

Multinomial example

- constrained parameters in Multinomial (degrees of freedom) (sum to unity)
- Hence $(K-1)$ summation

Exponential family rep.

Q: Why do we go for exponential family reps?

- ① - data and parameters cleanly grouped into 2 terms
 - through η
 - through $I(\cdot)$

② Moment generating function.

$$\frac{dA}{d\eta} = E[T(x)] \quad \frac{d^2A}{d\eta^2} = \text{Var}[T(x)]$$

- Gives standard operator that yield n^{th} order moments (from derivatives of log-normalise)

EX: Moments important \rightarrow characterise

③: Relationship between moment and natural parameters

(*) Key- Review moment and canonical param relation.

MLE for exponential family

- only differences between distri in exp. family are form of η and $I(\cdot)$ i.e. canonical param and suffic stat.

- IID data \rightarrow log-likelihood \rightarrow optimise (set 1st order moment to 0)

- moment matching

(through $I(\cdot)$)

EX: Exponential family exposes the relationship between data and parameters ~~through~~ (in a linearly dependent fashion)

(through η)

- Gives info about transformations of data or forms of data we need to worry about to preserve uniqueness and identity of distri.

- e.g. only store sufficient statistic of data

- 3 ways of conceptualising relation between: (dependencies)
 X (data) $T(x)$ (suff. statistic) θ (param)

- Bayesian:
- draw conclusions on parameters given data
- dependency of parameters from data
- use posterior $p(\theta | T(x), x)$

- Frequentist:
- data generated from unknown true value of param.
- parameters impact data only through suff. stat $p(x | T(x), \theta)$

- Influence flows through $T(\cdot)$ for both Bayesian, frequentist
(due to exponential family)

- Bayesian factorisation theorem: (W13) \rightarrow W14 - check you understand eq.

- exposes sufficiency of $T(x)$ for parameter θ .
- $T(x)$ d-separates X and θ

(*) Density estimation for single r.v. for many diff distri family
- use sufficient statistic, moment matching, exp. family

- move onto 2 nodes

- generalised instance \rightarrow GLIM

ex: Builds on knowledge of exponential family

- discrim-logistic regression; SVMs

LDA \rightarrow NO it's generative

- logistic regression - $p(y=1|x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\theta^T x}}$

- these are GLIMs

- But sigmoid \rightarrow non linearity (taken care of by blanket function)

- contains linear rel. ; so we use linear techniques with above.

Generality f : - $\mathbb{E}_p(Y) = \mu = f(\theta^T x)$