# Cryptographic Protocols

Spring 2019

Part 2

## Polynomial, Negligible, Noticeable

### Function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$

- f is polynomial  $\Leftrightarrow \exists c \exists n_0 \forall n \geq n_0 : f(n) \leq n^c$
- f is negligible  $\Leftrightarrow \forall c \exists n_0 \forall n \geq n_0 : f(n) \leq \frac{1}{n^c}$
- f is noticeable  $\Leftrightarrow \exists c \exists n_0 \forall n \geq n_0 : f(n) \geq \frac{1}{n^c}$
- f is overwhelming  $\Leftrightarrow$  1-f is negligible

#### **Implications**

- $poly \times poly = poly$ ; poly(poly) = poly
- ullet poly imes negligible  $\subseteq$  negligible
- (poly  $\times$  noticeable)  $\cap$  overwhelming  $\neq$  {}

#### P, NP, PSPACE, etc.

Running Time of a Turing machine (TM, aka algorithm)

- for input x: number of steps s(x)
- for *n*-bit input:  $t(n) := \max\{s(x) : x \in L, |x| \le n\}$  (worst-case)
- ullet TM is poly-time iff t(n) is a polynomial function

## **Complexity Classes**

- $P = \{L : \exists \text{ poly-time TM that decides } L\}$
- NP =  $\{L: \exists \text{ poly-time comp. function } \varphi: \{0,1\}^* \times \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ s.t.  $x \in L \Leftrightarrow \exists w \ (\varphi(x,w) = 1 \ \land \ |w| \leq \text{poly}(|x|)) \ \}$

(also: NP = {L :  $\exists$  non-det. poly-time TM that accepts L})

- NP-hard =  $\{L : \forall L' \in \mathsf{NP}: \ L' \text{ can be reduced to } L\}$
- NP-Complete = NP  $\cap$  NP-hard
- $\bullet \ \mathsf{PSPACE} = \{L: \exists \ \mathsf{TM} \ \mathsf{that} \ \mathsf{accepts} \ L \ \mathsf{with} \ \mathsf{poly} \ \mathsf{memory} \ (\mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{any} \ \mathsf{time})\}$

#### Interactive Proofs of Statements

**Def:** An interactive proof for language L is a pair (P,V) of int. programs s.t.

i)  $\forall x$  : running time of V is polynomial in |x|

ii)  $\forall x \in L$ :  $\Pr((P \Leftrightarrow V) \rightarrow \text{``accept"}) \geq 3/4$ 

[p = 3/4]

iii)  $\forall x \notin L, \forall P' : Pr((P' \Leftrightarrow V) \rightarrow \text{``accept"}) \leq 1/2$ 

[q = 1/2]

Examples: Sudoku, GI, GNI, Fiat-Shamir.

## Remarks

- ullet Constants p,q are arbitrary, could be  $p=1-2^{-|x|}$  and  $q=2^{-|x|}$
- ullet However: only NP-languages have proofs with q=0
- If iii) holds only for poly-time P': interactive argument (not a proof)
- Probabilistic P are not more powerful than deterministic P

**Def:** IP = set of L which have an interactive proof.

Theorem: IP = PSPACE.

## Zero-Knowledge

Idea: Protocol (P,V) has transcript T, simulator S outputs similar T'.

**Def:** (P,V) is **zero-knowledge** (**ZK**)  $\Leftrightarrow \forall$  poly-time V'  $\exists$  S:

- i) Transcript T of (P ↔ V') and output T' of S are indistinguishable.
- ii) Running time of S is polynomially bounded.

**Def:** (P,V) is black-box zero-knowledge (BB-ZK)  $\Leftrightarrow \exists S \forall V'$ :

- i) Transcript T of (P  $\Leftrightarrow$  V') and output T' of S in (S  $\Leftrightarrow$  V') are indisting..
- ii) Running time of S is polynomially bounded.



**Def:** (P,V) is **honest-verifier zero-knowledge** (HVZK) if S exists for V' = V.

Types of ZK: perfect, statistical, computational (type of indisting.)

## c-Simulatability and Zero-Knowledge

**Definition:** A three-move protocol (round) with challenge space  $\mathcal C$  is c-simulatable if for any value  $c\in \mathcal C$  one can efficiently generate a triple (t,c,r) with the same distribution as occurring in the protocol (conditioned on the challenge being c), i.e., the conditional distribution  $P_{TR|C}$  is efficiently samplable.

**Lemma:** A 3-move *c*-simulatable protocol is HVZK. (assumption: challenge is efficiently samplable)

**Lemma:** A HVZK round with c uniform from  $\mathcal C$  for poly-bounded  $|\mathcal C|$  is ZK.

Lemma: A sequence of ZK protocols is a ZK protocol.

**Theorem:** A protocol consisting of c-simulatable rounds, with uniform challenge from a (per-round) polynomially bounded space  $\mathcal{C}$ , is perfect ZK.