


Automated Security Testing In Continuous Integration

Language Menu



- 1) English**
- 2) Deutsch**

Agenda

whoami?

why?

what?

how?



whoami?



Christian Kühn

system developer


#java #kubernetes #devops

@DevOpsKA Meetup Organizer

synx GmbH Karlsruhe
code with attitude!

**sw development
consulting**





questions ?!

software security issues: what could possibly go wrong?

- leakage of business data**
- leakage of user/customer data**
- service interruption**
- industry malfunction**
- death (😱)**

examples:

equifax - "Credit Monitoring"

hacked 2017

vulnerability in Apache Struts dependency

143,000,000 SSN

209,000 credit card numbers

182,000 "consumers" with PII

<https://krebsonsecurity.com/2017/09/the-equifax-breach-what-you-should-know/>

examples:

Mossack Fonseca - "Law Firm and corporate service provider"

**hacked 2015
vulnerability in Drupal**

**11.5 million leaked documents about
money laundering
tax avoidance
corruption**

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Panama_Papers

what stops developers from patching?

negligence

priorities / lack of time

skills / training

insight

“security - not my department” (or is it?)

A9:2017-Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities

Components, such as libraries, frameworks, and other software modules, run with the same privileges as the application. If a vulnerable component is exploited, such an attack can facilitate serious data loss or server takeover. Applications and APIs using components with known vulnerabilities may undermine application defenses and enable various attacks and impacts.

T10

OWASP Top 10 Application Security Risks – 2017

A1:2017-
Injection

Injection flaws, such as SQL, NoSQL, OS, and LDAP injection, occur when untrusted data is sent to an interpreter as part of a command or query. The attacker's hostile data can trick the interpreter into executing unintended commands or accessing data without proper authorization.

A2:2017-Broken
Authentication

Application functions related to authentication and session management are often implemented incorrectly, allowing attackers to compromise passwords, keys, or session tokens, or to exploit other implementation flaws to assume other users' identities temporarily or permanently.

A3:2017-
Sensitive Data
Exposure

Many web applications and APIs do not properly protect sensitive data, such as financial, healthcare, and PII. Attackers may steal or modify such weakly protected data to conduct credit card fraud, identity theft, or other crimes. Sensitive data may be compromised without extra protection, such as encryption at rest or in transit, and requires special precautions when exchanged with the browser.

A4:2017-XML
External
Entities (XXE)

Many older or poorly configured XML processors evaluate external entity references within XML documents. External entities can be used to disclose internal files using the file URI handler, internal file shares, internal port scanning, remote code execution, and denial of service attacks.

A5:2017-Broken
Access Control

Restrictions on what authenticated users are allowed to do are often not properly enforced. Attackers can exploit these flaws to access unauthorized functionality and/or data, such as access other users' accounts, view sensitive files, modify other users' data, change access rights, etc.

A6:2017-Security
Misconfiguration

Security misconfiguration is the most commonly seen issue. This is commonly a result of insecure default configurations, incomplete or ad hoc configurations, open cloud storage, misconfigured HTTP headers, and verbose error messages containing sensitive information. Not only must all operating systems, frameworks, libraries, and applications be securely configured, but they must be patched and upgraded in a timely fashion.

A7:2017-
Cross-Site
Scripting (XSS)

XSS flaws occur whenever an application includes untrusted data in a new web page without proper validation or escaping, or updates an existing web page with user-supplied data using a browser API that can create HTML or JavaScript. XSS allows attackers to execute scripts in the victim's browser which can hijack user sessions, deface web sites, or redirect the user to malicious sites.

A8:2017-
Insecure
Deserialization

Insecure deserialization often leads to remote code execution. Even if deserialization flaws do not result in remote code execution, they can be used to perform attacks, including replay attacks, injection attacks, and privilege escalation attacks.

A9:2017-Using
Components
with Known
Vulnerabilities

Components, such as libraries, frameworks, and other software modules, run with the same privileges as the application. If a vulnerable component is exploited, such an attack can facilitate serious data loss or server takeover. Applications and APIs using components with known vulnerabilities may undermine application defenses and enable various attacks and impacts.


A10:2017-
Insufficient
Logging &
Monitoring

Insufficient logging and monitoring, coupled with missing or ineffective integration with incident response, allows attackers to further attack systems, maintain persistence, pivot to more systems, and tamper, extract, or destroy data. Most breach studies show time to detect a breach is over 200 days, typically detected by external parties rather than internal processes or monitoring.



