{i_1}^a Z_{i_1}^\top \hat{\Omega}^a \prod_{s=2}^{m-1} \{(Q_{i_s}^a - (\hat{\Omega}^a)^{-1}) \hat{\Omega}^a\} s_{i_m}^a Z_{i_m} R_{i_m}^a, \\ \mathbb{U}_m^a(\hat{\Omega}^a) &= (-1)^m \frac{(n-m)!}{n!} \sum_{\substack{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in J_1^{\times m}: \\ i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_m}} r_{i_1}^a Z_{i_1}^\top \hat{\Omega}^a \prod_{s=2}^{m-1} \{Q_{i_s}^a \hat{\Omega}^a\} s_{i_m}^a Z_{i_m} R_{i_m}^a \\ &= (-1)^m \frac{(n-m)!}{n!} \sum_{\substack{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in J_1^{\times m}: \\ i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_m}} r_{i_1}^a \prod_{s=1}^{m-1} \{Z_{i_s}^\top \hat{\Omega}^a s_{i_{s+1}}^a Z_{i_{s+1}}\} R_{i_m}^a.\end{aligned}$$

The notation above involves the following components:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1, J_2 &\subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \\
a &\in \{0, 1\}, \\
s_i^a &= A_i^a (1 - A_i)^{1-a}, \\
r_i^a &= 1 - s_i^a / \left( (\hat{\pi}(X_i))^a (1 - \hat{\pi}(X_i))^{1-a} \right), \\
R_i^a &= Y_i - \hat{\mu}(a, X_i), \\
Z_i &= \text{transform}(X_i) \in \mathbb{R}^k, \\
\hat{\Omega}^a &= \left( \frac{1}{|J_2|} \sum_{i \in J_2} s_i^a Z_i Z_i^\top \right)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we designate the sample in  $J_1$  as the *estimation sample* and the sample in  $J_2$  as the *training sample* for  $\hat{\Omega}^a$ . The function  $\text{transform}(X_i)$  denotes a transformation of the covariates, with the transformed variable  $Z_i$  taking values in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

**Sample Splitting (Cross-Fitting):** When employing  $K$ -fold sample splitting  $(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_K)$ , for each fold  $j \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ , the sample in  $I_j$  serves as the estimation sample (i.e.,  $I_j = J_1$ ), while samples not in  $I_j$  form the training sample (i.e.,  $i \in J_2 \Leftrightarrow i \notin I_j$ ). The training sample is used to compute  $\hat{\Omega}^a$ , which is then applied along with the estimation sample to calculate  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{F}_m^a$ . The final estimator is obtained by averaging across all folds, yielding the empirical HOIF (eHOIF) estimator [2].

**No Sample Splitting:** When sample splitting is not used, we simply set  $J_1 = J_2$ , using the entire dataset for both training and estimation, which responds to  $\text{stable}(\text{sHOIF})$  estimator [1].

### 3 The Integrated Algorithm (Main Interface)

The whole function combines all the following steps to get the HOIF estimators for ATE. It serves as the primary entry point,

orchestrating the data transformation, residual calculation, and the choice of cross-fitting strategy.

**Function Inputs:**

- Full observed data  $(X_i, A_i, Y_i)_{i=1}^n$ .
- Nuisance function estimators  $(\hat{\mu}(1, X_i), \hat{\mu}(0, X_i), \hat{\pi}(X_i))$ .
- Transformation method and its tuning parameters.
- Inverse method of weighted Gram matrix.
- Maximum HOIF order  $m$  and ustat backend.
- **Switch:** A boolean flag for sample splitting and the number of splits  $K$ .

**Procedure:**

1. **Global Pre-processing:**

- Transform the covariates  $X_i$  on the whole data to obtain basis functions  $(Z_i)_{i=1}^n$ .
- Compute the global residuals  $((R_i^1, r_i^1), (R_i^0, r_i^0))_{i=1}^n$ .

2. **Branching Logic:**

- *If not using sample splitting:*

Proceed with the entire dataset using the steps described in the following sections. Output  $(ATE_l, HOIF_l^a, IIFF_l^a)$  for  $l = 2, \dots, m$  and  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ .

- *If using sample splitting (Cross-fitting):*

(a) Split the indices  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  into  $K$  disjoint parts  $(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_K)$ .

(b) **For each fold**  $j = 1, \dots, K$ :

- **Training:** Use data with indices not in  $I_j$  ( $i \notin I_j$ ) to compute the inverse Gram matrices  $(\Omega_{1,j}, \Omega_{0,j})$ .
- **Estimation:** Use data with indices in  $I_j$  ( $i \in I_j$ ) and the pre-computed  $(\Omega_{1,j}, \Omega_{0,j})$  to compute:

- \* Local projection matrices  $(B_{1,j}, B_{0,j})$ .
- \* Local HOIF estimators  $(\text{ATE}_{l,j}, \text{HOIF}_{l,j}^a, \text{IIF}_{l,j}^a)$  for  $l = 2, \dots, m$ .

(c) **Aggregation:** Average the results across all  $K$  folds:

$$\text{ATE}_l = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K \text{ATE}_{l,j}, \quad \text{HOIF}_l^a = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K \text{HOIF}_{l,j}^a, \quad \text{IIF}_l^a = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K \text{IIF}_{l,j}^a \quad (1)$$

**Output:** Final averaged estimators  $(\text{ATE}_l, \text{HOIF}_l^a, \text{IIF}_l^a)$  for  $l = 2, \dots, m$ .

## 4 Step-by-Step Technical Notes

### 4.1 Transformation of Covariates

First, we transform the covariates  $X_i$  into a set of basis functions  $Z_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Common choices include B-splines or Fourier basis functions.

