IFS_coding_guidelines Documentation

ECMWF

LIST OF RULES:

1	Guid	elines and recommendations	3
2	Rules	s (as checked by norms checker)	5
	2.1	L1: implicit none	5
	2.2	L2: use module, only	5
	2.3	L3: global variables	6
	2.4	L4: language features	6
	2.5	L5 : argument INTENT	6
	2.6	L6: OPTIONAL argument position	6
	2.7	L7 : OPTIONAL argument keyword usage	7
	2.8	L8 : copying allocatable arrays	7
	2.9	L9: interfaces for routines	7
	2.10	L10: declaration syntax	7
	2.11	I1 : naming of variables, subroutines, modules	7
	2.12	I2 : DRHOOK instrumentation	8
	2.13	I3 : Excessively long interfaces	8
	2.14	I4: MPL and message passing	9
		I5 : derived types in modules	9
	2.16	I6: MPL and string argument	9
	2.17	I7 : no TABS	9
	2.18	I8: KIND specification	9
	2.19	SC1: horizontal indexing	9
	2.20	SC2: horizontal looping	9
	2.21	SC3: function calls from inside KPROMA loops	10
	2.22	SC4: no horizontal indirection	10
	2.23	S1: END IF / ENDIF	10
	2.24	S2: order of argument declarations	10
	2.25	S3: line continuation	10
	2.26	S4: no unqualified END statements	11
	2.27	S5: unused variables	11
	2.28	Coding norms 2011 rules	11
3	Indic	es and tables	19

Developments to the Arpege/IFS codebase should aim to adhere to the guidelines and rules presented in this document.

Guidelines and rules described here are designed to make code easier to read, easier to maintain, easier to extend, and less prone to bugs. Rules are also written so as to allow implementation of automatic checking.

LIST OF RULES:

2 LIST OF RULES:

GUIDELINES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Good code should not require large amounts of comments to be intelligible. Nevertheless targeted explanations of particular segments of interest are desirable. Each source file should have a homogeneous coding style.
- Routine call signatures or interfaces should be designed with care, respecting library contouring. Interfaces that are not internal to a component should priviledge
- Naming of new variables, routines and modules should help the reader understand code as efficiently as possible. *Renaming of legacy / existing code?*
- Contours of a routine or module should be considered with care, avoiding excessive length or complexity.
- In order to make domain decomposition easier to follow, global variable names are suffixed by G, while subdomain-local variables are suffixed by L.
- Large arrays should be declared as allocatable, to avoid excessive stack usage. Small arrays, and in particular those declared in tight code (this should be avoided wherever possible!) should be automatic, to benefit from faster stack handling.
- If an allocatable variable can be used rather than a pointer, opt for the allocatable for safety reasons.
- Different meteorological data formats are used at ECMWF and Meteo-France. The choice between these formats should be based on logical keys LARPEGEF or LARPEGEF_xx (and not LECMWF).
- Conditional clauses with multiple cases should be handled with SELECT CASE rather than IF statements followed by multiple ELSEIF statements.
- Aladin routines that are counterparts of IFS/Arpege ones should have the same name but prefixed with E. Aladin counterparts to IFS/Arpege SUxxx setup routines should be named SUE.
- Output that should appear in the main text output file should be written to NULOUT. Output to NULOUT must be deterministic and should not change according to the parallel distribution or the time at which the job is run. Error messages should be written to unit NULERR.
- If execution is to be aborted by the code, a call to ABOR1, with a meaningful message, should be used.

CHAPTER

TWO

RULES (AS CHECKED BY NORMS CHECKER)

Some of the rules relate to the idea of Single Column code, where algorithmic tasks can be expressed independently of horizontal position, and no horizontal dependencies exist. Code which maps to this concept can be modified at compile time by tooling (Loki, Fxtran) in line with architecture-specific requirements. Such tooling relies heavily on code formatting to determine required transformations, explaining the prescriptive rules for these areas of code.

Rules are organised into general language rules (Lw), IFS-specific rules (Ix), stylistic points (Sy), and Single-Column related rules (SCz).