OWASP

Open Web Application Security Project



vulnerability

/vʌln(ə)rə'biliti/
noun

1. the quality or state of being exposed to the possibility of being attacked or harmed, either physically or emotionally.

CVE

"reference for publicly known information-security vulnerabilities and exposures"

public CVE Database - sponsored by NIST
(National Institute of Standards and Technology)

CVE-2017-5638 Detail

MODIFIED

This vulnerability has been modified since it was last analyzed by the NVD. It is awaiting reanalysis which may result in further changes to the information provided.

Current Description

The Jakarta Multipart parser in Apache Struts 2 2.3.x before 2.3.32 and 2.5.x before 2.5.10.1 has incorrect exception handling and error-message generation during file-upload attempts, which allows remote attackers to execute arbitrary commands via a crafted Content-Type, Content-Disposition, or Content-Length HTTP header, as exploited in the wild in March 2017 with a Content-Type header containing a #cmd= string.

Source: MITRE

Description Last Modified: 09/22/2017

[+View Analysis Description](#)

Impact

CVSS v3.0 Severity and Metrics:

Base Score: 10.0 CRITICAL

Vector: AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:H/I:H/A:H (V3 legend)

Impact Score: 6.0

Exploitability Score: 3.9

Attack Vector (AV): Network

Attack Complexity (AC): Low

Privileges Required (PR): None

User Interaction (UI): None

Scope (S): Changed

CVSS v2.0 Severity and Metrics:

Base Score: 10.0 HIGH

Vector: (AV:N/AC:L/Au:N/C:C/I:C/A:C) (V2 legend)

Impact Subscore: 10.0

Exploitability Subscore: 10.0

Access Vector (AV): Network

Access Complexity (AC): Low

Authentication (AU): None

Confidentiality (C): Complete

Integrity (I): Complete

Availability (A): Complete

QUICK INFO

CVE Dictionary Entry:

[CVE-2017-5638](#)

NVD Published Date:

03/10/2017

NVD Last Modified:

03/03/2018


solution


search for known vulnerabilities

implement a process to fix ASAP (or whitelist 😊)

treat security issues like technical debt

automate the sh!t out of it





let's automatically find KNOWN vulnerabilities in

dependencies / 3rd party libs

components in docker images

(let's also scan our app dynamically)

continuous delivery today

✓ testtage 1 >


Pipeline Änderungen Tests Artefakte ⚡ 🖊️⚙️➡️ Ausloggen X

Branch: master ↗ 1m 41s Keine Änderungen
Commit: 35bd92b ⏱ 7 minutes ago Branch indexing

Show runtime version mvn cleanup Unit Tests package artifact upload docker build docker push End

```
graph LR; Start((Start)) --> ShowRuntime[Show runtime version]; ShowRuntime --> MVNCleanup[mvn cleanup]; MVNCleanup --> UT[Unit Tests]; UT --> Package[package]; Package --> ArtifactUpload[artifact upload]; ArtifactUpload --> DockerBuild[docker build]; DockerBuild --> DockerPush((docker push)); DockerPush --> End((End))
```

continuous delivery ++



continuous delivery++

testage < 2

Branch: master ↗
Commit: f096488

⌚ 2m 40s
⌚ an hour ago

Änderungen von chris
Branch indexing

Pipeline Änderungen Tests Artefakte ⚡ 🖊️⚙️🔗 Ausloggen

```
graph LR; Start((Start)) --> ShowRuntime[Show runtime version]; ShowRuntime --> MVNCleanup[mvn cleanup]; MVNCleanup --> UnitTests[Unit Tests]; UnitTests --> DependencyCheck{dependency check}; DependencyCheck --> Package[package]; Package --> ArtifactUpload[artifact upload]; ArtifactUpload --> DockerBuild[docker build]; DockerBuild --> DockerPush[docker push]; DockerPush --> ContainerScan[container security scan]; ContainerScan --> APIScan[api security scan]; APIScan --> DockerCleanup[docker cleanup]; DockerCleanup --> End((End))
```


dependencies | components | 3rdparty libraries

example: little maven/springboot demo-project:

6 maven dependencies


71 transitive dependencies

github.com/cy4n/broken



find vulnerable dependencies







DEPENDENCY-CHECK

> Issues

▼ OWASP-Dependency-Check

Critical Severity Vulnerabilities

High Severity Vulnerabilities

Inherited Risk Score


Low Severity Vulnerabilities

Medium Severity Vulnerabilities

Total Dependencies

Total Vulnerabilities

Vulnerable Component Ratio



> Reliability ?

