```
36 705 WORR - WEER 1, UI, UZ
                                                               20/04/2020
- Review on 1-3; with a view to completing problems
- wasseman
en 1- Probability - Key points/orefinitions
1.2- sample spaces, events
                                                          expernet
· sample space of - set of possible outcomes of experiment
                                                          · outcomes
                                                          evers
· Points WESZ
(sample outcomes/
 reals. lelements)
· subsets of a - everts
· Define /set up your problem using these as your building blocks
- EXT
- EX 1.2 V
- Example 1.3
-1055 coin forever (experiment)
- sample space Ω={(w=(w1, w2, w3,...): w; e ξH, T3 }
- The event Ethat heads on 3rd toss:-
- E = {(w, w, w, w, ...): w, = 1, w, = 1, w, = 1, w, e ?H, T} for i>3}
-compiements, mions, intervals and other properties
· we complement is informally "not A" (set of outcomes in sample space,
                                                   ant not an subset (evet) A)
formally, the complement AC= {WESL: W/ A}
The complement of the sample space Si is the empty set $.
foreverts A,B
. The mion of A and B is informally " A or B" for 18th "
The union of events A and B is defined as:-
   AUB = ZWED: WEA OF WEB OF WE both 3
. For a sequence of sets A, Az,...
  O A; = {we Ω: we A; for at least one i} (mion-seque)
```

The netersection is imprimally 'And B'	
formally, the notesection is defined as	
ANB = {WEST: WEA and WEB}	
notationally, ANB = AB = (A,B)	
for a sequence of events A1, A2, (intersection-sequence)	
∞ Constatis	
ρΑi = {west: we Ai ti}	
1 D GWED WEA WEBS	
support of A is also companied in a west) (support)	
to cox lot & ac another the	
a land of the table of the land of the lan	1)
(eg. A; Eo, 1), Az= [1,2), An=[n-1,n))
A partition of sample space of is a sequence of disjoint sets AI, Az,	such
that 0 Ai = 0 Ai Alay	
- Given an evert A, an molicular function of A:	
IA(W) = I(WEA) = SOIFWAA	
A sequence, A, Az, is monotone measing it.	
i) A.CA2C	,
ii) ne define $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ $\int_{i=1}^{\infty} A_n \to A_i$	
· A sequence of sets A, Az, is monotone deceasing if:-	
1) A. 2 A. 2 A. 3	
ii) ne define livin $A_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$	

1.3-Pabability · Assign a real no. P(A) to every event A; called the probability of A · Le call P a probability distribution of probability measure To qualify as a probability, P must satisfy 3 axioms:-1.5 pefinition - Afraction P that assigns a real number P(A) to each event A is a pabability distribueasce if it satisfies:-1) P(A) > 0 for every wht (A) (PISNAME) 2) 0(0)=1 3) If A, Az, we disjoint then $P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}P(A_{i})$ · 2 interpretations of P(A) - frequently - asymptotic relative frequency and Bayesian - observer strepth of helief that, A is the. · Both rely on above axioms; only make a difference in inference paperties of P:- (from exious) ANB= Ø = P(AUB)=P(A) 0 € P(A) € 1 $\rho(\phi)=0$ P(AC) = 1- P(A) ACB = P(A) < P(B) 1.6 UMMa for any events A and B P(AUB) = P(A)+P(B)-P(ANB) · Proof: - Rewrite AUB = AB U AB U A'B (i.e. mechanistically decompose AUB)

· Note that each is disjoint

· Repoler - toile decompose AUB) . Apply a tick 1.8 Theorem (continuity of Probabilities) If An >A then P(An) > P(A) as n > 00

