DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING
AHMADU BELLO UNIVERSITY ZARIA
FINAL YEAR PROJECT PRESENTATION EVALUATION FORM

Reminder:
1. Students must fill in their details in the space provided and submit it to the panel of evaluators.

Name:	Registration No.:
Project Title:	
Assessor Name:	

Practical Need / Problem Project Addresses – /10 Marks	
• Clearly articulated problem and the need for it to be addressed	⑦⑧ ⑨ ⑩
• Partially articulated problem with no clear justification or need for it be addressed	④ ⑤ ⑥
• No clear identified problem for the project and need for it to be addressed	① ② ③

Project Design Methodology – /10 Marks	
• Systematic, meticulous and contains potential for possible replication and project realization	⑦⑧ ⑨ ⑩
• Systematic but no clear possibility of its replication and the full realization of the project	④ ⑤ ⑥
• Not clear, cannot be replicated with sketchy possibilities for the realization of the project	① ② ③

Project Testing and Results – /10 Marks	
• Suitable testing approach with properly validated results and convincing discussion	⑦⑧ ⑨ ⑩
• Partially convincing testing approach with adequate discussion.	④ ⑤ ⑥
• Results depicts that no significant efforts were put in by the student to address stated problem	⓪① ② ③

Innovation and Creativity – /5 Marks	
• Project reflect an excellent level of creativity and innovation	④ ⑤
• Project reflect that some considerable level of creativity was involved in its development	① ② ③
• Project reflect that no efforts was made towards making it innovative or creative	⓪

Communication Skills – /5 Marks	
• Student was able to answer questions clearly and convincingly	④ ⑤
• Student explanation is understandable and questions were answered fairly well	② ③
• Student presentation is difficult to comprehend and questions were not properly answered	①
• Student presentation is bad and not understandable at all.	⓪

Hardware – /10 Marks (For Prototype Developed Project Only)	
• Student developed hardware is functioned according to specification	⑦⑧ ⑨ ⑩
• Student developed hardware partially functioned according to specification	④ ⑤ ⑥
• Student developed hardware functioned poorly	① ② ③
• Student did not develop any hardware as specified by the problem to address	⓪

Software/Application – /10 Marks (For Software/Application Developed Project Only)	
• Student developed software functioned according to specification	⑦⑧ ⑨ ⑩
• Student developed software partially functioned according to specification	④ ⑤ ⑥
• Student developed software functioned poorly	① ② ③
• Student did not develop any software as specified by the problem to address	⓪

Project Packaging - /10Marks	
• Student hardware or software was well packaged	⑦⑧ ⑨ ⑩
• Student hardware or software was not well packaged	④ ⑤ ⑥
• There was no packaging	① ② ③

Assessor's comments:	
Total Marks (/60)	
Would you recommend the project for the 1st , 2nd or 3rd position award? If yest state the position and justification:	Date: