

EXPERT REPORT

on the results of the security audit of the bcwallet.io website owned by Blockchain.ru

Table of Contents

	_
Introduction	3
General provisions	3
Generally accepted abbreviations	3
Abstract	3
Scope of works	3
Security audit principles	4
IS threats	4
Attacker model	4
External attacker	4
Attack scenarios	5
MiTM attack on a user	5
Recovery of another user's account	5
External audit the System	6
List of discovered vulnerabilities	6
Insufficient entropy bits in a token	6
Incorrect session management	7
Security weaknesses	7
No HSTS mechanism	7
No protection from clickjacking attacks	8
Unsafe CORS configuration	8
OTP re-use	10
Conclusion	11
License	12
Appendix 1. Security assessment	13
Security level analysis	13
Vulnerability severity	13
Ease of vulnerability exploitation	14
Vulnerability accessibility	14
Likelihood of exploitation	15
Vulnerability impact	15
Appendix 2. Security level analysis.	16
List of the discovered vulnerabilities and security weaknesses	16

General provisions

Generally accepted abbreviations

Abstract

Scope of works

Introduction

This expert report contains the results of security assessment of the bcwallet.io website (hereinafter referred to as "the System") owned by Blockchain.ru (hereinafter referred to as "the Company") with recommendations on fixing the discovered vulnerabilities and improving the current security level of the System.

	Meaning
IS	Information security
DS	Data system
CIN	Corporate information network
FW	Firewall

Table 1. Generally accepted abbreviations

Cyber3's experts conducted the security audit of the System. In the scope of work, they used and examined the external attacker model.

The current security level of the System was rated "Above average".

The key recommendation suggested by the auditors is as follows:

1. Fix the discovered vulnerabilities and security weaknesses of the System.

Below, you can find a description and specification of the discovered security issues, information security risks posed by them, and a detailed list of recommendations on fixing the vulnerabilities.

The scope of the performed works included the Company's LAN resources and the main business systems listed in Table 1.4.

Nº	Hostname/IP address
1	Bcwallet.io

Table 2. Generally accepted abbreviations

TS threats

Attacker model

External attacker

Security audit principles

There are 3 types of IS threats that can affect the Company's information resources: violations of confidentiality, integrity or availability of information.

Confidentiality violations are usually aimed at information disclosure. If a violation is successful, the information becomes known to people, who should not have access to it: unauthorized personnel of the Company, clients, partners, competitors, and third parties.

Integrity violations are aimed at modification or corruption of the information, which can lead to modification of its structure or content, and to complete or partial destruction of the data.

An availability violation (denial-of-service threat) involves data system users' inability to access the information.

The core principle of this IS audit is an estimation of exploitation likelihood of the aforementioned threats affecting the Company's information resources, within the framework of a pre-defined attacker model.

A potential attacker is an individual or a group of individuals, acting either in a collusion or independently, whose intended or unintended actions can carry the aforementioned threats to the IS, infringe information resources of the System or negatively affect the Company's interests.

IS threats are basic threats to confidentiality and integrity of information and the threat of the System denial-of-service.

Attackers can pursue the following goals (and their possible combinations):

- Cause Denial of Service
- Escalate their privileges in the System
- Get unauthorized access to business-critical data

In the scope of work, the experts used an external attacker model.

The following submodel of an external attacker model was used to conduct the security assessment:

• An external attacker who has neither knowledge about the tested System nor privileges in it.

MiTM attack on a user

Recovery of another user's account

Attack scenarios

The security weakness described <u>below</u> enables an attacker to launch an MiTM attack (man-in-the-middle).

An attacker can make a browser to pass data via a not secured network (downgrade attack).

It should be kept in mind, that an attacker and a victim must be in the same network (for example public Wi-Fi). In server responses, attackers can change the address of an actual wallet to that of the wallet they control. As a result, a victim will send cryptocurrency to an attacker's address.

By exploiting the <u>"Insufficient entropy bits in a token"</u> vulnerability, an attacker can generate many recovery codes and launch a brute-force attack. If it is successful, an attacker will get control over another user's account.

External audit the System

List of discovered vulnerabilities

Insufficient entropy bits in a token







Likelihood of exploitation: low



Overall impact level: average

Description:

An application generates a unique token for a user to confirm critical actions. However, a generated token has insufficient entropy bits.

Impact:

In particular cases, an attacker can successfully guess a token and perform critical actions in the System.