2.1 L1: implicit none

IMPLICIT NONE must figure in all scoping units

Once per module is sufficient

2.2 L2: use module, only

Module imports via the USE statement shall contain an ONLY specifier.

To be avoided:

```
USE GEOMETRY_MOD
USE YOMRIP
```

Correct way to import from modules:

```
USE GEOMETRY_MOD, ONLY : TYPE_GEOMETRY
USE YOMRIP, ONLY : NSTADD
```

2.2.1 Exceptions

- Fypp-based modules, as cmake's dependency analysis does not know what symbols a fypp module will expose.
- modules where ASSIGNMENT operator is overloaded

2.3 L3: global variables

Only parameters to be declared as global variables.

Example:

Listing 1: global variable usage

```
MODULE YOMLUN

INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), PARAMETER :: NULSTAT = 1
INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), PARAMETER :: NULNAM = 4

END MODULE
```

2.4 L4: language features

New features from recent Fortran standards should not be used if they are not supported by GNU, Intel, & Nvidia compilers.

2.5 L5: argument INTENT

All arguments to routines shall be declared with an INTENT.

- INTENT(IN): variables which are not assigned to in the scope
- INTENT(OUT): variables whose previous content is irrelevant, and which are written to in the scope
- INTENT(INOUT) : all other variables

warning Particular care should be paid to intent of array variables: * arrays where only a few locations are updated but other locations contain required values, *must* be declared as INTENT(INOUT) * arguments declared as allocatable may be deallocated at entry if declared as INTENT(OUT)

2.6 L6: OPTIONAL argument position

Optional arguments to a routine shall be after non-optional ones.

To be avoided:

Listing 2: OPTIONAL argument position

```
SUBROUTINE OPT_ARG( ARG1, ARG2, ARG3)

INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(IN) :: ARG1
INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(IN), OPTIONAL :: ARG2
INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(OUT) :: ARG3
```

2.7 L7: OPTIONAL argument keyword usage

Optional arguments passed to a routine shall have their keyword speficied.

To be avoided:

Listing 3: OPTIONAL argument keyword

```
!! interface of OPT_ARG subroutine is below
CALL OPT_ARG( IVAL1,IVAL2,IVAL3)

SUBROUTINE OPT_ARG( ARG1, ARG2, ARG3)

INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(IN) :: ARG1
INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(OUT) :: ARG2
INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(IN),OPTIONAL :: ARG3
```

2.8 L8: copying allocatable arrays

An array declared in scope as allocatable shall not be copied without dimension specification.

For example, copy 1D array as PARR2(:)=PARR1(:), and *not* PARR2=PARR1. The latter form may lead to reallocation of the left-hand-side target array at runtime, which is error-prone, and costly.

Inside computational kernels, explicit looping over array elements is preferred.

2.9 L9: interfaces for routines

Calls to subroutines and functions that are provided neither by a module nor by a CONTAINS statement, must have a matching explicit interface block.

Routines that may be called from outside the IFS should have an interface in the codebase, while routines called exclusively internally have their interfaces generated at build time.

2.10 L10: declaration syntax

All declarations shall use the "::" notation.

2.11 I1: naming of variables, subroutines, modules

The IFS has a historical naming convention, which should be adhered to where sensible.

2.11.1 Variable naming

· Local variables

• integer: Ixxx

• real : Zxxx

· logical: LLxxx

derived type : YRxxx Argument variables

• integer : Kxxxx

· real: Pxxx

• logical: LDxxx

• derived type: YDxxx

· Name suffixes

- L suffix corresponds to local / subdomain variables
- · G suffix corresponds to global / full-domain variables

2.11.2 Routine naming

- setup routines should start with subroutines
- TL and AD routines should end with tl and ad respectively

Module naming New modules should end with _mod. The name of the file should match the name of the module it contains (e.g. MODULE EINT should be in file eint mod.F90)

2.12 I2: DRHOOK instrumentation

All IFS routines shall have DRHOOK calls as first and last statement, unless referenced explicitly in exceptions list.

The string argument to these calls must give the name of subroutine. In the case of a contained subroutine, the string should be constructed from the name of the parent routine and the contained routine, separated with a percent symbol (%).

