

## 3.17 DeMorgan's Law

### Basics

**DeMorgan's Law** is a Boolean algebra property for complementing an expression, coming in two forms:  $(a + b)' = a'b'$ , and  $(ab)' = a' + b'$ . Each literal is complemented, and ANDs / ORs swap.

Table 3.17.1: DeMorgan's Law.

Property	Name	Description
$(a + b)' = a'b'$	DeMorgan's Law (for OR)	Each literal complemented, ORs become ANDs.
$(ab)' = a' + b'$	DeMorgan's Law (for AND)	Each literal complemented, ANDs become ORs.

#### PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

#### 3.17.1: DeMorgan's Law.

Start ☐ 2x speed

$$(a \quad b)'$$

$$a' + b'$$

$$(a \quad b)' = a' + b'$$

Complement literals  
Swap AND / OR

$$(a + b)'$$

$$a' \quad b'$$

$$(a + b)' = a' \quad b'$$

**PARTICIPATION  
ACTIVITY**

## 3.17.2: DeMorgan's Law.

1)  $(de)' = ?$ 

- ☐  $d'e'$
- ☐  $d' + e'$
- ☐  $d + e$

2)  $(f + g)' = ?$ 

- ☐  $f'g'$
- ☐  $fg$
- ☐  $f' + g'$

3)  $(abc)' = ?$ 

- ☐  $a'b'c'$
- ☐  $a' + b' + c'$
- ☐ DeMorgan's Law does not apply.

4) A play's cast has an understudy (a substitute). Which is equivalent to saying: If not all cast members show up, the understudy participates.

- ☐ If any cast member does not show up, the understudy participates.
- ☐ If all cast members show up, the understudy participates.

## Examples

### PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

#### 3.17.3: DeMorgan's Law for $(ab)'$ : Plane doors.

Start ☐ 2x speed

Goal: A plane has two doors. Input  $b = 1$  means door  $b$  is closed. Input  $c = 1$  means door  $c$  is closed. The plane can take off when both doors are closed. If they are NOT both closed, illuminate a warning light ( $y = 1$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} y &= (bc)' && \text{NOT both doors closed} \\ &= b' + c' && \text{Either door is open} \end{aligned}$$

### PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

#### 3.17.4: DeMorgan's Law for $(a + b)'$ : Guards.

Start ☐ 2x speed

Goal: A building is protected by two guards. Input  $d = 1$  means guard  $d$  is present. Input  $e = 1$  means guard  $e$  is present. As long as at least one guard is present, all is good. If that is NOT the case, notify the manager ( $y = 1$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} y &= (d + e)' && \text{NOT either guard present} \\ &= d' e' && \text{Both guards absent} \end{aligned}$$

### PARTICIPATION

## 3.17.5: DeMorgan's Law: Basic examples.

## ACTIVITY

Consider the examples above.

1) The plane can take off if both doors are

\_\_\_\_\_.

- ☐ closed
- ☐ not closed

2) The plane's warning light illuminates if it  
\_\_\_\_\_ the case that both doors are  
closed.

- ☐ is
- ☐ is NOT

3)  $y = (ab)'$  \_\_\_\_\_ in sum-of-products form.

- ☐ is
- ☐ is not

4)  $y = a' + b'$  \_\_\_\_\_ in sum-of-products form.

- ☐ is
- ☐ is not

5) For the guards examples, all is good if  
any guard is present. The expression for  
that situation is \_\_\_\_\_.

- ☐  $d + e$
- ☐  $(d + e)'$

6) If NOT the case that any guard is  
present, the manager should be notified.

The expression for notifying the manager is \_\_\_\_.

☐  $d + e$

☐  $(d + e)'$

7) Which is in sum-of-products form?

☐  $(d + e)'$

☐  $d'e'$

## More complex cases

The laws apply to expressions beyond just literals. Examples:

- $(a'b)' = a'' + b'$ , so  $a + b'$ .
- $(ab + c)' = (ab)'c'$ . The law can then be applied again:  $(a' + b')c'$ . Multiplying out yields  $a'c' + b'c'$ .

### PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

3.17.6: DeMorgan's Law for more complex examples.

1)  $(d'e)' = ?$

Simplify answer.

Check

Show answer

2)  $(f' + g')' = ?$

Check

Show answer

3)  $((d + e)f)' = ?$

Apply DeMorgan's Law twice.

Check

Show answer

4)  $(ab + c)' = (?)c'$

Apply DeMorgan's Law twice. (Only type the ? part).

(  ) c '

Check

Show answer

### DeMorgan's Law in programming

DeMorgan's Law is not just used in digital design, but also by computer programmers. Computer programs use expressions to control decisions. Ex: A program may proceed as long as the input is not 3 or 5. The programmer may write: If NOT(  $x==3$  OR  $x==5$  ) then proceed.

To simplify the expression, the programmer may apply DeMorgan's Law: If (  $x \neq 3$  AND  $x \neq 5$  ) then proceed. ( $\neq$  means not equal). The NOT was applied to the two items (so  $==$  became  $\neq$  in two places), and the OR was changed to AND. The resulting expression may be simpler to read.

 Provide feedback on this section