**Function Requirement:**

- *Input:* Covariate matrix  $X$ , the transformation method (e.g., Fourier or B-splines), and relevant tuning parameters (including the basis dimension  $k$ ).
- *Output:* The transformed basis matrix  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ , where each row  $Z_i$  corresponds to the  $i$ -th observation.

### 4.2 Compute the Residuals

Next, we compute two pairs of residuals, indexed by the treatment assignment  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ .

For the treatment group ( $a = 1$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} R_i^1 &= A_i(Y_i - \hat{\mu}(1, X_i)) \\ r_i^1 &= 1 - A_i/\hat{\pi}(X_i) \end{aligned}$$

For the control group ( $a = 0$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} R_i^0 &= (1 - A_i)(Y_i - \hat{\mu}(0, X_i)) \\ r_i^0 &= 1 - (1 - A_i)/(1 - \hat{\pi}(X_i)) \end{aligned}$$

**Function Requirement:**

- *Input:* Observed data  $(A, Y)$  and estimated nuisance functions  $(\hat{\mu}(1, X), \hat{\mu}(0, X), \hat{\pi}(X))$ .
- *Output:* Two residual pairs  $((R_i^1, r_i^1))_{i=1}^n$  and  $((R_i^0, r_i^0))_{i=1}^n$ .

### 4.3 Compute the Inverse of the Weighted Gram Matrix

Compute the inverse of the weighted Gram matrix  $G_a$  for each  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ :

$$G_a = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^a Z_i Z_i^T, \quad (2)$$

where  $s_i^a = A_i^a(1 - A_i)^{1-a}$  serves as the indicator for the  $a$ -th group. Let  $\Omega_a = G_a^{-1}$  denote the corresponding inverse matrix.

Several estimation methods can be employed for  $\Omega_a$ , such as direct inversion (e.g., using `chol2inv()` in R for efficiency) or shrinkage estimators (e.g., via the `nlshrink` or `corpcor` R packages) to improve numerical stability in high-dimensional settings.

**Function Requirement:**

- *Input:* Basis functions  $Z$ , treatment indicators  $A$ , and the inversion method (`direct`, `nlshrink`, or `corpcor`).
- *Output:* A pair of inverse matrices  $(\Omega_1, \Omega_0)$ .

### 4.4 Compute the Projection Matrix

Compute the projection-like basis matrix  $B^a$  for each  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ :

$$B^a = Z \Omega_a Z^T, \quad (3)$$

where  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$  is the matrix of basis functions. Note that  $B^a$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix.

**Function Requirement:**

- *Input:* Basis matrix  $Z$  and the inverse matrices  $(\Omega_1, \Omega_0)$ .
- *Output:* Basis matrices  $(B^1, B^0)$ .

## 4.5 Compute the HOIF Estimators

Finally, we compute the HOIF estimators for the ATE.

**Function Requirement:**

- *Input:*
  - Maximum order  $m$ .
  - Residual pairs  $((R_i^a, r_i^a))_{a \in \{0,1\}}$ .
  - Projection matrices  $(B^1, B^0)$ .
  - Backend for ustat (`numpy` or `torch`).

- *Procedure:*

For each order  $j$  from 2 to  $m$ , and for each treatment assignment  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ , calculate the  $U$ -statistics:

$$U_j^a = (-1)^j \text{ustat}(\text{tensors} = T_j^a, \text{expression} = E_j^a, \text{backend} = \text{"backend"}, \text{average} = 1) \quad (4)$$

The tensors  $T_j^a$  and index expressions  $E_j^a$  are defined as:

$$T_j^a = (R^a, \underbrace{B^a, \dots, B^a}_{j-1 \text{ times}}, r^a)$$

$$E_j^a = (1, (1, 2), \dots, (j-1, j), j)$$

Then, for each order  $l \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ , compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{IIF}_l^a &= \sum_{j=2}^l \binom{l-2}{l-j} \text{U}_j^a \\ \text{HOIF}_l^a &= \sum_{j=2}^l \text{IIF}_j^a \\ \text{ATE}_l &= \text{HOIF}_l^1 - \text{HOIF}_l^0\end{aligned}$$

- *Output:*  $(\text{ATE}_l, \text{HOIF}_l^a, \text{IIF}_l^a)$  for  $l = 2, \dots, m$ .

## References

- [1] Lin Liu and Chang Li. New  $\sqrt{n}$ -consistent, numerically stable higher-order influence function estimators. *arXiv preprint*, 2023.
- [2] Lin Liu, Rajarshi Mukherjee, Whitney K Newey, and James M Robins. Semiparametric efficient empirical higher order influence function estimators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.07577*, 2017.
- [3] James M. Robins, Lingling Li, Eric Tchetgen Tchetgen, and Aad van der Vaart. Higher order influence functions and minimax estimation of nonlinear functionals. In *Probability and Statistics: Essays in Honor of David A. Freedman*, pages 335–421. Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Beachwood, OH, 2008. IMS Collections.
- [4] James M. W. Robins, Lingling Li, Rajarshi Mukherjee, Eric J. Tchetgen Tchetgen, and Aad van der Vaart. Minimax estimation of a functional on a structured high-dimensional model. *The Annals of Statistics*, 45(5):1951–1987, 2017.