Proof-see scribblings/book - Assume An monotone meessing - make an agument via disjointness of Bi; and O, O - Apply Axion 3 (countable add.) and limits. 1.4 Pabability on Fruite Sample spaces for a finite sample space Q = {w, wz, -, w} and if each outcome wi is - mitorn probability distribution (renewbe captace (19th from Mouldin) equally likely · P(A) = 1A1 · compute probabilities => combinatorial methods for counting no of wi · given a objects, the are a! ways of ordering them; 0!=1 · Given a objects, there are () distinct ways of choosing k objects · Papeties of bonomial coefficient: $\binom{\Lambda}{0} = \binom{\Lambda}{\Lambda} = 1$ $\binom{\Lambda}{R} = \binom{\Lambda}{\Lambda-R}$ 1.5 independent buts 19 pepuition Indevents A and B are independent if: P(AB) = P(A)P(B) and remite AIB · A set of events {Ai: ie 1} is molypered if P(NAi) = TTP(Ai) prevery finite subset J of I. If A and B are not independent , re write A DOOR · FI We can 1) Assume moderature as part of our parabilistic model
(e.g. com has no nemory') 2) perive by vertication of PLAB)= PLA)PLB)

· suppose And B are disjoint events, each with positive pab. · can they be independent? No · P(A)P(B)>O But P(AB)=P(B)=0 Except on this case, no way to judge moleperable by looking at sets in ven diagram 1.6. Conditional Pabability Assuming that P(B) > 0, we define the conditional probability of an evert A, given B has occurred as follows:-1.12 Definition · If P(B)>0, then the conditional probability of A given B B:- $\rho(A|B) = \frac{\rho(AB)}{\rho(B)} \quad (1.4)$ - P(AIB) as fraction of times A occurs among those in which each Boccurs (x): Muition -for any fixed B such that P(B)>0, P(-1B) is a panability (i.e. satisfies - Inperticular: - (axioms applied to conditional probability) i) P(AIB) > O & exats A iii) PA, Az, ..., au disjoint then P(VA; 1B) = \$ P(A; 1B) (x): Rules of probability apply to the left of the nor 6): P(AIBUC) = P(AIB) + P(AIC) Zingeneal $\rho(A|B) \neq \rho(B|A)$ · Be really coeful with these e.g. - spots/weasles · (*) - Example 1.13 - excellent -> check you've mastered; (*) refunition (1.12) C.D. definition does NOT require moreperdurant 1.14 Lemma · If A and B are independent events then P(AIB) = P(A) · For any pair of molegeneit events P(AB)= P(AB)P(B) = P(BIA)P(A)

```
· WARE interretation of marpenduce (oth than mutiq.)
- Knowing lowsering event B does not change the probability of event A
- Helpful for calculating probabilities
1.7 Bayes Theorem
```

1.16. Theorem (Law of Total Pobability)

· Let A, Az, ..., AR he a pertition of a

- For my event B,

 $\rho(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{R} \rho(B|A_i) \rho(A_i)$

1.16 Proof - Define G= BAj

- note disjointness of Cj - specify B interns of Cj, apply additivity, cp definition.

1.17 Theorem (Bayes Theorem)

- Let A, Az,..., An he a pertition of SI such that P(Ai)> O Vi

- If P(B)>0, then for each i=1,..., k:-

 $P(A;1B) = \frac{P(B|Ai)P(Ai)}{\sum_{j} P(B|A_{j})P(A_{j})}$ (1.5)

1.18 Renak

- P(Ai) is the prior probability of A

- P(AilB) is the posterior pubability of A

1-18-Proof

- Apply c.p. formula time; then law of total expectation

1.9 Appendix

· Not always possible to assign a probability to every ever Aif sample

space is large, such as whole real line

· Instead, assign probabilities to united class of a set called a offeld

- · Not feasible to assign probabilities to all subsets of a sample space of · Restition attention to a set of events called a s-algebra/ofield, a class A that sodisfies:
 - i) ØEA
- ii) if A, Az, ... e 4 then DA; eA
- m) AEA => ACEA
- The sets in A we said to be measurable
- (Q, A) is a measurable space
- If PB appliability measure defined on A, then (12, A, P) is called a pobability space
- Lin a is real-line; A is smallest o-field that contains all open subsets i.e. the Borel o-field