The exceptions list should only contain routines that are called in time-critical / tight contexts, where DRHOOK overhead would not be acceptable.

2.13 I3: Excessively long interfaces

IFS routines should not have more than 50 (arbitrary value, but used in historical document, and sounds already long to me) arguments.

Control flow routines should use derived types to group long lists of related variables.

Algorithmic kernels should be tight enough in scope to avoid the need for more than 50 arguments.

Lightweight _layer routines can be used as bridge between control flow code and kernel code.

2.14 I4: MPL and message passing

All operations related to message passing internal to the IFS shall be done via the MPL library.

Nemo code, WAM code, and master.F90 are not concerned by this rule.

2.15 I5: derived types in modules

Derived type definitions shall not be outside of a module

A module may contain multiple type definitions, but a subroutine should not contain a type definition.

2.16 I6: MPL and string argument

Calls to MPL methods should have string argument identifying call site

2.17 I7: no TABS

TAB characters are not to be used

2.18 I8: KIND specification

Real and integer variables in the IFS shall have an explicit KIND specifier, with a kind defined in PARKIND1 or PARKIND2, or in a similar file for stand-alone projects (ecTRANS, WAM, etc)

2.19 SC1: horizontal indexing

Variables refering to horizontal indices should be named consistently, JL, KPROMA

The Loki tool relies on elements of code style in order to identify loops needing to be manipulated for architecture specialisation.

2.20 SC2: horizontal looping

All loops over the innermost, horizontal array dimension should be explicit.

The Loki tool relies on elements of code style in order to identify loops needing to be manipulated for architecture specialisation.

2.21 SC3: function calls from inside KPROMA loops

Inside tight horizontal loops of type DO JL=KIDIA, KFDIA, calls should be restricted to intrinsics.

If a function construct must be used inside the loop, the function shall be pure elemental, so as not to inhibit compiler vectorization of the loop.

2.22 SC4: no horizontal indirection

Where relevant (Single Column), indirect addressing on the innermost, horizontal array index shall not be used.

The Loki tool relies on elements of code style in order to identify loops needing to be manipulated for architecture specialisation.

2.23 S1: END IF / ENDIF

Two-word control flow constructs should be written as single words.

ENDIF rather than END IF ENDDO rather than END DO ENDWHERE rather than END WHERE (this one makes me cry a little)

2.24 S2: order of argument declarations

Arguments to a routine shall be declared in the same order as that of the call signature.

To be avoided:

Listing 4: declaration order

```
SUBROUTINE WRONG_ORDER( ARG3, ARG2, ARG1)

INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(IN) :: ARG3
INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(IN) :: ARG2
INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(OUT) :: ARG1
```

2.25 S3: line continuation

Optional arguments to a routine shall be after non-optional ones.

Listing 5: Good line continuation

```
CALL ROUTINE_WITH_VERY_LONG_AND_BORING_NAME ( IVAL1,IVAL2,IVAL3, & PVAL_1,PVAL2,PVAL3, & LDVAL1,LDVAL2)
```

Listing 6: Bad line continuation

```
CALL ROUTINE_WITH_VERY_LONG_AND_BORING_NAME ( IVAL1,IVAL2,IVAL3, & PVAL_1,PVAL2,PVAL3, & LDVAL1,LDVAL2)
```

2.26 S4: no unqualified END statements

END statements shall all be qualified. END SUBROUTINE shall state subroutine name.

To be avoided:

Listing 7: declaration order

```
SUBROUTINE WRONG_ORDER( ARG3, ARG2, ARG1)

INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(IN) :: ARG3
INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(IN) :: ARG2
INTEGER(KIND=JPIM), INTENT(OUT) :: ARG1
```

2.27 S5: unused variables

Variables that are declared and not used, or included and not used, should be pruned.

Warning: the use of statement functions can lead to module variables that appear unused, but that are referenced in statement function.