Vulnerable resource:

bcwallet.io

Technical details:

During a password recovery, there is a letter with a link that contains a unique token sent to the specified e-mail. The number of unique bytes in a token may be \leq 6. Considering that, the number of requests from a token to a victim's email is limited, an attacker can minimize the amount of time required to guess the token.

Recommendations:

Increase the number of entropy bits in a token

```
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/fdcf2e0e0c0011e884670242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/74115c5e0c0111e89bb20242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/aff85f7c0c0011e89b5780242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/7f28c1440c0211e891b30242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/3d9ababe0c0411e8be5d0242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/46a3797c0c0411e8be5d0242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/49d3ceec0c0411e8a3290242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/5016d8ee0c0411e8be5g0242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/511a5e0c0411e8be5g0242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/51d5e3c0c0411e8a46a0242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/51d5e3c0c0411e896c70242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/526470ca0c0411e896c70242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/564470ca0c0411e89eb50242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/56649fc0c0511e89eb50242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/56645fc0c0511e89eb50242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/56645fc0c0511e89eb50242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/576844c0c0511e883450242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e885e90242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e885e90242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e885e70242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e885e70242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e8a5e910242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e8a6910242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e8a6910242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e8a6910242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e8a6910242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e8a6910242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e8a6910242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e8a6910242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e8a6910242ac130009
https://api.bcwallet.io/activate/58efc4b60c0511e8a6910242ac130009
https:
```

Fig. 1. Links for password recovery

Incorrect session management



Severity: average



Likelihood of exploitation: low



Overall impact level: low

Description:

In case a session identifier is compromised, an attacker can get unrestricted access to a user's account.

Impact:

With a session identifier given to a user during the authentication, an attacker can access private data after a user logs out.

Vulnerable resource:

bcwallet.io

Technical details:

Refresh_token and access_token are valid after logout.

Recommendations:

 Clear user session both when the set time expires and after logout.

Security weaknesses

No HSTS mechanism

Description:

An attacker can launch a downgrade attack, thus forcing a user to use an unsafe connection with a web application. As a result, an attacker will be able to intercept data sent to the application by a user (e.g., passwords or cookies).

Impact:

The web application uses no HSTS mechanism, which enables protection from traffic interception attacks.

Vulnerable resource:

bcwallet.io

Technical details:

The HSTS (HTTP Strict Transport Security) mechanism forces a browser to use the safe TLS connection with the web application by default. This makes it difficult for an attacker to conduct MiTM attacks.

Recommendations:

• Implement the HSTS mechanism. It is necessary to add the following header to a server response: Strict-Transport-Security: max-age=%action duration%.

No protection from clickjacking attacks

Description:

The web server does not use X-Frame-Options parameters in the headers of web server responses.

Impact:

The System's website loaded in the frame of an attacker's resource can be used to perform phishing and clickjacking attacks on users and to manipulate the Systems without them knowing about it.

For example, a user visits an attacker's website and performs some actions there (clicks, text typing). These actions will be sent to the System and processed there (not on an attacker's website) due to the website and the System being displayed on different levels.

Vulnerable resource:

bcwallet.io

Technical details:

Frame-Options enables an attacker to recognize the policy of loading the site to frames on third-party resources. There is no X-Frame-Options header among the web server headers. The likelihood of an attack being launched depends on the functionality. The protection mechanisms should be implemented at least for subdomains/web site sections.

It should be noted that in the given version of the System, there is no functionality that should be protected in the described way. However, if further development of the website with the implementation of registration and user profiles is planned, the header will help protect from clickjacking by securiing new and potentially exploitable features.

Recommendations:

 Add the X-Frame-Options header with the "DENY" or "SAMEORIGIN" values in all response headers.

Unsafe CORS configuration

Description:

An attacker can get access to a user's sensitive data.

Impact:

Web server misconfiguration with enabled CORS (Cross-Origin Resource Sharing) mechanism allows an attacker to get sensitive data of a website user.

Vulnerable resource:

*.bcwallet.io

Technical details:

The Origin server returns null in its response header to any domain different from https://bcwallet.io. In combination with the access-control-allow-credentials: true header, it provides an attacker with an opportunity for the cross-domain reading of a user's sensitive data.



Fig 2. Getting user data with Origin equal to null

In this case, such behavior is not considered a vulnerability since Authorization is used to authorize a user (not Cookie).

Recommendations:

- Configure a whitelist of domains that are allowed to communicate with the target domain and to check entries of the values of the Origin headers into the list.
- Remove the following headers from the response: accesscontrol-allow-credentials and access-control-expose-headers.