▼ Security ?

Overview

On new code

Vulnerabilities

Rating

Remediation Effort

Overall

Vulnerabilities

Rating

Remediation Effort

> Maintainability ?

> Coverage

> Duplications

> Size

> Complexity ?

> Issues

▼ OWASP-Dependency-Check

Critical Severity Vulnerabilities

0

High Severity Vulnerabilities

A

Inherited Risk Score

0

Low Severity Vulnerabilities

D

Medium Severity Vulnerabilities

14

Total Dependencies

3h 40min

Total Vulnerabilities

Vulnerable Component Ratio



DEMO!





What is wrong with using containers?

docker pull cy4n/broken

FROM cy4n/broken:latest



clair

A Container Image Security Analyzer by CoreOS

CVE Data

DB (postgres)


<https://NVD.nist.gov>

clair

clair-scanner ... <image>



<https://github.com/arminc/clair-local-scan>



**arminc/clair-db
(nightly)**



arminc/clair-local-scan

```
docker run -d --name db arminc/clair-db:latest
```

```
docker run -p 6060:6060 --link db:postgres -d --name clair arminc/clair-local-scan
```



clair

A Container Image Security Analyzer by CoreOS

QUAY Repositories Tutorial Docs Blog Q + ⚡ 5+ J jakedt -

← example/repository 56c0fa71e47b

Quay Security Scanner has detected **13** vulnerabilities.
Patches are available for **4** vulnerabilities.

⚠ 1 High-level vulnerabilities.
⚠ 1 Medium-level vulnerabilities.
⚠ 2 Low-level vulnerabilities.
⚠ 5 Negligible-level vulnerabilities.
⚠ 4 Unknown-level vulnerabilities.

Image Vulnerabilities

CVE	SEVERITY	PACKAGE	CURRENT VERSION	FIXED IN VERSION	INTRODUCED IN IMAGE
CVE-2013-7445	⚠ High	linux	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u3	(None)	RUN <code>apt-get up.</code>
CVE-2015-5276	⚠ Medium	gcc-4.9	4.9.2-10	(None)	ADD <code>file:b5391.</code>
CVE-2016-2856	⚠ Low	glibc	2.19-18+deb8u3	(None)	ADD <code>file:b5391.</code>
CVE-2016-0823	⚠ Low	linux	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u3	(None)	RUN <code>apt-get up.</code>
CVE-2005-3660	⚠ Negligible *	linux	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u3	(None)	RUN <code>apt-get up.</code>
CVE-2015-4003	⚠ Negligible *	linux	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u3	(None)	RUN <code>apt-get up.</code>
CVE-2008-4108	⚠ Negligible *	python-defaults	2.7.9-1	(None)	RUN <code>apt-get up.</code>
CVE-2015-8830	⚠ Negligible	linux	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u3	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u4	RUN <code>apt-get up.</code>
CVE-2013-4392	⚠ Negligible *	systemd	215-17+deb8u3	(None)	ADD <code>file:b5391.</code>
CVE-2015-7515	⚠ Unknown	linux	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u3	(None)	RUN <code>apt-get up.</code>
CVE-2015-8816	⚠ Unknown	linux	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u3	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u4	RUN <code>apt-get up.</code>
CVE-2016-2547	⚠ Unknown	linux	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u3	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u4	RUN <code>apt-get up.</code>
CVE-2016-2545	⚠ Unknown	linux	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u3	3.16.7-ckt20-1+deb8u4	RUN <code>apt-get up.</code>

**alternative:
trivy**

**CLI-binary only
no need for server
local database**

```
$ trivy [YOUR_IMAGE_NAME]  
  
$ trivy python:3.4-alpine
```


<https://github.com/aquasecurity/trivy>

DEMO!





API / Webserver



ZAPProxy



burp

API / Webserver - dynamic testing

OWASP ZAPProxy



**url spider
passive (and active) modes
ajax supported**



zap2docker





myApp

```
docker run -t owasp/zap2docker-stable zap-baseline.py -t http://<URL>  
HTTP
```



iDEMO





let's discuss

how to react?