2.28 Coding norms 2011 rules

2.28.1 R1: Encapsulation rules

status: not a lintable rule, covered in preamble

Modules should be split up in a sensible manner to avoid too long Fortran files or too complex modules. One recommendation is to separate the data structures, the operators and the descriptive parameters (including setup if existing). The number of entities in a single module is not limited, but a reasonable total number should be considered always (about 10 to 20 entities?).

2.28.2 R2: Subroutine length

status: can this remotely be considered enforceable, from current IFS?!

Subroutines should have no more than 300 executable statements.

For a module containing several entities, this limit of 300 executable statements is applicable for each inner subroutine.

2.28.3 R3: Cosmetic changes

status: is this still relevant with git development everywhere, and shared history?

Avoid cosmetic changes that will make merges difficult (such as re-ordering argument lists and USE statements, or changing the indentation of large blocks of code). As an exception, cosmetic changes can happen when a routine is heavily modified, and only one well identified developer will contribute to the code for the next common cycle.

2.28.4 R4: Unused variables

status: covered in S5

Declarations of unused variables must be removed

2.28.5 R5: Variable name suffixes

status: not a lintable rule, mentioned in preamble

Variables suffixed with L are local in the sense of the parallel distribution. Variables suffixed with G are global.

2.28.6 R6: Array syntax

status: covered in L8

The use of array syntax is not recommended except for initialization and very basic computations.

2.28.7 R7: Cut-n-paste

status: not a rule

Cut-and-paste of existing piece of code should be avoided. Common code should be extracted to a separate subroutine or function.

2.28.8 R8: LECMWF variable

status: very far from true in current IFS?!

The variable LECMWF should be used only in setup subroutines.

2.28.9 R9: LELAM variable

status: very far from true in current IFS?!

The variable LELAM is not to be used below SCAN2M.

2.28.10 R10: LFI or GRIB

status: not a lintable rule, so moved to preamble

The choice between LFI/LFA or GRIB format should be made using the variables LARPEGEF or LARPEGEF-xx (and not LECMWF).

2.28.11 R11: message passing

status: mentioned elsewhere (I4)

The MPL package must be used as the interface for any message passing.

2.28.12 R12: Derived types in a module

status: mentioned elsewhere (I5)

Derived types should be declared in a module.

2.28.13 R13: Code must be threadsafe

status: not a lintable rule, and should be enforced via testing!

2.28.14 R14: Abnormal termination

status: mentioned in preamble

Abnormal termination must be invoked by ABOR1.

2.28.15 R15: The save statement

status: no longer applicable

Variables in data modules must be saved using the SAVE statement.

2.28.16 R16: argument passing characteristics

status: until MPL uses F08 bindings, this is not fully respected in IFS

Array shape and Variable type must not be changed when passed to a subroutine.

2.28.17 R17: SELECT CASE

status: mentioned in preamble

Use SELECT CASE when possible instead of IF/ELSEIF/ELSE/ENDIF.

2.28.18 R18: include interfaces

status: reworded, mentioned elsewhere (L9)

For each called routine there must be a ""#include" statement that includes an explicit interface block for the routine. Note that the files containing the explicit interface blocks are automatically generated during compilation.

2.28.19 R19: number of arguments to routines

status: mentioned elsewhere (I3)

Routines should have a small number of dummy arguments. Routine with more than 50 dummy arguments are not allowed.

2.28.20 R20: names of variables

status: mentioned in preamble

Variable names should be meaningful to an English reader. Very short names should be reserved for loop indices.

2.28.21 R21: Name prefixes and suffixes

status: covered elsewhere (I1)

Conventional prefixes or suffixes are to be used for all variables except derived types, as described in table 1 in section 4. There is no naming convention for derived types.

2.28.22 R22: Aladin routine names

status: covered elsewhere

Aladin subroutines that are counterparts of IFS/Arpege ones should have the same name but prefixed with E. Aladin setup routines that are counterparts of IFS/Arpege (prefixed SU) should be prefixed SUE.

2.28.23 R23: NULOUT & NULERR usage

status: mentioned in preamble

The logical unit for output listing is NULOUT. Output to NULOUT must be deterministic and should not change according to the parallel distribution or the time at which the job is run. Error messages should be written to unit NULERR.

