## Homework (12)

1. Consider the equality constrained least-squares problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2},$$

$$s.t. \ \mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h},$$

where  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with rank  $\mathbf{A} = n$ , and  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$  with rank  $\mathbf{G} = p$ . Give the KKT conditions, and derive expressions for the primal solution  $\mathbf{x}^*$  and the dual solution  $\boldsymbol{\nu}^*$ .

2. Show that the strong duality holds for the problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} -3x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_3^2 + 2(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$$
s.t.  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1$ ,

even though the problem is not convex. Derive the KKT conditions. Find all solutions  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  that satisfy the KKT conditions. Which pair corresponds to the optimum?

## Homework (12)

3. Consider a convex problem with no equality constraints,

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f_0(\mathbf{x})$$
s.t.  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$ 

Assume that  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$  satisfy the KKT conditions

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}^*) \le 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$\lambda_i^* \ge 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$\lambda_i^* f_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$\nabla f_0(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* \nabla f_i(x^*) = 0.$$

Show that

$$\nabla f_0(\mathbf{x}^*)^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) \ge 0$$

for all feasible  $\mathbf{x}$ .

## Homework (12)

- 4. In the subsection "Nonstrict Inequalities", the lecture note only gives the proof of primal infeasibility implying dual feasibility. This is insufficient to claim the strong alternative. Please make the proof complete.
- 5. Consider the linear equations  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , where  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . From linear algebra we know that this equation has a solution if and only  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$ , which occurs if and only if  $\mathbf{b} \perp \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}^T)$ . In other words,  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a solution if and only if there exists no  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Derive this result from the theorems of alternatives.
- 6. Let  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a matrix that satisfies

$$P_{ij} \ge 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1},$$

i.e., the coefficients are nonnegative and the columns sum to one. Use Farkas' lemma to prove there exists a  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} = 1.$$

(We can interpret  $\mathbf{y}$  as an equilibrium distribution of the Markov chain with n states and transition probability matrix  $\mathbf{P}$ .)