OTP re-use

Descsuription:

By getting the OTP, an attacker can use it again and perform critical actions on behalf of a victim.

Impact:

The application allows using the OTP (one-time password) repeatedly for different or same actions.

Vulnerable resource:

bcwallet.io

Technical details:

With any type of the 2FA (via telegram or google authentication) the being used, code is valid for numerous actions.

Recommendations:

• The OTP should be assigned for a particular action and valid for this action only.

Conclusion

As the result of the security audit, the experts discovered a vulnerability that might enable an attacker to compromise a user's account. In addition, there were other security weaknesses detected. It is recommended to fix these security issues to enhance the current security level of the System.

The current security level of the System was rated "High".

License

This document is the subject to Copyright 2018 Cyber3 under the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial NoDerivs (CC-NC-ND) license. You may share and repost the PDF without modification on other sites as long as you put a clear reference link to github.com

Appendix 1. Security assessment

Security level analysis

To analyze the security level of the System, it is necessary to measure the severity and likelihood of exploitation of the detected vulnerabilities. The likelihood of exploitation is measured, according to the ease of vulnerability exploitation and the accessibility of a vulnerability.

Vulnerability severity

The "Severity" property of a vulnerability describes possible results of this vulnerability exploitation, regarding confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information processed on a vulnerable resource. Severity levels are described in the Table A-1.

Level	Confidentiality violation	Integrity violation	Availability violation
None	Does not happen	Does not happen	Does not happen
Low	Obtaining access to noncritical information by an attacker through privilege escalation	Integrity violation of noncritical information by an attacker with basic user rights in the System	Short-time denial-of- service of a mission-critical application
Average	Confidentiality violation of sensitive data by an attacker with basic user rights in the System	Integrity violation of sensitive data by an attacker with basic user rights in the System	Denial of service of a mission-critical application or a short-time denial of service of the System
High	Confidentiality violation of critical information by an attacker with administrator rights in the System	Integrity violation of critical information by an attacker with administrator rights in the System	Denial of Service of the System

Table A-1. Vulnerability severity levels

Ease of vulnerability exploitation

The "Ease of exploitation" property of a vulnerability defines what hardware and software, time and computing resources, and professional skills are required to exploit a vulnerability (Table A-2).

Level	Description
Low	Vulnerability exploitation requires high computing powers, significant time resources, developing new software, configuration analysis of the System, determination and testing possible ways and conditions of successful exploitation of this vulnerability.
Average	Vulnerability exploitation requires high-performance computing, extensive time resources, special hardware and software, and analysis of a violated system configuration. An attacker does not have to have deep knowledge of the system or professional skills to perform an attack.
High	Vulnerability exploitation does not require the use of any special hardware or software, high-performance computing, time resources or any professional skills to perform an attack.

Table A-2. Ease of vulnerability exploitation levels

Vulnerability accessibility

The "Accessibility" property of a vulnerability defines what user classes have access to a vulnerable resource (Table A-3).

Level	Description
Low	Privileged users
Average	Registered users
High	All users

Table A-3. Accessibility levels

Likelihood of exploitation

The likelihood of exploitation is calculated according to "ease of exploitation" and "accessibility" levels (Table A–4).

Likelihood of exploitation		Ease of exploitation ↓		
		Low	Average	High
	Low	Low	Low	Average
Accessibility →	Average	Low	Average	High
	High	Average	High	High

Table A-4. Likelihood of exploitation levels

Vulnerability impact

Vulnerability impact (for one of the existing threats) is measured, according to vulnerability severity (for one of the existing threats) and the likelihood of exploitation of a vulnerability (Table A-5).

Vulnerability impact		Likelihood of exploitation \downarrow		
		Low	Average	High
	Low	Low	Low	Average
Vulnerability severity →	Average	Low	Average	High
	High	Average	High	High

Table A-5. Impact levels

Appendix 2. Security level analysis.

List of the discovered vulnerabilities and security weaknesses

The vulnerabilities and security weaknesses discovered in the System during the security audit are listed in Table B-1.

Vulnerability	Over	all impact	See	
Insufficient entropy bits in a token	Average		<u>p.6</u>	
Incorrect session management	Low		<u>p.7</u>	
Discovered security weaknesses				
Security weakness		See paragraph		
No HSTS mechanism No protection from clickjacking attacks		p.7		
		<u>p.8</u>		

Table B-1. List of discovered vulnerabilities and security weaknesses