2.28.24 R24: universal constants

status: no longer applicable, yomcst is being passed as argument

Universal constants must be stored, saved and initialized in data module YOMCST. They cannot be modified elsewhere and should not be accessed via dummy arguments.

2.28.25 R25: MPL string argument

status: covered elsewhere (I6)

Calls to MPL subroutines should provide a CDSTRING identifying the caller.

2.28.26 R26: code structure and file location

status: non-lintable, but mentioned in preamble

Source code is partitioned into projects. Each source file must be put in the proper directory for its project.

2.28.27 R27: namelist usage

status: scope of this is unclear; IFS picks up a lot of environment variables for example

Runtime specification of variables must be done using name-lists.

2.28.28 R28 : DATA statement

status: covered elsewhere

DATA statement should be avoided if possible and is allowed only for small lists.

2.28.29 R29: F90 free format

status: is mention of free format still necessary? Fortran 90 is also perhaps misleading.

The code should be Fortran 90 free format.

2.28.30 R30: consistent style

status: not a lintable rule, but suggested in preamble.

Use a consistent style throughout each module and subroutine.

2.28.31 R31 : no TAB usage

status: mentioned elsewhere (I7)

The TAB character is not allowed.

2.28.32 R32: IMPLICIT NONE

status: mentioned elsewhere (L1)

IMPLICIT NONE is mandatory in all routines

2.28.33 R33: no hard-coded array dimensions

status: ambiguous, possibly no longer relevant

Array dimensions must not be hard-coded.

2.28.34 R34: "::" notation

status: mentioned elsewehere (L10)

Declarations must use the notation "::".

2.28.35 R35: mandatory KIND specification

status: updated and mentioned elsewhere (I8)

Variables and constants must be declared with explicit kind, using the kinds defined in PARKIND1 and PARKIND2.

2.28.36 R36: USE, ONLY

status: updated and mentioned elsewhere (L2)

All USE statements must include an "ONLY" clause, except for modules that override ASSIGNMENT, where this is dangerous.

2.28.37 R37: PARAMETER wherever possible

status: guideline rather than lintable rule; placed in preamble

Constants should be PARAMETERs wherever as possible

2.28.38 R38 : variable name prefixes

status: covered elsewhere

Variable names should follow the prefix convention defined in table 1.

2.28.39 R39: banned statements

status: we actually use DIMENSION a lot

The following statements are banned :(a)STOP (b)PRINT (c)RETURN (d)ENTRY (e)DIMENSION (f)DOUBLE PRECISION (g)COMPLEX (h)GO TO (i)CONTINUE (j)FORMAT (k)COMMON (l)EQUIVALENCE

2.28.40 R40: no implicit array sizing

status: is this still actually valid?

Arrays should not be declared with implicit size : "A(*)".

2.28.41 R41: automatic and allocatable arrays

status: not a lintable rule, mentioned in preamble

Large arrays should be allocatable. Small or low-level arrays should be automatic.

2.28.42 R42: deallocation of allocatables

status: is this still valid? Would also be extremely difficult to check automatically

All allocated arrays should be explicitly deallocated.

2.28.43 R43: comparison operators

status: mentioned elsewhere, but ... WHYYYYYY?

Use Fortran 90 comparison operators (e.g. == rather than .EQ.).

2.28.44 R44: value comparisons

status: guideline rather than rule, mentioned in preamble

Explicitly set variables (parameters, constants, namelist variables,...) should be always exactly compared (using==or=, etc). Evaluated variables (that might be subject to roundoff error) should be tested against a reference using a threshold.

2.28.45 R45: argument intents are compulsory

status: covered elsewhere

All dummy arguments must specify the INTENT attribute

2.28.46 R46: order of optional arguments

status: covered elsewhere

Optional arguments must be called in the same order they are declared.

2.28.47 R47: ENDIF / END IF

status: covered elsewhere

END statements for blocks should not have a space after END. For example an IF block should end with ENDIF, not "END IF".

2.28.48 R48: removal of dead code

status: covered elsewhere

Inactive (e.g. commented-out) code must be removed.

CHAPTER

THREE

INDICES AND TABLES

- genindex
- modindex
- search